Supporting Information

The architecture of the OmpC-MlaA complex sheds light on the maintenance of outer membrane lipid asymmetry in *Escherichia coli*

Jiang Yeow1,2,*, Kang Wei Tan1,*, Daniel A. Holdbrook3,*, Zhi-Soon Chong1 , Jan K. Marzinek3,4, Peter J. Bond3,4,†, Shu-Sin Chng1,5,†

¹Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543;

²National University of Singapore Graduate School for Integrative Sciences and Engineering (NGS), Singapore 117456:

³Bioinformatics Institute, Agency for Science, Technology, and Research (A*STAR), Singapore 138671; ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543;

⁵Singapore Center for Environmental Life Sciences Engineering, National University of Singapore (SCELSE-NUS), Singapore 117456

***** These authors contributed equally to this work.

Table of contents

Supplementary Tables S1 to S4

Table S1. Bacterial strains used in this study

Table S2. Plasmids used in this study

Table S3. Primers used in this study.

Table S4. Summary of all-atom molecular simulations: system compositions and simulation times

Supplementary Figures S1 to S14

Figure S1. Seven more positions at the dimeric interface of the OmpC trimer contact MlaA.

Figure S2. SEC-MALS analysis of the OmpC-MlaA complex revealing that one copy of MlaA binds to the OmpC trimer.

Figure S3. N-terminal sequencing and MS/MS analyses identified two specific MlaA peptides binding to OmpC.

Figure S4. Residue pairs on MlaA predicted to contact each other based on coevolution analysis allow the formation of disulfide bonds when substituted with cysteines.

Figure S5. The surface of MlaA is mostly hydrophobic.

Figure S6. The MlaA structure modelled from co-evolution analysis is more stable in the lipid bilayer.

Figure S7. MlaA behaves like an integral membrane protein and is resistant to extraction from membranes under various conditions.

Figure S8. Six major clusters of all-atomistic MD simulated OmpC-MlaA structure depict how MlaA interacts with OmpC in two possible orientations in the OM bilayer.

Figure S9. All six major clusters of MlaA structure from all-atomistic MD simulations of the OmpC-MlaA complex with putative hydrophilic channels depicted.

Figure S10. Substituted cysteine accessibility for residues in MlaA largely agrees with their predicted locations.

Figure S11. Brief analyses of the crystal structures of MlaA-porin complexes.

Figure S12. All single alanine mutations and most double arginine substitutions in the channel, except D161R/D167R, do not disrupt function in MlaA.

Figure S13. Mutations in functional regions of MlaA do not significantly affect protein levels or its interaction with OmpC.

Figure S14. Mutations on residues G19 and R92 do not affect OmpC levels in cells, but weaken trimer stability in vitro.

Supplementary Tables.

Strains	Relevant genotypes and characteristics	References (1)	
MC4100	F- araD139 \triangle (argF-lac) U169 rpsL150 relA1 flbB5301 $ptsF25$ deoCl ptsF25 thi		
NovaBlue	endAl hsdR17 (rK12– mK12+) supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 lac F' [proA + B + lacIq $Z\Delta M15::Tn10$]	Novagen	
$BL21(\lambda DE3)$	$fhuA2$ [lon] ompT gal (λ DE3) [dcm] Δ hsdS	Novagen	
	$\lambda DE3 = \lambda$ sBamHIo ΔE coRI-B $int::(lacI::PlacUV5::T7 gene1) i21 \Delta nin5$		
TKW001	$BL21(\lambda DE3)$ ΔompF::kan	This study	
CZS010	$MC4100 \Delta mlaA$::kan	(2)	
CZS015	MC4100 ∆ompC::kan	(2)	
NR1216	$MC4100 \Delta dsbA$::kan	(3)	
CZS576	MC4100 Δ ompC::kan-(P _{rha} -tse2)	This study	
CZS594	$MC4100 \triangle$ omp C ::omp C	This study	
CZS608	MC4100 Δ ompC::ompC _{R92A}	This study	
CZS609	MC4100 \triangle ompC::ompC _{R92L}	This study	
CZS610	MC4100 Δ ompC::ompC _{G19W}	This study	
CZS611	MC4100 Δ ompC::ompC _{G19W/R92L}	This study	

Table S1. Bacterial strains used in this study

* sites for mutagenesis or restriction enzyme cleavage, where relevant, are underlined.

Protein Configuration	Lipids	Water and Ions	Simulation time $(\# \text{ of simulations x ns})$
MlaA	N/A	9439 H ₂ O $29 K^{+}$ 19 Cl ⁻	1×500
MlaA	272 DMPE	11734 H_2O 42 K^+ 32 Cl-	1×500
OmpC trimer MlaA (ClusPro model) in orientation 1	980 DMPE	36113 H ₂ O $98 K^+$ 98 Cl ⁻	1×500 1×320 1×130
OmpC trimer MlaA (ClusPro model) in orientation 2	980 DMPE	36113 H ₂ O $98 K+$ 98 Cl ⁻	1×500 1×500

Table S4. Summary of all-atom molecular simulations: system compositions and simulation times

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Seven more positions at the dimeric interface of the OmpC trimer contact MlaA. Immunoblots showing UV-dependent formation of crosslinks between OmpC and MlaA in ∆*ompC* cells expressing OmpC substituted with *p*Bpa at indicated positions, selected as part of the localized search.

Figure S2. SEC-MALS analysis of the OmpC-MlaA complex revealing that one copy of MlaA binds to the OmpC trimer. As indicated, total molecular mass: $329 (\pm 0.4\%)$ kDa; protein molecular mass: $140 (\pm 1.0\%)$ 0.4%) kDa (observed), 148 kDa (predicted, $OmpC_3MlaA$); modifier (DDM) molecular mass: 189 (± 0.8%) kDa. Numbers stated after \pm show statistical consistency of analysis.

Figure S3. N-terminal sequencing and MS/MS analyses identified two specific MlaA peptides binding to OmpC. (*A*) First five residue calls for the MlaA peptide remaining bound to OmpC after trypsin digestion (see Fig. 2*A*) revealed that it starts with $D^{61}YVPQ$ of full-length MlaA protein. (*B*) MS/MS analysis of the MlaA peptide remaining bound to OmpC after trypsin digestion detected two MlaA fragments with high peptide counts (sequences colored *red*), suggesting that the OmpC-bound peptide has boundaries from D61 to K124. (C) First five residue calls for protein bands containing MlaA peptides crosslinked to CompC_{pBna} (see Fig. 2*B*) revealed the presence of MlaA peptides starting with $D^{61}YVPQ$ and $F^{133}GSTL$, along with OmpC N-terminus $A^{21}EVYN$. Residue calls are assigned to the respective protein/peptide as denoted by the legend.

Figure S4. Residue pairs on MlaA predicted to contact each other based on coevolution analysis allow the formation of disulfide bonds when substituted with cysteines. (*A*) Cartoon representation of the MlaA structural model predicted based on residue-residue contacts inferred from co-evolution analysis of metagenomic sequence data prediction (GREMLIN, (10)), with strongly co-evolved residue pairs that are mutated to cysteines highlighted (same colored sticks). The figure was generated using the program PyMOL (12). (*B*) Immunoblots showing oxidized or reduced forms of indicated MlaA-His double cysteine variants expressed in wild-type cells from the pET23/42 vector (p). Samples were subjected to non-reducing (*top*) or reducing (*bottom*) SDS-PAGE prior to transfer. A protein that cross-reacted with the α-His antibody is denoted with (*). Distances between cysteine pairs in unit angstrom (Å), as measured in the model in (*A*), are indicated in parentheses.

Figure S5. The surface of MlaA is mostly hydrophobic. Surface representation of the MlaA model (10) depicted in multiple orientations and colored based on amino acid hydrophobicity. Purple, light blue and white represent most hydrophilic to most hydrophobic amino acids based on the Kyte-Doolittle scale (11). The figures were generated using the program Chimera (13).

Figure S6. The MlaA structure modelled from co-evolution analysis (10) is more stable in the lipid bilayer. Averaged root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD) plots illustrating the changes of the backbone of MlaA models over the course of all-atomistic MD simulations, when placed in water (*cyan*) or in a lipid bilayer (*orange*). Superimpositions of the initial (*green*) and final structures for each simulation are shown on the right. The figures were generated using the program Chimera (13).

Figure S7. MlaA behaves like an integral membrane protein and is resistant to extraction from membranes under various conditions. Immunoblots showing extraction profiles of delipidated MlaA-His (dMlaA-His) from total membranes upon incubation with high pH (0.1 M Na_2CO_3), chaotropic (4 M urea), or mild detergent $(1\% (v/v) TX-100)$ solutions for 1 hour. Samples were subjected to immunoblot analyses after fractionation (insoluble membrane pellet (P) and soluble (S) fractions) by centrifugation. Known peripheral membrane proteins (LptA and LptB), OM lipoproteins (BamB and LptE), and β-barrel proteins (OmpC) are used as controls. Even though both LptA and LptB are peripheral membrane proteins, they exhibit different membrane extraction profiles; while both proteins are easily extracted by 1% TX-100, LptA is more resistant to extraction by 4 M urea. The two OM lipoproteins also exhibit different membrane extraction profiles. Being a lipoprotein with its protein domain residing entirely in the periplasm, BamB appears to have an extraction profile similar to LptA. In contrast, LptE, which is embedded within the lumen of the LptD β-barrel domain, behaves like an integral membrane protein, such as OmpC, and is essentially not extracted from the membrane under the various conditions.

Figure S8. Six major clusters of all-atomistic MD simulated OmpC-MlaA structure depict how MlaA interacts with OmpC in two possible orientations in the OM bilayer. The bottom right model in (*A*) and (*B*) are reproduced as representative models in Figs. 3*A* and 3*B*. MlaA_{D61-K124} and MlaA_{F133-R205} peptides are highlighted in *red* and *blue*, respectively, as in Fig. 2*D*. The OM boundaries are indicated as *gray* dashed lines. The figures were generated using the program Chimera (13).

Figure S9. All six major clusters of MlaA structure from all-atomistic MD simulations of the OmpC-MlaA complex with putative hydrophilic channels depicted in *gray*. The bottom right model is reproduced in Fig. 4*A*. The OM boundaries are indicated as *gray* dashed lines. The figures were generated using the program VMD (14).

S-19

Figure S10. Substituted cysteine accessibility for residues in MlaA largely agrees with their predicted locations (near/at membrane-water boundaries or buried within the lipid bilayer). (*A*) A representative structure of MlaA from all-atomistic MD simulations with its putative channel depicted in *gray*. Nonchannel residues that are fully, partially, or not solvent accessible, based on SCAM in (*B*), are highlighted in *blue*, *cyan*, and *red*, respectively. The figures were generated using the program VMD (14). (*B*) Immunoblots showing maleimide-polyethylene glycol (Mal-PEG) alkylation of MlaA variants containing channel-facing residues substituted with cysteine (as depicted in (*A*)) following labelling by membrane permeable *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM) or impermeable (MTSES) reagents. Mal-PEG alkylated MlaA_{Cys}-His variants show a \sim 5 kDa mass shift. Positions fully, partially, or not blocked by MTSES, which reflects the level of solvent accessibility, are highlighted in *blue*, *cyan*, or *red*, respectively. (*C*) Analysis of SDS/EDTA sensitivity of wild-type (WT) and ∆*mlaA* strains producing indicated MlaA cysteine variants from the pET23/42 vector (p).

GRFMI IN model (Predicted using co-evolution analysis)

Crystal structure (5NUQ)

Superimposed

Figure S11. Brief analyses of the crystal structures of MlaA-porin complexes. (*A*) Side-by-side comparison of MlaA model predicted by co-evolution analysis (*left*) with the crystal structure of MlaA derived from the OmpF-MlaA complex (PDB ID: 5NUQ; *middle*). A superimposition of these structures is shown on the right. (*B*) Cartoon representation of the OmpF-MlaA complex (PDB ID: 5NUQ) in top and side views, with MlaA_{D61-K124} and MlaA_{F133-R205} peptides highlighted in *red* and *blue*, respectively (as in Fig. 2*D*). The smallest distances between the MlaA_{F133-R205} peptide (*blue*) and porin residues equivalent to L149/L340 in *E. coli* OmpC are indicated. MlaA residues presumably buried in the lipid bilayer but solvent accessible (SCAM; Fig. S10*B*) are circled and depicted in sticks. (*C*) Surface representations of MlaA-porin crystal structures illustrating artificial crystal contacts (MlaA-MlaA or MlaA-porin) observed in different crystal forms. The buried surface areas $(A²)$ of these contacts are indicated. Porins and MlaA are shown in *plum* and *medium purple*, respectively. All figures were generated using the program Chimera (13).

Figure S12. All single alanine mutations and most double arginine substitutions in the channel, except D161R/D167R, do not disrupt function in MlaA. Analysis of SDS/EDTA sensitivity of wild-type (WT) and ∆*mlaA* strains producing indicated MlaA channel variants from the pET23/42 vector (p).

Figure S13. Mutations in functional regions of MlaA do not significantly affect protein levels or its interaction with OmpC. (*A*) Immunoblot showing the levels of indicated MlaA-His variants produced from the pET23/42 vector (p) in the ∆*mlaA* strain. (*B*) Immunoblots showing OmpC copurified with indicated MlaA-His variants produced from the pET23/42 vector (p) in the ∆*mlaA* strain.

Figure S14. Mutations on residues G19 and R92 do not affect OmpC levels in cells, but weaken trimer stability in vitro. (*A*) Immunoblot showing the levels of wild-type OmpC and indicated OmpC variants produced from the chromosomal locus. (*B-F*) In vitro temperature titration of purified OmpC-MlaA-His and the indicated variants subjected to seminative SDS-PAGE (12% Tris.HCl gel), followed by Coomassie blue (CB) staining.

Supplementary References

- 1. Casadaban, M. J. (1976) Transposition and fusion of the lac genes to selected promoters in Escherichia coli using bacteriophage lambda and Mu. *J Mol Biol* **104**, 541-555
- 2. Chong, Z. S., Woo, W. F., and Chng, S. S. (2015) Osmoporin OmpC forms a complex with MlaA to maintain outer membrane lipid asymmetry in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol* **98**, 1133-1146
- 3. Ruiz, N., Chng, S. S., Hiniker, A., Kahne, D., and Silhavy, T. J. (2010) Nonconsecutive disulfide bond formation in an essential integral outer membrane protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 12245-12250
- 4. Wu, T., McCandlish, A. C., Gronenberg, L. S., Chng, S. S., Silhavy, T. J., and Kahne, D. (2006) Identification of a protein complex that assembles lipopolysaccharide in the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 11754-11759
- 5. Khetrapal, V., Mehershahi, K., Rafee, S., Chen, S., Lim, C. L., and Chen, S. L. (2015) A set of powerful negative selection systems for unmodified Enterobacteriaceae. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e83
- 6. Ryu, Y., and Schultz, P. G. (2006) Efficient incorporation of unnatural amino acids into proteins in Escherichia coli. *Nat Methods* **3**, 263-265
- 7. Murphy, K. C., and Campellone, K. G. (2003) Lambda Red-mediated recombinogenic engineering of enterohemorrhagic and enteropathogenic E. coli. *BMC Mol Biol* **4**, 11
- 8. Chang, A. C., and Cohen, S. N. (1978) Construction and characterization of amplifiable multicopy DNA cloning vehicles derived from the P15A cryptic miniplasmid. *J Bacteriol* **134**, 1141-1156
- 9. Weiss, D. S., Chen, J. C., Ghigo, J. M., Boyd, D., and Beckwith, J. (1999) Localization of FtsI (PBP3) to the septal ring requires its membrane anchor, the Z ring, FtsA, FtsQ, and FtsL. *J Bacteriol* **181**, 508-520
- 10. Ovchinnikov, S., Park, H., Varghese, N., Huang, P. S., Pavlopoulos, G. A., Kim, D. E., Kamisetty, H., Kyrpides, N. C., and Baker, D. (2017) Protein structure determination using metagenome sequence data. *Science* **355**, 294-298
- 11. Kyte, J., and Doolittle, R. F. (1982) A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. *J Mol Biol* **157**, 105-132
- 12. DeLano, W. L. (2002). PyMOL. DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA, 700.
- 13. Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., and Ferrin, T. E. (2004) UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612
- 14. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A., and Schulten, K. (1996) VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graph* **14**, 33-38, 27-38