

Prognostication and Risk Factors for Cystic Fibrosis via Automated Machine Learning

Ahmed M. Alaa¹ and Mihaela van der Schaar^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 90095, USA

²Engineering Science Department, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PJ, UK

³Alan Turing Institute, London, NW1 2DB, UK

*ahmedmalaa@ucla.edu

ABSTRACT

Table S1

| Variable | F_1 score |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Oxygen Therapy | 0.5 ± 0.04 |
| FEV1 | 0.47 ± 0.03 |
| FEV1 % Predicted | 0.44 ± 0.02 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2011) | 0.44 ± 0.04 |
| CFRD | 0.42 ± 0.01 |
| Diabetes | 0.38 ± 0.02 |
| Heterozygous | 0.38 ± 0.04 |
| Homozygous | 0.37 ± 0.02 |
| IV Antibiotic Days in Hospital | 0.34 ± 0.04 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2010) | 0.34 ± 0.05 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2009) | 0.34 ± 0.06 |
| Oral Corticosteroids | 0.32 ± 0.02 |
| Best FEV1 | 0.30 ± 0.01 |
| Noninvasive Ventilation | 0.28 ± 0.02 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2008) | 0.27 ± 0.03 |
| IV Antibiotic Days at Home | 0.24 ± 0.04 |
| Weight | 0.21 ± 0.06 |
| Best FEV1 % Predicted | 0.21 ± 0.07 |
| Height | 0.20 ± 0.06 |
| Non-IV Hospital Admission | 0.19 ± 0.02 |
| Age | 0.19 ± 0.03 |

Table 1. F_1 scores achieved by individual variables.

Table S2

| Variable | AUC-PR |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Oxygen Therapy | 0.48 ± 0.01 |
| FEV1 | 0.42 ± 0.01 |
| FEV1 % Predicted | 0.41 ± 0.02 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2011) | 0.41 ± 0.03 |
| CFRD | 0.37 ± 0.02 |
| Diabetes | 0.35 ± 0.02 |
| Heterozygous | 0.33 ± 0.01 |
| Homozygous | 0.33 ± 0.01 |
| IV Antibiotic Days in Hospital | 0.33 ± 0.02 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2010) | 0.31 ± 0.02 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2009) | 0.30 ± 0.03 |
| Oral Corticosteroids | 0.30 ± 0.04 |
| Best FEV1 | 0.30 ± 0.05 |
| Noninvasive Ventilation | 0.29 ± 0.03 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2008) | 0.27 ± 0.04 |
| IV Antibiotic Days at Home | 0.24 ± 0.01 |
| Weight | 0.21 ± 0.01 |
| Best FEV1 % Predicted | 0.19 ± 0.01 |
| Height | 0.18 ± 0.01 |
| Non-IV Hospital Admission | 0.13 ± 0.01 |
| Age | 0.11 ± 0.01 |

Table 2. Variable importance analysis after including patients lost to follow-up.

Table S3

| Variable | Score |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| FEV1 % Predicted | 1 |
| Oxygen Therapy | 0.83 |
| IV Antibiotic Days in Hospital | 0.71 |
| Oral Corticosteroids | 0.69 |
| Noninvasive Ventilation | 0.65 |
| IV Antibiotic Days at Home | 0.5 |
| Weight | 0.45 |
| Best FEV1 % Predicted | 0.41 |
| Height | 0.40 |
| Non-IV Hospital Admission | 0.34 |
| Age | 0.32 |
| FEV1 | 0.23 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2011) | 0.21 |
| CFRD | 0.15 |
| Diabetes | 0.12 |
| Heterozygous | 0.10 |
| Homozygous | 0.10 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2010) | 0.05 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2009) | 0.03 |
| Best FEV1 | 0.02 |
| FEV1 % Predicted (2008) | 0.02 |

Table 3. Variable importance ranked using (normalized) correlation-based feature selection scores.