





d) Generalized contingency table for one tail Fisher's exact tests of enrichment

	Number of (genomes/ species) associated WITH ecological lifestyle	Number of (genomes/ species) NOT associated with ecological lifestyle				
Number of (genomes/ species) WITH (cluster/ cluster model/multi- cluster model profile)	n11	n12				
Number of (genomes/ species) WITHOUT (cluster/cluster model/ multi-cluster model profile)	n21	n22				

Supplementary Figure 1: *Schematics of all computational pipelines and enrichment tests.* a) Description of the main cluster detection algorithm. b) Description of microsynteny tree pipeline. c) Description of null model pipeline. d) Generalized representation of the contingency tables used for all enrichment analyses.



Supplementary Figure 2: *The distribution of candidate phenylpropanoid-degrading gene clusters in fungi.* The data shown in this figure are identical to those presented in Figure 1, except that the full species names of published fungal genomes are displayed on the microsynteny tree, along with their taxonomic order and class. Branch length distances (in black) and bootstrap support values (in red) are additionally displayed above their corresponding branches.



Supplementary Figure 3: Distributions of gene window size for all gene cluster sizes. The distributions of gene window size (i.e., the number of genes in the genomic region over which the gene cluster spans) for each gene cluster size in each cluster class are depicted as Tukey-style box-and-whisker plots, where the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles are represented by the lower, middle and uppoer hinges, respectively. Lower whiskers extend to the 25th percentile - 1.5 * IQR, and upper whiskers extend to the 75th percentile + 1.5 * IQR, where IQR is the interquartile range (defined as the distance between the 75th and 25th percentiles). Outliers (as determined by Tukey's method) are represented as black points that lie beyond the whisker boundaries. Not all gene cluster sizes are present in all cluster classes.

Cluster class





Supplementary Figure 4: Associations between cluster model presence and fungal lifestyle. Odds ratios representing the strength of the association between cluster model presence and ecological lifestyle are shown for all cluster models in all cluster classes, for each of 6 fungal ecological lifestyles, using data from Pezizomycotina species. Dark grey bars indicate enrichment below a significance level of 0.05, while black outlines indicate enrichment below a significance level of 0.01. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval (CI) for each odds ratio measurement. CIs of 0 are not shown.

Gene cluster class

Cluster model

*upper 95% CI out of range ⁺odds ratio out of range

	D
	0t
	Ц.
	ğ
	n
ļ	Ž
	0 0
	te
	S

Leotiomycete





	Ο
_	\supset
	<
	C
	Ð
	÷
	Ð
	S

A Development of the second se			_			
Constrained and a second and a second and a second a						
<pre></pre>						
All And			-			



Supplementary Figure 5: The distribution of candidate phenylpropanoid-degrading gene cluster models in fungi. Displayed on the left is the microsynteny species tree containing all 529 genomes used in this study. Taxonomic order and class names are indicated below species names. Branch length distances (in black) and bootstrap support values (in red) are displayed above their corresponding branches. To the right are heatmaps displaying the ecological lifestyles associated with each genome (color coded by lifestyle) and the presence/absence of all 56 unique cluster types (cluster models) detected in each genome. The 6 largest taxonomic classes are additionally indicated to the right of the heatmaps.