

## One-way ANOVA analysis and multiple comparisons by using Tukey test of Figure 5C and 5D

**Figure 5C**

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Groups	2	3.17E+08	158555825	12.68	1.35e-05 ***
Residuals	93	1.16E+09	12502743		
Signif. codes: 0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1					
<hr/>					
comparison		P value	adjust		
CML type-B73 type		0.0002496			
other-B73 type		0.7136741			
CML-Other		0.0006506			

**Figure 5D**

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Groups	3	4.39E+08	146429067	12.95	3.97e-07 ***
Residuals	92	1.04E+09	11310648		
Signif. codes: 0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1					
<hr/>					
comparison		P value	adjust		
CML type-B73 type		0.0000017			
Other-B73 type		0.0092124			
B73 like-B73 type		0.9681291			
Other-CML type		0.904658			
B73-like-CML type		0.0024962			
B73-like-Other		0.0404958			

## Correlation analysis of the CACTA-TE/Motif 5, Motif 4 and the interaction of all to the ZmbZIP60 expression levels by using linear model

ZmbZIP60 expression levels~(CACTA-TE/motif 5+motif 4+CACTA-TE/motif 5\*motif 4)

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-6021.7	3122.2	-1.929	0.05685
CACTA-TE/motif 5	3230.1	1227.9	2.631	0.00999 **
motif 4	11314.2	2234.1	5.064	2.10E-06 ***
Interaction	-3510.8	749.1	-4.687	9.58E-06 ***

Signif. codes: 0 ‘\*\*\*’ 0.001 ‘\*\*’ 0.01 ‘\*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

Figure S3