

1

Supplemental Figure 1. Gating strategy for flow cytometry analysis of AMs. (A) Cells were 2 selected based on size and granularity (FSC/SSC), followed by the exclusion of doublets (SSC-A 3 vs. SSC-H), dead cells and neutrophils (Ly6G vs. FVD) from the analysis. AMs were identified 4 as cells with high expression of CD11c and F4/80. (B) Representative plots show the expression 5 of Siglec F on AMs (CD11c^{hi}F4/80⁺) and CD11c^{lo}MCs (CD11c^{lo}F4/80⁺) in the lung and BAL of 6 naïve and influenza-infected BALB/c mice. (C) Representative plots show the expression of 7 Siglec F on AMs (CD11c^{hi}F4/80⁺) and CD11c^{lo}MCs (CD11c^{lo}F4/80⁺) in the lung and BAL of 8 naïve and influenza infected C57Bl/6 mice. 9

Supplemental Figure 2



11 Supplemental Figure 2. Expansion of CD11c^{lo}MCs following influenza infection. (A-B) Total



- 13 infected with 10 or 100 PFU CA04 on Day 9 post-infection. (C-D) Total number of
- 14 CD11c^{lo}MCs and PKH26⁺CD11c^{lo}MCs the lung (**C**) and BAL (**D**) of C57Bl/6 mice infected
- 15 with 10 or 100 PFU CA04 on Day 9 post-infection. Data shown are representative of 2
- 16 independent experiments with 5 mice per group. Statistical analyses were performed by two-way
- 17 ANOVA; * p<0.05; ** p<0.01.

18

10



Supplemental Figure 3. Gating strategy for flow cytometry analysis of CD11c^{lo}MCs. (A and 20 **B**) Representative plots show gating strategy to differentiate CD11c^{1o}F4/80⁺CD11b⁺ cells from 21 22 the lung (\mathbf{A}) and BAL (\mathbf{B}) of naïve and influenza infected C57Bl/6 mice using methods described in Misharin et al (1). Cells were characterized as interstitial macrophages (IM) (CD64⁺ 23 MHC Class II⁺ CD24⁻), dendritic cells (DC) (CD64⁻MHC Class II⁺CD24⁺), Ly6C⁻ monocytes 24 (Ly6C⁻ Mo) (CD64^{+/-} MHC Class II⁻ Ly6C⁻) and Ly6C⁺ monocytes (Ly6C⁺Mo) (CD64^{+/-} MHC 25 Class II⁻ Ly6C⁺). (C and D) Absolute numbers of DC, Ly6C⁻ Mo, Ly6C⁺ Mo, IM from the lung 26 (C) and BAL (D) of naïve and influenza-infected C57Bl/6 mice. Data shown are representative 27 of 2 independent experiments with 5 mice per group. Statistical analyses were performed by two-28 way ANOVA; *** p<0.001; **** p<0.0001. 29

19



Supplemental Figure 4



