Effects of exogenous dopamine on the uptake, transport, and resorption of apple ionome under moderate drought

Bowen Liang, Tengteng Gao, Qi Zhao, Changqing Ma, Qi Chen, Zhiwei Wei, Cuiying Li, Chao Li*, Fengwang Ma*

State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas/Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Apple, College of Horticulture, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China

*Corresponding authors: Chao Li, Fengwang Ma

E-mail addresses: lc453@163.com (C. Li), fwm64@nwsuaf.edu.cn & fwm64@sina.com (F. Ma)

TABLE S1 | Plant length (PL), trunk diameter (TD), total fresh weight (TFW), total dry weight (TDW), and relative growth rate (RGR) of plants after 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=10). An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a column, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$ level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Treatment	PL	TD	TFW	TDW	RGR
	(cm)	(mm)	(g plant ⁻¹)	(g plant ⁻¹)	$(g kg^{-1} d^{-1})$
WW	143.28 ±4.57a	10.45±0.31a	264.69±7.18a	105.30±4.91a	13.91±0.52a
DS	93.16±5.27c	$7.28\pm0.28c$	116.70±5.62c	$54.35 \pm 3.73c$	$6.55 \pm 0.76c$
WW+DA	144.88 ±4.20a	$10.54 \pm 0.28a$	270.49 ±4.93a	$109.91 \pm 5.24a$	$14.38 \pm 0.54a$
DS+DA	107.40±5.41b	$7.84 \pm 0.41b$	158.96±5.16b	74.20 ± 2.95 b	$10.02 \pm 0.43b$
		Signif	icance of effects		
DT	***	***	***	***	***
DA	***	***	***	***	***
DT×DA	***	***	***	***	***

TABLE S2 | Root mass fraction (RMF), stem mass fraction (SMF), leaf mass fraction (LMF), root stem ratio (RSR), relative water content (RWC), and H_2O_2 content of plants grown for 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=10). An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a column, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$ level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Treatment	RMF	SMF	LMF	RSR	RWC	H_2O_2
	(%)	(%)	(%)		(%)	(µmol g ⁻¹ FW)
WW	50.39 ±2.35b	30.99±1.97a	18.62±1.19a	1.64±0.17b	91.33±0.18a	1.17±0.11c
DS	$57.83 \pm 3.18a$	$22.61 \pm 1.98b$	19.56±1.73a	$2.59\pm0.36a$	86.62±0.21c	$2.61 \pm 0.09a$
WW+DA	51.51 ±2.15b	30.30±1.59a	$18.20\pm1.06ab$	$1.71 \pm 0.15b$	91.45±0.12a	$1.07 \pm 0.07c$
DS+DA	59.73±2.07a	$23.48 \pm 1.54b$	$16.79 \pm 0.97b$	$2.56\pm0.26a$	88.91±0.41b	$1.78 \pm 0.08b$
		S	ignificance of ef	fects		
DT	***	***	ns	***	***	***
DA	ns	ns	***	ns	***	***
DT×DA	ns	ns	**	ns	***	***

TABLE S3 | Concentration of nutritional elements in leaf dry matter from plants grown for 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: mg g⁻¹ DW for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; μg g⁻¹ DW for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$. level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	S	Signifi	cances
					DT	DA	$DT\times\!DA$
N	25.22±0.18b	23.03±0.13d	25.69±0.15a	23.98±0.17c	***	***	**
P	$1.78 \pm 0.04b$	$1.01 \pm 0.06d$	$2.25 \pm 0.05a$	1.19±0.03c	***	***	***
K	17.00±0.19ab	$15.25 \pm 0.42c$	17.22±0.46a	$16.49 \pm 0.25b$	***	***	**
Ca	$16.24 \pm 0.42b$	$22.28\pm1.15a$	$16.61 \pm 0.50b$	$17.42 \pm 0.47b$	***	***	***
Mg	$4.03 \pm 0.19b$	$4.96\pm0.57a$	$3.88 \pm 0.32b$	$4.03 \pm 0.23b$	**	**	*
S	$1.48 \pm 0.06a$	1.40±0.05ab	$1.45 \pm 0.05a$	$1.23 \pm 0.16b$	**	*	ns
Fe	79.36±6.46a	80.45±3.35a	67.26±1.27b	71.07±6.97ab	ns	***	ns
Mn	$36.55 \pm 0.34ab$	$40.67 \pm 2.79a$	$32.99 \pm 1.33b$	35.46±3.35b	**	***	ns
Cu	5.31±0.23a	$2.83 \pm 0.30c$	$5.63\pm0.29a$	$3.35 \pm 0.24b$	***	**	ns
Zn	34.00 ±4.30a	$28.19 \pm 2.62a$	$29.53 \pm 1.24a$	32.80±3.43a	ns	ns	**
В	43.24±0.73a	33.96±1.49b	$43.85 \pm 2.40a$	33.13±1.11b	***	ns	ns
Al	53.71 ±4.88a	52.43 ±4.05a	40.12±4.61b	39.60±3.93b	ns	***	ns
Cr	$0.37 \pm 0.05a$	$0.40\pm\!0.07a$	$0.32 \pm 0.04a$	$0.30\pm\!0.05a$	ns	**	ns
Ni	$0.84\pm0.11ab$	$0.98\pm0.03a$	$0.78 \pm 0.13b$	$0.91 \pm 0.08ab$	**	ns	ns
As	1.19±0.08a	0.99±0.08bc	1.07±0.03ab	$0.86 \pm 0.15c$	***	*	ns
Mo	1.13±0.06b	$0.63\pm0.03c$	1.36±0.08a	$0.72\pm0.08c$	***	***	*
Pb	$0.43 \pm 0.04ab$	0.40±0.01b	$0.37 \pm 0.02b$	$0.48\pm\!0.05a$	*	ns	***
Cd	27.79 ±4.51a	31.24±1.84a	27.66±1.65a	$25.84 \pm 3.56a$	ns	ns	ns

TABLE S4 | Concentration of nutritional elements in stem dry matter from plants grown for 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: mg g⁻¹ DW for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; μg g⁻¹ DW for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$. level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	S	Signific	ances
					DT	DA	$DT\times\!DA$
N	12.05 ±0.12b	13.62±0.36a	12.03±0.13b	13.95 ±0.44a	***	ns	ns
P	1.06 ± 0.05 b	$0.92\pm0.07c$	$1.24\pm0.08a$	1.19±0.07a	**	***	ns
K	5.75 ± 0.17 bc	$6.07 \pm 0.34ab$	$5.45 \pm 0.15c$	6.21±0.11a	***	ns	*
Ca	$6.29 \pm 0.56c$	$10.00\pm0.82a$	$6.12\pm0.12c$	$7.70\pm0.60b$	***	***	**
Mg	$1.35 \pm 0.09ab$	$1.48 \pm 0.05a$	1.30±0.06b	1.26±0.09b	ns	**	*
S	0.39 ± 0.04 bc	$0.62 \pm 0.02a$	$0.36 \pm 0.02c$	$0.44\pm0.02b$	***	***	***
Fe	14.94±0.32ab	$15.28 \pm 1.00a$	12.76±1.43bc	11.01±1.10c	ns	***	ns
Mn	11.99±0.65a	$8.36 \pm 0.44b$	11.89±0.85a	$8.11 \pm 0.67b$	***	ns	ns
Cu	$3.97 \pm 0.46ab$	$3.25 \pm 0.33c$	$4.57 \pm 0.44a$	3.54±0.26bc	***	*	ns
Zn	$9.74 \pm 0.43b$	10.24±0.75b	$9.43 \pm 0.85b$	14.15±0.47a	***	***	***
В	60.90±0.45a	$48.03 \pm 2.76b$	$60.14\pm1.23a$	45.90±4.31b	***	ns	ns
Al	$5.47 \pm 0.31a$	$4.59 \pm 0.31b$	4.30±0.61b	$5.39\pm0.45a$	ns	ns	***
Cr	$0.19\pm0.01a$	$0.12 \pm 0.02b$	$0.10\pm0.01b$	$0.10\pm0.01b$	***	***	***
Ni	1.80±0.31a	$0.94 \pm 0.10b$	$0.43 \pm 0.10c$	0.76±0.11bc	**	***	***
As	0.16±0.02a	$0.14\pm0.01ab$	$0.13\pm0.02ab$	$0.12\pm0.02b$	*	*	ns
Mo	$0.47 \pm 0.03a$	$0.27 \pm 0.01b$	$0.50\pm\!0.02a$	0.30±0.03b	***	**	ns
Pb	$0.12\pm0.02a$	0.14±0.03a	$0.05 \pm 0.01b$	$0.05 \pm 0.01b$	ns	***	ns
Cd	15.60±1.75ab	19.81 ±2.95a	10.53 ±2.86c	15.35±1.56b	***	***	ns

TABLE S5 | Concentration of nutritional elements in root dry matter from plants grown for 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: mg g⁻¹ DW for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; μg g⁻¹ DW for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$. level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	Sign	ificand	ces
					DT	DA	$DT\times\!DA$
N	8.59±0.18d	15.98±0.25a	10.48±0.43c	15.40±0.29b	***	***	***
P	1.10±0.06b	$0.88 \pm 0.10c$	$1.27 \pm 0.04a$	$1.04\pm0.08b$	***	***	ns
K	$4.58 \pm 0.10b$	$4.35 \pm 0.48c$	5.42±0.11a	3.97 ± 0.10 bc	***	ns	***
Ca	$7.88 \pm 0.18ab$	$8.71 \pm 0.75a$	$7.48 \pm 0.35b$	$5.93 \pm 0.50c$	ns	***	***
Mg	1.48±0.11b	1.98±0.26a	$1.47 \pm 0.06b$	$1.16\pm0.04c$	ns	***	***
S	0.65 ± 0.05 b	$1.08\pm0.19a$	0.90±0.06a	$0.65 \pm 0.04b$	ns	ns	***
Fe	325.72±22.75c	$628.89\pm105.87a$	478.17±65.33b	418.93±56.29bc	**	ns	***
Mn	$15.50\pm1.28b$	$25.85 \pm 5.83a$	18.09 ±2.11b	13.62±0.95b	ns	**	***
Cu	$7.52 \pm 0.38b$	$8.29 \pm 0.36ab$	$8.74\pm0.47a$	$5.73 \pm 0.59c$	***	**	***
Zn	$13.39 \pm 1.75a$	$9.43 \pm 0.94b$	14.90±0.67a	$6.70\pm0.90c$	***	ns	**
В	$60.90\pm0.45a$	$48.03 \pm 2.76b$	$60.14 \pm 1.23a$	45.90±4.31b	***	ns	***
Al	437.25 ±80.71b	693.27±107.57a	510.65 ±57.69b	$456.59 \pm 73.64b$	*	ns	**
Cr	$2.35 \pm 0.32b$	3.72±0.75a	$3.27 \pm 0.36a$	$2.96\pm0.19ab$	*	ns	**
Ni	$2.99\pm0.50a$	$3.27 \pm 1.16a$	$3.24\pm0.94a$	$2.25 \pm 0.43a$	ns	ns	ns
As	$0.84\pm0.08a$	0.96±0.11a	$0.84\pm0.18a$	$0.49 \pm 0.05b$	*	***	***
Mo	$1.05 \pm 0.14b$	$2.33\pm0.46a$	$1.44 \pm 0.04b$	1.12±0.10b	***	**	***
Pb	$0.46 \pm 0.03c$	$0.85 \pm 0.13a$	$0.65 \pm 0.03b$	0.57 ± 0.07 bc	***	ns	***
Cd	72.39±6.66b	159.46±23.08a	87.31 ±13.54b	71.12±7.27b	***	***	***

TABLE S6 | Uptake fluxes of nutritional elements in plants after 90 d of growth under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: mg plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; μg plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$. level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	S	ignific	cances
					DT	DA	$DT\times\!DA$
N	18.68±0.09b	5.99±0.04d	21.68±0.33a	12.27±0.12c	***	***	***
P	$1.78 \pm 0.07b$	0.33±0.03d	$2.27 \pm 0.06a$	$0.82 \pm 0.05c$	***	***	ns
K	$10.62 \pm 0.08b$	$2.44 \pm 0.12d$	$11.97 \pm 0.21a$	4.90±0.08c	***	***	***
Ca	13.10±0.40b	4.14±0.16d	$13.79 \pm 0.29a$	$6.15 \pm 0.16c$	***	***	***
Mg	2.80±0.09a	$0.87 \pm 0.05c$	$2.94\pm0.12a$	1.24±0.03b	***	***	**
S	1.06±0.06b	$0.37 \pm 0.04d$	1.32±0.04a	$0.52 \pm 0.01c$	***	***	*
Fe	268.93±16.42b	136.54±21.98d	415.10±52.83a	197.00±25.12c	***	***	**
Mn	$26.84 \pm 0.70b$	$8.83 \pm 1.28d$	29.91 ±1.50a	$11.89 \pm 0.28c$	***	***	ns
Cu	8.80±0.33b	2.17±0.07d	$10.93 \pm 0.54a$	$3.58 \pm 0.26c$	***	***	*
Zn	$23.57 \pm 1.37a$	4.72±0.25c	25.14±0.80a	9.53 ± 0.67 b	***	***	**
В	79.04±1.70b	$17.49 \pm 1.50d$	$92.52\pm1.78a$	$33.55 \pm 1.39c$	***	***	ns
Al	340.00±58.75b	147.02±22.44c	429.72±48.56a	208.84±32.35c	***	**	ns
Cr	$1.93 \pm 0.25b$	$0.80\pm\!0.16d$	$2.81 \pm 0.29a$	$1.37 \pm 0.08c$	***	***	ns
Ni	$3.25 \pm 0.42a$	$0.82 \pm 0.25b$	3.08±0.80a	$1.25 \pm 0.21b$	***	ns	ns
As	1.02±0.05a	$0.28 \pm 0.02b$	1.06±0.15a	$0.35 \pm 0.02b$	***	ns	ns
Mo	1.30±0.10b	$0.55 \pm 0.10c$	1.80±0.04a	$0.64\pm0.04c$	***	***	***
Pb	$0.51 \pm 0.03b$	0.22±0.03d	$0.66\pm0.03a$	$0.32 \pm 0.03c$	***	***	ns
Cd	68.10±5.04b	36.65 ±4.52c	84.13±10.42a	37.51±3.05c	***	**	*

TABLE S7 | Transport of nutritional elements to leaves of plants grown for 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: μg plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; ng plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$ level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	S	ignific	cances
					DT	DA	$DT\times\!DA$
N	104.61 ±1.18a	27.09±0.62c	106.87±0.97a	42.70±0.79b	***	***	***
P	$7.54 \pm 0.23b$	-0.89 ± 0.30 d	10.52±0.30a	$0.84 \pm 0.15c$	***	***	***
K	$83.20\pm1.28a$	33.96±2.03c	83.62±2.96a	44.36±1.17b	***	***	***
Ca	$72.85 \pm 1.69a$	61.88±1.34b	72.38±0.60a	$42.69 \pm 0.90c$	***	***	***
Mg	17.63±0.36a	$11.79 \pm 2.05b$	$17.64 \pm 1.25a$	$8.27 \pm 0.33c$	***	*	*
S	$6.31 \pm 0.29a$	$2.27 \pm 0.12b$	$5.93 \pm 0.08a$	$2.37 \pm 0.47b$	***	ns	ns
Fe	374.47 ±19.87a	147.36±7.02c	260.81 ±6.09b	$140.15 \pm 4.11c$	***	***	***
Mn	185.07±1.69a	129.01 ±3.94c	163.17±6.43b	101.91±11.14d	***	***	ns
Cu	$28.79 \pm 0.35a$	$5.29\pm0.69c$	29.71 ±1.17a	$7.95 \pm 0.18b$	***	**	ns
Zn	214.98±0.12a	107.32±3.14d	175.91±3.59b	141.62±5.71c	***	ns	***
В	$190.35 \pm 1.85b$	38.38±1.51d	201.01±6.16a	$50.66 \pm 2.23c$	***	***	ns
Al	215.12±2.65a	79.43±7.41c	132.12±14.58b	51.19±12.61d	***	***	**
Cr	-0.51 ±0.08a	$-1.99\pm0.10c$	-0.46±0.12a	-1.64±0.16b	***	*	ns
Ni	$2.37 \pm 0.46a$	$0.40\pm\!0.08b$	$2.36 \pm 0.44a$	0.92 ± 0.25 b	***	ns	ns
As	$6.67 \pm 0.17a$	$3.45 \pm 0.09c$	$5.72 \pm 0.09b$	$3.17 \pm 0.16c$	***	***	**
Mo	$5.37 \pm 0.20b$	$-0.23\pm0.08d$	$6.30\pm0.22a$	$0.96 \pm 0.06c$	***	***	ns
Pb	$2.64\pm0.09a$	$1.38\pm0.04c$	$1.95 \pm 0.11b$	$1.79 \pm 0.17b$	***	ns	***
Cd	167.62±10.13a	97.71±3.44c	139.48±8.39b	68.29±10.67d	***	***	ns

TABLE S8 | Transport of nutritional elements to stems of plants grown for 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: μg plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; ng plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$ level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	S	ignific	cances
					DT	DA	$DT\times\!DA$
N	109.73±1.27a	44.77±2.02c	108.04±1.32a	65.49±2.84b	***	***	***
P	$9.86 \pm 0.57 b$	$2.63\pm0.38d$	11.59±0.91a	$5.73 \pm 0.46c$	***	***	*
K	$52.80\pm1.83a$	$19.57 \pm 1.90d$	$48.86 \pm 1.57b$	$28.82 \pm 0.71c$	***	**	***
Ca	53.16±3.27a	34.50±3.65b	$51.55 \pm 1.03a$	$32.79 \pm 3.27b$	***	ns	ns
Mg	11.67±0.39a	$4.24\pm0.19c$	$11.54 \pm 0.38a$	$5.38 \pm 0.35b$	***	*	*
S	$3.57 \pm 0.29a$	$2.39 \pm 0.05b$	$3.15 \pm 0.14a$	$1.97 \pm 0.09b$	***	**	ns
Fe	46.03±3.13a	$-77.81 \pm 2.59c$	$28.43\pm12.04b$	$-61.61 \pm 2.68c$	***	ns	**
Mn	112.27±0.75a	$27.01 \pm 1.07c$	110.90±7.14a	$37.55 \pm 2.05b$	***	ns	*
Cu	38.19±4.35b	$9.46 \pm 0.40 d$	$46.15 \pm 3.25a$	17.02±0.77c	***	**	ns
Zn	$92.65\pm1.05a$	$32.45\pm1.05c$	90.23±6.03a	$74.08 \pm 1.88b$	***	***	***
В	621.12±1.36a	194.86±5.91d	595.22±12.95b	259.95±12.21c	***	**	***
Al	$36.10\pm2.45a$	$-6.14 \pm 0.76d$	$20.04 \pm 3.40b$	$7.86 \pm 0.29c$	***	ns	***
Cr	$0.69\pm0.03a$	-1.25 ±0.03d	-0.38±0.11b	$-0.94\pm0.05c$	***	***	***
Ni	$-0.97 \pm 0.58a$	-20.07 ±0.27d	-13.91±0.63b	-15.54±0.37c	***	***	***
As	1.56±0.08a	$0.49\pm0.01b$	1.31±0.20a	$0.53 \pm 0.02b$	***	ns	*
Mo	4.46±0.13a	$0.60\pm0.01c$	$4.72 \pm 0.25a$	1.36±0.11b	***	***	*
Pb	$1.03 \pm 0.10a$	$0.34\pm0.09b$	0.25 ± 0.05 b	$-0.08\pm0.07c$	***	***	**
Cd	111.87±9.80a	25.23±5.03c	68.21±7.21b	26.74±5.98c	***	***	***

TABLE S9 | Accumulation of nutritional elements in roots of plants grown for 90 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: μg plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; ng plant⁻¹ day⁻¹ for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$ level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	S	ignific	cances
					DT	DA	DT×DA
N	70.38±3.29c	115.95±3.61b	112.44±7.90b	163.15 ±4.84a	***	***	ns
P	$12.95 \pm 1.12b$	$3.55 \pm 1.37d$	16.86±0.65a	$9.81 \pm 1.34c$	***	***	*
K	$50.63 \pm 1.74b$	19.91 ±6.80d	69.46±2.01a	$31.08\pm1.72c$	***	***	*
Ca	92.70±1.63a	$58.92 \pm 3.69b$	$87.01 \pm 1.10a$	44.20±4.16c	***	***	*
Mg	16.58±0.41a	$10.62\pm1.08b$	$16.87 \pm 0.80a$	$7.27 \pm 0.01c$	***	**	**
S	$4.88 \pm 0.57b$	$7.96\pm1.29a$	9.51±0.70a	$3.15 \pm 0.35b$	**	ns	***
Fe	930.54±121.13b	1248.03 ±380.89b	3544.40±104.96a	1437.55 ±221.35b	*	**	**
Mn	157.73±11.61b	237.94 ±48.79a	218.67 ±26.12ab	77.26±2.93c	ns	*	***
Cu	76.42±5.23b	$40.91 \pm 0.89c$	108.54±5.79a	36.86±3.77c	***	***	***
Zn	$145.21 \pm 3.31b$	$35.61 \pm 5.26c$	199.64±10.55a	39.83±9.60c	***	***	***
В	$754.83 \pm 22.95b$	512.39±25.86c	949.21 ±8.39a	$569.5 \pm 27.18c$	***	***	***
Al	2396.69±623.91b	2679.72±550.96ab	3996.04±234.63a	1791.77 ±703.62b	*	ns	**
Cr	$-10.52\pm1.49b$	-13.06 ± 2.46 b	15.18±5.64a	$-5.37 \pm 2.23b$	***	***	**
Ni	-22.78±3.13a	-47.17±6.11b	$-22.39\pm14.10a$	$-50.50\pm1.92b$	***	ns	ns
As	$7.41 \pm 0.54ab$	$4.85 \pm 1.06bc$	$9.91 \pm 3.22a$	$0.86 \pm 0.15c$	***	ns	*
Mo	$4.65 \pm 0.24b$	$15.01 \pm 3.14a$	14.32±0.47a	4.13±0.80b	ns	ns	***
Pb	$-0.81 \pm 0.06b$	$1.63\pm1.31a$	3.25 ±0.09a	-0.84 ± 0.73 b	ns	ns	***
Cd	-1783.79±77.75ab	-1830.66±233.32b	-1457.60±111.97a	-2215.97±68.79c	**	ns	**

TABLE S10 | Nutrient resorption proficiency for elements in leaves from plants grown for 120 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: mg g⁻¹ DW for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; μg g⁻¹ DW for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd. An ANOVA test followed by Tukey's multiple range test was performed. Within a row, values not followed by the same letter indicate significant differences at $P_{0.05}$. level. Significant effects of the main factors drought (DT), dopamine (DA) and the interactions (DT×DA) are also given in the table: ns, not significant; *, P <0.05; **, P <0.01; and ***, P <0.001. Treatments: WW, irrigated daily to maintain 75-85% field capacity; DS, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine; and DS+DA, irrigated daily to maintain 45-55% field capacity plus 100 μM dopamine.

Element	WW	DS	WW+DA	DS+DA	Sign	Significances	
					DT	DA	$DT \times DA$
N	9.39±0.16d	11.65 ±0.25b	11.07±0.14c	12.69±0.10a	***	***	***
P	$0.80\pm0.01b$	$0.41 \pm 0.02d$	$1.07 \pm 0.07a$	0.50±0.01c	***	***	***
K	10.34±0.17d	$10.78 \pm 0.26c$	13.08±0.14a	11.53±0.21b	***	***	***
Ca	27.00±0.33a	21.40±1.17c	$20.52 \pm 0.53c$	23.24±0.64b	***	***	***
Mg	$3.75 \pm 0.09b$	$3.81\pm0.29ab$	$3.64\pm0.05b$	$4.11 \pm 0.05a$	**	ns	*
S	0.80±0.07ab	$0.70\pm\!0.06b$	$0.86\pm0.07a$	$0.83 \pm 0.04a$	*	**	ns
Fe	116.14±7.77a	69.86±6.68c	89.31 ±4.36b	97.25±3.41b	***	ns	***
Mn	16.16±1.99c	24.63 ± 3.56 ab	20.79±1.28bc	$26.23 \pm 2.26a$	***	*	ns
Cu	$3.63 \pm 0.36b$	$2.03\pm0.08c$	$3.90\pm0.26a$	2.73±0.25c	***	**	ns
Zn	$30.79 \pm 3.38a$	$17.20\pm0.34c$	31.71±2.91a	$25.79 \pm 2.54b$	***	**	**
В	28.18±2.68a	$21.99 \pm 0.73b$	$31.63 \pm 2.52a$	28.91 ±2.43a	***	***	ns
Al	102.98±6.02a	$56.37 \pm 7.18c$	79.58±3.84b	82.33±7.39b	***	ns	***
Cr	0.50±0.03a	$0.35 \pm 0.05b$	$0.48\pm0.07a$	0.41±0.04ab	***	ns	ns
Ni	$0.54 \pm 0.06ab$	$0.49\pm0.04b$	$0.54\pm0.06b$	$0.65 \pm 0.07a$	ns	**	**
As	1.26±0.05a	$1.01\pm0.10b$	1.13±0.06ab	1.08±0.06b	***	ns	**
Mo	$0.39 \pm 0.04b$	$0.35 \pm 0.03b$	$0.48\pm\!0.06a$	$0.39\pm0.04b$	**	**	ns
Pb	0.66±0.04a	$0.43 \pm 0.03c$	$0.65 \pm 0.03a$	$0.53 \pm 0.01b$	***	**	***
Cd	49.11±3.61a	33.26±2.84b	45.98±4.51a	36.71 ±2.63b	***	ns	ns

TABLE S11 | Concentration of nutritional elements in leaf, stem, and root dry matter from plants grown for 0 d under different watering and dopamine treatments. Data are means \pm SD (n=5). Unit of measure: mg g⁻¹ DW for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S; μ g g⁻¹ DW for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, B, Al, Cr, Ni, As, Mo, Pb, and Cd.

Element	Leaf	Stem	Root
N	29.29 ± 0.15	13.10±0.21	13.32 ± 0.14
P	2.00 ± 0.12	1.06 ± 0.06	1.07 ± 0.11
K	13.79 ± 0.20	6.00 ± 0.18	5.01 ± 0.11
Ca	16.56 ± 0.42	8.94 ± 0.71	7.85 ± 0.69
Mg	4.14 ± 0.34	1.67 ± 0.07	1.78 ± 0.12
S	1.62 ± 0.09	0.46 ± 0.03	1.09 ± 0.11
Fe	84.38 ± 2.25	68.51 ± 4.97	800.24±60.73
Mn	26.62 ± 1.30	8.43 ± 0.51	21.63 ± 0.86
Cu	2.94 ± 0.38	3.11 ± 0.48	9.28 ± 0.43
Zn	9.58 ± 0.39	9.36 ± 0.48	11.64±0.76
В	45.50 ± 1.28	30.33 ± 0.57	33.16±1.73
Al	56.01 ± 1.81	13.94 ± 0.72	807.91 ±43.00
Cr	1.30 ± 0.16	0.81 ± 0.06	7.85 ± 0.64
Ni	1.52 ± 0.16	10.75 ± 0.31	12.51 ± 0.68
As	0.55 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.02	1.08 ± 0.12
Mo	1.11 ± 0.07	0.37 ± 0.04	2.05 ± 0.17
Pb	0.19 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.02	1.38 ± 0.10
Cd	17.60 ± 0.42	40.98 ± 4.71	487.56±35.30