

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents: Findings from a nationally representative sample

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020969
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	huang, yeen; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology Li, Pengsheng; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology Lan, Guo; Sun Yat-sen University, School of Public Health Xue, Gao; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Xu, Yan; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Huang, Guoliang; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Xueqing, Deng; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University Lu, CiYong; Department of medical statistics and epidemiology,
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Mental health
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, MENTAL HEALTH, PAEDIATRICS, PSYCHIATRY, PUBLIC HEALTH, SEXUAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



1
2
3 **Sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents:**
4 **Findings from a nationally representative sample**
5

6 Yeen Huang^{a#}, MD, Pengsheng Li^{a#}, MD, Lan Guo^a, MD, Xue Gao^b, MD, Yan Xu^b,
7 MD, Guoliang Huang^b, MS, Xueqing Deng^{a,c}, MS, Ciyong Lu^{a,c}, MD, PhD
8
9

10 **Affiliations:** ^a Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Public
11 Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China; ^b Center for ADR Monitoring of
12 Guangdong, Guangzhou, China; ^c Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food,
13 Nutrition and Health, Guangzhou, China
14

15 [#] These authors contributed equally to this work.
16

17 **Address correspondence to:** Ciyong Lu, Department of medical statistics and
18 epidemiology, School of public health, Sun Yat-sen university, Guangzhou, China
19 #74, Zhongshan Road 2, Guangzhou 510080, P.R China, E-mail address:
20 luciyong@mail.sysu.edu.cn, (+86) 020-87332477
21
22

23
24 **Abstract**

25 **Objectives:** Suicide problems among sexual minority adolescents have raised
26 worldwide concern in recent decades, and previous Western studies have
27 demonstrated that sexual minority status is associated with adolescent suicidality.
28 However, whether this association exists in Chinese adolescents remains unknown.
29 This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of suicidality and examine the association
30 between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.
31

32 **Design:** Cross-sectional survey.

33 **Setting:** A total of 506 high schools in seven provinces of China.

34 **Participants:** A total of 150,822 students in grades 7-12 completed the questionnaires,
35 and 123,459 students who reported being aware of their sexual orientation were
36 included.
37

38 **Main outcome measures:** Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and sexual attraction.

39 **Results:** Sexual minority adolescents reported higher rates of both suicidal ideation
40 (35.22%) and suicide attempts (10.21%) than their heterosexual peers. The prevalence
41 of suicidal ideation was more frequently reported in same-sex attraction females
42 (30.40%) and both-sex attraction females (42.28%) than same-sex attraction males
43 (21.59%) and both-sex attraction males (34.69%), respectively. For males and females,
44 same-sex attraction and both-sex attraction adolescents were more likely to report
45 suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than their heterosexual peers. Across all sexual
46 minority statuses stratified by sex, both-sex attraction males reported the greatest odds
47 for suicide attempts (OR=3.88, 95% CI: 2.87-5.24), and both-sex attraction females
48 reported the greatest odds for suicidal ideation (OR=2.65, 95% CI: 2.45-2.87).
49

50 **Conclusions:** Sexual minority is associated with a higher risk of suicidality among
51 Chinese adolescents; both-sex attraction males and females were associated with an
52 increased risk of suicidality than their same-sex attraction peers. Therefore, our
53 findings emphasize the urgent need to develop targeted interventions to prevent and
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

effectively address suicide-related problems among Chinese sexual minority adolescents.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Our study estimate the prevalence of suicidality and examine the association between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents
- A large-scale, nationally representative sample rendered sufficient statistical power and conduct the between-groups analyses.
- Due to the cross-sectional design, no causal and temporal relationships could be observed between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior.
- Our study sample included only school students and did not include adolescents who dropped out of school or were absent from school on the day the survey was administered.

Funding source: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 81673252) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (Grant number 2014A030313174).

Conflicting interests: The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article to disclose.

Ethics approval: The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Sun Yat-sen University, School of Public Health.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Introduction

Suicidal behavior, which includes suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and completed suicide, has raised health concerns among sexual minority (i.e., gay, lesbian, and bisexual) adolescents in recent decades. Compared with their heterosexual peers, sexual minority adolescents have been identified in numerous studies as a high-risk group for suicidal behavior.¹⁻⁴ A previous finding in the Youth Risk Behavior Surveys (YRBSs) showed that approximately 42.8% and 29.4% of sexual minority adolescents reported having suicidal ideation and suicide attempts, respectively.⁵ Studies of secondary school students in Europe reported a lifetime prevalence of 35.4% for

1
2
3 suicidal ideation and 15.4% for suicide attempts among sexual minorities.⁶ Other
4
5 relevant studies, using data from a nationally representative survey, reported that both
6
7 male and female sexual minority adolescents were more likely to report suicidal
8
9 ideation and suicide attempts than their heterosexual peers.^{1,3}
10
11 To date, most current related studies have been conducted in Western or developed
12
13 countries. China, being regarded as a country with a high suicide rate, accounts for 21%
14
15 of the world's population and 30-40% of the world's suicides,⁷ and numerous studies
16
17 have reported the suicide risk in the Chinese general population.⁸⁻¹⁰ Since Chinese
18
19 society was dominated by Confucian ideology, people were expected to derive their
20
21 identity from family roles and having children.¹¹ Sexual minority was a large
22
23 challenge to the Confucian Chinese culture (such as filial piety), and Chinese sexual
24
25 minorities were under greater stress than their counterparts in Western societies.
26
27 However, few studies have compared the suicide risk of heterosexual and sexual
28
29 minorities in a representative sample among Chinese adolescents. Previous studies
30
31 have mainly focused on Chinese man who have sex with men (MSM)^{12,13} or sexual
32
33 minority in certain regions of China.¹⁴ Nationally representative data regarding
34
35 suicide prevalence in Chinese sexual minority adolescents are limited. Whether sexual
36
37 minority status is independently associated with suicide risk among Chinese
38
39 adolescents remains unknown. Several studies from Western countries have indicated
40
41 that individuals with certain sexual minority statuses (such as bisexual identity) have
42
43 the highest levels of suicide risk across all sexual minority statuses,^{15,16} and whether
44
45 there is an elevated risk of suicide among Chinese bisexual identity adolescents is
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 unknown. Therefore, understanding the relationship between sexual minority status
4
5 and suicide risk may comprehensively reveal the prevalence of suicidal behavior
6
7 among Chinese sexual minorities, which will contribute to the detection of suicidal
8
9 behavior and provide effective suicide-related preventive interventions.
10
11

12
13 We conducted this large-scale study to estimate the prevalence of suicidality among
14
15 Chinese sexual minority adolescents, to evaluate the associations of sexual minority
16
17 statuses with suicidal ideation and attempts, and to investigate whether these
18
19 associations vary in relation to various sexual minority statuses.
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Methods**

26 *2.1 Study design and participants*

27
28 We utilized data from the 2015 School-Based Chinese Adolescents Health Survey
29
30 (SCAHS),^{17, 18} an ongoing, large-scale health-related behavior survey among Chinese
31
32 adolescents (grades 7-12). The SCAHS has been conducted every two years since
33
34 2007, and the 2015 survey was the most recent version conducted in seven Chinese
35
36 provinces.¹⁹
37
38
39
40
41

42 In the 2015 SCAHS, students were selected by a 4-stage, stratified-cluster,
43
44 random-sampling method. In stage 1, all 34 province-level regions in China were
45
46 divided of China into four regional stratifications (East China, West China, South
47
48 China, and North China), and we then selected two representative provinces from
49
50 each regional stratification by simple randomization (only one province from East
51
52 China). In stage 2, cities in each representative province were divided into three
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 economic stratifications (high-level, middle-level, and low-level) by per capita GDP
4 (Gross Domestic Product). Based on the proportions of these three types of cities, six
5
6 cities were randomly selected from each representative province. In stage 3, schools
7
8 were divided into three categories: junior high schools (i.e., grades 7-9), senior high
9
10 schools (i.e., grades 10-12), and vocational high schools (i.e., grades 7-12). Based on
11
12 the proportions of these three types of schools, four junior high schools, four senior
13
14 high schools, and four vocational high schools were randomly selected from each
15
16 representative city (506 schools agreed to participate in this study). In stage 4, two
17
18 classes were randomly selected from each grade within the selected schools, and all
19
20 available students in the selected classes were invited to participate in this study
21
22 voluntarily. In total, 150,822 students completed the questionnaires (response rate of
23
24 95.93%).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 It remains unclear whether “unsure” should be classified as sexual minorities, and the
33
34 “unsure” respondents may be quite different from other types of sexual minority
35
36 status respondents regarding suicidal behaviors.²⁰ Thus, for our study, students who
37
38 belonged to the “unsure” category were not included in the analysis. Therefore, a total
39
40 of 123,459 students were included in the analysis.
41
42
43
44

45 *2.2 Data collection*

46
47 All students from the chosen classes were distributed a standardized self-administered
48
49 questionnaire on the survey day, and they answered it in the classroom during a
50
51 normal class period (40 or 45 minutes). To protect the privacy of the students, the
52
53 questionnaire was completed by each student participant anonymously without the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 presence of teachers or other school personnel (to avoid any potential information
4
5 bias). All data were collected from November 2014 to January 2015.
6
7

8 *2.3 Ethics statement*

9

10 This study was approved by the Sun Yat-Sen University School of Public Health
11
12 Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from each
13
14 participating student who was at least 18 years old, and written informed consent was
15
16 obtained from one of the student's parents (or legal guardian) if the student was under
17
18 18 years old.
19
20
21

22 *2.4 Measures*

23

24 *2.4.1 Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts*

25
26

27 Suicidal ideation was defined as responding "1 or more times" to the following
28
29 question: "During the past 12 months, how many times did you seriously consider
30
31 attempting suicide?" Suicide attempts were assessed by asking students to rate on a
32
33 scale of zero, once, or more: "During the past 12 months, how many times did you
34
35 actually attempt suicide?"²¹⁻²³
36
37

38 *2.4.2 Sexual minority status*

39
40

41 Sexual minority was defined as persons who were sexually attracted to people of their
42
43 own sex; have sexual relations with people of their own sex; or identify as gay,
44
45 lesbian, bisexual, or queer. Individuals who occupied one or more identities were
46
47 defined as sexual minority status.²⁴ Sexual minority status was measured by asking
48
49 students the following question regarding sexual attraction: "In a romantic
50
51 relationship, which kind of person are you attracted to?" Response options included
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the following: (1) opposite sex, (2) same sex, (3) equally opposite and same sex, and
4
5 (4) unsure.²⁵ We used these questions and the sex of respondents to create the
6
7 following categories: (1) heterosexual, (2) same-sex attraction (SSA), (3) both-sex
8
9 attraction (BSA), and (4) unsure. Students who belonged to categories (2) and (3)
10
11 were classified as sexual minority.
12
13
14

15 16 *2.4.3 Demographic variables*

17
18 Factors previously reported to be associated with suicidal behavior in sexual minority
19
20 adolescents were taken into consideration.^{1, 3, 26} Demographic variables included sex,
21
22 age, bullying experience, academic pressure, household socioeconomic status (HSS),
23
24 current smoking, and current drinking.
25
26

27
28 After reading a brief definition of bullying from the Olweus Bully/Victim
29
30 Questionnaire, adolescents were asked: “How often have you been bullied (kicked,
31
32 excluded intentionally from participating, made fun of with sexual jokes, etc.) at
33
34 school in the past 30 days?”²⁷ Answers were given on a 3-point scale as follows: (1)
35
36 never, (2) sometimes or rarely (one or two times), or (3) often (more than three times).
37
38 Students reporting a frequency of “often” in the past 30 days were classified as
39
40 bullied.²⁸ Academic pressure was assessed based on the student’s self-rating
41
42 concerning their school work; responses were coded as follows: (1) none, (2) less, or
43
44 (3) medium or great. HSS was measured by asking about the student’s perception of
45
46 his or her household’s current socioeconomic status; responses were coded as follows:
47
48 (1) very good, (2) good, and (3) fair or poor. Current smoking was measured by
49
50 asking the following question: “During the past 30 days, on how many days did you
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 smoke cigarettes?” Students who selected answers indicating 1 or more days were
4
5 classified as current smokers.^{29, 30} Current drinking was assessed by the following
6
7 question: “During the past 30 days, on how many days did you drink alcohol?”
8
9

10 Students who selected answers indicating 1 or more days were classified as current
11
12 drinkers.³¹
13

14 15 16 *2.5 Statistical analysis*

17
18 Prevalence estimates and logistic regression analyses used appropriate sampling
19
20 weights (adjusting for student’s grade, student’s sex, and school location) and
21
22 estimation procedures that accounted for the complex sampling design. Taylor series
23
24 estimation methods were utilized to obtain proper standard error estimates. First,
25
26 descriptive analyses were conducted to describe the demographic characteristics and
27
28 prevalence of suicidality. Second, Rao-Scott Chi-square tests and one-way ANOVA
29
30 were used to compare the differences in demographic characteristics and suicide rates
31
32 between groups. Third, univariate logistic regression models were performed to
33
34 explore the associations between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and
35
36 suicide attempts without the confounding effects of sex. Additional multivariate
37
38 models sequentially adjusted for age, bullying experience, academic pressure, HSS,
39
40 current smoking and current drinking. Based on previously reported studies,^{9, 10} age,
41
42 school environment (e.g., bullying experience), socio-family environment (e.g.,
43
44 academic pressure and HSS), and unhealthy behaviors (e.g., smoking and drinking)
45
46 were associated with suicidal behaviors in China, and all were added as covariates to
47
48 determine the independent associations of sexual minority status related to suicidality.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

OR (Odds ratios) and 95% CI (confidence intervals) were obtained from logistic regression models. *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant (tested 2-sided) for regression analysis. The alpha level for paired comparison was set by the Bonferroni correction. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

3.1 Demographic characteristics

Basic descriptive statistics are shown in **Table 1**. Of the total sample, 95.01% were heterosexual, and 4.99% were sexual minority. The mean (SE) age of the adolescents was 15.30 (0.49) years. More female students (58.63%) were reported as sexual minority than male students (41.37%), and a higher proportion of sexual minority (16.11%) students reported school bullying experiences during the past 30 days compared with their heterosexual peers (7.97%). Additionally, academic pressure, HSS, smoking and drinking between heterosexual and sexual minority adolescents were significantly different.

3.2 Prevalence of suicidality

As shown in **Table 1**, sexual minority students reported higher rates of both suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than heterosexual students. For male adolescents (**Table 2**), the weighted prevalence of suicidal ideation was more frequently reported in sexual minority (SSA: 21.59%; BSA: 34.69%) students than that in their heterosexual peers (14.50%). After paired comparison, BSA students reported the highest rate of

1
2
3 suicidal ideation among male adolescents. The weighted prevalence of admitting
4
5
6 suicide attempts were higher in sexual minority adolescents (SSA: 6.91%; BSA:
7
8 12.18%) than that in their heterosexual peers (2.17%); however, no significant
9
10 differences were found between SSA and BSA students.
11

12
13 For female adolescents (**Table 2**), the weighted prevalence of suicidal ideation was
14
15 more frequently reported in sexual minority (SSA: 30.40%; BSA: 42.28%) students
16
17 than that in their heterosexual peers (18.85%). After paired comparison, BSA students
18
19 reported the highest rate of suicidal ideation among female adolescents. The weighted
20
21 prevalence of admitting suicide attempts were higher in sexual minority adolescents
22
23 (SSA: 8.92%; BSA: 10.86%) than that in their heterosexual peers (3.04%); however,
24
25
26
27 no significant differences were found between SSA and BSA students.
28

29
30 Among male and female adolescents, the weighted prevalence of suicidal ideation
31
32 was more frequently reported in SSA females (30.40%) and BSA females (42.28%)
33
34 than SSA males (21.59%) and BSA males (34.69%), respectively. However, there
35
36
37 were no significant differences in the weighted prevalence of admitting suicide
38
39 attempts between SSA males and SSA females, and BSA males and BSA females,
40
41
42 among male and female adolescents, respectively.
43

44 45 *3.3 Associations between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and suicide* 46 47 *attempts* 48

49
50 As shown in **Table 3**, for male adolescents, unadjusted analyses (model 1) showed
51
52 that SSA and BSA were positively associated with suicidal ideation and suicide
53
54 attempts. Adjusting for bullying experience, academic pressure, HSS, current smoking,
55
56
57
58
59

1
2
3 and current drinking attenuated OR estimates, and the differences remained
4
5 statistically significant (model 2). SSA (OR=1.57, 95% CI: 1.28-1.92) and BSA
6
7 (OR=2.43, 95% CI: 2.04-2.90) students were more likely to report suicidal ideation
8
9 than their heterosexual peers. Compared with heterosexual peers, SSA (OR=3.10, 95%
10
11 CI: 2.28-4.20) and BSA (OR=3.88, 95% CI: 2.87-5.24) males were significantly more
12
13 likely to report at least one suicide attempts. BSA males reported the greatest odds for
14
15 suicide attempts across all sexual minority statuses stratified by sex.
16
17

18
19 For female adolescents, unadjusted analyses (model 1) showed that SSA and BSA
20
21 were positively associated with suicidal ideation and suicide attempts. Adjusting for
22
23 bullying experience, academic pressure, HSS, current smoking, and current drinking
24
25 attenuated OR estimates, and the differences remained statistically significant (model
26
27 2). SSA (OR=1.40, 95% CI: 1.28-1.54) and BSA (OR=2.65, 95% CI: 2.45-2.87)
28
29 females were more likely to report suicidal ideation than their heterosexual peers.
30
31 Compared with heterosexual students, SSA (OR=1.94, 95% CI: 1.43-2.63) and BSA
32
33 (OR=2.64, 95% CI: 2.22-3.14) females were significantly more likely to report at
34
35 least one suicide attempts. BSA females reported the greatest odds for suicidal
36
37 ideation across all sexual minority statuses stratified by sex.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Discussion**

49
50 Like many studies in Western countries, we determined that Chinese sexual minority
51
52 adolescents have a higher risk of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than their
53
54 heterosexual peers; both-sex attraction males and females were associated with an
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 increased risk of suicidality. To our knowledge, this study is the first to utilize
4
5
6 nationally representative data to explore the association between sexual minority
7
8 status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.
9

10 Consistent with previous findings among Western adolescents,¹⁻³ our results
11
12 additionally revealed that sexual minority adolescents had a higher prevalence of both
13
14 suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than their heterosexual peers. Compared with a
15
16 community-based study conducted in sexual minority youths from three Asian cities
17
18 (Hanoi, Shanghai, and Taipei),¹⁴ the prevalence of suicidality in our sexual minority
19
20 samples was similar to that in the Taipei samples; however, the prevalence of
21
22 suicidality in our sexual minority samples for suicidal ideation and suicide attempts
23
24 was higher than those in the Shanghai and Hanoi samples. The variation in results
25
26 may derive from the different sample sources and age structures. Our findings provide
27
28 population-based evidence of the prevalence of suicidal behavior among Chinese
29
30 sexual minorities, which is useful for identifying high-risk adolescents who may be at
31
32 risk of suicide.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Furthermore, we found that, compared with their heterosexual peers, Chinese sexual
41
42 minority adolescents were associated with an increased risk of suicidality after
43
44 stratification by sex. To our knowledge, due to insufficient sample sizes, most
45
46 previous studies combined individuals of different sexual minority statuses into one
47
48 category without considering sex stratification, which may obscure the estimates of
49
50 suicide risk among high-risk adolescents.^{15, 32} Our study is the first to utilize a large,
51
52
53 nationally representative sample to explore the association between sexual minority
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents grouped according to sexual
4
5
6 minority status and stratified by sex. Our results are consistent with a previous
7
8 Australian national study that reported a higher risk of suicidal ideation and suicide
9
10 attempts among homosexual males and bisexual females.¹⁵ Although the associations
11
12 of bisexual males with suicidal ideation and homosexual females with suicide
13
14 attempts were not statistically significant in their study, our results determined that
15
16 BSA males were associated with an increased risk of suicidal ideation, and SSA
17
18 females were associated with an increased risk of suicide attempts. The variation in
19
20 results may derive from the different population characteristics and measures of
21
22 sexual minority statuses. One possible mechanism to explain the association between
23
24 sexual minority status and suicide risk may be related to the experience of minority
25
26 stress.³³ According to the Minority Stress Model, sexual minority individuals may
27
28 experience minority stressors (e.g., prejudice events, internalized homophobia, etc.).
29
30 The experience of these stressors is related to lower well-being and higher levels of
31
32 suicidal ideation.³⁴ Previous results from a 2011 National School Climate Survey
33
34 indicated that over 60-80% of sexual minority students reported being verbally
35
36 harassed, and 40% of students experienced physical violence at school during the past
37
38 year.³⁵ Experiences such as being threatened or injured are directly related to
39
40 suicidality among sexual minority adolescents.³⁶ In current Chinese society, stigma
41
42 against non-heterosexual individuals persists, and a large portion of the general
43
44 population shows intolerant attitudes toward sexual minorities.³⁷ In our study, we
45
46 additionally found that Chinese sexual minorities have a higher prevalence of bullying
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 experiences (**Table 1**) than their heterosexual peers. Our results suggest that a
4
5
6 negative school environment may play a potential role in the association between
7
8 sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.
9

10
11 In addition, the highest risk of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts was determined
12
13 for BSA adolescents in our study. One possible explanation is that bisexual identified
14
15 individuals may experience more psychological distress and mental health problems
16
17 than homosexuals and heterosexuals due to their belief that they do not belong to a
18
19 particular group; Furthermore, they may suffer from minority stress by both
20
21 homosexuals and heterosexuals, which makes them more isolated and vulnerable to
22
23 chronic stress and could lead to an increased risk of suicide.³⁸
24
25
26

27
28 Therefore, early detection and intervention programs (such as YRBSs) are urgently
29
30 needed for Chinese sexual minority adolescents to provide more social support
31
32 services (e.g., Gay-Straight Alliance groups and Parents and Friends of Lesbians and
33
34 Gays) as they cope with minority stress.³⁹ Furthermore, practitioners (e.g.,
35
36 psychologists, psychiatrists, counselors, and social workers) should specifically focus
37
38 on suicide risk among both-sex sexual minority status adolescents due to their weaker
39
40 collective identity. By increasing understanding and acceptance of both-sex sexual
41
42 minority status, we may be able to help those high-risk individuals cope with mental
43
44 health problems.⁴⁰
45
46
47
48

49
50 There are some noteworthy limitations that should be considered that would provide
51
52 important directions for future research. First, because of the cross-sectional design,
53
54 our study is limited to one time point of data collection, and no causal and temporal
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 relationships could be observed between sexual minority status and relational factors
4
5 regarding suicidal behavior. Second, our study used a structured, self-rating
6
7 questionnaire to collect data that could not completely rule out the possibility of recall
8
9 bias. Third, our study sample included only school students and did not include
10
11 adolescents who dropped out of school or were absent from school on the day the
12
13 survey was administered. Despite these limitations, the primary strengths of our study
14
15 are that it includes a large-scale, nationally representative sample of Chinese
16
17 adolescents, rendering us sufficient statistical power, and could avoid over-sampling
18
19 of the sexual minority population. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, our
20
21 study is the first to estimate the association between sexual minority status and
22
23 suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Conclusions**

34
35 Suicide problems among sexual minority adolescents have raised global health
36
37 concerns. However, few related studies have been conducted among Chinese
38
39 adolescents. Findings from our study suggest that sexual minority adolescents are
40
41 associated with a higher risk of suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.
42
43
44 Compared with their same-sex attraction peers, both-sex attraction males and females
45
46 were associated with an increased risk of suicidality. Based on the results of our study,
47
48 conducting early detection and intervention programs is suggested for Chinese sexual
49
50 minorities (especially both-sex attraction individuals) to more effectively and
51
52 pertinently prevent suicide-related problems. Future studies that focus on the risk
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 factors, mechanisms and interventions of suicidal behavior in Chinese sexual minority
4
5 adolescents are warranted.
6
7
8
9

10 **Contributors' Statement:**

11 Ciyong Lu conceptualized and designed the study, reviewed and revised the
12 manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted. Yeen Huang and
13 Pengsheng Li conceptualized and designed the study, coordinated and supervised data
14 collection, carried out the initial analyses, drafted the initial manuscript, and approved
15 the final manuscript as submitted. They contributed equally to this study. Lan Guo
16 carried out the analyses and interpreted data, reviewed and revised the manuscript and
17 approved the final manuscript as submitted. Xue Gao, Yan Xu, Guoliang Huang, and
18 Xueqing Deng designed the data collection instruments, coordinated and supervised
19 data collection, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final
20 manuscript as submitted. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and
21 agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Acknowledgments:** The authors thank local health professionals, the Department of
28 Education, and participating schools for their assistance and support. In addition, we
29 express our gratitude to all the participants in our study.
30
31
32

33 **References**

- 34 1. Remafedi G, French SA, Story M, et al. The relationship between suicide risk and
35 sexual orientation: results of a population-based study. *Am J Public Health*
36 1998;88:57-60.
- 37 2. Skerrett DM, Kolves K, De Leo D, et al. Are LGBT populations at a higher risk
38 for suicidal behaviors in Australia? Research findings and implications. *J*
39 *Homosex* 2015;62:883-901.
- 40 3. Russell ST, Joyner K. Adolescent sexual orientation and suicide risk: evidence
41 from a national study. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:1276-81.
- 42 4. Zhao Y, Montoro R, Igartua KJ, et al. Suicidal Ideation and Attempt Among
43 Adolescents Reporting “Unsure” Sexual Identity or Heterosexual Identity Plus
44 Same-Sex Attraction or Behavior: Forgotten Groups? *J Am Acad Child Adolesc*
45 *Psychiatry* 2010;49:104-13.
- 46 5. Kann L, Olsen EO, Mcmanus T, et al. Sexual Identity, Sex of Sexual Contacts,
47 and Health-Risk Behaviors among Students in Grades 9-12 —United States and
48 selected Sites, 2015. *MMWR Surveill Summ* (Washington, D.C.: 2002)
49 2016;65:19-20.
- 50 6. Wichstrøm L, Hegna K. Sexual orientation and suicide attempt: a longitudinal
51 study of the general Norwegian adolescent population. *J Abnorm Psychol*
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 2003;112:144-51.
7. Phillips MR, Li X, Zhang Y, et al. Suicide rates in China, 1995-99. *The Lancet* 2002;359:835-40.
8. Qin P, Mortensen PB. Specific characteristics of suicide in China. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2001;103:117-21.
9. Li Y, Li YF, Cao J, et al. Factors associated with suicidal behaviors in mainland China: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12:524.
10. Dai J, Chiu HF, Conner K R, et al. Suicidal ideation and attempts among rural Chinese aged 16—34 years — Socio-demographic correlates in the context of a transforming China. *J Affect Disord* 2011;130:438-46.
11. Zhang H, Wong WC, Ip P, et al. Health Status and Risk Behaviors of Sexual Minorities among Chinese Adolescents: A School-Based Survey. *J Homosex* 2017;64:382-96.
12. Chen H, Li Y, Wang L, et al. Causes of suicidal behaviors in men who have sex with men in China: a national questionnaire survey. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:1-4.
13. Mu H, Li Y, Li L, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for lifetime suicide ideation, plan and attempt in Chinese men who have sex with men. *BMC Psychiatry* 2016;16:117.
14. Lian Q, Zuo X, Lou C, et al. Sexual orientation and risk factors for suicidal ideation and suicide attempts: a multi-centre cross-sectional study in three Asian cities. *J Epidemiol* 2015;25:155-61.
15. Swannell S, Martin G, Page A. Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and non-suicidal self-injury among lesbian, gay, bisexual and heterosexual adults: Findings from an Australian national study. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2016;50:145-53.
16. Pompili M, Lester D, Forte A, et al. Bisexuality and Suicide: A Systematic Review of the Current Literature. *J Sex Med* 2014;11:1903-13.
17. Wang H, Deng J, Zhou X, et al. The nonmedical use of prescription medicines among high school students: A cross-sectional study in Southern China. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;141:9-15.
18. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers among high school students in China: a multilevel analysis. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e007569.
19. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Associations between childhood maltreatment and non-medical use of prescription drugs among Chinese adolescents. *Addiction* 2017.
20. Garofalo R, Wolf RC, Kessel S, et al. The association between health risk behaviors and sexual orientation among a school-based sample of adolescents. *Pediatrics* 1998;101:895-902.
21. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Association between Nonmedical Use of Prescription Drugs and Suicidal Behavior among Adolescents. *JAMA Pediatr* 2016;170:971-78.
22. Langille DB, Asbridge M, Cragg A, et al. Associations of School Connectedness with Adolescent Suicidality: Gender Differences and the Role of Risk of

- 1
2
3 Depression. *Can J Psychiatry* 2015;60:258-67.
- 4 23. Woods ER, Lin YG, Middleman AB, et al. The associations of suicide attempts in
5 adolescents. *Pediatrics* 1997;99:791-96.
- 6 24. Graham R, Berkowitz B, Blum R, et al. The health of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and
7 transgender people: Building a foundation for better understanding. Washington,
8 DC: Institute of Medicine 2011.
- 9 25. Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual (LGB) Youth Sexual Orientation Measurement Work
10 Group. Measuring Sexual Orientation of Young People in Health Research. San
11 Francisco, CA: Gay and Lesbian Medical Association 2003.
- 12 26. Shields JP, Whitaker K, Glassman J, et al. Impact of victimization on risk of
13 suicide among lesbian, gay, and bisexual high school students in San Francisco. *J*
14 *Adolesc Health* 2012;50:418-20.
- 15 27. Olweus D. The revised Olweus bully/victim questionnaire. Bergen, Norway:
16 University of Bergen 1996.
- 17 28. Wu J, He Y, Lu C, et al. Bullying behaviors among Chinese school-aged youth: A
18 prevalence and correlates study in Guangdong province. *Psychiatry Res*
19 2015;225:716-22.
- 20 29. Acierno R, Kilpatrick DG, Resnick HS, et al. Assault, PTSD, family substance
21 use, and depression as risk factors for cigarette use in youth: findings from the
22 National Survey of Adolescents. *J Trauma Stress* 2000;13:381-96.
- 23 30. Kandra KL, Mccullough A, Ranney L, et al. Support Among Middle School and
24 High School Students for Smoke-free Policies, North Carolina, 2009. *Prev*
25 *Chronic Dis* 2013;10:675-81.
- 26 31. Huang R, Ho SY, Wang MP, et al. Sociodemographic risk factors of alcohol
27 drinking in Hong Kong adolescents. *J Epidemiol Community Health*
28 2016;70:374-79.
- 29 32. Graaf RD, Sandfort TG, Have MT. Suicidality and sexual orientation: differences
30 between men and women in a general population-based sample from the
31 Netherlands. *Arch Sex Behav* 2006;35:253-62.
- 32 33. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual
33 populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychol Bull*
34 2003;129:674-97.
- 35 34. Baams L, Grossman AH, Russell ST, et al. Minority stress and mechanisms of
36 risk for depression and suicidal ideation among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth.
37 *Dev Psychol* 2015;51:688-96.
- 38 35. Kosciw JG, Greytak EA, Bartkiewicz MJ, et al. The 2011 National School
39 Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth
40 in our nation's schools. New York: GLSEN 2012.
- 41 36. Bontempo DE, Augelli AR. Effects of at-school victimization and sexual
42 orientation on lesbian, gay, or bisexual youths' health risk behavior. *J Adolesc*
43 *Health* 2002;30:364-74.
- 44 37. Kwok DK, Wu J. Chinese attitudes towards sexual minorities in Hong Kong:
45 Implications for mental health. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2015;27:444-54.
- 46 38. Koh AS, Ross LK. Mental health issues: A comparison of lesbian, bisexual and
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 heterosexual women. *J Homosex* 2006;51:33-57.
- 4 39. Whitaker K, Shapiro VB, Shields JP, et al. School-Based Protective Factors
5 Related to Suicide for Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*
6 2016;58:63-68.
- 7
8 40. Eubankscarter C, Burckell LA, Goldfried MR, et al. Enhancing Therapeutic
9 Effectiveness with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients. *Clin Psychol*
10 2006;12:1-18.
- 11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of heterosexual and sexual minority Chinese adolescents (N=123,459).

Variable	Total No. (Weighted %)	Heterosexual No. (Weighted %)	Sexual minority No. (Weighted %)	P-value
Total	123,459 (100.00)	116,774 (95.01)	6,685 (4.99)	
Suicidal ideation				<0.001
No	100,772 (82.51)	96,513 (83.44)	4,259 (64.78)	
Yes	22,687 (17.49)	20,261 (16.56)	2,426 (35.22)	
Suicide attempts				<0.001
No	119,515 (97.04)	113,543 (97.42)	5,972 (89.79)	
Yes	3,944 (2.96)	3,231 (2.58)	713 (10.21)	
Sex				<0.001
Male	59,826 (52.20)	57,343 (52.77)	2,483 (41.37)	
Female	63,633 (47.80)	59,431 (47.23)	4,202 (58.63)	
Age (year)^a	15.30 (0.49)	15.31 (0.49)	15.09 (0.44)	0.0428
Bullying experience				<0.001
No	113,435 (91.62)	107,767 (92.03)	5,668 (83.89)	
Yes	10,024 (8.38)	9,007 (7.97)	1,017 (16.11)	
Academic pressure				<0.001
None	18,111 (14.99)	17,051 (14.93)	1,060 (16.08)	
Less	55,709 (45.75)	53,061 (46.06)	2,648 (39.93)	
Medium or great	49,639 (39.26)	46,662 (39.01)	2,977 (43.98)	
HSS^b				<0.001
Very good	24,268 (21.91)	22,812 (21.79)	1,456 (24.23)	
Good	75,054 (60.86)	71,306 (61.15)	3,748 (55.36)	
Fair or poor	24,137 (17.23)	22,656 (17.07)	1,481 (20.41)	
Current smoking				<0.001
No	116,144 (93.88)	109,954 (93.94)	6,190 (92.63)	
Yes	7,315 (6.12)	6,820 (6.06)	495 (7.37)	
Current drinking				<0.001
No	101,981 (82.60)	96,909 (82.91)	5,072 (76.63)	
Yes	21,478 (17.40)	19,865 (17.09)	1,613 (23.37)	

^a Age data are presented as the means (SE).

^b HSS: Household socioeconomic status.

Table 2. Prevalence of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among heterosexual and sexual minority adolescents, by sex (N=123,459).

	Males (N=59,826)			Females (N=63,633)		
	Heterosexual=1	SSA=2	BSA=3	Heterosexual=4	SSA=5	BSA=6
	No. (W %)	No. (W %)	No. (W %)	No. (W %)	No. (W %)	No. (W %)
Suicidal ideation						
No	48,572 (85.50)	795 (78.41)	919 (65.31)	47,941 (81.15)	706 (69.60)	1,839 (57.72)
Yes	8,771 (14.50)	243 (21.59)	526 (34.69)	11,490 (18.85)	309 (30.40)	1,348 (42.28)
Suicide attempts						
No	55,991 (97.83)	962 (93.09)	1,267 (87.82)	57,552 (96.96)	923 (91.08)	2,820 (89.14)
Yes	1,352 (2.17)	76 (6.91)	178 (12.18)	1,879 (3.04)	92 (8.92)	367 (10.86)

Note: All analyses are weighted, frequencies are unweighted and prevalence is weighted;

SSA=same-sex attraction; BSA=both-sex attraction; W %=Weighted prevalence;

The alpha level for paired comparison was set at $P=0.006$ after the Bonferroni correction.

Paired comparison for suicidal ideation:

2>1, $P<0.001$ 4>1, $P<0.001$ 5>4, $P<0.001$

3>1, $P<0.001$ 5>2, $P<0.001$ 6>4, $P<0.001$

3>2, $P<0.001$ 6>3, $P=0.002$ 6>5, $P<0.001$

Paired comparison for suicide attempts:

2>1, $P<0.001$ 4>1, $P<0.001$ 5>4, $P<0.001$

3>1, $P<0.001$ 5>2, $P=0.119$ 6>4, $P<0.001$

3>2, $P=0.011$ 6>3, $P=0.408$ 6>5, $P=0.0672$

Table 3. Associations of sexual minority status with suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among Chinese adolescents, by sex (N=123,459).

	Model 1 ^a			Model 2 ^b		
	OR	95% CI	P	OR	95% CI	P
Suicidal ideation						
Males						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	1.62	1.30-2.03	<0.05	1.57	1.28-1.92	<0.05
BSA	3.14	2.60-3.79	<0.001	2.43	2.04-2.90	<0.001
Females						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	1.86	1.65-2.10	<0.05	1.40	1.28-1.54	<0.01
BSA	3.16	2.93-3.41	<0.001	2.65	2.45-2.87	<0.001
Suicide attempts						
Males						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	3.26	2.40-4.44	<0.05	3.10	2.28-4.20	<0.05
BSA	6.25	4.46-8.75	<0.001	3.88	2.87-5.24	<0.001
Females						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	3.14	2.37-4.15	<0.001	1.94	1.43-2.63	<0.05
BSA	3.90	3.13-4.84	<0.001	2.64	2.22-3.14	<0.001

Note: SSA=same-sex attraction; BSA=both-sex attraction; CI=Confidence interval; OR=Odds ratio.

^aUnadjusted.

^bAdjusted for age, bullying experience, academic pressure, household socioeconomic status, current smoking and current drinking.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Page 1 (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Page 1
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Page 2-3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Page 4
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper Page 4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Page 5
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up NA. <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls NA. <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Page 4-5 (b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Page 6-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Page 6-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Page 6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at Page 4-5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Statistical methods	12	Page 8 <hr/> <i>(a)</i> Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Page 8 <hr/> <i>(b)</i> Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions NA. <hr/> <i>(c)</i> Explain how missing data were addressed NA. <hr/> <i>(d)</i> <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy Page 8 <hr/> <i>(e)</i> Describe any sensitivity analyses NA.
---------------------	----	--

Continued on next page

Results

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Page 9 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders Page 9-10 (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest NA. (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) NA.
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time NA. <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure NA. <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures Page 10-11
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included Page 10-11 (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized NA. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period NA.
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses NA.
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Page 11-12
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Page 14-15
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Page 12-14
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Page 15

Other information

Funding 22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

[Page 2](#)

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents: A large-scale cross-sectional study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020969.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Apr-2018
Complete List of Authors:	huang, yeen; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology Li, Pengsheng; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology Lan, Guo; Sun Yat-sen University, School of Public Health Xue, Gao; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Xu, Yan; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Huang, Guoliang; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Xueqing, Deng; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University Lu, CiYong; Department of medical statistics and epidemiology,
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Mental health
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, SEXUAL MEDICINE, PAEDIATRICS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

only

Sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents: A large-scale cross-sectional study

Yeen Huang^{a#}, MD, Pengsheng Li^{a#}, MD, Lan Guo^a, MD, Xue Gao^b, MD, Yan Xu^b, MD, Guoliang Huang^b, MS, Xueqing Deng^{a,c}, MS, Ciyong Lu^{a,c}, MD, PhD

Affiliations: ^a Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China; ^b Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong, Guangzhou, China; ^c Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food, Nutrition and Health, Guangzhou, China

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Address correspondence to: Ciyong Lu, Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China #74, Zhongshan Road 2, Guangzhou 510080, P.R China, E-mail address: luciyong@mail.sysu.edu.cn, (+86) 020-87332477

Abstract

Objectives: Suicidality among sexual minority adolescents have raised concern worldwide in recent decades, and previous Western studies have demonstrated that sexual minority status is associated with adolescent suicidality. However, whether this association exists in Chinese adolescents remains largely unknown. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of suicidality and examine the associations between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.

Design: Cross-sectional survey.

Setting: A total of 506 high schools in seven provinces of China.

Participants: A total of 150,822 students in grades 7-12 who completed the questionnaires (response rate of 95.93%) were included.

Main outcome measures: Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and same-sex romantic attraction.

Results: Of the 150,822 adolescents analyzed, 4.1% self-reported as sexual minorities, and 17.3% were unsure. Same-sex romantic attraction (males: 6.9%; females: 8.9%) and both-sex romantic attraction (males: 12.2%; females: 10.9%) adolescents reported a higher prevalence of past-year suicide attempts than heterosexual adolescents (males: 2.2%; females: 3.1%). Compared with their heterosexual peers, sexual minority adolescents were more likely to have past-year suicide attempts, and larger effects of past-year suicide attempts were reported in both-sex romantic attraction adolescents (males: AOR=3.83, 95% CI: 2.85-5.14; females: AOR=2.59, 95% CI: 2.19-3.06).

Conclusions: Our study suggested that Chinese sexual minority adolescents were associated with a higher risk of suicidality, and those with both-sex romantic attraction had an especially high risk in this population. These findings emphasized the urgent need to develop targeted interventions to effectively address suicide-related problems among Chinese sexual minority adolescents.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Our study estimated the prevalence of suicidality and examined the association between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents
- A large-scale, nationally representative sample provided sufficient statistical power, and between-groups analyses were conducted.
- Due to the nature of the cross-sectional data, interpretation of the direction of the observed associations is limited.
- Our study sample included only students attending school and did not include adolescents who dropped out of school or were absent from school on the day the survey was administered.

Funding source: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 81673252) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (Grant number 2014A030313174).

Conflicting interests: The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article to disclose.

Ethics approval: The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Sun Yat-sen University, School of Public Health.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Introduction

Suicidal behavior, which includes suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and completed suicide, has raised concerns about the health of sexual minority adolescents (i.e., adolescents who experience same-sex attraction, engage in same-sex sexual behavior, or self-identify as gay, lesbian, bisexual) in recent decades. Minority stress theory¹ suggests that difficulties in dealing with minority stressors (prejudice, discrimination, and stigma) associated with same-sex orientation may lead to substance abuse², depression³, and even suicide⁴ among sexual minorities. Compared with their heterosexual peers, sexual minority adolescents have been identified in numerous studies as a high-risk group for suicidal behavior.⁵ Regarding the associations of sexual minority status with suicidal behavior, most related studies have been

1
2
3 conducted in Western or developed countries. A previous findings from the Youth
4 Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) in the United States showed that approximately 42.8%
5 and 29.4% of sexual minority adolescents reported having past-year suicidal ideation
6 and suicide attempts, respectively.⁶ Two longitudinal studies from the United States
7 and Norway showed that sexual minority adolescents were twice and four times more
8 likely, respectively, to have attempted suicide in the past year than their heterosexual
9 peers.^{5,7} Moreover, a previous systematic review indicated that bisexual individuals
10 from developed countries have the highest levels of suicide risk among sexual
11 minorities.⁸ Those findings thus identified sexual minority status as a risk factor for
12 adolescent suicidality in Western or developed countries.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
China as the largest developing country with a high suicide rate, accounts for 21%
of the world's population and 30-40% of the world's suicides,⁹ and although
numerous studies focus on suicide risk in Chinese adolescents,^{10,11} little attention has
been devoted to sexual minorities. Previous studies in China have shown that
4.6%-12.6% of gay adults have reported lifetime suicide attempts^{12,13} and sexual
minority youth from Taipei were almost twice as likely to have past-year suicidal
ideation as their heterosexual peers.¹⁴ It is well known that the cultural background of
Western countries is different from that of Asian countries, especially China, where
Confucianism has been an influence for thousands of years and families and social
climates exert intense pressure on individuals to marry and have children to maintain
their family lineages.¹⁵ Thus, sexual minorities are recognized as a major impediment
to continuing the family line and maintaining a family's reputation,¹⁶ and

1
2
3 homosexuals in China may experience more minority stressors and higher levels of
4 mental and behavioral problems.^{17,18} However, there is a paucity of research exploring
5 the associations between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among
6 mainland Chinese adolescents, whether this well-known increased suicide risk for
7 sexual minorities can also be found in Chinese adolescents remains largely unknown.
8
9

10
11 Therefore, we conducted this nationally large-scale study to estimate the prevalence
12 of suicidality among Chinese sexual minority adolescents, to evaluate the associations
13 between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and attempts, to investigate
14 whether these associations vary in different sexual minority statuses, and to provide
15 suggestions about effective policymaking and develop intervention strategies for
16 governmental public health organizations.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Methods**

34 *2.1 Study design and participants*

35 We utilized data from the 2015 School-Based Chinese Adolescents Health Survey
36 (SCAHS),^{19,20} an ongoing, large-scale health-related behavior survey among Chinese
37 adolescents (grades 7-12). The SCAHS has been conducted every two years since
38 2007, and the 2015 survey was the most recent version conducted in seven Chinese
39 provinces.²¹
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 In the 2015 SCAHS, students were selected via a 4-stage, stratified-cluster,
51 random-sampling method. In stage 1, all 34 province-level regions in China were
52 divided into four regional strata (East China, West China, South China, and North
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 China), and then two representative provinces from each regional strata were selected
5
6 by simple randomization (only one province from East China). In stage 2, cities in
7
8 each representative province were divided into three economic strata (high-level,
9
10 middle-level, and low-level) by per capita GDP (gross domestic product). Based on
11
12 the proportions of these three types of cities, six cities were randomly selected from
13
14 each representative province. In stage 3, schools were divided into three categories:
15
16 junior high schools (i.e., grades 7-9), senior high schools (i.e., grades 10-12), and
17
18 vocational high schools (i.e., grades 7-12). Based on the proportions of these three
19
20 types of schools, four junior high schools, four senior high schools, and four
21
22 vocational high schools were randomly selected from each representative city (506
23
24 schools agreed to participate in this study). In stage 4, two classes were randomly
25
26 selected from each grade within the selected schools, and all available students in the
27
28 selected classes were invited to participate in this study voluntarily. In total, 150,822
29
30 students completed the questionnaires (response rate of 95.93%).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 *2.2 Data collection*

41
42 All students from the chosen classes were given a standardized self-administered
43
44 questionnaire on the day of the survey, to be completed in the classroom during a
45
46 normal class period (40 or 45 minutes). To protect student privacy, the questionnaire
47
48 was completed by each student participant anonymously without the presence of
49
50 teachers or other school personnel (to avoid any potential information bias). All data
51
52 were collected from November 2014 to January 2015.
53
54
55
56
57

2.3 Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Sun Yat-Sen University School of Public Health Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from each participating student who was at least 18 years old, or from one of the student's parents (or legal guardian) if the student was under 18 years old.

2.4 Measures

2.4.1 Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts

Suicidal ideation was defined as responding "1 or more times" to the following question: "During the past 12 months, how many times did you seriously consider attempting suicide?" Suicide attempts were assessed by asking students to respond to the following question with zero, once, or more: "During the past 12 months, how many times did you actually attempt suicide?"^{22,23}

2.4.2 Sexual minority status

The number of transsexuals among sexual minority adolescents is still very low²⁴ and hard to investigate in our school-based survey, so this minority group was not included in this study. Sexual minority status was measured by asking students the following question regarding sexual attraction: "In a romantic relationship, what kind of person are you attracted to?" Response options included the following: (1) opposite sex, (2) same sex, (3) equally opposite sex and same sex, and (4) unsure.^{25,26} Respondents were classified as the following categories: (1) heterosexual, (2) same-sex romantic attraction (SSA), (3) both-sex romantic attraction (BSA), and (4) unsure. Students who belonged to categories (2) and (3) were classified as sexual

1
2
3 minorities.

4 5 6 *2.4.3 Demographic variables*

7
8 Factors previously reported to be associated with suicidal behavior in sexual minority
9 adolescents were taken into consideration.^{4,5,27} Demographic variables included sex,
10 age, academic pressure, household socioeconomic status (HSS), current smoking,
11 current drinking, and bullying experience.
12
13
14
15
16

17
18 After reading a brief definition of bullying from the Olweus Bully/Victim
19 Questionnaire, adolescents were asked the following question: “How often have you
20 been bullied (kicked, intentionally excluded from participating, made fun of with
21 sexual jokes, etc.) at school in the past 30 days?”²⁸ Answers were given on a 3-point
22 scale as follows: (1) never, (2) sometimes or rarely (one or two times), or (3) often
23 (more than three times). Students reporting a frequency of “often” in the past 30 days
24 were classified as being bullied.²⁹ Academic pressure was assessed based on students’
25 self-rating about their school work; responses were coded as follows: (1) none, (2)
26 less, or (3) medium or great. HSS was measured by asking about the student’s
27 perception of his or her household’s current socioeconomic status; responses were
28 coded as follows: (1) very good, (2) good, and (3) fair or poor. Current smoking was
29 measured by asking the following question: “During the past 30 days, on how many
30 days did you smoke cigarettes?” Students who selected answers indicating 1 or more
31 days were classified as current smokers.^{30,31} Current drinking was assessed with the
32 following question: “During the past 30 days, on how many days did you drink
33 alcohol?” Students who selected answers indicating 1 or more days were classified as
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 current drinkers.³²
4
5

6 *2.5 Statistical analysis*

7

8 Prevalence estimates and logistic regression analyses used appropriate sampling
9 weights (adjusting for students' grade, sex, and school location) and estimation
10 procedures that accounted for the complex sampling design. Taylor series estimation
11 methods were utilized to obtain proper standard error estimates. First, descriptive
12 analyses were conducted to describe the demographic characteristics and prevalence
13 of suicidality. Second, Rao-Scott chi-square tests and one-way ANOVA were used to
14 compare the differences in demographic characteristics and suicide rates between
15 groups. Third, univariate logistic regression models were performed to explore the
16 associations between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and suicide attempts
17 without the confounding effects of sex. Additional multivariate models were
18 sequentially adjusted for age, academic pressure, HSS, current smoking current
19 drinking, and bullying experience. Based on previously reported studies,^{33,34} age,
20 socio-family environment (e.g., academic pressure and HSS), unhealthy behaviors
21 (e.g., smoking and drinking), and school environment (e.g., bullying experience) were
22 associated with suicidal behaviors in China, and all were added as covariates to
23 determine the independent associations between sexual minority status and suicidality.
24
25 Missing data accounted for less than 3.1% for all relevant variables and were
26 eliminated in the analyses. OR (odds ratios) and 95% CI (confidence intervals) were
27 obtained from logistic regression models. *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered
28 statistically significant (tested 2-sided) for regression analysis. The alpha level for
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 paired comparison was set by Bonferroni correction. All statistical analyses were
4
5 conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).
6
7
8
9

10 **Results**

11 *3.1 Demographic characteristics*

12
13 The characteristics of the students are shown in **Table 1**. Of the total sample, the mean
14
15 (SE) age of the adolescents was 15.1 (0.4) years old; 51.8% were males, and 48.2%
16
17 were females. Among these adolescents, 4.1% self-reported as sexual minorities, 17.3%
18
19 as unsure, and 78.6% as heterosexual. Compared with their unsure and heterosexual
20
21 peers, sexual minority adolescents were more likely to be females ($P<0.001$), to come
22
23 from a family with poor socioeconomic status ($P<0.001$), and to report medium or
24
25 great academic pressure ($P<0.001$). Additionally, sexual minority adolescents were
26
27 also more likely to report smoking, alcohol use, and school bullying experiences
28
29 during the past 30 days than their unsure and heterosexual peers.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 *3.2 Prevalence of suicidality by sexual minority status*

39
40 As shown in **Table 2**, for male adolescents, the weighted prevalence of past-year
41
42 suicidal ideation was more frequently reported in sexual minority (SSA: 21.6%; BSA:
43
44 34.7%) adolescents than in their heterosexual (14.50%) and unsure (11.7%) peers, and
45
46 BSA students reported the highest rate of past-year suicidal ideation. The weighted
47
48 prevalence of past-year suicide attempts was higher in sexual minority (SSA: 6.9%;
49
50 BSA: 12.2%) and unsure (3.1%) adolescents than in their heterosexual peers (2.2%),
51
52
53
54
55 and BSA students reported the highest rate of past-year suicide attempts.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 As for female adolescents, the weighted prevalence of past-year suicidal ideation
5
6 was higher in sexual minority (SSA: 30.4%; BSA: 42.3%) adolescents than in their
7
8 heterosexual (18.8%) and unsure (14.9%) peers, with BSA students having the highest
9
10 prevalence. The weighted prevalence of past-year suicide attempts was higher in
11
12 sexual minority adolescents (SSA: 8.9%; BSA: 10.9%) than in their heterosexual
13
14 (3.1%) and unsure (3.2%) peers, and BSA adolescents reported the highest rate of
15
16 past-year suicide attempts.
17
18
19

20 *3.3 Associations between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and suicide* 21 *attempts* 22 23 24

25 As shown in **Table 3**, for male adolescents, unadjusted analyses (model 1) showed
26
27 that SSA and BSA adolescents were associated with higher suicidal ideation and
28
29 suicide attempts than their heterosexual peers. After adjustment for academic pressure,
30
31 HSS, current smoking, current drinking, and bullying experience (model 2), SSA
32
33 (AOR=1.56, 95% CI: 1.26-1.94) and BSA (AOR=2.42, 95% CI: 2.03-2.88)
34
35 adolescents were more likely to report suicidal ideation than their heterosexual and
36
37 unsure peers. Compared with heterosexual peers, SSA (AOR=3.13, 95% CI:
38
39 2.28-4.28), BSA (AOR=3.83, 95% CI: 2.85-5.14), and unsure (AOR=1.55, 95% CI:
40
41 1.24-1.94) male adolescents were more likely to have suicide attempts.
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 As for female adolescents, unadjusted analyses (model 1) showed that SSA and
49
50 BSA adolescents were associated with higher suicidal ideation and suicide attempts
51
52 than their heterosexual and unsure peers. After adjustment for academic pressure,
53
54 HSS, current smoking, current drinking, and bullying experience (model 2). SSA
55
56
57
58
59
60

(OR=1.42, 95% CI: 1.30-1.56) and BSA (OR=2.61, 95% CI: 2.41-2.82) adolescents were more likely to report suicidal ideation than their heterosexual and unsure peers. Compared with heterosexual peers, SSA (OR=1.97, 95% CI: 1.43-2.70) and BSA (OR=2.59, 95% CI: 2.19-3.06) female students were more likely to have suicide attempts.

Moreover, in both male and female adolescents, differences in sexual minority status were larger for suicide attempts than for suicidal ideation, and BSA adolescents reported the highest risk of suicide attempts.

Discussion

As in many studies in Western or developed countries, we determined that Chinese sexual minority adolescents had a higher risk of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than their heterosexual peers; being a BSA male or female was associated with an increased risk of suicidality. To our knowledge, this study is the first to utilize nationally representative data to explore the associations between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.

Consistent with previous studies,⁴⁻⁶ our results additionally revealed that sexual minority adolescents had a higher prevalence of both suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than their heterosexual peers. Compared with a cross-sectional survey conducted with sexual minority youths from three Asian cities (Hanoi, Shanghai, and Taipei),¹⁴ the prevalence of past-year suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in our sexual minority samples was similar to that in Taipei samples, but higher than that in

1
2
3 Shanghai and Hanoi samples. This variation in results may derive from the different
4
5 sample sources and age structures. Our findings provide population-based evidence of
6
7 the prevalence of suicidal behavior among Chinese sexual minorities, which is useful
8
9 for identifying adolescents who may be at high risk of suicide.
10
11

12
13 Furthermore, we found that, compared with their heterosexual peers, Chinese
14
15 sexual minority adolescents were associated with an increased risk of suicidality after
16
17 stratification by sex. To our knowledge, because of their insufficient sample sizes,
18
19 most previous studies combined individuals with different sexual minority statuses
20
21 into one category without considering sex stratification, which may obscure the
22
23 estimates of suicide risk among high-risk adolescents.^{35,36} This study is the first to
24
25 utilize a nationally large-scale sample to explore the associations between sexual
26
27 minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents grouped according
28
29 to sexual minority status and stratified by sex. Our results are consistent with a
30
31 previous systematic review that reported elevated risks of past-year suicide attempts
32
33 in homosexual and bisexual adolescents, especially males.³⁷ One possible mechanism
34
35 to explain these associations is the experience of minority stress.¹ According to the
36
37 minority stress model, sexual minority individuals may experience minority stressors
38
39 (e.g., prejudice events, internalized homophobia), which are related to lower
40
41 well-being and higher levels of suicidal ideation.³⁸ Previous results from a 2011
42
43 National School Climate Survey indicated that more than 60-80% of sexual minority
44
45 students reported being verbally harassed and that 40% of students experienced
46
47 physical violence at school during the past year.³⁹ Experiences such as being
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 threatened or injured are directly related to suicidality among sexual minority
4 adolescents.⁴⁰ In current Chinese society, stigma against nonheterosexual individuals
5
6 persists, and a large portion of the general population shows intolerant attitudes
7
8 toward sexual minorities.⁴¹ In our study, we also found that Chinese sexual minorities
9
10 have a higher prevalence of bullying experiences than their heterosexual peers.
11
12 Therefore, a negative school environment may play a potential role in the
13
14 associations between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese
15
16 adolescents.
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 In line with previous studies,^{37,42} our study found that sexual orientation differences
24
25 were more apparent for suicide attempts than for suicidal ideation, and a higher
26
27 effects of suicide attempts were more common for BSA adolescents than their SSA
28
29 and heterosexual peers. Several reasons may explain the more severe forms of
30
31 suicidality among the bisexual group. First, bisexual individuals may experience
32
33 additional forms of minority stress from both homosexuals and heterosexuals, and the
34
35 various forms of biphobia and monosexism can create emotional and cognitive
36
37 dysfunction that may lead to depression, anxiety, or even suicide attempts.^{1,43} Second,
38
39 bisexual individuals are pervasively invisible in society. Heterosexual and
40
41 homosexual people have mutual interests in maintaining the primacy of monosexual
42
43 assumptions and binary sexual orientation, which may contribute to an internalized
44
45 sense of belief that bisexuals do not belong to any particular sexual minority group.
46
47 The lack of a sense of belonging may be one of the factors contributing to suicide
48
49 attempts among bisexual people.^{8,43} Third, lack of social and healthcare support was
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 more commonly reported among bisexual individuals than among their homosexual
4
5 and heterosexual peers, and this lack of support made bisexuals feel more socially
6
7 isolated and vulnerable to chronic stress and led to an increased risk of suicide.⁴⁴
8
9
10 Moreover, our results reported that 17.3% of adolescents reported being unsure about
11
12 sexual romantic attraction, which is consistent with previous research.⁴⁵ In accordance
13
14 with a previous systematic review,³⁷ the risks of past-year suicide attempts was
15
16 smaller for unsure adolescents than for sexual minorities in our study. However,
17
18 previous studies reported that unsure adolescents may show same-sex attraction or
19
20 behaviors⁴⁶ and thus may experience minority stress (e.g., bullying victimization),⁴⁷
21
22 leading to health disparities such as depression, anxiety,⁴⁸ and suicidal ideation.⁴⁹
23
24
25 Therefore, further research to explore the prevalence and mechanisms of suicidality
26
27 among unsure adolescents is needed.
28
29
30
31

32
33 Chinese sexual minorities suffer from minority stressors due to discrimination,
34
35 homophobia and other conditions in the social environment impacted by traditional
36
37 Chinese culture (which is rooted in Confucian philosophies).⁵⁰ Confucianism
38
39 emphasizes the continuation of the family line and filial piety to protect the family's
40
41 reputation and lineage (e.g., prior to 2016, the One-Child Policy; from 2016 to the
42
43 present, the Two-Child Policy).¹⁶ Although attitudes toward Chinese sexual minorities
44
45 have become more positive in particular populations (e.g., younger or highly educated
46
47 people),⁵¹ a large proportion of the Chinese population still holds negative attitudes
48
49 toward sexual minorities. Same-sex orientation is still considered to conflict with
50
51 traditional values and associated with prejudice and stigma in the current Chinese
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 social context.⁵² These negative attitudes toward sexual minorities and minority
4 stressors that they experience have been linked to high levels of mental and
5
6 behavioral problems, such as depression and suicide attempts.^{17,18} In this study, our
7
8 findings suggested that sexual minority status was associated with suicidal behavior
9
10 among Chinese adolescents, and that BSA individuals were the highest-risk group in
11
12 this population. Therefore, the following appropriate interventions for suicidality
13
14 among Chinese sexual minority adolescents are recommended: First, schools and
15
16 related public health organizations should formulate policies to prevent students from
17
18 experiencing minority stressors (e.g., being bullied at school) to reduce discrimination
19
20 and create a generally positive school climate. Second, online resources (e.g., online
21
22 sex education websites) should be integrated to provide more relevant information
23
24 and education, which may help to foster a more tolerant and open atmosphere toward
25
26 sexual minorities. Third, families and communities should provide social support (e.g.,
27
28 Gay-Straight Alliance groups, and Parents and Friends of Lesbians and Gays) to
29
30 promote acceptance for sexual minority orientation⁵³ and reduce pressure from
31
32 traditional values and norms embedded in Confucianism (e.g., filial piety and family
33
34 responsibilities).⁵¹ Fourth, practitioners (e.g., psychologists, psychiatrists, counselors,
35
36 and social workers) should specifically focus on the group that is at particularly high
37
38 suicide risk (e.g., BSA adolescents) with a weaker collective identity. Developing
39
40 early and effective suicide-related preventive interventions (e.g., treatment of
41
42 depression, anxiety, and their comorbidities)¹³ can help improve mental well-being in
43
44 high-risk sexual minority adolescents.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Some noteworthy limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of
5
6 this study. First, due to the cross-sectional design, it is difficult to make causal
7
8 inferences. Second, our study used a structured self-rating questionnaire to collect
9
10 data. Although self-reporting is a common and accepted method in sexuality research
11
12 on adolescents, we could not completely rule out the possibility of recall bias and
13
14 misclassification bias. Third, our study sample included only students attending
15
16 school and did not include adolescents who dropped out of school or were absent
17
18 from school on the day the survey was administered; suicidality may be more
19
20 common among sexual minority students who were absent, possibly leading to
21
22 underestimation of the sexual orientation disparities in our study. Fourth, we used the
23
24 item on same-sex romantic attraction to measure sexual minority status, and the
25
26 results might not be comparable to those in other studies using sexual orientation as a
27
28 measurement. However, our measure is particularly appropriate for surveying the
29
30 health of sexual minority adolescents⁴⁵ and is more likely to capture a broad range of
31
32 adolescents who have “come out” or acknowledged romantic attraction but may not
33
34 have adopted a homosexual identity,⁵ which may help to identify that high-risk
35
36 population. Despite these limitations, the primary strengths of our study are that it
37
38 includes a nationally large-scale sample of Chinese adolescents, which provides
39
40 sufficient statistical power and may avoid over-sampling of the sexual minority
41
42 population. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first study
43
44 investigating the risk for suicidal behavior among Chinese sexual minority
45
46 adolescents in a representative sample.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

Conclusions

Increases in suicide risk among sexual minority adolescents have raised global health concerns. However, few related studies have been conducted among Chinese adolescents. The findings from our study suggested that Chinese sexual minority adolescents were associated with a higher risk of suicidal behavior and that BSA adolescents have the highest risk of suicidality regardless of their sex. Based on our study results, conducting early detection and intervention programs for Chinese sexual minorities (especially BSA individuals) is suggested to more effectively and appropriately prevent suicide-related problems. Future studies that focus on the risk factors, mechanisms and interventions of suicidal behavior in Chinese sexual minority adolescents are warranted.

Contributors' Statement:

Ciyong Lu conceptualized and designed the study, reviewed and revised the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted. Yeen Huang and Pengsheng Li conceptualized and designed the study, coordinated and supervised the data collection, carried out the initial analyses, drafted the initial manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted. They contributed equally to this study. Lan Guo carried out the analyses and interpreted the data, reviewed and revised the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted. Xue Gao, Yan Xu, Guoliang Huang, and Xueqing Deng designed the data collection instruments, coordinated and supervised the data collection, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank local health professionals, the Department of Education, and participating schools for their assistance and support. In addition, we express our gratitude to all the participants in our study.

References:

1. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychol Bull* 2003;129:674-697.
2. Marshal M P, Friedman M S, Stall R, et al. Sexual orientation and adolescent substance use: a meta-analysis and methodological review. *Addiction* 2008;103:546-556.
3. Marshal M P, Dietz L J, Friedman M S, et al. Suicidality and depression disparities between sexual minority and heterosexual youth: a meta-analytic review. *J Adolesc Health* 2011;49:115-123.
4. Remafedi G, French SA, Story M, et al. The relationship between suicide risk and sexual orientation: results of a population-based study. *Am J Public Health* 1998;88:57-60.
5. Russell ST, Joyner K. Adolescent sexual orientation and suicide risk: evidence from a national study. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:1276-1281.
6. Kann L, Olsen EO, Mcmanus T, et al. Sexual identity, sex of sexual contacts, and health-risk behaviors among students in grades 9-12 —United States and selected Sites, 2015. *MMWR Surveill Summ (Washington, D.C.: 2002)* 2016;65:19-20.
7. Wichstrøm L, Hegna K. Sexual orientation and suicide attempt: a longitudinal study of the general Norwegian adolescent population. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2003;112:144-151.
8. Salway T, Ross L E, Fehr C P, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of disparities in the prevalence of suicide ideation and attempt among bisexual populations. *Arch Sex Behav*, 2018:1-23.
9. Phillips MR, Li X, Zhang Y, et al. Suicide rates in China, 1995-99. *The Lancet* 2002;359:835-840.
10. Liu X C, Chen H, Liu Z Z, et al. Prevalence of suicidal behaviour and associated factors in a large sample of Chinese adolescents. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* 2017:1-10.
11. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Association between sleep duration, suicidal ideation, and suicidal attempts among Chinese adolescents: The moderating role of depressive symptoms. *J Affect Disord* 2017;208:355-362.
12. Chen H, Li Y, Wang L, et al. Causes of suicidal behaviors in men who have sex with men in China: a national questionnaire survey. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:1-4.
13. Mu H, Li Y, Li L, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for lifetime suicide ideation, plan and attempt in Chinese men who have sex with men. *BMC Psychiatry* 2016;16:1-10.
14. Lian Q, Zuo X, Lou C, et al. Sexual orientation and risk factors for suicidal ideation and suicide attempts: a multi-centre cross-sectional study in three Asian cities. *J Epidemiol* 2015;25:155-161.
15. Zhan H J, Montgomery R J V. Gender and elder care in China: The influence of filial piety and structural constraints. *Gender & Society* 2003;17:209-229.
16. Hsu M H, Waters J A. Filial Piety and sexual prejudice in Chinese culture.

- Attitude Measures 2001;11.
17. Feng Y, Wu Z, Detels R. Evolution of men who have sex with men community and experienced stigma among men who have sex with men in Chengdu, China. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2010;53:98-103.
 18. Liu J X, Choi K. Experiences of social discrimination among Men Who Have Sex with Men in Shanghai, China. *AIDS Behav* 2006;10:25-33.
 19. Wang H, Deng J, Zhou X, et al. The nonmedical use of prescription medicines among high school students: A cross-sectional study in Southern China. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014;141:9-15.
 20. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers among high school students in China: a multilevel analysis. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e007569.
 21. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Associations between childhood maltreatment and non-medical use of prescription drugs among Chinese adolescents. *Addiction* 2017;112:1600-1609.
 22. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Association between nonmedical use of prescription drugs and suicidal behavior among adolescents. *JAMA Pediatr* 2016;170:971-978.
 23. Woods ER, Lin YG, Middleman AB, et al. The associations of suicide attempts in adolescents. *Pediatrics* 1997;99:791-796.
 24. Arcelus J, Bouman W P, Noortgate W V D, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence studies in transsexualism. *Eur Psychiatry* 2015;30:807-815.
 25. Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual (LGB) Youth Sexual Orientation Measurement Work Group. *Measuring sexual orientation of young people in health research*. San Francisco, CA: Gay and Lesbian Medical Association 2003.
 26. Li P, Huang Y, Guo L, et al. Sexual attraction and the nonmedical use of opioids and sedative drugs among Chinese adolescents. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2018;183:169-175.
 27. Shields JP, Whitaker K, Glassman J, et al. Impact of victimization on risk of suicide among lesbian, gay, and bisexual high school students in San Francisco. *J Adolesc Health* 2012;50:418-420.
 28. Olweus D. *The revised Olweus bully/victim questionnaire*. Bergen, Norway: University of Bergen 1996.
 29. Wu J, He Y, Lu C, et al. Bullying behaviors among Chinese school-aged youth: A prevalence and correlates study in Guangdong province. *Psychiatry Res* 2015;225:716-722.
 30. Acierno R, Kilpatrick DG, Resnick HS, et al. Assault, PTSD, family substance use, and depression as risk factors for cigarette use in youth: findings from the National Survey of Adolescents. *J Trauma Stress* 2000;13:381-396.
 31. Kandra KL, McCullough A, Ranney L, et al. Support among middle school and high school students for smoke-free policies, North Carolina, 2009. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2013;10:675-681.
 32. Huang R, Ho SY, Wang MP, et al. Sociodemographic risk factors of alcohol

- 1
2
3 drinking in Hong Kong adolescents. *J Epidemiol Community Health*
4 2016;70:374-379.
- 5 33. Li Y, Li YF, Cao J, et al. Factors associated with suicidal behaviors in mainland
6 China: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12:1-13.
- 7 34. Dai J, Chiu HF, Conner K R, et al. Suicidal ideation and attempts among rural
8 Chinese aged 16-34 years--Socio-demographic correlates in the context of a
9 transforming China. *J Affect Disord* 2011;130:438-446.
- 10 35. Swannell S, Martin G, Page A. Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and
11 non-suicidal self-injury among lesbian, gay, bisexual and heterosexual adults:
12 Findings from an Australian national study. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*
13 2016;50:145-153.
- 14 36. Graaf RD, Sandfort TG, Have MT. Suicidality and sexual orientation:
15 differences between men and women in a general population-based sample from
16 the Netherlands. *Arch Sex Behav* 2006;35:253-262.
- 17 37. Plöderl M, Tremblay P. Mental health of sexual minorities. A systematic review.
18 *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2015;27:367-385.
- 19 38. Baams L, Grossman AH, Russell ST, et al. Minority stress and mechanisms of
20 risk for depression and suicidal ideation among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth.
21 *Dev Psychol* 2015;51:688-696.
- 22 39. Kosciw JG, Greytak EA, Bartkiewicz MJ, et al. The 2011 National School
23 Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth
24 in our nation's schools. New York: GLSEN 2012.
- 25 40. Bontempo DE, Augelli AR. Effects of at-school victimization and sexual
26 orientation on lesbian, gay, or bisexual youths' health risk behavior. *J Adolesc*
27 *Health* 2002;30:364-374.
- 28 41. Kwok DK, Wu J. Chinese attitudes towards sexual minorities in Hong Kong:
29 Implications for mental health. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2015;27:444-454.
- 30 42. Pompili M, Lester D, Forte A, et al. Bisexuality and suicide: a systematic review
31 of the current literature. *J Sex Med* 2014;11:1903-1913.
- 32 43. Hatzenbuehler M L. How does sexual minority stigma "Get Under the Skin"? a
33 psychological mediation framework. *Psychol Bull* 2009;135:707-730.
- 34 44. Koh AS, Ross LK. Mental health issues: A comparison of lesbian, bisexual and
35 heterosexual women. *J Homosex* 2006;51:33-57.
- 36 45. Saewyc E M, Bauer G R, Skay C L, et al. Measuring sexual orientation in
37 adolescent health surveys: Evaluation of eight school-based surveys. *J Adolesc*
38 *Health* 2004;35:345.e1-e15.
- 39 46. Igartua K, Thombs B D, Burgos G, et al. Concordance and discrepancy in sexual
40 identity, attraction, and behavior among adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*
41 2009;45:602-608.
- 42 47. Birkett M, Russell S T, Corliss H L. Sexual-orientation disparities in school: the
43 mediational role of indicators of victimization in achievement and truancy
44 because of feeling unsafe. *Am J Public Health* 2014;104:1124-1128.
- 45 48. Sara B. Oswalt MPH PhD, Tammy J. Wyatt PhD. Sexual orientation and
46 differences in mental health, stress, and academic performance in a national
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

- 1
2
3 sample of U.S. College Students. *J Homosex* 2011;58:1255-1280.
- 4 49. Zhao Y, Montoro R, Igartua K, et al. Suicidal ideation and attempt among
5 adolescents reporting "unsure" sexual identity or heterosexual identity plus
6 same-sex attraction or behavior: forgotten groups?. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc*
7 *Psychiatry* 2010;49:104-113.
- 8
9 50. Kwok D K. School experience of Chinese sexual minority students in Hong
10 Kong. *J LGBT Youth* 2016;13:378-396.
- 11 51. Chi X, Hawk S T. Attitudes toward same-sex attraction and behavior among
12 Chinese university students: tendencies, correlates, and gender differences.
13 *Front Psychol* 2016;7:1-9.
- 14
15 52. Lin K, Button D M, Su M, et al. Chinese college students' attitudes toward
16 homosexuality: exploring the effects of traditional culture and modernizing
17 factors. *Sex Res Social Policy* 2016;13:158-172.
- 18
19 53. Whitaker K, Shapiro VB, Shields JP, et al. School-based protective factors
20 related to suicide for lesbian, gay, and bisexual adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*
21 2016;58:63-68.
- 22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants by sexual minority status among Chinese adolescents (N=150,822).

Variable	Total No. (%)	Heterosexual No. (%)	Sexual minorities ^a No. (%)	Unsure No. (%)	χ^2/F	P-value
Total	150,822 (100.00)	116,774 (78.6)	6,685 (4.1)	27,363 (17.3)		
Sex					182.90	<0.001
Male	72,409 (51.8)	57,343 (52.8)	2,483 (41.4)	12,583 (49.7)		
Female	78,413 (48.2)	59,431 (47.2)	4,202 (58.6)	14,780 (50.3)		
Age (year)^b	15.1 (0.4)	15.3 (0.5)	15.1 (0.4)	14.1 (0.3)	754.33	<0.001
Academic pressure					567.69	<0.001
None	23,387 (15.8)	17,051 (14.9)	1,060 (16.1)	5,276 (19.8)		
Less	69,359 (46.5)	53,061 (46.1)	2,648 (39.9)	13,650 (49.8)		
Medium or great	58,076 (37.7)	46,662 (39.0)	2,977 (44.0)	8,437 (30.4)		
HSS^c					204.56	<0.001
Very good	30,766 (22.7)	22,812 (21.8)	1,456 (24.2)	6,498 (26.6)		
Good	90,894 (60.3)	71,306 (61.1)	3,748 (55.4)	15,840 (57.4)		
Fair or poor	29,162 (17.0)	22,656 (17.1)	1,481 (20.4)	5,025 (16.0)		
Current smoking					288.12	<0.001
No	143,032 (94.6)	110,194 (94.1)	6,212 (93.0)	26,626 (97.3)		
Yes	7,790 (5.4)	6,580 (5.9)	473 (7.0)	737 (2.7)		
Current drinking					818.05	<0.001
No	126,765 (84.0)	96,909 (82.9)	5,072 (76.6)	24,784 (90.5)		
Yes	24,057 (16.0)	19,865 (17.1)	1,613 (23.4)	2,579 (9.5)		
Bullying experience					57.58	<0.001
No	138,523 (91.6)	107,767 (92.0)	5,668 (83.9)	25,088 (91.3)		
Yes	12,299 (8.4)	9,007 (8.0)	1,017 (16.1)	2,275 (8.7)		

^aSexual minorities included adolescents who reported same-sex or both-sex romantic attraction.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

^b Age data are presented as means (SE).

^c HSS, Household socioeconomic status.

All numbers were unweighted, whereas all percentages were adjusted for sampling weights.

For peer review only

Table 2. Prevalence of past-year suicidal ideation and suicide attempts by sexual minority status among Chinese adolescents (N=150,822).

	Males (N=72,409)				Females (N=78,413)			
	Heterosexual=1	SSA=2	BSA=3	Unsure=4	Heterosexual=5	SSA=6	BSA=7	Unsure=8
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
Suicidal ideation								
No	48,572 (85.5)	795 (78.4)	919 (65.3)	11,067 (88.3)	47,941 (81.2)	706 (69.6)	1,839 (57.7)	12,527 (85.1)
Yes	8,771 (14.5)	243 (21.6)	526 (34.7)	1,516 (11.7)	11,490 (18.8)	309 (30.4)	1,348 (42.3)	2,253 (14.9)
Suicide attempts								
No	55,991 (97.8)	962 (93.1)	1,267 (87.8)	12,184 (96.9)	57,552 (96.9)	923 (91.1)	2,820 (89.1)	14,318 (96.8)
Yes	1,352 (2.2)	76 (6.9)	178 (12.2)	399 (3.1)	1,879 (3.1)	92 (8.9)	367 (10.9)	462 (3.2)

SSA, same-sex romantic attraction; BSA, both-sex romantic attraction;

All numbers were unweighted, whereas all percentages were adjusted for sampling weights.

The alpha level for paired comparison was set at $P=0.0083$ after Bonferroni correction.

Paired comparison for suicidal ideation:

2>1, $\chi^2=51.42$, $P<0.001$;

6>5, $\chi^2=78.41$, $P<0.001$;

3>1, $\chi^2=471.59$, $P<0.001$;

7>5, $\chi^2=978.63$, $P<0.001$;

3>2, $\chi^2=47.69$, $P<0.001$;

7>6, $\chi^2=45.29$, $P<0.001$;

4<1, $\chi^2=86.74$, $P<0.001$;

8<5, $\chi^2=131.20$, $P<0.001$;

4<2, $\chi^2=110.08$, $P<0.001$;

8<6, $\chi^2=161.47$, $P<0.001$;

4<3, $\chi^2=618.07$, $P<0.001$;

8<7, $\chi^2=1197.33$, $P<0.001$;

Paired comparison for suicide attempts:

2>1, $\chi^2=105.29$, $P<0.001$;

6>5, $\chi^2=110.22$, $P<0.001$;

3>1, $\chi^2=551.67$, $P<0.001$;

7>5, $\chi^2=610.42$, $P<0.001$;

3>2, $\chi^2=16.42$, $P<0.001$;

7>6, $\chi^2=4.76$, $P=0.029$;

4>1, $\chi^2=27.95$, $P<0.001$;

8>5, $\chi^2=0.05$, $P=0.824$;

4<2, $\chi^2=49.09$, $P<0.001$;

8<6, $\chi^2=98.96$, $P<0.001$;

4<3, $\chi^2=274.99$, $P<0.001$;

8<7, $\chi^2=419.29$, $P<0.001$;

Table 3. Associations of sexual minority status with past-year suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among Chinese adolescents (N=150,822).

	Model 1 ^a			Model 2 ^b		
	OR	95% CI	P	AOR	95% CI	P
Suicidal ideation						
Males						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	1.62	1.30-2.03	<0.001	1.56	1.26-1.94	<0.001
BSA	3.13	2.59-3.79	<0.001	2.42	2.03-2.88	<0.001
Unsure	0.78	0.67-0.91	<0.001	0.78	0.69-0.87	<0.001
Females						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	1.88	1.67-2.11	<0.001	1.42	1.30-1.56	<0.001
BSA	3.15	2.93-3.39	<0.001	2.61	2.41-2.82	<0.001
Unsure	0.75	0.60-0.94	<0.001	0.71	0.61-0.83	<0.001
Suicide attempts						
Males						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	3.29	2.43-4.47	<0.001	3.13	2.28-4.28	<0.001
BSA	6.25	4.46-8.76	<0.001	3.83	2.85-5.14	<0.001
Unsure	1.42	1.07-1.90	<0.001	1.55	1.24-1.94	<0.001
Females						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	3.13	2.36-4.15	<0.001	1.97	1.43-2.70	<0.001
BSA	3.89	3.13-4.83	<0.001	2.59	2.19-3.06	<0.001
Unsure	1.04	0.75-1.44	0.824	1.03	0.80-1.34	0.531

SSA, same-sex romantic attraction; BSA, both-sex romantic attraction; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

^aUnadjusted.

^bAdjusted for age, academic pressure, household socioeconomic status, current smoking, current drinking, and bullying experience.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Page 1 (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Page 1
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Page 2-3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Page 4
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper Page 4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Page 5
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up NA. <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls NA. <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Page 4-5 (b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Page 6-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Page 6-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Page 5
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at Page 4-5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Page 8

Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding

Page 8

(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions

NA.

(c) Explain how missing data were addressed

NA.

(d) *Cohort study*—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed

Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed

Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy

Page 8

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

NA.

Continued on next page

Results

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Page 9 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders Page 9 (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest NA. (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) NA.
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time NA. <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure NA. <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures Page 9-11
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included Page 10-11 (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized NA. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period NA.
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses NA.
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Page 11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Page 16
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Page 11-15
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Page 14-15

Other information

Funding 22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

[Page 2](#)

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents: A nationally representative cross-sectional study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020969.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Jun-2018
Complete List of Authors:	huang, yeen; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology Li, Pengsheng; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology Lan, Guo; Sun Yat-sen University, School of Public Health Xue, Gao; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Xu, Yan; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Huang, Guoliang; Center for ADR Monitoring of Guangdong Xueqing, Deng; School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University Lu, CiYong; Department of medical statistics and epidemiology,
Primary Subject Heading:	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Mental health
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, SEXUAL MEDICINE, PAEDIATRICS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents: A**
4 **nationally representative cross-sectional study**
5

6
7 Yeen Huang^{a#}, MD, Pengsheng Li^{a#}, MD, Lan Guo^a, MD, Xue Gao^b, MD, Yan Xu^b,
8 MD, Guoliang Huang^b, MS, Xueqing Deng^{a,c}, MS, Ciyong Lu^{a,c}, MD, PhD
9

10 **Affiliations:** ^a Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Public
11 Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China; ^b Center for ADR Monitoring of
12 Guangdong, Guangzhou, China; ^c Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food,
13 Nutrition and Health, Guangzhou, China
14

15 [#] These authors contributed equally to this work.
16

17 **Address correspondence to** Ciyong Lu, Department of Medical Statistics and
18 Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
19 #74, Zhongshan Road 2, Guangzhou 510080, P.R. China, E-mail address:
20 luciyong@mail.sysu.edu.cn, (+86) 020-87332477
21
22

23
24 **Abstract**

25 **Objectives:** Suicidality among sexual minority adolescents have generated worldwide
26 concern in recent decades, and previous Western studies have demonstrated that
27 sexual minority status is associated with adolescent suicidality. However, whether this
28 association exists in Chinese adolescents remains largely unknown. This study aimed
29 to estimate the associations between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior
30 among Chinese adolescents.
31

32 **Design:** Cross-sectional survey.

33 **Setting:** A total of 506 high schools in seven provinces of China.

34 **Participants:** A total of 150,822 students in grades 7-12 who completed the
35 questionnaires (response rate of 95.9%) were included.

36 **Main outcome measures:** Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts were used to
37 measure suicidal behavior, and sexual attraction (opposite-sex, same-sex, or both-sex)
38 was used as a measure for sexual minority status.
39

40 **Results:** Of the 150,822 adolescents analyzed, 4.1% self-reported as sexual minorities,
41 and 17.3% were unsure. Compared to heterosexual and unsure adolescents, same-sex
42 romantic attraction (SSA) and both-sex romantic attraction (BSA) adolescents
43 reported a higher prevalence of past-year suicidal ideation (SSA: 21.6% for males and
44 30.4% for females; BSA: 34.7% for males and 42.3% for females) and suicide
45 attempts (SSA: 6.9% for males and 8.9% for females; BSA: 12.2% for males and 10.9%
46 for females). After adjustment for covariates, SSA and BSA adolescents were more
47 likely to have past-year suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than their heterosexual
48 and unsure peers. BSA adolescents reported the highest risk of suicidal ideation
49 (males: AOR=2.42, 95% CI=2.03-2.88; females: AOR=2.61, 95% CI=2.41-2.82) and
50 suicide attempts (males: AOR=3.83, 95% CI: 2.85-5.14; females: AOR=2.59, 95% CI:
51 2.19-3.06).
52

53 **Conclusions:** Our study suggested that Chinese sexual minority adolescents were at
54
55
56

1 increased risk of suicidality, and those with both-sex romantic attraction had an
2 especially high risk in this population. These findings emphasized the urgent need to
3 develop targeted interventions to effectively address suicide-related problems among
4 Chinese sexual minority adolescents.

5 6 **Strengths and limitations of this study:**

- 7 ● Our study estimated the prevalence of suicidality and examined the association
8 between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.
- 9 ● A large-scale, nationally representative sample provided sufficient statistical
10 power, and between-groups analyses were conducted.
- 11 ● Due to the nature of the cross-sectional data, interpretation of the direction of the
12 observed associations is limited.
- 13 ● Our study sample included only students attending school and did not include
14 adolescents who dropped out of school or were absent from school on the day the
15 survey was administered.

16
17 **Funding source:** This work was supported by the National Natural Science
18 Foundation of China (Grant number 81673252) and the Natural Science Foundation
19 of Guangdong Province, China (Grant number 2014A030313174).

20
21 **Conflicting interests:** The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article
22 to disclose.

23
24 **Ethics approval:** The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board
25 of Sun Yat-sen University, School of Public Health.

26
27 **Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

28 29 **Introduction**

30 Suicidal behavior, which includes suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and completed
31 suicide, has raised concerns about the health of sexual minority adolescents (i.e.,
32 adolescents who experience same-sex attraction, engage in same-sex sexual behavior,
33 or self-identify as gay, lesbian, bisexual) in recent decades. Minority stress theory¹
34 suggests that difficulties in dealing with minority stressors (prejudice, discrimination,
35 and stigma) associated with same-sex orientation may lead to substance abuse²,
36 depression³, and even suicide⁴ among sexual minorities. Compared with their

1
2
3
4 1 heterosexual peers, sexual minority adolescents have been identified in numerous
5
6 2 studies as a high-risk group for suicidal behavior.⁵ Regarding the associations of
7
8 3 sexual minority status with suicidal behavior, most related studies have been
9
10 4 conducted in Western or developed countries. Previous findings from the Youth Risk
11
12 5 Behavior Survey (YRBS) in the United States showed that approximately 42.8% and
13
14 6 29.4% of sexual minority adolescents reported having past-year suicidal ideation and
15
16 7 suicide attempts, respectively.⁶ Two longitudinal studies from the United States and
17
18 8 Norway showed that sexual minority adolescents were twice and four times more
19
20 9 likely, respectively, to have attempted suicide in the past year than their heterosexual
21
22 10 peers.^{5,7} Moreover, a previous systematic review indicated that bisexual individuals
23
24 11 from developed countries have the highest levels of suicide risk among sexual
25
26 12 minorities.⁸ Those findings thus identified sexual minority status as a risk factor for
27
28 13 adolescent suicidality in Western or developed countries.

29
30 14 As the largest developing country with a high suicide rate, China accounts for 21%
31
32 15 of the world's population and 30-40% of the world's suicides.⁹ Although numerous
33
34 16 studies have focused on suicide risk in Chinese adolescents,^{10,11} little attention has
35
36 17 been devoted to sexual minorities. Previous studies in China have shown that
37
38 18 4.6%-12.6% of gay adults have reported lifetime suicide attempts,^{12,13} and sexual
39
40 19 minority youth from Taipei were almost twice as likely to have past-year suicidal
41
42 20 ideation as their heterosexual peers.¹⁴ It is well known that the cultural background of
43
44 21 Western countries is different from that of Asian countries, especially China, where
45
46 22 Confucianism has been an influence for thousands of years and families and social
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 climates exert intense pressure on individuals to marry and have children to maintain
2 their family lineages.¹⁵ Thus, sexual minorities are recognized as a major impediment
3 to continuing the family line and maintaining a family's reputation,¹⁶ and sexual
4 minorities in China may experience more minority stressors and higher levels of
5 mental and behavioral problems.^{17,18} However, there is a paucity of research exploring
6 the associations between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among
7 mainland Chinese adolescents; whether this well-known increased suicide risk for
8 sexual minorities can also be found in Chinese adolescents remains largely unknown.

9 Therefore, we conducted this nationally representative large-scale study to estimate
10 the prevalence of suicidality among Chinese sexual minority adolescents, to evaluate
11 the associations between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and attempts, to
12 investigate whether these associations vary in different sexual minority statuses, and
13 to provide suggestions for effective policymaking and developing intervention
14 strategies for governmental public health organizations.

16 **Methods**

17 *2.1 Study design and participants*

18 We utilized data from the 2015 School-Based Chinese Adolescents Health Survey
19 (SCAHS),^{19,20} an ongoing, large-scale health-related behavior survey among Chinese
20 adolescents (grades 7-12). The SCAHS has been conducted every two years since
21 2007, and the 2015 survey was the most recent version conducted in seven Chinese
22 provinces.²¹

1
2
3
4 1 In the 2015 SCAHS, students were selected via a 4-stage, stratified-cluster,
5
6 2 random-sampling method. In stage 1, all 34 province-level regions in China were
7
8 3 divided into four regional strata (East China, West China, South China, and North
9
10 4 China), and then two representative provinces from each regional stratum were
11
12 5 selected by simple randomization (only one province from East China). In stage 2,
13
14 6 cities in each representative province were divided into three economic strata
15
16 7 (high-level, middle-level, and low-level) by per capita GDP (gross domestic product).
17
18 8 Based on the proportions of these three types of cities, six cities were randomly
19
20 9 selected from each representative province. In stage 3, schools were divided into three
21
22 10 categories: junior high schools (i.e., grades 7-9), senior high schools (i.e., grades
23
24 11 10-12), and vocational high schools (i.e., grades 7-12). Based on the proportions of
25
26 12 these three types of schools, four junior high schools, four senior high schools, and
27
28 13 four vocational high schools were randomly selected from each representative city
29
30 14 (506 schools agreed to participate in this study). In stage 4, two classes were
31
32 15 randomly selected from each grade within the selected schools, and all available
33
34 16 students in the selected classes were invited to participate in this study voluntarily. In
35
36 17 total, 150,822 students completed the questionnaires (response rate of 95.9%).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 19 *2.2 Participants involvement and data collection*

49
50 20 All students from the chosen classes were given a standardized self-administered
51
52 21 questionnaire which was developed by research team on the day of the survey, to be
53
54 22 completed in the classroom during a normal class period (40 or 45 minutes). To
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 protect student privacy, the questionnaire was completed by each student participant
2 anonymously without the presence of teachers or other school personnel (to avoid any
3 potential information bias). After collecting the questionnaires from students,
4 investigators would check the questionnaires carefully and contact with the student
5 timely when they found important missing data. The investigators were all trained and
6 quality control was carried out during data collection. All data were collected from
7 November 2014 to January 2015.

8 *2.3 Ethics statement*

9 This study was approved by the Sun Yat-Sen University School of Public Health
10 Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from each
11 participating student who was at least 18 years old or from one of the student's
12 parents (or legal guardian) if the student was under 18 years old.

13 *2.4 Measures*

14 *2.4.1 Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts*

15 Suicidal ideation was defined as responding "1 or more times" to the following
16 question: "During the past 12 months, how many times did you seriously consider
17 attempting suicide?" Suicide attempts were assessed by asking students to respond to
18 the following question with zero, once, or more: "During the past 12 months, how
19 many times did you actually attempt suicide?"^{22,23}

20 *2.4.2 Sexual minority status*

21 The number of transsexuals among sexual minority adolescents is still very low²⁴ and
22 was difficult to investigate in our school-based survey, and this minority group was

1 therefore not included in this study. Sexual minority status was measured by asking
2 students the following question regarding sexual attraction: “In a romantic
3 relationship, what kind of person are you attracted to?” Response options included the
4 following: (1) opposite sex, (2) same sex, (3) equally opposite sex and same sex, and
5 (4) unsure.^{25,26} Respondents were classified as the following categories: (1)
6 heterosexual, (2) same-sex romantic attraction (SSA), (3) both-sex romantic attraction
7 (BSA), and (4) unsure. Students who belonged to categories (2) and (3) were
8 classified as sexual minorities.

9 2.4.3 Demographic variables

10 Factors previously reported to be associated with suicidal behavior in sexual minority
11 adolescents were taken into consideration.^{4,5,27} Demographic variables included sex,
12 age, academic pressure, household socioeconomic status (HSS), current smoking,
13 current drinking, and bullying experience.

14 After reading a brief definition of bullying from the Olweus Bully/Victim
15 Questionnaire, adolescents were asked the following question: “How often have you
16 been bullied (kicked, intentionally excluded from participating, made fun of with
17 sexual jokes, etc.) at school in the past 30 days?”²⁸ Answers were given on a 3-point
18 scale as follows: (1) never, (2) sometimes or rarely (one or two times), or (3) often
19 (more than three times). Students reporting a frequency of “often” in the past 30 days
20 were classified as being bullied.²⁹ Academic pressure was assessed based on students’
21 self-rating about their school work; responses were coded as follows: (1) none, (2)
22 less, or (3) medium or great. HSS was measured by asking about the student’s

1 perception of his or her household's current socioeconomic status; responses were
2 coded as follows: (1) very good, (2) good, and (3) fair or poor. Current smoking was
3 measured by asking the following question: "During the past 30 days, on how many
4 days did you smoke cigarettes?" Students who selected answers indicating 1 or more
5 days were classified as current smokers.^{30,31} Current drinking was assessed with the
6 following question: "During the past 30 days, on how many days did you drink
7 alcohol?" Students who selected answers indicating 1 or more days were classified as
8 current drinkers.³²

9 *2.5 Statistical analysis*

10 Prevalence estimates and logistic regression analyses used appropriate sampling
11 weights (adjusting for students' grade, sex, and school location) and estimation
12 procedures that accounted for the complex sampling design. Taylor series estimation
13 methods were utilized to obtain proper standard error estimates. First, descriptive
14 analyses were conducted to describe the demographic characteristics and prevalence
15 of suicidality. Second, Rao-Scott chi-square tests and one-way ANOVA were used to
16 compare the differences in demographic characteristics and suicide rates between
17 groups. Third, univariate logistic regression models were performed to explore the
18 associations between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and suicide attempts
19 without the confounding effects of sex. Additional multivariate models were
20 sequentially adjusted for age, academic pressure, HSS, current smoking, current
21 drinking, and bullying experience. Based on previously reported studies,^{33,34} age,
22 socio-family environment (e.g., academic pressure and HSS), unhealthy behaviors

1 (e.g., smoking and drinking), and school environment (e.g., bullying experience) were associated with suicidal behaviors in China, and all were added as covariates to determine the independent associations between sexual minority status and suicidality. Missing data accounted for less than 3.1% for all relevant variables and were eliminated from the statistical analysis. OR (odds ratios) and 95% CI (confidence intervals) were obtained from logistic regression models. *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant (tested 2-sided) for regression analysis. The alpha level for paired comparison was set by Bonferroni correction. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

11 **Results**

12 *3.1 Demographic characteristics*

13 The characteristics of the students are shown in **Table 1**. Of the total sample, the mean (SE) age of the adolescents was 15.1 (0.4) years old; 51.8% were males, and 48.2% were females. Among these adolescents, 4.1% self-reported as sexual minorities, 17.3% as unsure, and 78.6% as heterosexual. Compared with their unsure and heterosexual peers, sexual minority adolescents were more likely to be females ($P<0.001$), to come from a family with poor socioeconomic status ($P<0.001$), and to report medium or great academic pressure ($P<0.001$). Additionally, sexual minority adolescents were also more likely to report smoking, alcohol use, and school bullying experiences during the past 30 days than their unsure and heterosexual peers.

22 *3.2 Prevalence of suicidality by sexual minority status*

1
2
3
4 1 As shown in **Table 2**, for male adolescents, the weighted prevalence of past-year
5
6 2 suicidal ideation was more frequently reported in sexual minority (SSA: 21.6%; BSA:
7
8 3 34.7%) adolescents than in their heterosexual (14.50%) and unsure (11.7%) peers, and
9
10 4 BSA students reported the highest rate of past-year suicidal ideation. The weighted
11
12 5 prevalence of past-year suicide attempts was higher in sexual minority (SSA: 6.9%;
13
14 6 BSA: 12.2%) and unsure (3.1%) adolescents than in their heterosexual peers (2.2%),
15
16 7 and BSA students reported the highest rate of past-year suicide attempts.

17
18
19
20 8 As for female adolescents, the weighted prevalence of past-year suicidal ideation
21
22 9 was higher in sexual minority (SSA: 30.4%; BSA: 42.3%) adolescents than in their
23
24 10 heterosexual (18.8%) and unsure (14.9%) peers, with BSA students having the highest
25
26 11 prevalence. The weighted prevalence of past-year suicide attempts was higher in
27
28 12 sexual minority adolescents (SSA: 8.9%; BSA: 10.9%) than in their heterosexual
29
30 13 (3.1%) and unsure (3.2%) peers, and BSA adolescents reported the highest rate of
31
32 14 past-year suicide attempts.

33 15 *3.3 Associations between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation and suicide* 34 35 16 *attempts*

36
37
38 17 As shown in **Table 3**, for male adolescents, unadjusted analyses (model 1) showed
39
40 18 that SSA and BSA adolescents had a higher risk of suicidal ideation and suicide
41
42 19 attempts than their heterosexual peers. After adjustment for academic pressure, HSS,
43
44 20 current smoking, current drinking, and bullying experience (model 2), SSA
45
46 21 (AOR=1.56, 95% CI: 1.26-1.94) and BSA (AOR=2.42, 95% CI: 2.03-2.88)
47
48 22 adolescents were more likely to report suicidal ideation than their heterosexual and
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

1
2
3
4 1 unsure peers. Compared with heterosexual peers, SSA (AOR=3.13, 95% CI:
5
6 2.28-4.28), BSA (AOR=3.83, 95% CI: 2.85-5.14), and unsure (AOR=1.55, 95% CI:
7
8 1.24-1.94) male adolescents were more likely to have suicide attempts.
9

10
11 4 As for female adolescents, unadjusted analyses (model 1) showed that SSA and
12
13 5 BSA adolescents had a higher risk of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than their
14
15 6 heterosexual and unsure peers. After adjustment for academic pressure, HSS, current
16
17 7 smoking, current drinking, and bullying experience (model 2). SSA (AOR=1.42, 95%
18
19 8 CI: 1.30-1.56) and BSA (AOR=2.61, 95% CI: 2.41-2.82) adolescents were more
20
21 9 likely to report suicidal ideation than their heterosexual and unsure peers. Compared
22
23 10 with heterosexual and unsure peers, SSA (AOR=1.97, 95% CI: 1.43-2.70) and BSA
24
25 11 (AOR=2.59, 95% CI: 2.19-3.06) female students were more likely to have suicide
26
27 12 attempts.
28
29
30
31

32
33 13 Moreover, in both male and female adolescent sexual minorities, differences in the
34
35 14 risk of suicide attempts were more pronounced than differences in the risk of suicidal
36
37 15 ideation compared with those in heterosexual and unsure peers, and BSA adolescents
38
39 16 reported the highest risk of suicide attempts.
40
41
42
43
44

45 18 **Discussion**

46
47 19 As in many studies in Western or developed countries, we determined that Chinese
48
49 20 sexual minority adolescents had a higher risk of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts
50
51 21 than their heterosexual and unsure peers; being a BSA male or female was associated
52
53 22 with an increased risk of suicidality. To our knowledge, this study is the first to utilize
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 nationally representative data to explore the associations between sexual minority
2 status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.

3 Consistent with previous studies,⁴⁻⁶ our results additionally revealed that sexual
4 minority adolescents had a higher prevalence of both suicidal ideation and suicide
5 attempts than their heterosexual peers. Compared with a cross-sectional survey
6 conducted with sexual minority youths from three Asian cities (Hanoi, Shanghai, and
7 Taipei),¹⁴ the prevalence of past-year suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in our
8 sexual minority samples was similar to that in Taipei samples but higher than that in
9 Shanghai and Hanoi samples. This variation in results may derive from the different
10 sample sources and age structures. Our findings provide population-based evidence of
11 the prevalence of suicidal behavior among Chinese sexual minorities, which is useful
12 for identifying adolescents who may be at high risk of suicide.

13 Furthermore, we found that, compared with their heterosexual peers, Chinese
14 sexual minority adolescents had increased risk of suicidality after stratification by sex.
15 To our knowledge, because of their insufficient sample sizes, most previous studies
16 combined individuals with different sexual minority statuses into one category
17 without considering sex stratification, which may obscure the estimates of suicide risk
18 among high-risk adolescents.^{35,36} This study is the first to utilize a nationally
19 representative and large-scale sample to explore the associations between sexual
20 minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents grouped according
21 to sexual minority status and stratified by sex. Our results are consistent with a
22 previous systematic review that reported elevated risks of past-year suicide attempts

1
2
3
4 1 in homosexual and bisexual adolescents, especially males.³⁷ One possible mechanism
5
6 2 to explain these associations is the experience of minority stress.¹ According to the
7
8 3 minority stress model, sexual minority individuals may experience minority stressors
9
10 4 (e.g., prejudice events, internalized homophobia), which are related to lower
11
12 5 well-being and higher levels of suicidal ideation.³⁸ Previous results from a 2011
13
14 6 National School Climate Survey indicated that more than 60-80% of sexual minority
15
16 7 students reported being verbally harassed and that 40% of students experienced
17
18 8 physical violence at school during the past year.³⁹ Experiences such as being
19
20 9 threatened or injured are directly related to suicidality among sexual minority
21
22 10 adolescents.⁴⁰ In current Chinese society, stigma against nonheterosexual individuals
23
24 11 persists, and a large portion of the general population shows intolerant attitudes
25
26 12 toward sexual minorities.⁴¹ In our study, we also found that Chinese sexual minorities
27
28 13 have a higher prevalence of bullying experiences than their heterosexual peers.
29
30 14 Therefore, a negative school environment may play a potential role in the associations
31
32 15 between sexual minority status and suicidal behavior among Chinese adolescents.

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40 16 In line with previous studies,^{37,42} our study found that sexual orientation-associated
41
42 17 differences were more pronounced for suicide attempts than for suicidal ideation, and
43
44 18 BSA adolescents showed a higher risk of suicide attempts than their SSA, unsure, and
45
46 19 heterosexual peers. Several reasons may explain the more severe forms of suicidality
47
48 20 among the bisexual group. First, bisexual individuals may experience additional forms
49
50 21 of minority stress from both gays/lesbians and heterosexuals, and the various forms of
51
52 22 biphobia and monosexism can create emotional and cognitive dysfunction that may
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 lead to depression, anxiety, or even suicide attempts.^{1,43} Second, bisexual individuals
4
5 are pervasively invisible in society. Heterosexual and homosexual people have mutual
6
7 interests in maintaining the primacy of monosexual assumptions and binary sexual
8
9 orientation, which may contribute to an internalized sense of belief that bisexuals do
10
11 not belong to any particular sexual minority group. The lack of a sense of belonging
12
13 may be one of the factors contributing to suicide attempts among bisexual people.^{8,43}
14
15 Third, lack of social and healthcare support was more commonly reported among
16
17 bisexual individuals than among their homosexual and heterosexual peers, and this
18
19 lack of support made bisexuals feel more socially isolated and vulnerable to chronic
20
21 stress and led to an increased risk of suicide.⁴⁴ Moreover, our results reported that
22
23 17.3% of adolescents reported being unsure about sexual romantic attraction, which is
24
25 higher than that reported in previous Western research.⁴⁵ One potential explanation is
26
27 that the unsure category may include many adolescents who did not understand the
28
29 question about sexual minority status or were unwilling to disclose their sexual
30
31 orientation. In accordance with a previous systematic review,³⁷ the risk of past-year
32
33 suicide attempts was smaller for unsure adolescents than for sexual minorities in our
34
35 study. However, previous studies reported that unsure adolescents may show
36
37 same-sex attraction or behaviors⁴⁶ and thus may experience minority stress (e.g.,
38
39 bullying victimization),⁴⁷ leading to health disparities such as depression, anxiety,⁴⁸
40
41 and suicidal ideation.⁴⁹ In contrast to previous studies, our findings showed that
42
43 unsure adolescents had no increased risk of suicidal ideation compared to
44
45 heterosexual peers. One possible reason explaining the discrepant findings could be
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 the much larger proportion of unsure adolescents in our Chinese sample. Therefore,
2 further research to explore the prevalence and mechanisms of suicidality among
3 unsure adolescents is needed.

4 Chinese sexual minorities suffer from minority stressors due to discrimination,
5 homophobia and other conditions in the social environment impacted by traditional
6 Chinese culture (which is rooted in Confucian philosophies).⁵⁰ Confucianism
7 emphasizes the continuation of the family line and filial piety to protect the family's
8 reputation and lineage (e.g., prior to 2016, the One-Child Policy; from 2016 to the
9 present, the Two-Child Policy).¹⁶ Although attitudes toward Chinese sexual minorities
10 have become more positive in particular populations (e.g., younger or highly educated
11 people),⁵¹ a large proportion of the Chinese population still holds negative attitudes
12 toward sexual minorities. Same-sex orientation is still considered to conflict with
13 traditional values and associated with prejudice and stigma in the current Chinese
14 social context.⁵² These negative attitudes toward sexual minorities and minority
15 stressors that they experience have been linked to high levels of mental and
16 behavioral problems, such as depression and suicide attempts.^{17,18} In this study, our
17 findings suggested that sexual minority status was associated with suicidal behavior
18 among Chinese adolescents and that BSA individuals were the highest-risk group in
19 this population. Therefore, the following appropriate interventions for suicidality
20 among Chinese sexual minority adolescents are recommended. First, government and
21 policy makers should establish a set of nationwide policies and programs to provide a
22 significant source of support for sexual minorities and to reduce the homophobia

1
2
3
4 1 arising from societal/structural homophobia and rigid gender roles. Second, schools
5
6 2 and related public health organizations should formulate policies to prevent students
7
8 3 from experiencing minority stressors (e.g., being bullied at school) to reduce
9
10 4 discrimination and create a generally positive school climate. Third, online resources
11
12 5 (e.g., online sex education websites) should be integrated to provide more relevant
13
14 6 information and education, which may help to foster a more tolerant and open
15
16 7 atmosphere toward sexual minorities. Fourth, families and communities should
17
18 8 provide social support (e.g., Gay-Straight Alliance groups, and Parents and Friends of
19
20 9 Lesbians and Gays) to promote acceptance of sexual minority orientation⁵³ and reduce
21
22 10 pressure from traditional values and norms embedded in Confucianism (e.g., filial
23
24 11 piety and family responsibilities).⁵¹ Fifth, practitioners (e.g., psychologists,
25
26 12 psychiatrists, counselors, and social workers) should specifically focus on the group
27
28 13 that is at particularly high risk of suicidality (i.e., BSA adolescents) with a weaker
29
30 14 collective identity. Developing early and effective suicide-related preventive
31
32 15 interventions (e.g., treatment of depression, anxiety, and their comorbidities)¹³ can
33
34 16 help improve mental well-being in high-risk sexual minority adolescents.

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42 17 Some noteworthy limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of
43
44 18 this study. First, due to the cross-sectional design, it is difficult to make causal
45
46 19 inferences. Second, our study used a structured self-rating questionnaire to collect
47
48 20 data. Although self-reporting is a common and accepted method in sexuality research
49
50 21 on adolescents, we could not completely rule out the possibility of recall bias and
51
52 22 misclassification bias. Third, our study sample included only students attending
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 school and did not include adolescents who dropped out of school or were absent
2 from school on the day the survey was administered; suicidality may be more
3 common among sexual minority students who were absent, possibly leading to
4 underestimation of the sexual orientation disparities in our study. Fourth, we used the
5 item on same-sex romantic attraction to measure sexual minority status, and the
6 results might not be comparable to those in other studies using sexual orientation as a
7 measurement. However, our measure is particularly appropriate for surveying the
8 health of sexual minority adolescents⁴⁵ and is more likely to capture a broad range of
9 adolescents who have “come out” or acknowledged romantic attraction but may not
10 have adopted a homosexual identity,⁵ which may help to identify that high-risk
11 population. Fifth, gender dysphoria/transgender status was not measured in our study
12 because sexual minority status was assumed using a binary definition of sex; although
13 this way of definition is common in current scientific practice, we were unable to
14 evaluate the experiences of suicidality in this minority group. Despite these
15 limitations, the primary strengths of our study includes its nationally representative
16 and large-scale sample of Chinese adolescents, providing sufficient statistical power
17 and potentially avoiding over-sampling of the sexual minority population.
18 Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first study investigating
19 the risk for suicidal behavior among Chinese sexual minority adolescents in a
20 representative sample.

22 **Conclusions**

1
2
3 1 Increased suicide risk among sexual minority adolescents has become a major global
4
5
6 2 health concern. However, few related studies have been conducted among Chinese
7
8
9 3 adolescents. The findings from our study suggested that Chinese sexual minority
10
11 4 adolescents had a higher risk of suicidal behavior and that BSA adolescents have the
12
13 5 highest risk of suicidality regardless of sex. Based on our study results, conducting
14
15 6 early detection and intervention programs for Chinese sexual minorities (especially
16
17 7 BSA individuals) is suggested to more effectively and appropriately prevent
18
19 8 suicide-related problems. Future studies that focus on the risk factors, mechanisms
20
21 9 and interventions of suicidal behavior in Chinese sexual minority adolescents are
22
23
24
25 10 warranted.

26
27
28 11

12 **Contributors' Statement:**

13 Ciyong Lu conceptualized and designed the study, reviewed and revised the
14 manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted. Yeen Huang and
15 Pengsheng Li conceptualized and designed the study, coordinated and supervised the
16 data collection, carried out the initial analyses, drafted the initial manuscript, and
17 approved the final manuscript as submitted. They contributed equally to this study.
18 Lan Guo carried out the analyses and interpreted the data, reviewed and revised the
19 manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted. Xue Gao, Yan Xu,
20 Guoliang Huang, and Xueqing Deng designed the data collection instruments,
21 coordinated and supervised the data collection, reviewed and revised the manuscript,
22 and approved the final manuscript as submitted. All authors approved the final
23 manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

24
25 **Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank local health professionals, the
26 Department of Education, and participating schools for their assistance and support.
27 In addition, we express our gratitude to all the participants and investigators for
28 assistance in data collection.

29 30 **References:**

- 31 1. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and
32 bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychol Bull*
33 2003;129:674-697.

- 1 2. Marshal M P, Friedman M S, Stall R, et al. Sexual orientation and adolescent
2 substance use: a meta-analysis and methodological review. *Addiction*
3 2008;103:546-556.
- 4 3. Marshal M P, Dietz L J, Friedman M S, et al. Suicidality and depression
5 disparities between sexual minority and heterosexual youth: a meta-analytic
6 review. *J Adolesc Health* 2011;49:115-123.
- 7 4. Remafedi G, French SA, Story M, et al. The relationship between suicide risk
8 and sexual orientation: results of a population-based study. *Am J Public Health*
9 1998;88:57-60.
- 10 5. Russell ST, Joyner K. Adolescent sexual orientation and suicide risk: evidence
11 from a national study. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:1276-1281.
- 12 6. Kann L, Olsen EO, Mcmanus T, et al. Sexual identity, sex of sexual contacts,
13 and health-risk behaviors among students in grades 9-12 —United States and
14 selected Sites, 2015. *MMWR Surveill Summ* (Washington, D.C.: 2002)
15 2016;65:19-20.
- 16 7. Wichstrøm L, Hegna K. Sexual orientation and suicide attempt: a longitudinal
17 study of the general Norwegian adolescent population. *J Abnorm Psychol*
18 2003;112:144-151.
- 19 8. Salway T, Ross L E, Fehr C P, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of
20 disparities in the prevalence of suicide ideation and attempt among bisexual
21 populations. *Arch Sex Behav*, 2018:1-23.
- 22 9. Phillips MR, Li X, Zhang Y, et al. Suicide rates in China, 1995-99. *The Lancet*
23 2002;359:835-840.
- 24 10. Liu X C, Chen H, Liu Z Z, et al. Prevalence of suicidal behaviour and associated
25 factors in a large sample of Chinese adolescents. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*
26 2017:1-10.
- 27 11. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Association between sleep duration, suicidal
28 ideation, and suicidal attempts among Chinese adolescents: The moderating role
29 of depressive symptoms. *J Affect Disord* 2017;208:355-362.
- 30 12. Chen H, Li Y, Wang L, et al. Causes of suicidal behaviors in men who have sex
31 with men in China: a national questionnaire survey. *BMC Public Health*
32 2015;15:1-4.
- 33 13. Mu H, Li Y, Li L, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for lifetime suicide ideation,
34 plan and attempt in Chinese men who have sex with men. *BMC Psychiatry*
35 2016;16:1-10.
- 36 14. Lian Q, Zuo X, Lou C, et al. Sexual orientation and risk factors for suicidal
37 ideation and suicide attempts: a multi-centre cross-sectional study in three Asian
38 cities. *J Epidemiol* 2015;25:155-161.
- 39 15. Zhan H J, Montgomery R J V. Gender and elder care in China: The influence of
40 filial piety and structural constraints. *Gender & Society* 2003;17:209-229.
- 41 16. Hsu M H, Waters J A. Filial Piety and sexual prejudice in Chinese culture.
42 *Attitude Measures* 2001;11.
- 43 17. Feng Y, Wu Z, Detels R. Evolution of men who have sex with men community
44 and experienced stigma among men who have sex with men in Chengdu, China.

- 1
2
3 1 J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2010;53:98-103.
- 4 2 18. Liu J X, Choi K. Experiences of social discrimination among Men Who Have
5 3 Sex with Men in Shanghai, China. *AIDS Behav* 2006;10:25-33.
- 6 4 19. Wang H, Deng J, Zhou X, et al. The nonmedical use of prescription medicines
7 5 among high school students: A cross-sectional study in Southern China. *Drug*
8 6 *Alcohol Depend* 2014;141:9-15.
- 9 7 20. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers
10 8 among high school students in China: a multilevel analysis. *BMJ Open*
11 9 2015;5:e007569.
- 12 10 21. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Associations between childhood maltreatment and
13 11 non-medical use of prescription drugs among Chinese adolescents. *Addiction*
14 12 2017;112:1600-1609.
- 15 13 22. Guo L, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. Association between nonmedical use of prescription
16 14 drugs and suicidal behavior among adolescents. *JAMA Pediatr*
17 15 2016;170:971-978.
- 18 16 23. Woods ER, Lin YG, Middleman AB, et al. The associations of suicide attempts
19 17 in adolescents. *Pediatrics* 1997;99:791-796.
- 20 18 24. Arcelus J, Bouman W P, Noortgate W V D, et al. Systematic review and
21 19 meta-analysis of prevalence studies in transsexualism. *Eur Psychiatry*
22 20 2015;30:807-815.
- 23 21 25. Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual (LGB) Youth Sexual Orientation Measurement
24 22 Work Group. Measuring sexual orientation of young people in health research.
25 23 San Francisco, CA: Gay and Lesbian Medical Association 2003.
- 26 24 26. Li P, Huang Y, Guo L, et al. Sexual attraction and the nonmedical use of opioids
27 25 and sedative drugs among Chinese adolescents. *Drug Alcohol Depend*
28 26 2018;183:169-175.
- 29 27 27. Shields JP, Whitaker K, Glassman J, et al. Impact of victimization on risk of
30 28 suicide among lesbian, gay, and bisexual high school students in San Francisco.
31 29 *J Adolesc Health* 2012;50:418-420.
- 32 30 28. Olweus D. The revised Olweus bully/victim questionnaire. Bergen, Norway:
33 31 University of Bergen 1996.
- 34 32 29. Wu J, He Y, Lu C, et al. Bullying behaviors among Chinese school-aged youth:
35 33 A prevalence and correlates study in Guangdong province. *Psychiatry Res*
36 34 2015;225:716-722.
- 37 35 30. Acierno R, Kilpatrick DG, Resnick HS, et al. Assault, PTSD, family substance
38 36 use, and depression as risk factors for cigarette use in youth: findings from the
39 37 National Survey of Adolescents. *J Trauma Stress* 2000;13:381-396.
- 40 38 31. Kandra KL, Mccullough A, Ranney L, et al. Support among middle school and
41 39 high school students for smoke-free policies, North Carolina, 2009. *Prev*
42 40 *Chronic Dis* 2013;10:675-681.
- 43 41 32. Huang R, Ho SY, Wang MP, et al. Sociodemographic risk factors of alcohol
44 42 drinking in Hong Kong adolescents. *J Epidemiol Community Health*
45 43 2016;70:374-379.
- 46 44 33. Li Y, Li YF, Cao J, et al. Factors associated with suicidal behaviors in mainland

- 1
2
3 1 China: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12:1-13.
- 4 2 34. Dai J, Chiu HF, Conner K R, et al. Suicidal ideation and attempts among rural
5 3 Chinese aged 16-34 years--Socio-demographic correlates in the context of a
6 4 transforming China. *J Affect Disord* 2011;130:438-446.
- 7 5 35. Swannell S, Martin G, Page A. Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and
8 6 non-suicidal self-injury among lesbian, gay, bisexual and heterosexual adults:
9 7 Findings from an Australian national study. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*
10 8 2016;50:145-153.
- 11 9 36. Graaf RD, Sandfort TG, Have MT. Suicidality and sexual orientation:
12 10 differences between men and women in a general population-based sample from
13 11 the Netherlands. *Arch Sex Behav* 2006;35:253-262.
- 14 12 37. Plöderl M, Tremblay P. Mental health of sexual minorities. A systematic review.
15 13 *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2015;27:367-385.
- 16 14 38. Baams L, Grossman AH, Russell ST, et al. Minority stress and mechanisms of
17 15 risk for depression and suicidal ideation among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth.
18 16 *Dev Psychol* 2015;51:688-696.
- 19 17 39. Kosciw JG, Greytak EA, Bartkiewicz MJ, et al. The 2011 National School
20 18 Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender youth
21 19 in our nation's schools. New York: GLSEN 2012.
- 22 20 40. Bontempo DE, Augelli AR. Effects of at-school victimization and sexual
23 21 orientation on lesbian, gay, or bisexual youths' health risk behavior. *J Adolesc*
24 22 *Health* 2002;30:364-374.
- 25 23 41. Kwok DK, Wu J. Chinese attitudes towards sexual minorities in Hong Kong:
26 24 Implications for mental health. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2015;27:444-454.
- 27 25 42. Pompili M, Lester D, Forte A, et al. Bisexuality and suicide: a systematic review
28 26 of the current literature. *J Sex Med* 2014;11:1903-1913.
- 29 27 43. Hatzenbuehler M L. How does sexual minority stigma "Get Under the Skin"? a
30 28 psychological mediation framework. *Psychol Bull* 2009;135:707-730.
- 31 29 44. Koh AS, Ross LK. Mental health issues: A comparison of lesbian, bisexual and
32 30 heterosexual women. *J Homosex* 2006;51:33-57.
- 33 31 45. Kann L, Olsen E O, Mcmanus T, et al. Sexual identity, sex of sexual contacts,
34 32 and health-risk behaviors among students in grades 9-12--youth risk behavior
35 33 surveillance, selected sites, United States, 2001-2009. *MMWR Surveill Summ*
36 34 2011;60:1-133.
- 37 35 46. Igartua K, Thombs B D, Burgos G, et al. Concordance and discrepancy in sexual
38 36 identity, attraction, and behavior among adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*
39 37 2009;45:602-608.
- 40 38 47. Birkett M, Russell S T, Corliss H L. Sexual-orientation disparities in school: the
41 39 mediational role of indicators of victimization in achievement and truancy
42 40 because of feeling unsafe. *Am J Public Health* 2014;104:1124-1128.
- 43 41 48. Sara B. Oswalt MPH PhD, Tammy J. Wyatt PhD. Sexual orientation and
44 42 differences in mental health, stress, and academic performance in a national
45 43 sample of U.S. College Students. *J Homosex* 2011;58:1255-1280.
- 46 44 49. Zhao Y, Montoro R, Igartua K, et al. Suicidal ideation and attempt among

- 1
2
3 1 adolescents reporting "unsure" sexual identity or heterosexual identity plus
4 2 same-sex attraction or behavior: forgotten groups?. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc*
5 3 *Psychiatry* 2010;49:104-113.
6 4
7 50. Kwok D K. School experience of Chinese sexual minority students in Hong
8 5 Kong. *J Lgbt Youth* 2016;13:378-396.
9 6
10 51. Chi X, Hawk S T. Attitudes toward same-sex attraction and behavior among
11 7 Chinese university students: tendencies, correlates, and gender differences.
12 8 *Front Psychol* 2016;7:1-9.
13 9
14 52. Lin K, Button D M, Su M, et al. Chinese college students' attitudes toward
15 10 homosexuality: exploring the effects of traditional culture and modernizing
16 11 factors. *Sex Res Social Policy* 2016;13:158-172.
17 12
18 53. Whitaker K, Shapiro VB, Shields JP, et al. School-based protective factors
19 13 related to suicide for lesbian, gay, and bisexual adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*
20 14 2016;58:63-68.
21 15

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants by sexual minority status among Chinese adolescents (N=150,822).

Variable	Total No. (%)	Heterosexual No. (%)	Sexual minorities ^a No. (%)	Unsure No. (%)	χ^2/F	P-value
Total	150,822 (100.00)	116,774 (78.6)	6,685 (4.1)	27,363 (17.3)		
Sex					182.90	<0.001
Male	72,409 (51.8)	57,343 (52.8)	2,483 (41.4)	12,583 (49.7)		
Female	78,413 (48.2)	59,431 (47.2)	4,202 (58.6)	14,780 (50.3)		
Age (year)^b	15.1 (0.4)	15.3 (0.5)	15.1 (0.4)	14.1 (0.3)	754.33	<0.001
Academic pressure					567.69	<0.001
None	23,387 (15.8)	17,051 (14.9)	1,060 (16.1)	5,276 (19.8)		
Less	69,359 (46.5)	53,061 (46.1)	2,648 (39.9)	13,650 (49.8)		
Medium or great	58,076 (37.7)	46,662 (39.0)	2,977 (44.0)	8,437 (30.4)		
HSS^c					204.56	<0.001
Very good	30,766 (22.7)	22,812 (21.8)	1,456 (24.2)	6,498 (26.6)		
Good	90,894 (60.3)	71,306 (61.1)	3,748 (55.4)	15,840 (57.4)		
Fair or poor	29,162 (17.0)	22,656 (17.1)	1,481 (20.4)	5,025 (16.0)		
Current smoking					288.12	<0.001
No	143,032 (94.6)	110,194 (94.1)	6,212 (93.0)	26,626 (97.3)		
Yes	7,790 (5.4)	6,580 (5.9)	473 (7.0)	737 (2.7)		
Current drinking					818.05	<0.001
No	126,765 (84.0)	96,909 (82.9)	5,072 (76.6)	24,784 (90.5)		
Yes	24,057 (16.0)	19,865 (17.1)	1,613 (23.4)	2,579 (9.5)		
Bullying experience					57.58	<0.001
No	138,523 (91.6)	107,767 (92.0)	5,668 (83.9)	25,088 (91.3)		
Yes	12,299 (8.4)	9,007 (8.0)	1,017 (16.1)	2,275 (8.7)		

^aSexual minorities included adolescents who reported same-sex or both-sex romantic attraction.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 ^b Age data are presented as the means (SE).

8 ^c HSS, Household socioeconomic status.

9 All numbers were unweighted, whereas all percentages were adjusted for sampling weights.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

For peer review only

Table 2. Prevalence of past-year suicidal ideation and suicide attempts by sexual minority status among Chinese adolescents (N=150,822).

	Males (N=72,409)				Females (N=78,413)			
	Heterosexual=1	SSA=2	BSA=3	Unsure=4	Heterosexual=5	SSA=6	BSA=7	Unsure=8
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
Suicidal ideation								
No	48,572 (85.5)	795 (78.4)	919 (65.3)	11,067 (88.3)	47,941 (81.2)	706 (69.6)	1,839 (57.7)	12,527 (85.1)
Yes	8,771 (14.5)	243 (21.6)	526 (34.7)	1,516 (11.7)	11,490 (18.8)	309 (30.4)	1,348 (42.3)	2,253 (14.9)
Suicide attempts								
No	55,991 (97.8)	962 (93.1)	1,267 (87.8)	12,184 (96.9)	57,552 (96.9)	923 (91.1)	2,820 (89.1)	14,318 (96.8)
Yes	1,352 (2.2)	76 (6.9)	178 (12.2)	399 (3.1)	1,879 (3.1)	92 (8.9)	367 (10.9)	462 (3.2)

SSA, same-sex romantic attraction; BSA, both-sex romantic attraction;

All numbers were unweighted, whereas all percentages were adjusted for sampling weights.

The alpha level for paired comparison was set at $P=0.0083$ after Bonferroni correction.

Paired comparison for suicidal ideation:

2>1, $\chi^2=51.42, P<0.001$; 6>5, $\chi^2=78.41, P<0.001$;
3>1, $\chi^2=471.59, P<0.001$; 7>5, $\chi^2=978.63, P<0.001$;
3>2, $\chi^2=47.69, P<0.001$; 7>6, $\chi^2=45.29, P<0.001$;
4<1, $\chi^2=86.74, P<0.001$; 8<5, $\chi^2=131.20, P<0.001$;
4<2, $\chi^2=110.08, P<0.001$; 8<6, $\chi^2=161.47, P<0.001$;
4<3, $\chi^2=618.07, P<0.001$; 8<7, $\chi^2=1197.33, P<0.001$;

Paired comparison for suicide attempts:

2>1, $\chi^2=105.29, P<0.001$; 6>5, $\chi^2=110.22, P<0.001$;
3>1, $\chi^2=551.67, P<0.001$; 7>5, $\chi^2=610.42, P<0.001$;
3>2, $\chi^2=16.42, P<0.001$; 7>6, $\chi^2=4.76, P=0.029$;
4>1, $\chi^2=27.95, P<0.001$; 8>5, $\chi^2=0.05, P=0.824$;
4<2, $\chi^2=49.09, P<0.001$; 8<6, $\chi^2=98.96, P<0.001$;
4<3, $\chi^2=274.99, P<0.001$; 8<7, $\chi^2=419.29, P<0.001$;

Table 3. Associations of sexual minority status with past-year suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among Chinese adolescents (N=150,822).

	Model 1 ^a			Model 2 ^b		
	OR	95% CI	P	AOR	95% CI	P
Suicidal ideation						
Males						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	1.62	1.30-2.03	<0.001	1.56	1.26-1.94	<0.001
BSA	3.13	2.59-3.79	<0.001	2.42	2.03-2.88	<0.001
Unsure	0.78	0.67-0.91	<0.001	0.78	0.69-0.87	<0.001
Females						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	1.88	1.67-2.11	<0.001	1.42	1.30-1.56	<0.001
BSA	3.15	2.93-3.39	<0.001	2.61	2.41-2.82	<0.001
Unsure	0.75	0.60-0.94	<0.001	0.71	0.61-0.83	<0.001
Suicide attempts						
Males						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	3.29	2.43-4.47	<0.001	3.13	2.28-4.28	<0.001
BSA	6.25	4.46-8.76	<0.001	3.83	2.85-5.14	<0.001
Unsure	1.42	1.07-1.90	<0.001	1.55	1.24-1.94	<0.001
Females						
Heterosexual	1.0			1.0		
SSA	3.13	2.36-4.15	<0.001	1.97	1.43-2.70	<0.001
BSA	3.89	3.13-4.83	<0.001	2.59	2.19-3.06	<0.001
Unsure	1.04	0.75-1.44	0.824	1.03	0.80-1.34	0.531

SSA, same-sex romantic attraction; BSA, both-sex romantic attraction; CI, confidence intervals; OR, odds ratios; AOR, adjusted odds ratios.

^aUnadjusted.

^bAdjusted for age, academic pressure, household socioeconomic status, current smoking, current drinking, and bullying experience.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Page 1 (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Page 1
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Page 2-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Page 4
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper Page 4-5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Page 4-6
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up NA. <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls NA. <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Page 4-5 (b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Page 6-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Page 6-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Page 5-6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at Page 4-5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why

1			
2			Page 7-8
3	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
4			Page 8-9
5			(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
6			NA.
7			(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
8			Page 8-9
9			(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
10			<i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
11			addressed
12			<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of
13			sampling strategy
14			Page 8
15			(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses
16			NA.

Continued on next page

Results

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Page 9 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders Page 9-10 (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest NA. (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) NA.
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time NA. <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure NA. <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures Page 9-10
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included Page 10-11 (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized NA. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period NA.
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses NA.
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Page 11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Page 16-17
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Page 11-15
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Page 14-16

Other information

Funding 22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

[Page 2](#)

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.