

1 **Supplementary Information**

2

3 **Supplementary Methods.**

4 ***Total DNA and RNA extraction and sequencing***

5 Fecal samples were stored in RNA later (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) at -80 °C
6 until use. Total DNA was extracted as previously described (Serrano-Villar et al., 2017).
7 Briefly, the samples, diluted in phosphate-buffered saline, were centrifuged at 2,000
8 r.p.m. at 4 °C for 2 min to remove fecal debris. Total DNA was extracted from the
9 pelleted cells (roughly 50 mg) with QIAamp DNA Stool Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany)
10 according to the manufacturer's instructions. The metagenomes were sequencing using
11 both MiSeq platform (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands) and Genome FLX Sequencer
12 (Roche Diagnostics, Branford, CT, USA).

13 Total RNA from the pelleted cells (roughly 100 mg) was extracted using the RiboPure™
14 Bacteria kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) as described in (Pérez-Cobas et al., 2013) and
15 then treated with Baseline-ZERO™ DNase (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands). The
16 rRNA removal was performed using Ribo-Zero Magnetic Kit (Bacteria) (Illumina,
17 Eindhoven, Netherlands). Then, the rRNA-depleted RNA was processed with ScriptSeq
18 V2 RNA-Seq Library Preparation kit (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands) to generate the
19 double strand cDNA and the sequencing libraries according to the manufacturer's
20 instructions. The metatranscriptomes were sequenced using the Kit V3 (2x230 cycles)
21 in MiSeq platform (Illumina, Eindhoven, Netherlands).

22 For the metagenome analysis, we sequenced an average 98 Mb per sample (total 9.5
23 Gb) using a combination of Illumina and 454 sequencing technologies. The coverage of
24 each metagenome was estimated using the Nonpareil software (Rodriguez-R and
25 Konstantinidis, 2014) using the options (-T alignment -f fasta), resulting in a coverage
26 mean of 42.04% (sd=17.09). The metatranscriptome was obtained in a subset of 41
27 samples (8 VU, 13 IR, 6 INR and 14 HIV-). The total mRNA was purified and the
28 cDNA sequenced, obtaining an average 16 Mb per sample (a total of 715 Mb).

29

30 ***Initial processing, assembly and functional annotation***

31 Illumina paired-end sequences retrieved from metagenomic (DNAseq) and
32 metatranscriptomic (RNAseq) sequences were trimmed for adapters and the transposase
33 sequence using the cutadapt software (v1.6). Sequence artifacts and low quality reads

1

1

2

1 from the raw sequences were removed using the fastx_artifacts_filter software and the
2 fastq_quality_trimmer (parameters: -t 20 -l 50) available into the fastxtoolkit. Then, we
3 used the prinseq-lite.pl script (parameters: -min_len 50 -min_qual_mean 20 -ns_max_n
41 -trim_qual_left 20 -trim_qual_right 25 -trim_qual_type min -trim_qual_rule
5 -trim_qual_window 5 -trim_qual_step 1) to trim the 5' end and the 3' ends of each
6 sequence, removing the trimmed reads that did not achieve one of the following criteria:
7 read length above the 170 nucleotides, mean quality score above 20 or contain less than
8 the 1% of ambiguous base.

9 The possible reads derived from the host genome was removed using the deconseq.pl
10 script (v0.4.3) using as reference the human genome (Human Reference GRCh38).

11

12 ***Taxonomic assignment***

13 First, we constructed our own non-redundant genome database. The sequences of the
14 reference genomes from the species found in the gastrointestinal tract were downloaded
15 from the HMP web site
16 ([http://downloads.hmpdacc.org/data/reference_genomes/body_sites/Gastrointestinal_tra](http://downloads.hmpdacc.org/data/reference_genomes/body_sites/Gastrointestinal_tract.nuc.fsa)
17 [ct.nuc.fsa](http://downloads.hmpdacc.org/data/reference_genomes/body_sites/Gastrointestinal_tract.nuc.fsa)). Similarly, all the reference genomes and the latest assemblies of the
18 genomes of the species related to the HMP genome database were downloaded from
19 NCBI RefSeq database (<ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all>) using the
20 assembly_summary.txt file as a reference
21 (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/bacteria/assembly_summary.txt). The
22 resulting database contains 1,113,533 sequence entries in 39, 537, 222, 120 bp. The
23 sequences that share the same taxa-id were grouped in a fasta file. Each file was then
24 compared against itself using megablast (parameters: blastn -task megablast
25 -perc_identity 95). Those sequences that share a 95% of sequence identity and an
26 alignment that cover at least the 90% of the smallest of the sequences were clustered,
27 taking as the reference the longest one. For this task, we excluded all the plasmid
28 sequences from the database. The taxonomy of the clustered database was obtained
29 using the acc_taxid.zip, taxdump.zip files available on the NCBI website. The final
30 genome database (Genomeclustdb) contains 2463 species, 76,478 sequence entries in
31 110,545,961,079 bp.

32 The taxonomic assignation of the metagenomic and the metatranscriptomic sequences
33 was carried out by mapping the unassembled sequences in the Genomeclustdb using

1blastn tool (v2.2.31 parameters: -task megablast -perc_identity 75). Then, all reads
2whose alignment length was below 100 nucleotides, or the percentage of identity was
3below 75%, or e-value was above 0.00001, were discharged. The assignment criterion
4was based by the read best-hit, prioritizing first the e-value, then the bit-score, the
5percentage of identity and finally the alignment's length. In the case of two or more
6database genomes contain the same best-hit values; the read coverage was divided by
7the number of hits with the same score. We mapped the RNAseqs and the DNAseqs
8against Genomeclustdb using the megablast software to calculate their relative
9abundance.

10

11*Ecological, clustering, ordination and correlation analyses*

12All the analyses were conducted with custom Perl scripts and R statistical software
13version 3.2.0 (R Development Core Team, 2011). Given the high-dimensional data and
14to control the false discovery rate, we validated the statistical tests adjusting all p-values
15using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction (library "stats", function "p.adjust") (BH
16adjusted P-value).

17The abundance matrix retrieved from the taxonomic and functional annotations of the
18DNAseq and RNAseq data were standardized by the total number of sequences. Then,
19to avoid biases given species composition we normalize data using the Hellinger
20transformation (library "vegan" function "decostand"). The Hellinger distance (library
21"vegan" function "vegdist") and the Bray-Curtis index (library "vegan" function
22"vegdist") were calculated from the abundance matrix from the functional and
23taxonomic composition, respectively, to quantify the compositional dissimilarity
24between two different communities. Similarly, the evenness within groups was
25measured using the Pielou's index.

26The non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was performed to reduce
27dimensionality in the taxonomic and functional distance matrix (library "vegan"
28function "metaMDS"). The clustering analysis for the species and functional abundance
29profiles was performed using the Partitioning Around Medoids (PAM) algorithm
30(library "cluster", function "pam"). The optimal cluster configuration was the one that
31maximizes the silhouette index (library "cluster", function "silhouette"). The
32hierarchical clustering was done using the ward method (library "stats", function
33"hclust", method "ward").

1To statistically assess the effect of the environmental factors on the bacterial and
2functional composition, a multivariate analysis of variance based on dissimilarity tests
3(ADONIS) was applied as implemented in the vegan library in R package (library
4“Vegan” function “adonis”).

5All the correlation analyses were performed using the Spearman's rank correlation
6coefficient (library “stats”, function “cor.test”).

7To evaluate differences between groups in continuous variables, we used the Kruskal–
8Wallis test. The pairwise comparisons of continuous variables were analyzed using
9Wilcoxon rank-sum test or T-student test.

10

11 ***Association between taxonomic and functional biomarkers***

12The putative associations between the pathways and species biomarkers were estimated
13by means of Generalized Linear Models (GLM). The GLM was estimated setting as the
14response variable the pathway abundance and as the predictors the species matrix. In
15order to avoid over-fitting, each model was cross-validated 54 times and the least
16absolute shrinkage and selection operator (lasso) was used to perform variable selection
17and regularization (library “glmnet” function “cv.glmnet” alpha = 1). Additionally, the
18Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (library “stats”, function “cor.test”) was
19calculated for each of pairwise taxonomic-pathway variables selecting only those
20associations whose adjusted p-value were below 0.05.

21Once the statistical associations were determined, we look whether the genomes of
22those biomarker species contained the genes of the biomarker metabolic pathways from
23which they had been associated. In order to achieve this, we downloaded the ORFs fasta
24file from the NCBI RefSeq genomes database (<ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all>)
25from all the selected species. Then, the program makeblastdb (v2.2.31) produces
26BLAST databases for each of the species ORF translated to amino acid sequences fasta
27files. Finally, the blastp tool (v2.2.31) was used to search all the genes related to those
28metabolic pathways in each genome ORF translated database. We took as a good hit if
29the alignment's length were above 60 amino acids, the e-value were below to 0.000001
30and if the percentage of identity were above the 60%.

31

32 ***Sensitive metabolic pathway detection***

1The remote homolog detection was carried out by Hidden Markov Models (HMM) for
2each of the KEGG maps of the tryptophan metabolism (M00038) and the enzymes:
3tryptophanase (K01667), catalase-peroxidase (K03781) and the o-aminophenol oxidase
4(K20219). Briefly, we search a Pfam family (a collection of related protein regions) for
5each of the enzymes mentioned above in the Pfam database (<http://pfam.xfam.org/>). The
6Pfam database is a large collection of protein families. Each family consists of a curated
7“seed” alignment, an alignment small set of representative sequences of the family, and
8an HMM profile based on the seed alignment. We download the corresponding seed
9alignment for each of the enzymes, mention before, to construct its respective HMM.
10Those seed alignments are: PF06052 (KO: K00452), PF03301 (KO: K00453), PF01231
11(KO: K00463), PF01494 (KO: K00486), K01432 (KO: PF07859), PF00266 (KO:
12K01556), PF04909 (KO: K03392), PF04199 (KO: K07130), PF00171 (KO: K10217)
13and PF07859 (KO: K14263).

14Each of the seed alignments was used to create an HMM using the hmmbuild (v3.1b2)
15software. Finally, all the HMM from the tryptophan metabolism were used to detect
16remote homologs in the ORFaanr database using the hmmsearch tool (v3.1b2). The
17homology detection was given if the full sequence e-value were below 0.001.

18In a similar way, the enzymes related to the formation of trimethylamine (TMA) from
19choline and L-carnitine; the oxygenase component YeaW/cntA (ACCESSION
20APQ93983 and APP31394 respectively) and the reductase component YeaX/cntB
21(ACCESSION WP_001287026 and APQ93983 respectively) were searched into the
22ORFaanr database using Blastp (v2.2.31+) (alignment coverage ≥ 0.7 and e-value $<$
230.00001).

24

25*Ecological and metabolic networks*

26To determine if both co-occurrence and metabolic networks met the properties of
27biological networks, we assessed the topological properties using the package igraph in
28the R environment. The connectivity distribution that was calculated applying
29Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (function “power.law.fit” and “degree.distribution”)
30(Kolmogorov Smirnov p-value > 0.1 , represents the distribution of edge per node and
31in a biological network fits a power law distribution. This feature means that few nodes
32presented a high number of interactions while most nodes possessed sparse connections.
33The small world effect was calculated using function “shortest.paths” and it indicated

1that the network presented a high number of clusters with shortcuts between them. In
2order to test the consistency of the results of the ecological and the functional networks,
3we simulated 10000 random networks using the Erdős–Rényi model (library igraph
4function “erdos.renyi.game”). The probability of drawing an edge between two arbitrary
5nodes was sampled from a random distribution (mean = 0.5, sd = 0.3) for each of 10000
6networks (Supplementary Table 1).

7Modularity is another important characteristic of the biological networks. The modules
8or clusters represent link-dense areas separated by regions of low connectivity. We used
9the “walktrap community” algorithm from the igraph R package to define modules in
10the co-occurrence network and to obtain the modularity coefficient. The taxonomic
11composition of such modules was plotted as bar plots (R function “barplot”).

12The network statistics, betweenness, degree and eigenvector centrality, were calculated
13for both ecological and metabolic networks. The degree centrality is the average of the
14numbers of edges per species and it was calculated using degree algorithm from igraph
15library. While betweenness centrality is a measure of centrality based on shortest paths,
16eigenvector centrality measures the relevance of a node based on the concept that
17connections to highly connected nodes contribute more to the score of the node in
18question than equal connections to low connecting nodes. We used the “betweenness”
19and “evcent” algorithms from the igraph R package to determine betweenness and
20eigenvector centrality, respectively.

21The network fragmentation is defined as the relative fraction of disconnected
22compartments in a co-occurrence network. The fragmentation was calculated using the
23number of clusters derived from the function “clusters” and the walktrap.community”.

24

25 ***Multiomic Bayesian network***

26The multiomic BN was estimated using the log normalized relative abundance of the
27metagenome, metatranscriptome and metabolome data from the HIV-associated
28microbiota. We reanalyzed the metabolic data described in Serrano-Villar et al. (2016a)
29and Serrano-Villar et al. (2016b). The metabolite identity was confirmed comparing the
30fragments that were obtained with the structure of the proposed compound in the
31MS/MS spectra against a public database
32(METLIN:https://metlin.scripps.edu/metabolites_list.php) or commercially available
33standards. If the metabolite contains more than one annotation, we prioritized the

1KEGG metabolite annotation, otherwise, we select one from the most abundant
2annotation of the METLIN database or we took one from the most abundant subclass
3annotation of the Lipidomics Gateway database
4(<http://www.lipidmaps.org/data/structure/>). The abundance matrix that we retrieved
5from the reanalysis of the metabolomic data was standardized by the total number of
6metabolites.

7The network was estimated using the hill-climbing score-based learning algorithm (R
8library “bnlearn” function “hc”). The algorithm states the optimal network and in
9consequence the “father” to “child” node relationships which maximizes the Bayes
10information criterion (BIC). The whitelist option was used to define the set of arcs that
11will be included in the model. For this task we performed a GLM using the Lasso
12variable selection and regularization (library “glmnet” function “cv.glmnet” alpha = 1)
13As response variables, we selected those RNAseq and DNaseq pathways, species and
14metabolites that were overrepresented or underrepresented in HIV condition and as
15predictor the clinical variables related to the markers of innate and T cell activation
16variables, thymic function, and bacterial translocation as done in the multivariate
17statistical framework MaAsLin pipeline. To avoid over-fitting problems, all the models
18were validated by means of n-fold cross validation (library “glmnet” function
19“cv.glmnet”). Additionally, we included all the Spearman correlation adjusted p-value
20was above 0.01. We used the blacklist option (R library “bnlearn” function “hc”) to
21exclude all the edges whose Spearman correlation adjusted p-value were above 0.1. The
22quantile 95 of the BN node statistics was estimated using the R function quantile (R
23library “stats function “quantile”).

24The function mb (R Package “bnlearn” function “mb”) was used to dissect all the
25Markov Blankets to perform the statistical analysis and to plot the butanoate metabolism
26Markov blanket. The size of each Markov Blanket was estimated counting the number
27of nodes per Markov Blanket.

28

29References.

30R Development Core Team (2011) R: A language and environment for statistical
31 computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <http://www.R-project.org/>.

1Pérez-Cobas AE, Gosalbes MJ, Friedrichs A, Knecht H, Artacho A, Eismann K, et al.
2 (2013). Gut microbiota disturbance during antibiotic therapy: a multi-omic
3 approach. *Gut* **62**: 1591–1601.

4Rodriguez-R LM and Konstantinidis KT. (2014). Nonpareil: A redundancy-based
5 approach to assess the level of coverage in metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics*
6 **30**: 629-635.

7Serrano-Villar S, Rojo D, Martinez M, Deusch S, Vazquez-Castellanos J, Bargiela R, et
8 al. (2016a). Gut Bacteria Metabolism Impacts Immune Recovery in HIV-infected
9 Individuals. *EBioMedicine* **8**: 203–216.

10Serrano-Villar S, Rojo D, Martinez M, Deusch S, Vazquez-Castellanos J, Bargiela R, et
11 al. (2016b). HIV infection results in metabolic alterations in the gut microbiota
12 different from those induced by other diseases. *Scientific Reports* **6**: 26192.

13Serrano-Villar S, Vázquez-Castellanos JF, Vallejo A, Latorre A, Sainz T, Ferrando-
14 Martínez S, et al. (2017). The Effects of Prebiotics on Microbial Dysbiosis,
15 Butyrate Production and Immunity in HIV-Infected Subjects. *Mucosal Immunol*
16 **10**:1279-1293.

17

1 Supplementary figure legends

2 Supplementary Figure 1. Comparison of the microbiota gene composition across

3 HIV+ groups and uninfected subjects from metagenomics. (a) NMDS analysis of the

4 gene (KO) composition for VU (red), IR (green), INR (orange) and HIV- (blue)

5 individuals. The ellipses represent the optimal clustering configuration retrieved from

6 the PAM algorithm and their size encompasses the 70% of variance of the cluster. The

7 cluster configuration was validated using the ADONIS test with the four groups of the

8 cohort (P-value=0.001). (b) Linear discriminative (LDA) effect size LefSe analysis of

9 genes (KO) between HIV+ (red) and HIV- (blue) subjects, (c) VU (red) versus HIV-

10 (blue), (d) IR (green) versus HIV- (blue) and (e) INR (orange) versus HIV- (blue). The

11 cladogram represented the biomarkers of the upper hierarchical classes within the

12 KEGG database. VU, viremic untreated; IR, immunological responder; INR,

13 immunological non-responder.

14

15 Supplementary Figure 2. Species composition from metagenomic dataset. (a)

16 NMDS analysis of the taxonomic species composition. VU (red), IR (green), INR

17 (orange) and HIV- (blue) subjects are represented by ellipses corresponding to 70% of

18 samples; cluster configuration was validated using the ADONIS test for the four groups

19 of the cohort (P-value=0.002). (b) Linear discriminative analysis (LDA) effect size

20 LefSe analysis between HIV+ (red) and HIV- (blue) subjects. LDA scores (log 10) for

21 the most discriminative species in controls are represented on the positive scale (blue

22 bars); LDA-negative scores indicate enriched species in HIV+ subjects (red bars). VU,

23 viremic untreated; IR, immunological responder; INR, immunological non-responder.

24

25 Supplementary Figure 3. Comparison of microbiota gene composition across HIV+

26 groups and uninfected subjects from metatranscriptomic dataset. (a) NMDS

27 analysis of the KO composition for VU (red), IR (green), INR (orange) and HIV- (blue)

28 individuals. The ellipses represent the optimal clustering configuration retrieved from

29 the PAM algorithm and their size encompasses the 70% of variance of the cluster.

30 Cluster configuration was validated using the ADONIS test for the four groups of the

31 cohort (P-value=0.001). (b) Linear discriminative analysis effect size LefSe analysis

32 between the HIV+ (in red) and HIV- (in blue) subjects. LDA scores (log 10) for the

33 most discriminative KO in HIV- are represented on the negative scale, whereas LDA-

1 positive scores indicate enriched pathways in HIV+ subjects. VU, viremic untreated; IR,
2 immunological responder; INR, immunological non-responder.

3

4 **Supplementary Figure 4. Taxonomic abundance.** (a) Relative abundance of the most
5 abundant genera from metagenomics (mean relative abundance > 0.05 and present in at
6 least 70% of samples) and (b) from the metatranscriptomics. VU (red), IR (green), INR
7 (orange) and HIV- (blue) subjects. Grey represents Euryarchaeota; pink, Actinobacteria;
8 brown gradient, Bacteroidetes; blue gradient, Firmicutes; and green gradient,
9 Proteobacteria. VU, viremic untreated; IR, immunological responder; INR,
10 immunological non-responder.

11

12 **Supplementary Figure 5. Species composition from metatranscriptomic dataset.**
13 Linear discriminative analysis (LDA) effect size LEfSe analysis between HIV+ (red)
14 and HIV- (blue) subjects. LDA scores (log 10) for most discriminative species in
15 controls are represented on positive scale (blue bars); LDA-negative scores indicated
16 enriched species in HIV+ subjects (red bars).

17

18 **Supplementary Figure 6. Comparisons of gene expression level in HIV-infected**
19 **and uninfected individuals.** (a) Tryptophanase. (b) Choline TMA-lyase activating
20 protein. (c) Choline TMA-lyase. Differences between groups were assessed by Kruskal-
21 Wallis test, while group pairwise comparison was set by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

22

23 **Supplementary Figure 7. Metabolic network.** Metabolic network retrieved from
24 pathways inferred by ORF KEGG-annotation enzymes. Each enzyme of the network
25 can be associated with several reactions, and each reaction can be involved with
26 different enzymes. Nodes represent KEGG orthologous groups (KO); direct edges
27 indicate that product metabolite of one enzyme is substrate for enzyme to which the
28 edge is pointing. Blue: all KO biomarkers related to HIV- subjects; red, KO biomarkers
29 related to the HIV condition.

30

31 **Supplementary Figure 8. HIV+ species centrality.** (a) Betweenness centrality, which
32 quantifies the number of times a node acts as a bridge along shortest path between two
33 other nodes. (b) Eigenvector centrality measures the influence of a node in a network by

1 assigning a weighted score that considers its own degree of centrality and the centrality
2 of nodes from which it is connected. Nodes represent species belonging to Firmicutes
3 (cyan), Bacteroidetes (orange), Actinobacteria (pink) and Proteobacteria (green) phyla.
4 Only outlier species with quantile 95 are labeled in the boxplot.

5

6 **Supplementary Figure 9. Spearman correlation between LDA scores (log 10) of**
7 **species biomarkers and corresponding centrality score.** (a) Betweenness centrality.
8 (b) Eigenvector centrality. The regression line is represented in red. Correlations were
9 set for HIV+ and HIV- species biomarkers independently. Species belong to Firmicutes
10 (cyan), Bacteroidetes (orange), Actinobacteria (pink) and Proteobacteria (green) phyla.

11

12 **Supplementary Figure 10. Centrality of the KO biomarkers.** Betweenness, degree
13 and eigenvector centrality for the HIV- (blue), HIV+ (red) KO biomarkers and the rest
14 of the enzymes in the network (grey). The centrality values are expressed on a log scale.

15

16 **Supplementary Figure 11. HIV+ Bayesian network.** “Multiomic” Bayesian networks
17 composed of the metagenomic data (blue nodes), metatranscriptomic data (green
18 nodes), metabolomic data (pink circle nodes) and the clinical variables (gold square
19 nodes) from the HIV+ subjects. The data from the metagenomic and metatranscriptomic
20 included the information of the species relative abundance (circles) and pathway
21 relative abundance (squares). The labels of the nodes represent over representation in
22 HIV- subjects (blue label) or in HIV+ subjects (red label). Arrows indicate the
23 conditional dependencies between variables. The Spearman correlation coefficient is
24 represented by the arrow's color, blue if it is significantly positive (BH adjusted P-value
25 < 0.1), red if it has a significantly negative correlation (BH adjusted P-value < 0.1) or
26 grey if it is non-significant.

27

28 **Supplementary Figure 12. Bayesian network statistics.** (a) Degree centrality, (b)
29 number of nodes per Markov Blanket (MB) and (c) number of clinical variables within
30 each MB for each component of the BN. The metagenomic and metatranscriptomic
31 species (Sp_DNA and Sp_RNA respectively), the metagenomic and metatranscriptomic
32 pathways (ko_DNA and ko_RNA respectively), the metabolites (Metabol) and the
33 clinical variables (Clinic). Differences between groups were assessed by the Kruskal-

1Wallis test, while the pairwise comparison was set by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

2The centralities values are expressed on a log scale.

3

1Supplementary tables

2

3Supplementary Table 1. Network bootstrap P-value.

4

	Mean Degree	Mean Betweenness	Mean Closeness	Mean Eigenvector centrality	^a %Pos	^b %Neg	^c Ratio	^d Modularity	^e Number clusters>1	^f Transitivity	^g Diameter	^h Mean-short pathways	K-Smirnov P-value
Co-occurrence network	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
Enzimatic network	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

5

6* P-value <0.001

7(a) Percentage of edges with a statistically significant positive correlation

8(b) Percentage of edges with a statistically significant negative correlation

9(c) Ratio between the positive and negative correlations.

10(d) Modularity index retrieved from the modules estimate by the Walktrap community finding algorithm

11(e) Number of modules, estimate by the Walktrap community finding algorithm, that posses at least two nodes

12(f) Clustering coefficient of the network. measure of the degree to which nodes in a graph tend to cluster together.

1

2

1(g) Longest path between two vertices within the network

2(h) Average path length between any two nodes

3

4

5

6

1Supplementary Table 2. Relative abundance of the species with genes related to the tryptophan catabolism via the kynurenine pathway.

2

DNA mapping species	VU (mean /sd)	IR (mean /sd)	INR (mean /sd)	HIV- (mean /sd)	K/T ratio Spearman correlation index	P-value	BH adjusted P-value *
Xanthomonas arboricola pruni	9.41e-05/6.5e-05	7.66e-05/ 3.57e-05	0.0001108/8.45e-05	7.61e-05 / 6.1e-05	0.280	0.041	0.222
Trichodesmium erythraeum	8.97e-05/6.94e-05	6.91e-05 /4.56e-05	9.77e-05 /6.18e-05	9.11e-05/6.69e-05	0.167	0.227	0.265
IMS101							
Streptomyces scabiei	0.0001091/9.94e-05	8.52e-05 / 4.64e-05	0.000125 / 8.8e-05	0.0001094/8.81e-05	0.213	0.122	0.250
Pseudomonas putida	0.0001933/0.0001906	0.0001985/0.0001281	0.0002391/0.000237	0.0001844/0.00015	0.173	0.211	0.265
Pseudomonas fluorescens	0.0001734 / 0.0001575	0.0001706/0.0001096	0.0001964/0.0001917	0.0001549/0.00012	0.204	0.140	0.250
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	0.0001707 / 0.0001523	0.0001658/0.0001028	0.0002052/0.0001739	0.0001493/0.000117	0.199	0.149	0.250
Nitrosococcus oceani ATCC19707	0.0002297 / 0.000164	0.0002088/0.0001064	0.0002464/0.0001768	0.0002198/0.00014	0.194	0.161	0.250
Nitrosococcus halophilus Nc4	0.0001412 / 0.0001042	0.0001196/6.85e-05	0.0001532/0.0001356	0.0001245/0.00010	0.271	0.048	0.222
Neptuniibacter caesariensis MED92	5.38e-05 / 5.39e-05	5.6e-05 / 4.18e-05	5.4e-05 / 5.33e-05	3.99e-05 / 3.99e-05	0.211	0.126	0.250
Methylocystis sp ATCC 49242	0.0001391 / 0.0001011	8.43e-05 / 4.64e-05	0.0001506 /7.82e-05	0.0001229/8.09e-05	0.171	0.216	0.265
Gemmatimonas aurantiaca	8.17e-05 / 6.32e-05	5.42e-05 / 3.16e-05	8.89e-05 / 4.56e-05	7.15e-05 / 6e-05	0.213	0.121	0.250
Erythrobacter sp SD 2	2.47e-05 / 2.95e-05	6e-06 / 1.19e-05	2.23e-05 / 2.76e-05	2.12e-05 / 2.01e-05	0.287	0.035	0.222
Erythrobacter litoralis	0.0001235/9.76e-05	7.31e-05 / 4.4e-05	0.0001265/6.67e-05	0.0001322/8.27e-05	0.134	0.334	0.338
Citromicrobium bathyomarinum	0.0001136/9.57e-05	6.87e-05 /4.21e-05	0.0001276/6.37e-05	0.0001259/8.17e-05	0.133	0.338	0.338

1

RNA mapping species	VU (mean/sd)	IR (mean/sd)	INR (mean/sd)	HIV- (mean/sd)	K/T ratio Spearman correlation index	P-value	BH adjusted P-value *
<i>Xanthomonas arboricola</i> pruni	9.5e-06 / 1.75e-05	7.4e-06 / 1.36e-05	1.7e-06/4.1e-06	1.32e-05/1.69e-05	-0.030	0.868	0.935
<i>Trichodesmium erythraeum</i> IMS101	0 / 0	0 / 0	0 / 0	2.1e-06 / 7.7e-06	0.000	1.000	1.000
<i>Streptomyces scabiei</i>	1.2e-06 / 3.6e-06	2.1e-06 / 3e-06	0 / 0	6.1e-06/1.53e-05	-0.162	0.367	0.728
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	5e-06 / 6e-06	1.08e-05/1.55e-05	1.4e-06/3.4e-06	9.6e-06/1.93e-05	-0.075	0.679	0.792
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	4.6e-06 / 7.4e-06	7.4e-06 / 1.24e-05	1.2e-06 / 3e-06	6.6e-06/1.27e-05	-0.155	0.389	0.728
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	3.8e-06 / 5.7e-06	9.3e-06 / 1.38e-05	3e-06 / 4.7e-06	6.5e-06/1.06e-05	-0.147	0.415	0.728
<i>Nitrosococcus oceani</i> ATCC19707	4.4e-06 / 8.7e-06	1.22e-05 / 2.21e-05	2.4e-06 / 6e-06	1e-05 / 2e-05	-0.119	0.509	0.728
<i>Nitrosococcus halophilus</i> Nc4	3.8e-06 / 7.5e-06	1.04e-05 / 1.88e-05	2.1e-06 / 5.1e-06	7.6e-06 1.43e-05	-0.118	0.512	0.728
<i>Neptuniibacter caesariensis</i> MED92	0 / 0	1.04e-05 / 1.66e-05	0 / 0	3e-06 / 8.2e-06	-0.219	0.220	0.728
<i>Methylocystis</i> sp ATCC 49242	9.7e-06 / 1.01e-05	5.9e-06 / 7.1e-06	0 / 0	2.7e-06 / 5.4e-06	0.110	0.543	0.728
<i>Gemmatimonas aurantiaca</i>	3.3e-06 / 6.6e-06	4.2e-06 / 7e-06	1.8e-06 / 4.5e-06	6.5e-06 / 1.1e-05	-0.172	0.339	0.728
<i>Erythrobacter</i> sp SD 2	7.7e-06 / 1.63e-05	1.02e-05 / 2.04e-05	0 / 0	7.8e-06/1.68e-05	-0.161	0.372	0.728
<i>Erythrobacter litoralis</i>	6.1e-06 / 9.3e-06	8.6e-06 / 1.57e-05	0 / 0	8.6e-06/1.05e-05	-0.151	0.400	0.728
<i>Citromicrobium bathyomarinum</i>	6e-06 / 9.1e-06	8.3e-06 / 1.84e-05	0 / 0	9.6e-06/1.24e-05	-0.102	0.572	0.728

2

3*P-value adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg method

4

1

1Supplementary Table 3. GLM between the taxonomic and functional biomarkers.

2

ko_pathway	Species	GLM Coefficient	Spearman Correlation index	Spearman P- value	BH adjusted P-value
Protein_export_ko03060	Prevotella_salivae	0.630327914	0.708557271	5.93E-009	3.17E-008
Protein_export_ko03060	Bacteroides_sp D20	-0.000344	-0.54473032	2.80E-005	0.0000749
Protein_export_ko03060	Alistipes_finegoldii	-0.00292209	-0.364665523	0.00700326	0.0095950
RNA_degradation_ko03018	Prevotella_salivae	0.489633859	0.748580141	0	0
RNA_degradation_ko03018	Prevotella_copri	0.000982003	0.737145035	0	0
RNA_degradation_ko03018	Bacteroides_pectinophilus	0.00491378	0.312826377	0.02166191	0.0276129
RNA_degradation_ko03018	Bibersteinia_trehalosi	-0.33164526	0.293629397	0.03116270	0.0383202
Epithelial_cell_signaling_in_Helicobacter_pylori_infection_ko05120	Fermentimonas_caenicola	1.023448054	0.448348472	0.00067385	0.0011784
Epithelial_cell_signaling_in_Helicobacter_pylori_infection_ko05120	Streptococcus_pseudopneumoniae	2.067914861	0.396214039	0.00301834	0.0045471
Epithelial_cell_signaling_in_Helicobacter_pylori_infection_ko05120	Odoribacter_splanchnicus	-0.00151189	-0.254507338	0.06350798	0.0734245
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Prevotella_copri	0.000224926	0.686601868	3.56E-008	1.72E-007
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Prevotella_salivae	0.057339171	0.662206975	1.36E-007	6.13E-007
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Prevotella_ruminicola	2.115990035	0.640627435	1.81E-007	7.89E-007
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Prevotella_melaninogenica	0.276605282	0.6355523	2.43E-007	1.00E-006
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Acidaminococcus_intestini	0.012038269	0.560434534	1.49E-005	4.19E-005
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Dialister_succinatiphilus	0.098358216	0.554640747	1.89E-005	5.13E-005
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Bilophila_wadsworthia	-0.019762194	-0.539944814	0.00002511	6.77E-005
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Bacteroides_eggerthii	-0.004636945	-0.50409758	0.00012585	0.0002772
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Bifidobacterium_longum	-0.002182973	-0.490909091	0.00019772	0.0004000
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Bacteroides_finegoldii	-0.000509064	-0.413607776	0.00203953	0.0031685
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Ruminococcus_bicirculans	-0.022716714	-0.375314332	0.00516630	0.0073382
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Coprobacillus_sp 8138FAA	-0.063941187	-0.304921283	0.02496391	0.0312497

1

Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Blautia_obeum	-0.005948943	-0.262511912	0.05541975	0.0647183
Vibrio_cholerae_pathogenic_cycle_ko05111	Bacteroides_sp 325	0.008743212	0.252144082	0.06607022	0.0761339
Alanine_aspartate_and_glutamate_metabolism_ko0250	Prevotella_salivae	0.597740174	0.806899181	0	0
Alanine_aspartate_and_glutamate_metabolism_ko0250	Prevotella_copri	0.006633203	0.890909091	0	0
Alanine_aspartate_and_glutamate_metabolism_ko0250	Prevotella_fusca	0.827176859	0.870195445	1.30E-017	1.41E-016
Alanine_aspartate_and_glutamate_metabolism_ko0250	Prevotella_sp oral taxon 299	0.787709191	0.845978791	8.13E-016	7.45E-015
Alanine_aspartate_and_glutamate_metabolism_ko0250	Prevotella_intermedia	0.882819982	0.779715516	3.73E-012	2.60E-011
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Prevotella_salivae	0.122106449	0.752391843	0	0
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Prevotella_copri	0.002417975	0.828092243	0	0
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Prevotella_fusca	0.358790813	0.841638161	1.58E-015	1.41E-014
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Prevotella_dentalis	0.155941444	0.836258167	3.52E-015	3.06E-014
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Prevotella_ruminicola	2.445823921	0.827009201	1.30E-014	1.03E-013
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Prevotella_intermedia	2.023986526	0.77558943	5.72E-012	3.90E-011
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Dialister_succinatiphilus	0.029707247	0.61982085	1.08E-006	3.97E-006
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Bacteroides_eggerthii	-0.001618533	-0.566152087	1.18E-005	3.45E-005
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Bacteroides_sp HPS0048	-0.271486108	-0.565770917	1.20E-005	3.47E-005
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Bacteroides_salyersiae	-0.002680836	-0.538860301	3.52E-005	8.93E-005
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthesis_ko00400	Odoribacter_splanchnicus	-0.021115026	-0.502496665	0.00013307	0.0002894

is_ko00400					
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthes is_ko00400	Bifidobacterium_sp_12147BF	-0.006566333	-0.485248511	0.00020002	0.0004000
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthes is_ko00400	Bilophila_wadsworthia	0.005086793	-0.370744814	0.00578439	0.0081496
Phenylalanine_tyrosine_and_tryptophan_biosynthes is_ko00400	Ruminococcus_bicirculans	-0.029883963	-0.229935053	0.09440045	0.1073573
Citrate_cycle_TCA_cycle_ko00020	Prevotella_salivae	0.642743553	0.756279779	0	0
Citrate_cycle_TCA_cycle_ko00020	Acidaminococcus_intestini	4.92E-005	0.686983038	3.48E-008	1.71E-007
Fructose_and_mannose_metabolism_ko00051	Prevotella_denticola	0.536892355	0.482560962	0.00021955	0.0004316
Fructose_and_mannose_metabolism_ko00051	Prevotella_dentalis	0.085864011	0.47820565	0.00025492	0.0004821
Fructose_and_mannose_metabolism_ko00051	Prevotella_copri	0.000296255	0.437926434	0.00103337	0.0017206
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Prevotella_salivae	0.836564665	0.770688012	0	0
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Prevotella_copri	0.003241563	0.765885268	0	0
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Prevotella_melaninogenica	0.066786524	0.793648178	8.21E-013	5.96E-012
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Bacteroides_stercoris	-0.009513908	-0.506155899	0.00011710	0.0002612
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Streptococcus_pneumoniae	2.91801112	0.495672105	0.00013834	0.0002955
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Clostridiales_bacterium_VE20214	1.224250705	0.493119878	0.00018352	0.0003791
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Alistipes_finegoldii	-0.006455168	-0.437392796	0.00104944	0.0017308
Carbon_fixation_in_photosynthetic_organisms_ko0 0710	Bibersteinia_trehalosi	-1.310449328	0.270662686	0.04775561	0.0565880
Oxidative_phosphorylation_ko00190	Prevotella_copri	0.000435808	0.542443301	3.06E-005	8.07E-005
Oxidative_phosphorylation_ko00190	Prevotella_dentalis	0.01313649	0.531386305	3.56E-005	8.97E-005
Oxidative_phosphorylation_ko00190	Bacteroides_fluxus	0.00358923	0.483666857	0.00025160	0.0004810
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Prevotella_fusca	0.150236935	0.673539598	2.39E-008	1.22E-007
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Prevotella_ruminicola	9.183981911	0.66860578	3.29E-008	0.0000001

Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Prevotella_copri	0.004909974	0.687059272	3.46E-008	1.71E-007
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Prevotella_salivae	0.364890392	0.671964932	8.15E-008	3.73E-007
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Prevotella_melaninogenica	0.851269653	0.641698989	1.71E-007	7.51E-007
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_fluxus	0.005905104	0.624623594	0.00000086	3.26E-006
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Prevotella_oralis	-1.385958208	0.606900116	1.14E-006	4.15E-006
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Acidaminococcus_intestini	0.040889744	0.61661902	1.26E-006	4.52E-006
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bifidobacterium_longum	-0.043668026	-0.581551363	6.14E-006	1.89E-005
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Riemerella_anatipestifer	0.158894854	0.533289653	3.30E-005	8.50E-005
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_stercoris	0.022152402	-0.521250238	6.81E-005	0.0001613
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bibersteinia_trehalosi	6.667541297	0.50484658	9.90E-005	0.0002266
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Fermentimonas_caenicola	2.671034976	0.49719101	0.00013097	0.0002866
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Parabacteroides_sp_HGS0025	-1.983556429	-0.493045463	0.00015198	0.0003225
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_plebeius	-0.006023894	-0.494034687	0.00017792	0.0003707
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Odoribacter_splanchnicus	0.006868554	-0.490680389	0.00019925	0.0004000
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Dialister_succinatiphilus	0.34678993	0.483666857	0.00025160	0.0004810
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_eggerthii	0.012927647	-0.475967219	0.00032332	0.0006049
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_sp_14_A	-0.008273623	-0.465878773	0.00038492	0.0007050
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Coprobacillus_sp_8_1_38FAA	-0.044760255	-0.45458011	0.00055411	0.0009788
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_caccae	0.010611613	-0.450962455	0.00070374	0.0012123
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Parabacteroides_sp_ASF519	-0.114727764	-0.437712139	0.00093308	0.0015762
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Alistipes_finegoldii	0.025365913	-0.420163903	0.00170576	0.0027229
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Blautia_obeum	-0.032163756	-0.414751286	0.00197741	0.0030858
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_sp_HPS0048	-0.552418218	-0.36611397	0.00676863	0.0093102
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Coprococcus_sp_HPP0048	-2.033517547	-0.331400197	0.01436865	0.0187980
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_sp_3_2_5	0.156426259	0.303602058	0.02601956	0.0324545
Lipopolysaccharide_biosynthesis_ko00540	Bacteroides_pectinophilus	-0.015602444	-0.260377359	0.05748977	0.0669111
N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Prevotella_copri	0.000175869	0.516142558	0.00008206	0.0001909
N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Fermentimonas_caenicola	0.781727328	0.491985222	0.00015782	0.0003328
N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Prevotella_dentalis	0.008432226	0.41325801	0.00189718	0.0030010
N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Bacteroides_eggerthii	-0.000986601	-0.399504479	0.00296259	0.0045107
N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Parabacteroides_sp_HGS0025	-0.314962578	-0.373900806	0.00535100	0.0075697
N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Bacteroides_stercoris	-0.001063969	-0.314503526	0.02094032	0.0267913
N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Coprococcus_sp_HPP0048	-0.072767395	-0.265921471	0.05195059	0.0608713

N_Glycan_biosynthesis_ko00510	Faecalibacterium_prausnitzii	-0.000823985	-0.227901658	0.09744031	0.1100949
Peptidoglycan_biosynthesis_ko00550	Bacteroides_sp_D20	-0.002507641	-0.728606823	0	0
Peptidoglycan_biosynthesis_ko00550	Prevotella_salivae	0.771067843	0.812388031	0	0
Peptidoglycan_biosynthesis_ko00550	Prevotella_copri	0.00519608	0.837164094	0	0
Peptidoglycan_biosynthesis_ko00550	Prevotella_dentalis	1.382983757	0.872323209	8.70E-018	1.01E-016
Peptidoglycan_biosynthesis_ko00550	Prevotella_sp_oral_taxon_299	3.20351697	0.846514681	7.48E-016	7.04E-015
Peptidoglycan_biosynthesis_ko00550	Faecalibacterium_prausnitzii	0.016487105	0.371755289	0.00591925	0.0082726
Nicotinate_and_nicotinamide_metabolism_ko00760	Prevotella_copri	0.002565147	0.765199161	0	0
Nicotinate_and_nicotinamide_metabolism_ko00760	Prevotella_sp_oral_taxon_299	1.188732661	0.727317452	4.70E-010	2.82E-009
Nicotinate_and_nicotinamide_metabolism_ko00760	Prevotella_denticola	0.81085705	0.725235907	5.56E-010	3.28E-009
Nicotinate_and_nicotinamide_metabolism_ko00760	Prevotella_fusca	0.120275338	0.716642772	1.10E-009	6.38E-009
Nicotinate_and_nicotinamide_metabolism_ko00760	Bacteroides_sp_D20	-0.000525527	-0.598170383	2.94E-006	9.66E-006
Nicotinate_and_nicotinamide_metabolism_ko00760	Bacteroides_sp_HPS0048	-0.072662376	-0.462321327	0.00049765	0.0008973
One_carbon_pool_by_folate_ko00670	Prevotella_salivae	0.81149853	0.591614256	3.95E-006	0.0000126
One_carbon_pool_by_folate_ko00670	Bacteroides_sp_3_1_23	-0.033884814	-0.487173623	0.00022403	0.0004379
One_carbon_pool_by_folate_ko00670	Prevotella_oralis	0.785144755	0.468924719	0.00034816	0.0006444
One_carbon_pool_by_folate_ko00670	Bacteroides_caccae	-0.001178167	-0.39310082	0.00349279	0.0051437
[Metabolism_of_Other_Amino_Acids]	Prevotella_salivae	0.361960852	0.755441205	0	0
D_Alanine_metabolism_ko00473					
Metabolism Metabolism_of_Other_Amino_Acids	Prevotella_copri	0.012571451	0.839146179	0	0
D_Alanine_metabolism_ko00473					
Metabolism Metabolism_of_Other_Amino_Acids	Prevotella_dentalis	0.493603635	0.827394724	1.23E-014	9.99E-014
D_Alanine_metabolism_ko00473					
Metabolism Metabolism_of_Other_Amino_Acids	Bacteroides_fluxus	0.047915676	0.673413379	7.54E-008	3.50E-007
D_Alanine_metabolism_ko00473					
Metabolism Metabolism_of_Other_Amino_Acids	Parabacteroides_sp_ASF519	-0.166124553	-0.433898983	0.00104591	0.0017308
D_Alanine_metabolism_ko00473					
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Bacteroides_sp_D20	-0.000990142	-0.760853821	0	0
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Prevotella_copri	0.004773795	0.897846388	0	0
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Prevotella_dentalis	1.547405825	0.90693648	3.63E-021	4.35E-020
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Prevotella_sp_oral_taxon_299	0.895389824	0.863663158	4.29E-017	4.52E-016
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Bacteroides_sp_4_1_36	-0.000347414	-0.708557271	5.93E-009	3.17E-008
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Fermentimonas_caenicola	6.137082373	0.631086934	3.12E-007	1.25E-006

Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Bacteroides_clarus	-0.04616053	-0.61623785	1.28E-006	4.55E-006
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Bacteroides_sp_14_A_	-0.007914154	-0.560541375	0.00001042	3.10E-005
Terpenoid_backbone_biosynthesis_ko00900	Bacteroides_salyersiae	-0.011945584	-0.509738898	0.00010319	0.0002347
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Prevotella_copri	0.001080912	0.77442348	0	0
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Prevotella_dentalis	2.314246958	0.739447979	1.70E-010	1.10E-009
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Fermentimonas_caenicola	0.744041474	0.559966686	1.07E-005	3.15E-005
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Parabacteroides_sp_HGS0025	-3.340567	-0.519453814	5.70E-005	0.0001367
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Bacteroides_sp_HPS0048	-0.033429809	-0.452029731	0.00068152	0.0011853
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Megasphaera_elsdenii	-0.036514968	0.347131694	0.01046170	0.0139489
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Acidaminococcus_sp_D21	0.013281067	0.325404993	0.01673093	0.0215643
Zeatin_biosynthesis_ko00908	Coprobacillus_sp_8_1_38FAA	-0.174983397	-0.32215149	0.01751841	0.0224959
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Prevotella_copri	0.001917409	0.745683248	0	0
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Prevotella_dentalis	0.820839323	0.752437507	5.37E-011	3.53E-010
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Prevotella_salivae	0.195595019	0.695826186	1.94E-008	1.01E-007
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Bacteroides_fluxus	0.000418738	0.644215742	3.34E-007	1.31E-006
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Bacteroides_sp_4_1_36	-0.001583292	-0.537869259	0.00003652	9.14E-005
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Bacteroides_eggerthii	0.009439637	-0.308862207	0.02345195	0.0296773
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Ruminococcus_bicirculans	-0.014396793	-0.272576579	0.04614249	0.0551807
Toluene_degradation_ko00623	Blautia_obeum	-0.010927638	-0.227215552	0.09847345	0.1109021

1
2
3

1Supplementary Table 4. Biomarker species gene mapping.

2

Species ^a	ko ^b	KO in ko ^c	KO ^d	Percentage %	KO list ^e
Acidaminococcus_intestini	ko00020	56	11	19.64	K00030 K00031 K00174 K00175 K00176 K00177 K01610 K01679 K01681 K01958 K01960
Prevotella_salivae	ko00020	56	8	14.29	K00024 K00174 K00175 K00176 K00177 K01610 K01676 K01960
Prevotella_copri	ko00051	81	19	23.46	K00011 K00100 K00754 K00847 K00848 K00850 K00895 K00966 K00971 K01624 K01629 K01711 K01803 K01805 K01808 K01813 K01840 K02377 K04041
Prevotella_dentalis	ko00051	81	20	24.69	K00100 K00754 K00847 K00848 K00850 K00895 K00966 K00971 K01624 K01629 K01711 K01803 K01805 K01808 K01809 K01813 K01840 K02377 K04041 K05305
Prevotella_denticola	ko00051	81	16	19.75	K00100 K00754 K00847 K00850 K00895 K00966 K00971 K01218 K01624 K01711 K01803 K01808 K01809 K01840 K02377 K04041
Bacteroides_fluxus	ko00190	212	26	12.26	K00330 K00331 K00335

1

						K00337 K00338 K00339
						K00340 K00341 K00342
						K00343 K02108 K02109
						K02111 K02112 K02113
						K02114 K02115 K02117
						K02118 K02120 K02121
						K02123 K02124 K03885
						K13378 K15987
Prevotella_copri	ko00190	212	20	9.43		K00330 K00331 K00337
						K00338 K00339 K00340
						K00341 K00342 K00343
						K02108 K02109 K02110
						K02111 K02112 K02113
						K02114 K02115 K02120
						K03885 K13378
Prevotella_dentalis	ko00190	212	21	9.91		K00330 K00331 K00337
						K00338 K00339 K00340
						K00341 K00342 K00343
						K02108 K02109 K02110
						K02111 K02112 K02113
						K02114 K02115 K02120
						K03885 K13378 K15987
Prevotella_copri	ko00250	60	21	35		K00259 K00262 K00264
						K00265 K00266 K00278
						K00609 K00610 K00764
						K00820 K01424 K01755
						K01756 K01914 K01939
						K01940 K01953 K01955
						K01956 K11540 K11541
Prevotella_fusca	ko00250	60	16	26.67		K00262 K00266 K00278
						K00609 K00610 K00764
						K00820 K01424 K01744
						K01755 K01756 K01914

Prevotella_intermedia	ko00250	60	16	26.67	K01939 K01955 K01956 K11541 K00262 K00266 K00278 K00609 K00610 K00764 K00820 K01424 K01744 K01755 K01756 K01939 K01953 K01955 K01956 K11541
Prevotella_salivae	ko00250	60	16	26.67	K00259 K00262 K00266 K00609 K00610 K00764 K00820 K01424 K01744 K01755 K01756 K01914 K01939 K01955 K01956 K11541
Prevotella_sp_oral_taxon_299	ko00250	60	14	23.33	K00262 K00609 K00610 K00764 K00820 K01424 K01744 K01755 K01756 K01914 K01939 K01955 K01956 K11541
Dialister_succinatiphilus	ko00400	70	21	30	K00014 K00766 K00800 K00812 K00817 K00832 K00891 K01609 K01626 K01657 K01658 K01695 K01696 K01713 K01735 K01736 K03856 K04517 K06001 K13497 K14170
Prevotella_copri	ko00400	70	19	27.14	K00014 K00210 K00766 K00800 K00812 K00817 K00891 K01609 K01657 K01658 K01695 K01696 K01735 K01736 K01817 K03786 K04516 K04518

Prevotella_dentalis	ko00400	70	20	28.57	K06001 K00014 K00210 K00766 K00800 K00812 K00817 K00891 K01609 K01626 K01657 K01658 K01695 K01696 K01735 K01736 K01817 K03786 K04516 K04518
Prevotella_fusca	ko00400	70	16	22.86	K06001 K00014 K00210 K00766 K00800 K00812 K00817 K00891 K01657 K01658 K01735 K01736 K01817 K03786 K04516 K04518
Prevotella_intermedia	ko00400	70	12	17.14	K06001 K00014 K00210 K00800 K00812 K00891 K01609 K01657 K01658 K01735 K01736 K03786 K04516
Prevotella_ruminicola	ko00400	70	19	27.14	K00014 K00210 K00766 K00800 K00812 K00817 K00891 K01609 K01657 K01658 K01695 K01696 K01735 K01736 K01817 K03786 K04516 K04518
Prevotella_salivae	ko00400	70	14	20	K06001 K00014 K00210 K00766 K00800 K00812 K00891 K01609 K01626 K01735 K01736 K03786 K04516 K04518 K06001
Bacteroides_fluxus	ko00473	5	1	20	K01775

Prevotella_copri	ko00473	5	1	20	K01775
Prevotella_dentalis	ko00473	5	1	20	K01775
Prevotella_salivae	ko00473	5	1	20	K01775
Fermentimonas_caenicola	ko00510	44	1	2.27	K00721
Prevotella_copri	ko00510	44	1	2.27	K00721
Prevotella_dentalis	ko00510	44	1	2.27	K00721
Acidaminococcus_intestini	ko00540	34	15	44.12	K00677 K00748 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02535 K02536 K02843 K03270 K03271 K03272 K03273 K03274 K16363
Bacteroides_fluxus	ko00540	34	11	32.35	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K16363
Bacteroides_sp__3_2_5	ko00540	34	13	38.24	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K03271 K03273 K16363
Bibersteinia_trehalosi	ko00540	34	15	44.12	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02527 K02535 K02536 K02560 K02843 K03270 K03271 K03272 K03273 K03274
Dialister_succinatiphilus	ko00540	34	12	35.29	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02527 K02535 K02536 K03271 K03272 K07031 K16363
Fermentimonas_caenicola	ko00540	34	10	29.41	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270

Prevotella_copri	ko00540	34	14	41.18	K16363 K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K03271 K03273 K07031 K16363
Prevotella_fusca	ko00540	34	11	32.35	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K16363
Prevotella_melaninogenica	ko00540	34	11	32.35	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K16363
Prevotella_oralis	ko00540	34	13	38.24	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K03271 K03273 K16363
Prevotella_ruminicola	ko00540	34	11	32.35	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K16363
Prevotella_salivae	ko00540	34	11	32.35	K00677 K00748 K00912 K00979 K01627 K02517 K02527 K02536 K03269 K03270 K16363
Riemerella_anatipestifer	ko00540	34	6	17.65	K00677 K00748 K01627 K02517 K02536
Prevotella_copri	ko00550	38	15	39.47	K16363 K00075 K00790 K01000 K01921 K01924 K01925 K01928 K01929 K02563

Prevotella_dentalis	ko00550	38	15	39.47	K03587 K03814 K05366 K05515 K06153 K07259 K00075 K00790 K01000 K01921 K01924 K01925 K01928 K01929 K02563 K03587 K03814 K05366 K05515 K06153 K07259
Prevotella_salivae	ko00550	38	15	39.47	K00075 K00790 K01000 K01921 K01924 K01925 K01928 K01929 K02563 K03587 K03814 K05366 K05515 K06153 K07259
Prevotella_sp__oral_taxon_299	ko00550	38	15	39.47	K00075 K00790 K01000 K01921 K01924 K01925 K01928 K01929 K02563 K03587 K03814 K05366 K05515 K06153 K07259
Bacteroides_fluxus	ko00623	51	3	5.88	K00239 K00240 K00241
Prevotella_copri	ko00623	51	3	5.88	K00239 K00240 K00241
Prevotella_dentalis	ko00623	51	3	5.88	K00239 K00240 K00241
Prevotella_salivae	ko00623	51	3	5.88	K00239 K00240 K00241
Prevotella_oralis	ko00670	27	11	40.74	K00287 K00297 K00548 K00560 K00602 K00604 K00605 K01491 K01934 K01938 K08289
Prevotella_salivae	ko00670	27	10	37.04	K00287 K00297 K00548 K00560 K00602 K00604 K01491 K01938 K03465 K08289
Bibersteinia_trehalosi	ko00710	37	7	18.92	K00029 K00134 K00615 K00927 K01595 K01783 K01807
Clostridiales_bacterium_VE202_14	ko00710	37	6	16.22	K00029 K00134 K00615

					K00927	K01006
					K01783	
Prevotella_copri	ko00710	37	6	16.22	K00029	K00134 K00615
					K00927	K01006
					K01783	
Prevotella_melaninogenica	ko00710	37	6	16.22	K00029	K00134 K00615
					K00927	K01006
					K01783	
Prevotella_salivae	ko00710	37	6	16.22	K00029	K00134 K00615
					K00927	K01006
					K01783	
Streptococcus_pneumoniae	ko00710	37	7	18.92	K00134	K00150 K00615
					K00927	K01595 K01783
					K01807	
Prevotella_copri	ko00760	44	9	20.45	K00767	K00858 K00969
					K01081	K01950 K03426
					K03517	K03783 K03787
Prevotella_denticola	ko00760	44	10	22.73	K00763	K00767 K00858
					K00969	K01081 K01950
					K03426	K03517 K03783
					K03787	
Prevotella_fusca	ko00760	44	10	22.73	K00763	K00767 K00858
					K00969	K01081 K01950
					K03426	K03517 K03783
					K03787	
Prevotella_sp__oral_taxon_299	ko00760	44	9	20.45	K00763	K00767 K00858
					K00969	K01081 K01950
					K03426	K03783 K03787
Fermentimonas_caenicola	ko00900	50	10	20	K00099	K00806 K00919
					K00991	K01662 K01770
					K02523	K03526 K03527
					K13789	
Prevotella_copri	ko00900	50	11	22	K00099	K00806 K00919

					K00991 K01662 K01770 K02523 K03526 K03527 K12506 K13789
Prevotella_dentalis	ko00900	50	11	22	K00099 K00806 K00919 K00991 K01662 K01770 K02523 K03526 K03527 K12506 K13789
Prevotella_sp__oral_taxon_299	ko00900	50	11	22	K00099 K00806 K00919 K00991 K01662 K01770 K02523 K03526 K03527 K12506 K13789
Acidaminococcus_sp_D21	ko00908	8	1	12.5	K00791
Fermentimonas_caenicola	ko00908	8	1	12.5	K00791
Megasphaera_elsdenii	ko00908	8	1	12.5	K00791
Prevotella_copri	ko00908	8	1	12.5	K00791
Prevotella_dentalis	ko00908	8	1	12.5	K00791
Bibersteinia_trehalosi	ko03018	75	12	16	K00962 K01689 K03628 K03654 K03666 K03732 K04043 K04077 K05592 K08300 K08311 K12573
Prevotella_copri	ko03018	75	10	13.33	K00962 K00970 K03628 K03654 K04043 K04077 K05592 K11927 K12573 K12574
Prevotella_salivae	ko03018	75	10	13.33	K00962 K00970 K01689 K03628 K03654 K04043 K04077 K05592 K11927 K12573
Prevotella_salivae	ko03060	39	10	25.64	K03070 K03075 K03076 K03100 K03101 K03106 K03110 K03210 K03217 K12257

Acidaminococcus_intestini	ko05111	43	1	2.33	K07173
Prevotella_copri	ko05111	43	1	2.33	K07173
Prevotella_melaninogenica	ko05111	43	1	2.33	K07173
Fermentimonas_caenicola	ko05120	90	1	1.11	K08303

1

2a Biomarker specie.

3b Biomarker pathways.

4c Number of genes in pathways.

5d Number of genes in pathways that map in the biomarker specie.

6e List of genes in pathways that map in the biomarker specie.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

1Supplementary Table 5. Network statistics.

2

	Mean Degree	Mean betweenness centrality	Mean closeness centrality	Mean Eigenvector centrality	%Pos	%Neg	Ratio	Fragmentation	Modularity	Transitivity	Diameter	Mean short-pathways	K-Smirnov p-value	Node
Co-corrence network	3.88	262.38	0.001	0.106	0.431	0.569	0.758	0.134	0.428	0.155	10	4.079	0.603	176
Enzimatic network	17.15	10364.49	1.97e-07	0.018				0.433	0.780	0.369	26	6.600	0.702	3700

3

4(a) Percentage of edges with a statistically significant positive correlation

5(b) Percentage of edges with a statistically significant negative correlation

6(c) Ratio between the positive and negative correlations.

7(d) Modularity index retrieved from the modules estimate by the Walktrap community finding algorithm

8(e) Clustering coefficient of the network. measure of the degree to which nodes in a graph tend to cluster together.

9(f) Longest path between two vertices within the network

10(g) Average path length between any two nodes

11

1Supplementary Table 6. Bayesian network quantile 95 nodes. The quantile 95 based on the three different statistics: the degree centrality, the number of direct connections with a clinical variable and the MB size. DNAseq referred to metagenomics and RNAseq to metatranscriptomics.

3

Node name	Number of direct connections (degree centrality)
DNA seq Terpenoid backbone biosynthesis ko00900	17
BPI (ng/mL)	17
DNA seq Valine leucine and isoleucine degradation ko00280	18
RNA seq Butanoate metabolism ko00650	18
%CD4+HLA-DR+CD38+ T-cells	18
DNA seq Alanine aspartate and glutamate metabolism ko00250	19
DNA seq Aminobenzoate degradation ko00627	19
sj/ β -TREC ratio	22
CD4+ T-cell counts (cells/uL)	24
DNA seq Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism ko00760	25

4

5

6

Node name	Number of direct connections with a clinical variable
DNA seq Limonene and pinene degradation ko00903	7
Metabolite Diradylglycerols [GL02] Glycerolipids [GL] LMGL02010346	7
Metabolite Diradylglycerols [GL02] Glycerolipids [GL] 582.5218@10.206741	7
DNA seq Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism ko00630	9
Metabolite Steroid conjugates [ST05] Sterol Lipids [ST] LMST05010043	9
DNA seq Valine leucine and isoleucine degradation ko00280	10
RNA seq ABC transporters ko02010	11
DNA seq Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism ko00760	12
RNA seq Butanoate metabolism ko00650	16

7

8

1

1

Node name	Markov blanket size in nodes
DNA seq Aminobenzoate degradation ko00627	67
Metabolite Diradylglycerols [GL02] Glycerolipids [GL] 582.5218@10.206741	67
Metabolite Steroid conjugates [ST05] Sterol Lipids [ST] LMST05010043	68
RNA seq ABC transporters ko02010	69
DNA seq Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism ko00630	74
DNA seq D Alanine metabolism ko00473	75

2