**Supplementary Information** 

Regulation of T cell afferent lymphatic migration by targeting  $LT\beta R$ -mediated non-

classical NFkB signaling

Piao et al.



**Supplementary Figure 1**. *Dose-response for nciLT and ciLT.* qRT-PCR of LT $\beta$ R-induced CCL2 (a), VCAM-1 (b), CXCL12 (c), and CCL21 (d) in SVEC4-10 treated with various concentrations (5-40  $\mu$ M) of peptides. Cells lysed for total RNA extraction after 3-hour stimulation with 2  $\mu$ g/mL anti-LT $\beta$ R crosslinked with 2  $\mu$ g/mL mouse anti-rat IgG1. Mean ± SEM of 2 independent experiments. \*p < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA.



**Supplementary Figure 2.** *Classical and non-classical inhibitors differentially affect gene expression.* qRT-PCR of LT $\beta$ R-induced VCAM-1 (**a**), CCL2(**b**), CXCL12 (**c**), and CCL21(**d**) in SVEC4-10 treated with indicated peptides (20  $\mu$ M), NIKi (50  $\mu$ M), or BAY11 (25  $\mu$ M) and stimulated with 2  $\mu$ g/mL anti-LT $\beta$ R crosslinked with mouse anti-rat IgG1 (2  $\mu$ g/mL). Mean ± SEM of 2 independent experiments. \*p < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA.



**Supplementary Figure 3.** *Kinetics of persistence of peptide effects in vitro and in vivo, and effects of peptide on draining LN architecture and cell content.* (**a**) LEC cell layers on inverted Boyden chamber treated with indicated peptides ( $20 \mu$ M) for 30 min, washed, and after 6 or 16 hours  $2x10^5$  purified naïve CD4 T cells loaded into the chamber and allowed to migrate for 3 hours toward 100 ng/mL CCL19. (**b**) Each hind footpad treated with 5 nmol of indicated peptides, after 20 or 36 hours,  $1x10^6$  CFSE-labelled naïve CD4 T cells injected into hind footpads. Local popliteal LNs collected and analyzed with flow cytometry 16 hours after cell transfer. (**c**) 5 nmol of indicated peptide injected into hind footpads. After 6, 16, and 24 hours, popliteal LNs collected and analyzed for T cell (CD3, CD4, and CD8), B cell (B220), and DC (CD11c) distribution by immunohistochemistry (**c**) and flow cytometry (**d**). Magnification 20x; scale bar 100  $\mu$ m. Mean ± SEM of 2 independent experiments. \*p < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA (**a** and **b**).



**Supplementary Figure 4.** *LEC and lymphatic vessels express integrins*  $\alpha$ 6 and  $\beta$ 4. (a) Binding of naïve CD4 T cells to LEC pretreated with nciLT (20  $\mu$ M) with (filled bars) or without antiintegrin mAbs (white bars) (2  $\mu$ g/ml) for 30 minutes at 37°C. (**b and c**) LEC layers treated as in **a**; naïve CD4 T cells migrated across LEC toward CCL19. Migrated cells in lower chamber counted (**b**) or monitored for 3 hours of live imaging for track length (left) and velocity (right) (**c**). (**d**) Immunohistochemistry of integrins on primary LEC pretreated with or without nciLT (20  $\mu$ M) for 30 min. Magnification 60x; scale bar 10  $\mu$ m. (**e**) Whole mount staining of wild type C57BL/6 ear pinnae for the indicated integrins. BV, blood vessel; LV, lymphatic vessel. Magnification 20x; scale bar 100  $\mu$ m. (**f**) Flow cytometric analysis of integrin expression on primary LEC and purified naïve CD4 T cells. MFIs of positive cells shown. Data representative of 3 independent experiments. \*p < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA (**a-d**).



**Supplementary Figure 5.** *T lymphocytes engage* LTαβ-LTβR NIK pathway and activate cell adhesion molecules and homing chemokines in LEC. (**a** and **b**) Flow cytometric analysis for LTα1β2 expression on naïve CD4, activated CD4 (aCD4), iTreg, or LTα-deficient iTreg (**a**) and cell activation markers (CD25, CD44) on iTreg and aCD4 (**b**). Gating shows percent positive cells (**a**) or live cells (**b**) and MFI of positive cells. (**c**) Immunoblot of p100 to p52 conversion in LEC incubated with various numbers of purified iTreg or activated CD4 T cells for 6 hours. (**d-f**) Immunohistochemistry of VCAM-1 and CCL21 in primary LEC were pretreated with nciLT (20  $\mu$ M) or LTβRIg (2 mg/mL) for 30 min at 37°C in 8-well slide chamber, and co-cultured with 2×10<sup>5</sup> CFSE labeled iTreg (**d**), activated CD4 T cells (**e**), or naïve CD4 T cells (**f**) for 6 hours. Magnification 60x; scale bar 5  $\mu$ m. \*p < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA (**c**, **d**) or by Student's t test (**e**, **f**).



**Supplementary Figure 6.** *Histologic analysis of CHS.* H&E images of ear pinnae CHS responses of the indicated groups, treatments and times. Representative of three independent experiments with 3 mice/group for each experiment. Magnification 20x, scale bar 200  $\mu$ m.



**Supplementary Figure 7.** *Proposed model of TRAF regulation by the blocking peptides in LTβR-mediated NF*<sub>K</sub>B *pathways.* (a) Non-classical NIK pathway predominates in LEC. (i) In steady state, TRAF3 constitutively binds LTβR and is stabilized from degradation (**Fig. 3b, 3c**). (ii) LTβR activation recruits TRAF2 and clAP1/2, which together with TRAF3 and NIK form a signal complex; both TRAF2 and TRAF3 are degraded by ubiquitination by clAP1/2, leading to release of NIK from the complex. NIK activates IKKα which converts p100 to p52 (**Fig. 1f**). (iii) nciLT sequesters TRAF3 but not TRAF2 from the activated receptor, causing TRAF2 and TRAF3 degradation and preventing NIK activation (**Fig. 3b, 3c**) and blocking RelB nuclear translocation (**Fig. 3g**). (b) (i) In steady state, the classical pathway is not activated by LTβR (**Fig. 1c, 1d, 3d, 3e**). (ii) With LTβR activation, TRAF2 recruits and activates TGFβ activating kinase 1 (TAK1) and the LTβR-classical NFκB pathway. TAK1 activates IKKα and IKKβ, which phosphorylate and degrade IkBα to release p50 and RelA into the nucleus (**Fig. 1d, 1e, 3e**). (iii) ciLT sequesters both TRAF2 and TRAF3 from LTβR (**Fig. 3b**), and stabilizes TRAF3 and inhibits TRAF2 activation to block RelA nuclear translocation (**Fig. 3c, 3e**).



**Supplementary Figure 8**. *Gating strategies used for flow cytometry analysis or for cell sorting.* (a) Gating strategy to determine the percentage of LT $\beta$ R, VCAM-1, or integrin expression on SVEC4-10 or mLEC in Fig. 1a (LT $\beta$ R), 2b (VCAM-1), and S4f (integrins in mLEC or purified nCD4). (b-d) Gating strategy to sort Treg (CD4+CD25+Foxp3+), activated CD4 T (CD4+CD25+Foxp3-), and naïve CD4 T (CD4+CD25-Foxp3-) cells from Foxp3 reporter mice used on the in vitro migration assay presented in Fig. 5a, e-f or used in co-culture with LEC for immunoblot assay in Fig. 6i, j. (e-g) Gating strategy to determine the percentage of LT $\alpha$ 1 $\beta$ 2 expression on T cells presented in Fig. S5a. The same strategy was used for CD25 and CD44 expression on iTreg and aCD4 in Fig.S5b.



**Supplementary Figure 9**. Original Immunoblots. (**a**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. 1c. (**b**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. 1f. (**c**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. 3b. (**d**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. 3c. (**e**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. 3d. (**f**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. 6i. (**g**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. 6j. (**h**) Immunoblots corresponding to Fig. S5c.