Ternary complex of Kif2A-bound tandem tubulin heterodimers represents a kinesin-13mediated microtubule depolymerization reaction intermediate

Trofimova et al.



Supplementary Figure 1. Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin small complex rearrenges to large complex upon concentration. Fractions corresponding to the small complex of Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin werr concentrated 10-fold, and incubated for 30 min, and then re-run on the S200 10/300 GL column in HEPES buffer.



Supplementary Figure 2. SAXS analysis of the Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin complex. (a) SEC-SAXS elution profile of Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin mixture. Integrated intensity (black line) and the corresponding molecular weight correlations (red diamonds) were plotted across the elution peaks. (b) Pair-dis-tance (r) probability distribution computed from the experimental SAXS data for frames 433-473 by ATSAS. (c) CRYSOL comparison of the experimental scattering for the 1:2:1 Kif2A-NM-tubu-lin-DARPin complex (gray circles) with the simulated scattering proifle from the Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin crystal structure (red line).



Supplementary Figure 3. Conformations of the neck helices of kinesin-13 proteins observed in crystal structures. Motor domain of Kif2A is represented in grey. The neck parts of Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin complex, $\alpha 0a$ and $\alpha 0b$, are in black. $\alpha 0b$ of Kif2C-tubulin-DARPin complex (PDB ID: 5MIO) is in orange and $\alpha 0b$ of the "activated conformation" of mKif2C (PDB ID: 5XJA) is in brown. Tubulin is shown as a surface representation.



Supplementary Figure 4. Conformations of the Kif2A motor domain relative to "activated" Kif2C. The motor domain of tubulin-bound Kif2A-NM (black) is superimposed on isolated ADP-Kif2A (orange) and the mKif2Ccore:ADP-BeFx structure (maroon) via the P-loop. The r.m.s.d. for 94 equivalent C α posi-tions in 5XJA is 3.63 Å, and the r.m.s.d. for 97 equivalent C α positions in 5XJB is 3.59 Å). Proteins are viewed from tubulin surface.



Supplementary Figure 5. Curvature and rotational displacement of tubulin complexes.

Views are from the side (left), looking from the outer surface of the protofilament, into the lumenal

space (middle), and looking into the long axis of the protofilament from the plus-end (right). Supporposition was performed using the α_1 -tubulin subunit of each complex. Tubulin subunits of the Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin complex are coloured orange.



Supplementary Figure 6. Kif2A-MD forms a complex with tubulin in the presence of AMPPNP . (a) Size- exclution chromatography (SEC) profile of Kif2A-MD-tubulin-DARPin (1:1:1.05 molar ratio) supplemented with 1 mM AMPPNP in HEPES buffer. (b) 12% SDS-PAGE gel of SEC fractions from the above experiment. Molecular weight of Kif2A-MD = 42 kDa, tubulin = 50 kDa, and DARPin = 18 kDa



Supplementary Figure 7. Level of MT depolymerization in the presence of varying amounts of DARPin without (upper gel) or with Kif2A-NM (lower gel). The samples were processed as in Figure 7 and evaluated by MT sedimentation assay and coomassie blue stained gels.



Supplementary Figure 8. Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin complexes formed in the presence of different nucleotides. (a) Size-exclution chromatography (SEC) profiles of Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin complexes (0.5:1:1.05 molar ratio) supplemented with 0.1 mM ATP (green), ADP (orange), and AMPPNP (black) separated on a S 200 10/300 GL column in HEPES buffer. (b)12% SDS-PAGE gels of SEC fractions from the above experiments. Molecular weight of Kif2A-NM = 48 kDa, tubulin = 50 kDa and DARPin = 18 kDa. Insets show Kif2A-NM-tubulin-DARPin fractions resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels.



Supplementary Figure 9. Uncropped gel of Figure 1b



Supplementary Figure 10. Uncropped gels of Figure 1d



Supplementary Figure 11. Uncropped gels of Figure 7a and 7b



Supplementary Figure 12. Uncropped gels of Supplementary Figure 6



Supplementary Figure 13. Uncropped gels of Supplementary Figure 7



Supplementary Figure 14. Uncropped gels of Supplementary Figure 8b

Table S1. BioSAXS data	collection and	scattering-derived	parameters.
------------------------	----------------	--------------------	-------------

Data collection parameters			
Beam line	G1 Station of the Cornell High		
	Energy Synchrotron Source		
Beam geometry	Beam size: 250 µm x 250 µm		
Wavelength (Å)	1.267		
Camera distance (mm)	1470		
Exposure time (s)	2		
Temperature (K)	295		
Structural parameters			
I ₀ (cm ⁻¹) (from Guinier)	0.0298		
R _g (Å) (from Guinier)	54.9		
I_0 (cm ⁻¹) (from P(r))	0.0298		
$R_g(Å)$ (from P(r))	55.9		
D _{max} (Å)	195		
Molecular mass determination			
Experimental Mw using a volume of correlation	258.5		
(kDa)			
Calculated Mw from sequence (kDa)	266		
Software employed			
Primary data processing	BioXTAS RAW		
Ab initio analysis, validation and averaging	DAMMIF/DAMAVER		
# of modeling iteration	10		
χ^2 of ab initio model	0.991		
DAMAVER NSD	0.74± 0.083		
Computation of model intensities	CRYSOL		
Comparison of theoretical profile with	1.093		
experimental data χ^2			
Three dimensional graphics representations	Chimera		