Supporting information methods, figures and tables to:

Identification of potent and selective small molecule inhibitors of the cation channel TRPM4

Lijo Cherian Ozhathil^{*1}, Clémence Delalande^{*2}, Beatrice Bianchi¹, Gabor Nemeth², Sven Kappel¹, Urs Thomet¹, Daniela Ross-Kaschitza¹, Céline Simonin², Matthias Rubin¹, Jürg Gertsch¹, Martin Lochner^{1,2}, Christine Peinelt¹, Jean-Louis Reymond², Hugues Abriel¹

Affiliations:

1: Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, National Center of Competence in Research NCCR TransCure, University of Bern, Bühlstrasse 28, 3012 Bern (Switzerland)

2: Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, National Center of Competence in Research NCCR TransCure, University of Bern, Freiestrasse 3, 3012 Bern (Switzerland)

Methods:

Compound 5 selectivity profiling: Patch clamp studies on TRP family members.

TRPM7:

TRPM7 currents were evoked in HEK-293 cells stably expressing tetracycline-inducible, human TRPM7 protein described earlier (Schmitz et al., 2003). Cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), blasticidin (5 µg/ml) and zeocin (0.4 mg/ml). TRPM7 expression was induced 14 - 20hours before performing experiments by adding 1 µg/ml tetracycline to the culture medium. Whole cell currents were recorded using a 200 ms ramp from -100 to 120 mV every 2 sec from a holding potential of 0 mV. The currents were recorded with a HEKA patch clamp system (EPC-10, HEKA Elektronik Dr. Schutze GmbH, Rhein, Germany). Internal solution contained (in mM): 140 Cs-Glutamate, 8 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 5 EDTA and bath solution (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 10 HEPES. Osmolarity was adjusted with glucose to 300 mosm and pH to 7.2 with CsOH. Inhibition of the current from TRPM7 by **5** was tested at 100 µM concentration. Stock solution of **5** was prepared in DMSO at 10 mM and diluted in bath solution to acquire final concentration. Compound **5** was bath-applied to the patched-cell using a custom made perfusion unit. Peak currents at +80 mV after application of either **5** or DMSO were normalized to peak current before application.

TRPM8:

TRPM8 currents were recorded from HEK-293 cells transiently transfected with plasmids (pcDNA5FRTTO Invitrogen) encoding the human isoform of TRPM8. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 4 mM glutamine and 1% penicillin streptomycin. The cells were transfected and plated on 35 mm dishes and incubated 24 hours at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 prior to recording. Whole cell currents were recorded continuously from a holding potential

of -80 mV. The bath chamber was continuously perfused with a bath solution consisting of (mM) 140 NaCl, 4 KCl, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, HEPES 10 adjusted to pH 7.40 (NaOH). The pipette solution contained (mM) CsF 60, CsCl 50, NaCl 10, EGTA 20, HEPES 10 adjusted to pH 7.20 (CsOH). The channel was activated upon fast application of menthol (100 μ M). Inhibition of the current from TRPM8 by **5** was tested at 10 μ M concentration. All drug solutions were bath-applied to patch-clamped cell by means of a gravity driven rapid solution exchanger (2ms, RSC-200, Bio-Logic). Stock solutions of menthol (Sigma Aldrich, 63660-100g, BCBR7346V) and **5** were prepared in DMSO at 100mM and 10 mM respectively. Peak currents after application of either **5** or DMSO were normalized to peak current before application.

TRPV1:

TRPV1 currents were recorded from HEK-293 cells transiently transfected with plasmids (pcDNA5FRTTO Invitrogen) encoding the human isoform of TRPV1. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 4 mM glutamine and 1% penicillin streptomycin. The cells were transfected and plated on 35 mm dishes and incubated 24 hours at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ prior to recording. Whole cell currents were recorded continuously from a holding potential of -80 mV. The bath chamber was continuously perfused with a bath solution consisting of (mM) 140 NaCl, 4 KCl, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, HEPES 10 adjusted to pH 7.40 (NaOH). The pipette solution contained (mM) CsF 60, CsCl 50, NaCl 10, EGTA 20, HEPES 10 adjusted to pH 7.20 (CsOH). The channel was activated upon fast application of capsaicin (10 μ M). Inhibition of the current from TRPV1 by **5** was tested at 10 μ M concentration. All drug solutions were bath-applied to patch-clamped cell by means of a gravity driven rapid solution exchanger (2ms, RSC-200, Bio-Logic). Stock solution of capsaicin (Sigma Aldrich, M2028-50mg, 106K7060) and **5**

were prepared in DMSO at 10 mM. Peak currents after application of either **5** or DMSO were normalized to peak current before application.

TRPV6:

TRPV6 currents were evoked in HEK-293 cells stably expressing TRPV6 protein (provided by Prof. Matthias Hediger, University of Bern, Switzerland). Cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin streptomycin and 0.5 mg/ml G418. Whole cell currents from TRPV6 expressing cells were performed as described for LNCaP. Internal solution contained 120 Cs-Glutamate, 10 HEPES, 3 MgCl₂, 10 BAPTA and bath solution contained 120 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 10 TEA-Cl, 2 MgCl₂, 10 CaCl₂. Osmolarity was adjusted with glucose to 300 mosm and pH to 7.2 with CsOH. Inhibition of the current from TRPV6 by **5** was tested at 100 μ M concentration. Stock solution of **5** was prepared in DMSO at 10 mM and diluted in bath solution with 10 mM Ca²⁺ to acquire final concentration. Compound **5** was bath-applied to the patched-cell using a custom made perfusion unit. Peak currents at -80 mV after application of either **5** or DMSO were normalized to peak current before application. All values are presented as mean \pm SEM of atleast n = 4 independent cells. Statistically significant difference between means was determined using student *t* test for comparison.

Compound 5 selectivity profiling: Radioligand binding assay

For the radioligand binding assay, compound **5** binding was calculated as percentage inhibition of the binding of a radioactively labeled ligand specific for each target. For the cellular and nuclear functional assays, the cellular agonist effect of **5** was calculated as a percentage of control response to a known reference agonist for each target and cellular antagonist effect of **5** was calculated as percentage inhibition of control reference agonist response for each target.

Binding of **5** was measured at a single concentration of 10 μ M in accordance with CEREP's validation standard operating procedure (CEREP, Celle L'Evescault, France; <u>www.cerep.fr</u>). Reference and test compounds were tested in each experiment, and the data were compared with historical values determined at CEREP.

Binding of **5** was measured at 0.1, 1and 10 μ M in duplicates on the hERG channel through automated whole-cell patch clamp, in accordance with CEREP's validation standard operating procedure (CEREP, St. Charles, USA; <u>www.cerep.fr</u>). Reference and test compound were tested in each experiment, and the data were compared with historical values determined at CEREP. An inhibition percentage of 2.0, 6.7 and 10.5 were obtained, respectively.

Cytosolic application of compound 5 and 4:

Cells were plated in 35 mm poly-D-lysine coated dishes (Corning, NY, USA) in induction medium (phenol red free DMEM medium (GIBCO-31053)) supplemented with 10 % FBS and 1 μ g/mL tetracycline (Invitrogen, USA). After plating, cells were incubated for 48 hours at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. Electrophysiological recordings were performed in the inside-out patch clamp configuration with patch pipettes (1-2 μ m tip opening) pulled from 1.5 mm borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments, Inc. Fl, USA) using DMZ Universal puller (Zeitz-Instruments, GmbH, München, Germany). Pipette tips were polished to have a pipette resistance of 2–4 M Ω in the bath solution. The pipette solution contained (in mM) 150 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 2 CaCl₂ (pH 7.4 with NaOH). The bath solution contained (in mM) 150 NaCl 10 HEPES, 2 HEDTA (pH 7.4 with NaOH) as 0 μ M Ca²⁺ or 150 NaCl, 10 HEPES and 300 μ M Ca²⁺ (pH 7.4 with NaOH) as 300 μ M Ca²⁺ solution. Bath solutions with either 0 or 300 μ M Ca²⁺ concentrations were applied to cytosolic side of the cells by a modified rapid solution exchanger

(Perfusion Fast-Step SF-77B; Warner Instruments Corp. CT, USA). Inhibitors in DMSO stock were diluted to appropriate concentrations in the 300 μ M Ca²⁺solution and applied on cytosolic side of the cells using the rapid solution exchanger. Membrane currents were recorded with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular devices, CA, USA) controlled by Clampex 10 via a Digidata 1332A (Molecular devices, CA, USA) using a single voltage step protocol of 200 ms duration from -100 to +100 mV. Data were low-pass filtered at 5 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz. Peak currents were measured at the end of voltage step to + 100 mV. Experiments were performed at RT (20–25 °C).

Rescue of WT-TRPM4 with compound 5

HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with 300 ng (WT 100%), or 150 ng (WT 50%) of HA-TRPM4 WT plasmid, in a P100 dish (BD Falcon, Durham, North Carolina, USA) mixed with 30 μ L of JetPEI (Polyplus transfection, Illkirch, France) and 250 μ L of 150 mM NaCl. 24 hours after transfection, 50% WT cells were incubated with 50 uM compound 5 or incubated at 28C. TRPM4 expression was assessed using Western Blot technique as described previously.

Figure S1: *TRPM4 currents in excised membrane patches:* Representative current traces from excised membrane patches when exposed to either 0 (black) or 500 μ M Ca²⁺ (blue) from TRPM4 expressing cells either induced with tetracycline or non-induced and TRPM4 CRISPR-Cas9 knocked down cell line (KD). Steady state IVs was constructed from currents at the end of 200 ms voltage steps (inset) (n = 3).

Figure S2: Assay validation **A**) Overlay of traces obtained on the FLIPR from TRPM4expressing cells induced with ionomycin (red, signal) or without ionomycin (black, background). **B**) Z` prime factor and ratio of AUC from signal over background (S/B) calculated over 24 plates on different days of experiment were plotted on left and right Y-axis, respectively. **C**) Overlay of traces with different extracellular vehicle DMSO concentrations. **D**) Average AUC after incubation with varying DMSO concentrations (n = 3).

Figure S3: Concentration-response studies. Average current traces recorded from excised membrane patches in voltage clamp with either vehicle control or inhibitor at different concentrations. $n \ge 4$ for each concentrations of different inhibitors.

Figure S4: *Cytosolic application of [5] and [4].* Representative current traces from excised membrane patches on TRPM4 expressing cells when exposed to either 0 or 300 μ M Ca²⁺. Furthermore, on the same cells, the currents were inhibited by cytosolic application of either 1 μ M [5] (**A**) or 1 μ M [4] (**B**) and subsequently washed out ($n \ge 6$).

Figure S5: Compound 5 TRP selectivity. A) Left, representative whole-cell current density trace at +80 mV from HEK cells stably expressing TRPM7 either induced with tetracyline or noninduced. After the currents were fully developed, either DMSO or 100 µM compound 5 were applied in bath solution. Right, the currents recorded in presence of DMSO (grey) or compound 5 (red) was normalized to the current before application on the same cell. B) Left, representative whole cell current density trace at -80 mV evoked by 100 µM menthol from HEK cells transiently transfected with TRPM8. The cells were later applied with either DMSO or 10 µM compound 5. *Right*, the peak currents recorded in presence of DMSO (grey) or compound 5 (red) were normalized to the current before application on the same cell. C) Left, representative whole cell current density trace at - 80mV evoked by 10 µM capsaicin from HEK cells transiently transfected with TRPV1. Right, the peak currents recorded in presence of DMSO (grey) or compound 5 (red) were normalized to the current before application on the same cell. D) Left, representative whole-cell current density trace at -80 mV from HEK cells stably expressing TRPV6. Inward currents were observed with increase of Ca²⁺ to 10 mM in the bath solution. After the currents were fully developed, either DMSO or 100 µM compound 5 were applied in bath solution. Right, the currents recorded in presence of DMSO (grey) or compound 5 (red) was normalized to the current before application on the same cell.

Figure S6: Specificity of compound **5** in rescuing A432T loss-of-expression variant. **A**) Western blot analysis showing total expression rescue of A432T variant after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **B**) Western blot analysis shows that compound **5** failed to rescue membrane expression of Dupl hERG variant, whereas the variant was successfully rescued by pre-incubation at 28 °C. **C**) Western blot analysis showing membrane expression rescue of WT TRPM4 after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **D**) Western blot analysis showing total expression rescue of WT TRPM4 after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **D**) Western blot analysis showing total expression rescue of WT TRPM4 after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **D**) Western blot analysis showing total expression rescue of WT TRPM4 after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **D**) Western blot analysis showing total expression rescue of WT TRPM4 after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **D**) Western blot analysis showing total expression rescue of WT TRPM4 after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **D**) Western blot analysis showing total expression rescue of WT TRPM4 after pre-incubation at 28 °C. **D**) Student *t* test.

Figure S7: *Cytotoxicity study of the most potent inhibitors.* An MTT viability assay was performed for **4**, **5** and **6** on HeLa cells. The data represent the means of three values and are normalized against DMSO control.

Supplement table S1. Anthranilic acid variations: from top to bottom, isosteric substitution of the chlorine group and variation of the carboxylic acid's position. a) Racemic mixture. b) Activity on TRPM4 given as Normalized counts of Na^+ influx at 5 μ M. Values above 0 are active.

Supplement table S2. From top to bottom, substitution variations and bicyclic variations of the phenoxy moiety. a) Racemic mixture. b) Activity on TRPM4 given as normalized counts of Na^+ influx at 5 μ M. Values above 0 are active.

Supplement table S3. Acetyl linker modifications. a) Racemic mixture. b) Activity on TRPM4 given as Normalized counts of Na⁺ influx at 5 μ M. c) The enantiomerically pure isomers of the racemic **4** were separated by chiral HPLC. d) Activity measured at 10 μ M.

Supplement table S4: In vitro pharmacology profiling of 5 on several ion channels. a) Compound 5 was tested on radio ligand binding assay at 10 μ M. b) Compound 5 was tested on cellular and nuclear functional assays at 10 μ M. The hERG channel inhibition was also tested on automated whole-cell patch clamp at 10 μ M. Inhibition below 50% is considered not significant. The data represent the mean of two experiments. No significant inhibition (NSI).



Figure S1:





B)





Figure S2:



Figure S3:



Figure S4:





B) TRPM8





C) TRPV1





D) TRPV6





Figure S5:











Figure S6:



Figure S7:



ID	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	Normalized counts ^b
17	Cl	Н	Cl	CN	1
18	Cl	Н	Cl	CONHCH ₃	-7
19	Cl	Н	Cl	NO ₂	-2
20	Cl	Н	Cl	NH ₂	7
21	Cl	Н	Н	SO ₂ OH	1
22	Cl	Н	Н	SO ₂ NH ₂	14
23	Cl	Н	Cl	1H-tetrazol-5-yl	-20
24	Cl	Н	Cl	5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl	-3
25	Cl	Н	F	СООН	68
11	Cl	Н	Br	СООН	67
26 ^a	Н	CH_3	OH	СООН	2
27	Cl	Н	CH_3	СООН	-3
28	Cl	Н	CF ₃	СООН	37
29	Cl	Н	COOH	СООН	4



ID	R	Normalized counts ^b
30	4-COOH	29
31	3-COOH	10
32	3-C1-4-COOH	14

Table S1:



ID	R ₁	R ₂	Normalized counts ^b
33 ^a	phenyl	CH_3	42
12 ^a	2,4-diCl-phenyl	CH ₃	84
13	2,4-diBr-phenyl	Η	97
14	2,4-diF-phenyl	Η	92
34	2,5-diCl-phenyl	Η	91
35	2,6-diCl-phenyl	Η	64
15	2-Cl-5-NO ₂ -phenyl	Η	70
36	4-NH ₂ -phenyl	Η	-7
37	4- <i>t</i> Bu-phenyl	Н	84
38	2-phenylphenyl	Н	86
16	3-phenylphenyl	Н	81
39	4-phenylphenyl	Н	55
6	naphthalen-1-yl	Н	92
7	4-Cl-naphthalen-1-yl	Н	84
10	5,6,7,8-tetrohydronaphthalen-1-yl	Η	93
40	isoquinilin-5-yl	Η	-7
41	quinolin-8-yl	Η	11
42	quinolin-5-yl	Η	43
43	quinolin-4-yl	Η	-10
44	quinolin-2-yl	Н	-1
45	quinazolin-4-yl	Η	16
46	5-bromoquinolin-8-yl	Н	0
47	2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-8-yl	Н	2
48	phenantren-9-yl	Н	31
49	1 <i>H</i> -indazol-5-yl	Н	44

 Table S2:



ID	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	Normalized counts ^b
50 ^a	2-Cl	0	CHCH ₃	40
51	2-CH ₃ -4-Cl	0	CH_2	54
52 ^c	2-CH ₃ -4-Cl	0	CHCH ₃	92
53 ^c	2-CH ₃ -4-Cl	0	CHCH ₃	85
54	2-Cl	CH_2	CH_2	55
55	2-Cl	S	CH_2	48
56	2-Cl	CH_2	NH	-12 ^d
57	2-Cl	CH ₂	0	14 ^d
58	Н	CH_2	0	58 ^d

Table S3:

Channel	% Inhibition of control specific binding at 10 μM^a
GABAA1 (agonist radioligand) (h)	NSI
NMDA (antagonist radioligand)	8.0 ± 13
N neuronal α4β2 (agonist radioligand) (h)	-11.8 ± 0.8
P2X (agonist radioligand)	-5.0 ± 9.3
Ca ²⁺ channel, L-type, dihydropyridine site (antagonist radioligand)	-13.3 ± 7.1
Ca ²⁺ channel, L-type, diltiazem site (antagonist radioligand)	-8.2 ± 11.6
Ca ²⁺ channel, L-type, verapamil site (antagonist radioligand)	-0.1 ± 11
Ca ²⁺ channel, N-type (antagonist radioligand)	-27.9 ± 4.7
hERG (h)	-5.1 ± 3.5
hERG (CHO)	10.5 ± 4.0
Kv channel (antagonist radioligand)	-12.3 ± 16
Na ⁺ channel, site 2 (antagonist radioligand)	18.1 ± 1.6

Channel	% of control agonist response at 10 μM ^b
LXRa (agonist effect) (h)	0 ± 0
PPARγ (agonist effect) (h)	-0.2 ± 0.07
PXR (agonist effect) (h)	35 ± 3.3
TRPM8 (agonist effect) (h)	-3.5 ± 5.9
TRPV3 (agonist effect) (h)	0.1 ± 0.07
TRPV1 (VR1) (agonist effect) (h)	-5.1 ± 2.1

Channel	% inhibition of control agonist response at 10 μM^b
LXRα (antagonist effect) (h)	3.8 ± 0.3
PPARγ (antagonist effect) (h)	22.8 ± 5.6
PXR (antagonist effect) (h)	-7.8 ± 5.9
TRPM8 (antagonist effect) (h)	60.6 ± 16
TRPV3 (antagonist effect) (h)	-9.3 ± 4.9
TRPV1 (VR1) (antagonist effect) (h)	-4.2 ± 12

Table S4: