Author	Paradigm	Measure	Patients	Ν	Study design	Treatment group	Finding
Cano et al.	Functional network	rs-fMRI	MDD	13 TGs, 10	Non-RCT	ECT (9	An early significant intralimbic FC
(2016)	connectivity			HCs	(only HC	sessions)	decrease significantly predicted a
					group)		later increase in limbic–prefrontal
							FC, which in turn significantly
							predicted clinical improvement at the
Chang at	Functional 'fractional	re fMDI	MDD	38 TGs 74	Non PCT	SSDI	After 5 h the antidepressant induced a
al $(2017)$	amplitude of low-	15-11/11/1	MDD	$HC_{s}$	(only HC	escitalopram	significant decrease in the signal in
ui. (2017)	frequency			nes	group)	(8 weeks)	the occipital cortex and an increase in
	fluctuation' (fAI FF)				8- ° ° P	(0	the DLPFC and DMPFC and middle
							cingulate cortex, which predicted
							later clinical response.
Crowther	Functional network	rs-fMRI	MDD	23 TGs, 20	Non-RCT	BATD (20	At baseline, responders showed
et al.	connectivity			HCs	(only HC	sessions)	greater connectivity of the right
(2015)					group)		insula with the right middle temporal
D (				47 TO	0 111		gyrus.
Downar et $(2014)$	Functional network	rs-IMRI	UD & BD	47 IGs	Upen-label	rIMS (20	At baseline, responders showed
al. (2014)	connectivity				uncontrolled	sessions)	nathways including left VMPEC the
							ventral tegmental area, and striatum
							compared with non-responders

 Table 4: Resting state functional connectivity - Biomarkers of treatment response on mood symptoms

Dunlop et al. (2017)	Functional network connectivity	rs-fMRI	MDD	122 TGs	RCT double blind, placebo- controlled	SSRI (escitalopram, duloxetine) or CBT (12 weeks)	Positive connectivity within the SCC and (1) the left VLPFC/insula; (2) left VMPFC; and (3) dorsal midbrain was associated with remission with CBT, whereas negative connectivity in these areas was associated with better response to medication.
Fang et al. (2017)	Functional network connectivity	rs-fMRI	MDD	17 TGs, 21 PGs	NOS	tVNS (4 weeks)	The insula activation level during the first stimulation session in the tVNS group was significantly associated with the clinical improvement at the end of the four-week treatment.
Ge et al. (2017)	Functional network connectivity	rs-fMRI	MDD	18 TGs, 21 HCs	Non-RCT (only HC group)	rTMS (6 weeks)	At baseline, responders showed higher functional connectivity in the ACC/VMPFC within the anterior default mode network as well as in the DACC/insula within the salience network
Liston et al. (2014)	Functional network connectivity	rs-fMRI	MDD	17 TGs, 35 HCs	Non-RCT (only HC group)	TMS (5 weeks)	Prior to treatment, functional connectivity in depressed patients was abnormally elevated within the DMN and diminished within the CEN, and connectivity between these two networks was altered. Baseline subgenual connectivity predicted subsequent clinical improvement.

Salomons et al. (2014)	Functional network connectivity	rs-fMRI	UD & BD	25 TGs	Open-label uncontrolled	rTMS (4 weeks)	At baseline, responders showed higher cortico-cortical connectivity (dmPFC-subgenual cingulate and subgenual cingulate to dorsolateral PFC) as well as lower cortico- thalamic, cortico-striatal, and cortico- limbic connectivity.
van Waarde et al. (2015)	Functional network connectivity	sMRI, rs- fMRI	MDD	45 TGs	Open-label uncontrolled	ECT (19 sessions)	The study revealed two resting-state networks with significant classification accuracy after correction for multiple comparisons. A network centered in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, orbitofrontal cortex and posterior cingulate cortex) showed a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 85%. Another network centered in the anterior cingulate cortex (including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, sensorimotor cortex, parahippocampal gyrus and midbrain) showed a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 75%.