SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL, FIGURE 1: Modified Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (Cohort Studies)

Paper:

Paper:			
Assessment of quality of a cohort study – Newcastle Ottawa Scale			
Selection (tick one box in each section)			
1.	Representativeness of the intervention cohort		
	a) Truly representative of the general TBI population	*	
	b) Somewhat representative of the general TBI population	*	
	c) Selected group of patients		
	d) No description of the derivation of the cohort		
2.	Selection of the non intervention cohort		
	a) Drawn from the same community as the intervention cohort	*	
	b) Drawn from a different source		
	c) No description of the derivation of the non intervention cohort		
3.	Ascertainment of intervention		
	a) Secure record (e.g. health care record)	*	
	b) Structured interview	*	
	c) Written self report		
	d) Other / no description		
Comparability (tick one or all boxes, as appropriate)			
1.	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis		
	a) Study controls for age	*	
	b) Study controls for Glasgow Coma Scale motor or total score	*	
	c) Study controls for pupillary reactivity	*	
Outcome (tick one box in each section)			
1.	Assessment of outcome		
	a) Independent blind assessment	*	
	b) Record linkage	*	
	c) Self report		
	d) Other / no description		
2.	Was follow up long enough for outcomes to occur		
	a) Yes, if median duration of follow-up ≥ 6 month	*	
	b) No, if median duration of follow-up < 6 months		
3.	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts		
	a) Complete follow up: all subjects accounted for	*	
	b) Subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias: number lost $\leq 20\%$,	*	
	or description of those lost suggesting no different from those followed		
	c) Follow up rate < 80% (select an adequate %) and no description of those lost		
	d) No statement		

<u>Note</u>: A study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within the Selection and Outcome categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for Comparability

TOTAL SCORE: __/9

