Criteria/ Study	Anglada-Martínez et al [39]	Patel et al [29]
1. Was the study question or	\sqrt{a}	
• 1	v	v
objective clearly stated?		
2. Were eligibility/selection criteria	v	v
for the study population		
prespecified and clearly described?		
3. Were the participants in the	CD	\checkmark
study representative of those who		
would be eligible for the		
test/service/intervention in the		
general or clinical population of		
interest?		
4. Were all eligible participants that	X ^b	\checkmark
met the prespecified entry criteria		
enrolled?		,
5. Was the sample size sufficiently	X	\checkmark
large to provide confidence in the		
findings?		
6. Was the test/service/intervention		
clearly described and delivered		
consistently across the study		
population?		
7. Were the outcome measures	CD ^e	CD
prespecified, clearly defined, valid,	CD	
reliable, and assessed consistently		
across all study participants?		
8. Were the people assessing the	NR ^c	CD
outcomes blinded to the		
participants'		
exposures/interventions?		
9. Was the loss to follow-up after	X	
baseline 20% or less? Were those		
lost to follow-up accounted for in		
the analysis?		
10. Did the statistical methods		
examine changes in outcome		
measures from before to after the		
intervention? Were statistical tests		
done that provided p values for the		
pre-to-post changes?		
11. Were outcome measures of	X	X
interest taken multiple times before		
the intervention and multiple times		
after the intervention (i.e., did they		
arter the mer vention (i.e., and they	1	

use an interrupted time-series design)?		
12. If the intervention was conducted at a group level (e.g., a whole hospital, a community, etc.) did the statistical analysis take into account the use of individual-level data to determine effects at the group level?	^d NA	NA
Quality rating & additional	Poor quality because of	Fair quality;
comment	small number of participants and potential of selection and titration bias as well as some missing information that affect study validity	Despite of relatively small sample size and single group, it has good attitration rate and use interrupted time series design as well as well reported

^a $\sqrt{}$: Yes; ^bx: No; ^cNR, not reported; ^dNA, not applicable; ^eCD, cannot determine