Qualitative stud Author	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	MMAT	
lution	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.7	score	
	Are the sources of qualitative data relevant to address the question?	Is the process for analyzing data relevant to address the question?	Is consideration given to how findings relate to the context?	Is consideration given to how findings relate to researcher influence?		
Lenhard et al. [80]					100	
Quantitative ra	ndomized controlled tri	als				
Author	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	MMAT score	
	Is there a clear description of the randomization or appropriate sequence generation?	Is there a clear description of the allocation concealment?	Are there complete outcome data (80% or above)?	Is there low withdrawal/drop-out (below 20%)?		
Donovan et al. [46]					100	
Anderson et al. [47]					100	
Merry et al. [71]					100	
Spence et al. [48]					100	
March et al. [49]					100	
Van Voorhees et al. [63]					100	
Van Voorhees et al. [64]					100	
Van Voorhees et al. [65]					100	
Spence et al. [50]					100	
Morgan et al. [36]		x			75	
Wuthrich et al. [53]		x			75	
Khanna et al.	Π	X		Π	75	

Multimedia Appendix. Study Quality according to Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT).

[20]			1	1	
[38]					
Reuland et al. [43]	Х	Х			50
Tillfors et al.	X	X			50
[54]	Α	А			50
Vigerland et	X	X			50
al. [42]	А	А			50
Kobak et al.	X	X			50
[61]					
Gladstone et	Х	Х			50
al. [69]					
Hoek et al.			Х	Х	50
[76]					
Storch et al.		Х		Х	25
[41]					
Salloum et al.	Х		X	X	25
[40]					0
Eisen et al.	Х	Х	0	0	0
[70]	n-randomized trials				
Author	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	MMAT
Autioi	J.I	3.2	5.5	5.4	score
	Are participants recruited in a way that minimizes selection bias?	Are measurements appropriate regarding the exposure / intervention, outcomes?	In the groups being compared, are the participants comparable, or do researchers take into account the difference between these groups?	Are there complete outcome data (80% or above), and, when applicable, an acceptable response rate (60% or above), or an acceptable follow-up rate for cohort studies (depending on the duration of follow-up)?	
Sapru et al. [73]	x			X	50
	scriptive studies	1	1	1	
Author	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	MMAT
					score
	Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?	Is the sample representative of the population under study?	Are measurements appropriate?	Is there an acceptable response rate (60% or above)?	
Carrasco et al. [81]					100
Rice et al. [59]					100
Simmons et al. [56]					100
Lenhard et al.	Π		Π	Π	100

Γ	[78]																
		r et al.												100			
		er et al.														100	
	Crawf [37]	ford et al.												100			
	Ruby [67]	et al.												100			
		land et 9]												100			
	Van Voorhees [] et al. [66]											100					
	Ercan [77]	rcan et al.											100				
		Cunningham [] t al. [52]											100				
	Brezii [51]	Brezinka et al. [] 51]					0								75		
	Brezii [74]	zinka et al.					0								75		
	Prama [45]	ramana et al. o								0					50		
	Hetrick et al.				х				Х					50			
ed met	thods	studies								·						,	
hor		1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	5.1	5.2	5.3	MMAT score
		Are the sources of qualitativ e data relevant to address the question?	Is the process for analyzing data relevant to address the question?	Is considerat ion given to how findings relate to the context?	Is considerat ion given to how findings relate to researcher influence?	Are participants recruited in a way that minimizes selection bias?	Are measurement s appropriate regarding the exposure / intervention, outcomes?	In the groups being compared, are the participants comparable, or do researchers take into account the difference between these groups?	Are there complete outcome data (80% or above), and, when applicable, an acceptable response rate (60% or above), or an acceptable follow-up rate for cohort studies (depending on	Is the samplin g strategy relevant to address the research questior ?	represen tative of the populati on under study?	Are measur ements approp riate?	Is there an acceptabl e response rate (60% or above)?	Is the design relevant to address the question ?	Is the integratio n of data / results relevant to address the question?	Is considerat ion given to the limitation s associated with this integratio n in a triangulati on design?	

					the duration of follow-up)?							
Hetrick et al. [57]		х										100
Salloum et al. [39]			х								х	75
Cunningham et al. [44]											х	75
Ranney et at. [60]			х									75
Iloabachie et al. [68]									Х			75
Demaso et al. [72]		х	Х						Х		х	50
Davidson et al. [62]		Х	Х			Х	X	Х			Х	25

[]=yes; x=no; o=can't tell; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; MMAT=Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool; All studies undergoing quality assessment passed the screening questions: 1) Clear research question? 2) Do collected data address the question?