Cancer Cell

Cooperative Enhancer Activation by TLX1 and STAT5 Drives Development of NUP214-ABL1/TLX1-Positive T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Marlies Vanden Bempt, Sofie Demeyer, Michaël Broux, ..., Jean-Pierre Bourquin, Charles E. de Bock, Jan Cools

Correspondence

charles.debock@kuleuven.vib.be (C.E.d.B.), jan.cools@kuleuven.vib.be (J.C.)

In Brief

Vanden Bempt et al. show that NUP214-ABL1 cooperates with TLX1 to drive T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) development and that STAT5, the downstream effector of NUP214-ABL1, and TLX1 cooperatively activate the expression of *MYC* and *BCL2*. Inhibition of STAT5, TLX1, MYC, or BCL2 induces T-ALL cell death.

Highlights

- TLX1 and STAT5 signaling cooperate in the development of T-ALL
- TLX1 and STAT5 co-bind and activate oncogenic enhancers
- A feedforward loop leads to MYC activation and recruitment to TLX1/STAT5 enhancers
- Synergy between ABL1 inhibitors and BET/BCL2 inhibitors improves therapy response



Cancer Cell Article

Cooperative Enhancer Activation by TLX1 and STAT5 Drives Development of NUP214-ABL1/TLX1-Positive T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Marlies Vanden Bempt,^{1,2,7} Sofie Demeyer,^{1,2,7} Michaël Broux,^{1,2} Jolien De Bie,^{1,2} Simon Bornschein,^{1,2} Nicole Mentens,^{1,2} Roel Vandepoel,^{1,2} Ellen Geerdens,^{1,2} Enrico Radaelli,¹ Beat C. Bornhauser,³ Andreas E. Kulozik,^{4,5} Jules P. Meijerink,⁶ Jean-Pierre Bourquin,³ Charles E. de Bock,^{1,2,*} and Jan Cools^{1,2,8,*}

¹KU Leuven Center for Human Genetics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

²VIB Center for Cancer Biology, VIB, Leuven, Belgium

³Department of Oncology and Children's Research Center, University Children's Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

- ⁴Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Heidelberg University Children's Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁵Hopp Children's Cancer Center at the NCT Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁶Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, the Netherlands

⁷These authors contributed equally

⁸Lead Contact

SUMMARY

The NUP214-ABL1 fusion is a constitutively activated tyrosine kinase that is significantly associated with overexpression of the TLX1 and TLX3 transcription factors in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL). Here we show that NUP214-ABL1 cooperates with TLX1 in driving T-ALL development using a transgenic mouse model and human T-ALL cells. Using integrated ChIP-sequencing, ATAC-sequencing, and RNA-sequencing data, we demonstrate that TLX1 and STAT5, the downstream effector of NUP214-ABL1, co-bind poised enhancer regions, and cooperatively activate the expression of key proto-oncogenes such as *MYC* and *BCL2*. Inhibition of STAT5, downregulation of TLX1 or MYC, or interference with enhancer function through BET-inhibitor treatment leads to reduction of target gene expression and induction of leukemia cell death.

INTRODUCTION

T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) is an aggressive hematological disease, which arises from the malignant transformation of developing T cell progenitors due to the accumulation of oncogenic aberrations (Belver and Ferrando, 2016; Girardi et al., 2017). Although survival rates are currently close to 90% in children, adult cases still have a poor prognosis (Bassan and Hoelzer, 2011; Pui et al., 2015). Moreover, current therapy is associated with both short-term and long-term side effects, especially devastating for children (Haddy et al., 2009). Therefore, there remains an urgent need to uncover the molecular mechanisms underlying T-ALL in order to identify therapeutic targets and to develop personalized targeted therapies.

T-ALL can be subdivided into clinically relevant subgroups, based on the ectopic and mutually exclusive expression of transcription factors, such as TAL1/2, LMO1/2, TLX1/3, HOXA9/10, or NKX2-1. Each subgroup presents with different immunophenotypes and gene expression patterns that reflect developmental arrest at different stages of T cell maturation (Homminga et al., 2011; Van Vlierberghe et al., 2012). Furthermore, next-generation sequencing has contributed to the discovery of >100 genes that are recurrently mutated in T-ALL (De Keersmaecker et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Vicente et al., 2015).

Significance

Unraveling the molecular mechanisms underlying the cooperation between TLX1 and NUP214-ABL1/STAT5 signaling improves our understanding of oncogene cooperation, and contributes to the development of effective targeted therapies. Our data show that TLX1 and STAT5 directly cooperate at the transcriptional level through activation of enhancer regions of key proto-oncogenes such as *MYC* and *BCL2*. Based on these findings, we demonstrate synergy between ABL1 kinase inhibitors and BET or BCL2 inhibitors to reduce the growth of NUP214-ABL1/TLX-positive T-ALL cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

^{*}Correspondence: charles.debock@kuleuven.vib.be (C.E.d.B.), jan.cools@kuleuven.vib.be (J.C.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.07.007



Figure 1. Expression of NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 Is Required to Induce T-ALL in a Transgenic Mouse Model (A) Pie chart representing the percentage of T-ALL (left) or NUP214-ABL1-positive T-ALL (right) with TLX1 or TLX3 expression. (B) Schematic overview of the transgenic mouse models used in this study. Red triangles represent *LoxP* sites. A conditional loxP-STOP-loxP NUP214-ABL1 knockin mouse model (abbreviated as LSL-NA) was generated. NUP214-ABL1 expression was initiated by crossing LSL-NA mice with CD4-Cre mice.

(legend continued on next page)

TLX1 and TLX3 are not expressed in the hematopoietic system but are ectopically expressed in approximately 30% of T-ALL cases (Belver and Ferrando, 2016; Girardi et al., 2017). This is the result of chromosomal translocations that place TLX1 or TLX3 under control of regulatory elements of the T cell receptor locus or of the BCL11B locus, respectively. Overexpression of TLX1 in mouse T cells results in the development of T cell leukemia/lymphoma with long latency, illustrating the oncogenic potential of TLX1. These leukemias/lymphomas frequently harbor inactivating mutations in Bcl11b, and were typically aneuploid as a result of TLX1-driven defects in mitotic checkpoint activation (De Keersmaecker et al., 2010). Additional studies have shown that TLX1 target genes show significant overlap with NOTCH1 target genes (Durinck et al., 2015), and that TLX1 binds to the T cell receptor alpha locus (TRA), thereby inhibiting its recombination and blocking differentiation of the T cells (Dadi et al., 2012). Together, these data provide first hints as to how ectopic TLX1 expression can contribute to T-ALL development by disturbing NOTCH1 signaling and T cell differentiation. The role of TLX3 in T-ALL is less well studied, but Ferrando and coworkers have shown that TLX1- or TLX3-expressing tumors are characterized by a highly related gene expression signature and that TLX1 and TLX3 share 75% of their direct target genes as determined by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-chip (Della Gatta et al., 2012).

Besides aberrant transcription factor expression, T-ALL cases harbor mutations that lead to constitutive activation of signaling pathways such as the NOTCH1, PI3K-AKT, RAS-MAPK, and IL7R-JAK-STAT pathways (Canté-Barrett et al., 2016; Degryse et al., 2014; Mullighan et al., 2009; Weng et al., 2004; Zenatti et al., 2011). Mutations in the PI3K-AKT pathway are most frequent in TAL1-positive T-ALL cases, while activating mutations in JAK1, JAK3 or IL7R, leading to constitutive STAT5 phosphorylation, are most often found in immature and TLX/HOXApositive T-ALL (de Bock et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2017). In addition to IL7R-JAK mutations, ABL1 activation, through the generation of fusion genes with NUP214, EML1, or ETV6, results in aberrant STAT5 activation (De Keersmaecker et al., 2008a). The NUP214-ABL1 fusion is the most frequent ABL1 fusion in T-ALL, which is generated by episomal amplification (Graux et al., 2004). The NUP214-ABL1 kinase is able to confer cytokine-independent growth to Ba/F3 cells and to drive T cell leukemia when overexpressed from viral vectors in mouse bone marrow cells in vivo (De Keersmaecker et al., 2008a). Compared with BCR-ABL1, NUP214-ABL1 is a relatively weak oncoprotein with lower kinase activity, and a 2- to 3-fold higher sensitivity to the kinase inhibitor imatinib (De Keersmaecker et al., 2008b). Patients with NUP214-ABL1-positive T-ALL have been treated with imatinib, albeit with variable success (Clarke et al., 2011; Crombet et al., 2012; Deenik et al., 2009; Koschmieder et al., 2014; Stergianou et al., 2005).

From numerous sequencing studies, it has become evident that *NUP214-ABL1*⁺ T-ALL cases are almost exclusively found within *TLX1/3*-positive cases (Graux et al., 2009; Kleppe et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2017). This association between NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1/3 expression suggests a possible synergism between these two oncogenic aberrations in driving the development, progression, and/or maintenance of T-ALL, which we have investigated in the current study.

RESULTS

The NUP214-ABL1 Fusion and TLX1/TLX3 Expression Co-occur in T-ALL Patients and Cooperate in the Development of T-ALL in a Transgenic Mouse Model

The NUP214-ABL1 kinase is a known driver of proliferation in T-ALL (Graux et al., 2004; Kleppe et al., 2010). Examination of publicly available clinical T-ALL sequencing data (Burmeister et al., 2006; Graux et al., 2004, 2009; Homminga et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2017; Soulier et al., 2005) confirmed that within the *NUP214-ABL1*⁺ cases, almost all cases are *TLX1/TLX3* positive, while in a general T-ALL cohort only 32% of the cases are *TLX1/TLX3* positive (p < 0.0001) (Figure 1A and Table S1). This significant co-occurrence between NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1/3 in T-ALL patients suggested that these lesions might cooperate in the initiation, development, and/or maintenance of T-ALL.

To investigate the potential cooperation of NUP214-ABL1 with TLX1, we generated a conditional transgenic mouse model Tg(NUP214-ABL1), in which the expression of *NUP214-ABL1*-*IRES-GFP* is blocked by a *LoxP* stop cassette (hereafter designated LSL-NA, Figures 1B and S1A). These mice were subsequently crossed with Tg(CD4-Cre) mice for targeted expression of NUP214-ABL1 within developing T cells beginning from the CD4⁺CD8⁺ double-positive stage (hereafter designated NA mice, Figures 1B and S1A). CD4-Cre-driven expression of NUP214-ABL1 alone was insufficient to cause T-ALL

Co-expression of NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 was achieved by crossing NA mice with Tg(Lck-TLX1) mice, resulting in Tg(CD4 Cre; NUP214-ABL1; Lck TLX1) mice (abbreviated as NA + TLX1).

⁽C) Kaplan-Meier overall survival curve comparing NA + TLX1, TLX1, and NA mice.

⁽D) Representative fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of GFP expression in NA + TLX1 mice at end-stage disease compared with wild-type (WT) cells for spleen, thymus, peripheral blood (PB), and bone marrow (BM).

⁽E–G) Peripheral white blood cell count (WBC) (E), spleen weight (F), and thymus weight (G) at end-stage disease for NA + TLX1 mice compared with NA and LSL-NA mice (end stage for NA and LSL-NA defined as >360 days). Star indicates NA + TLX1 mouse that presented with an elevated WBC, but did not present with an enlarged spleen or thymus at end stage. Statistical significance was calculated using a Mann-Whitney test. Data are presented as mean ± SD. N.s., not significant.

⁽H) Representative FACS analysis for CD4 and CD8 expression in GFP-positive NA + TLX1 leukemic cells from the peripheral blood compared with NA and LSL-NA peripheral blood cells.

⁽I) H&E and immunohistochemical staining for CD3 and Cre in spleen cells from LSL-NA, NA, and NA + TLX1 mice. Scale bars represent 100 μ m.

⁽J) Kaplan-Meier overall survival curve of secondary (using cells from three different primary NA + TLX1 mice) and tertiary transplants.

⁽K) Growth curve of ex vivo primary immature pro T cells expressing EML1-ABL1, TLX1 or both. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

⁽L) Kaplan-Meier overall survival curve of mice transplanted with hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells expressing EML1-ABL1, TLX1 or EML1-ABL1+TLX1. See also Figures S1–S4 and Table S1.



Figure 2. NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 Upregulate the JAK-STAT Pathway

(A) Transcription factor binding motifs of the top transcription factors identified by i-CisTarget to regulate NA + TLX1 gene expression patterns. NES, normalized enrichment score.

(B) i-CisTarget transcriptional network showing genes regulated by STAT5.

(C) Heatmap representing the differential gene expression of canonical JAK-STAT signaling pathway genes in WT, NA, TLX1, and NA + TLX1 mice.

(D) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showing enrichment of STAT5 target genes (as defined by ChIP-seq) in the differentially expressed genes in NA + TLX1 mice compared with WT. NES, normalized enrichment score.

(E) qRT-PCR analysis of Myc and Bcl2 mRNA in the different transgenic mouse models. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

(F) qRT-PCR analysis of Myc and Bc/2 in ex vivo immature pro T cells expressing EML1-ABL1, TLX1 or both. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

(G) qRT-PCR in ALL-SIL cells after a 2-day antisense oligo-mediated knockdown of STAT5A or STAT5B. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

development in the NA mouse model over a 400-day observation period, and there were no profound T cell developmental defects (Figures 1C and S1B–S1G). Similarly, crossing the LSL-NA mice with CD2-Cre or CD19-Cre drivers, to activate NUP214-ABL1 expression in the common lymphoid progenitor or B cell progenitor stages, did not result in strong lymphoid abnormalities or disease development (Figure S2). Together, these data show that the expression of a single copy of NUP214-ABL1 within lymphoid progenitors was insufficient to drive leukemia development.

We next sought to determine whether co-expression of TLX1 with NUP214-ABL1 could drive T-ALL development. To this end, NA mice were crossed with Tg(Lck-TLX1) mice (designated TLX1) (Figure 1B), expressing TLX1 under control of the T cellspecific Lck promoter (De Keersmaecker et al., 2010), and this resulted in mice in which both NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 were expressed in developing T cells (designated NA + TLX1) (Figures 1B, S3A, and S3B). In this instance, NA + TLX1 mice developed an aggressive T cell leukemia with a significantly shorter latency (median overall survival = 217 days) compared with TLX1 mice (median overall survival = 385 days) and NA mice (no leukemia) (p < 0.001). At end-stage disease, all NA + TLX1 mice had leukemic cell infiltration into the spleen, thymus, and bone marrow (Figure 1D), and the leukemic cells showed strong phosphorylation of STAT5, a downstream effector of NUP214-ABL1 (Figures S3C and S3D). Leukemic mice had increased white blood cell counts, with the majority also presenting with splenomegaly and enlarged thymi (Figures 1E–1G). Phenotypic analysis revealed that the major leukemic clone in the NA + TLX1 mice was an immature CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cell population (Figure 1H). Histopathological analysis further confirmed a T cell leukemia with severe expansion of the white pulp and infiltration of the red pulp by atypical CD3⁺ lymphoid cells, resulting in the loss of splenic tissue architecture in NA + TLX1 mice (Figure 1I). The disease was transplantable to secondary and tertiary transplants, confirming the disease to be an acute leukemia (Figure 1J).

To further extend these findings, we tested whether TLX1 could cooperate with EML1-ABL1, another ABL1 fusion detected in TLX1-positive T-ALL (Vanden Bempt et al., 2018; De Keersmaecker et al., 2005). First, we tested co-expression of EML1-ABL1 and TLX1 in *ex vivo* cultured primary mouse pro T cells (Bornschein et al., 2018; Gehre et al., 2015). Cells expressing EML1-ABL1 and TLX1 proliferated in the absence of interleukin-7 (IL-7) and outcompeted cells expressing EML1-ABL1 or TLX1 alone (Figure 1K). Next, to confirm these findings *in vivo*, we transplanted murine hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells transduced with retroviral vectors containing EML1-ABL1.

TLX1, or EML1-ABL1 + TLX1 into irradiated recipient mice. After 100 days, 5 of 8 (63%) of the EML1-ABL1 + TLX1 mice had developed a fatal leukemia, whereas EML1-ABL1 or TLX1 alone was not able to induce leukemia during the observation period (Figures 1L and S4). Taken together, these data show that both NUP214-ABL1 and EML1-ABL1 can cooperate with TLX1 to transform T cells *ex vivo* and induce leukemia *in vivo*.

NUP214-ABL1/TLX1-Driven Leukemia Cells Are Characterized by a STAT5 Gene Signature

To elucidate the underlying transcriptional programs and identify key transcription factors driving the leukemia development in NA + TLX1 mice, we performed a global gene expression analysis using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) in conjunction with an in silico analysis of regulatory sequences using i-CisTarget (Herrmann et al., 2012; Verfaillie et al., 2015). Genes that were most significantly up- or downregulated in NA + TLX1 CD4⁺CD8⁺ leukemia cells compared with normal CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells were enriched for STAT5, SPI1, and ETS/RUNX binding sites in their regulatory regions (Figures 2A and 2B). In addition, we found significant upregulation of the JAK-STAT pathway genes in NA + TLX1 leukemic cells (Figures 2C and S5A). STAT5 is indeed known to be phosphorylated and activated by NUP214-ABL1 (De Keersmaecker et al., 2008a). To specifically determine the contribution of STAT5 target genes, we performed ChIP sequencing (ChIP-seq) with anti-STAT5 antibodies. We observed a strong enrichment of the genes bound by STAT5 (as defined by ChIP-seq) in the upregulated transcripts in the NA + TLX1 leukemic cells compared with wild-type (WT) CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells (Figures 2D and S5B). Many of the upregulated genes, including Myc and Bcl2, are known as canonical STAT5 target genes (Figures 2E and S5C). In addition, activation of STAT5 and expression of TLX1 together led to increased expression of Myc and Bcl2 compared with STAT5 or TLX1 alone in ex vivo cultured pro T cells (Figure 2F).

These data indicate that NA + TLX1 leukemia cells are largely characterized by activation of STAT5 target genes. To further determine the contribution of STAT5 and TLX1 in transcriptional regulation, we knocked down STAT5A/STAT5B or TLX1 in the human T-ALL cell line ALL-SIL (expressing NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1), and found that STAT5 target genes were in both cases downregulated (Figures 2G, 2H, S5D, and S5E). Moreover, inhibition of STAT5 activation by treatment of the cells with the ABL1 kinase inhibitor imatinib led to downregulation of the STAT5 target genes (Figures 2I, 2J, and S5F–S5H), and these genes significantly overlapped with the set of genes specific for the mouse NA + TLX1 leukemia cells (p < 0.001) (Figure 2K). In

⁽H) GSEA to show enrichment of TLX1 target genes (genes with a TLX1 ChIP peak and downregulated after TLX1 gapmer treatment) in differentially expressed genes after imatinib treatment. NES, normalized enrichment score.

⁽I–K) Volcano plot (I) showing up- and downregulated genes (top) and GSEA to show enrichment of STAT5 target genes in differentially expressed genes (bottom) after imatinib treatment (500 nM imatinib or DMSO for 3 hr) in leukemic cells harvested from NA + TLX1 mice (n = 3; experiment was performed with cells harvested from three separate mice). (J) Volcano plot showing up- and downregulated genes (top) and GSEA to show enrichment of STAT5 target genes in differentially expressed genes (bottom) after imatinib treatment (500 nM imatinib or DMSO for 3 hr) in ALL-SIL cells (n = 3; experiment was performed as three independent repeats). (K) Venn diagram showing overlap between the upregulated genes in NA + TLX1 versus WT mice and genes that are downregulated after imatinib treatment (p = 8.7×10^{-159}).

⁽L) Cell number of NA + TLX1 spleen cells expressing STAT5 N642H or empty vector, treated for 48 hr with 500 nM imatinib or DMSO. Data are presented as mean ± SD.



Socs1 ┥

(legend on next page)

addition, expression of a constitutive active STAT5B(N642H) mutant (Ariyoshi et al., 2000; Bandapalli et al., 2014) in mouse NA + TLX1 leukemia cells could rescue the effect of imatinib treatment on cell viability (Figure 2L), confirming that STAT5 is one of the major factors driving the proliferation and survival of the NA + TLX1 leukemia cells. Taken together, these gene expression data indicate a strong STAT5 signature in the NA + TLX1 leukemia cells, with marked upregulation of STAT5 target genes in the presence of TLX1.

TLX1 and STAT5 Have Overlapping Binding Sites Genome-wide and Are Preferentially Associated with Enhancer Regions

To gain further insight into how the STAT5 and TLX1 transcription factors might cooperate in the transcriptional regulation of NA + TLX1-driven leukemia, we mapped the binding sites of STAT5 and TLX1 genome-wide. ChIP-seq analysis of murine NA + TLX1 leukemic cells revealed that STAT5 and TLX1 binding sites overlap significantly throughout the genome (p < 0.001) and that binding was enriched in imatinib-responsive genes. Motif analysis showed that RUNX motifs were highly enriched in the STAT5/TLX1 binding sites. Indeed, RUNX1 also co-binds with STAT5 and TLX1 in the leukemic cells as confirmed by ChIPseq (Figures 3A and 3B). STAT5 and TLX1 predominantly cooccupy enhancer regions, as shown by the presence of enhancer marks p300, BRD4, and H3K27ac. These regions were already bound by RUNX1 in normal CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells, where they were marked with H3K4me1 rather than H3K27ac, indicating that these regions are in a poised state in WT cells (Figures 3A and 3C). Given the strong co-occupancy at enhancers, we next ranked the H3K27ac signals from regions bound by TLX1 to identify important regulatory regions, also referred to as super-enhancers. Many TLX1-bound regions were found to contain high-intensity H3K27ac signals (Figure 3D). These enhancer regions included numerous STAT5 responsive genes with strong H3K27ac signals localized either intragenically (e.g., Bcl2) or downstream (e.g., Socs1 and Pim1) and were marked by p300 and BRD4 enhancer marks, but not by the promoter-associated H3K4me3 mark (Figures 3E-3G). These data show that STAT5 and TLX1 cooperate on a genome-wide level mainly by co-occupying regulatory enhancer regions.

TLX1 Binding Is Correlated with Open Enhancer Regions of STAT5 Response Genes

Given the strong correlation between STAT5 and TLX1 binding in active enhancer regions, global chromatin architecture was further investigated using assay for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq). Comparing ATACseq profiles of CD4⁺CD8⁺ NA + TLX1 leukemic cells with CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells from WT mice revealed that many STAT5 target genes had increased chromatin accessibility in NA + TLX1 cells. An in silico analysis using i-CisTarget (Herrmann et al., 2012; Verfaillie et al., 2015) identified STAT5, ETS1, and RUNX1 binding motifs in the more accessible chromatin regions (Figure 4A). These regions were found to be associated with genes that are differentially expressed in NA + TLX1 mice (Figure 4B). Only 16% of all chromatin regions with appearing ATAC peaks were located in promoter regions, while 42% were located in enhancer regions (Figure 4C), indicating that the gene expression changes in NA + TLX1 cells compared with NA or TLX1 cells were mainly due to enhancer-mediated effects. Indeed, we found that the more accessible regions in the NA + TLX1 leukemic cells were mostly marked by the H3K27ac enhancer mark, and only rarely by the H3K4me3 promoter mark (Figure 4D). A global comparison of ATAC peaks between non-leukemic WT, NA, and TLX1 CD4⁺CD8⁺ cells and leukemic NA + TLX1 cells showed that regions that were previously inaccessible were now accessible, bound by STAT5, TLX1, p300, and BRD4, and marked by H3K27ac. These regions were not accessible in WT, NA, or TLX1 cells, nor was any H3K27ac detected. However, these regions were already marked by H3K4me1, showing that these enhancers are already in a poised state in normal CD4⁺CD8⁺ thymocytes (Figure 4D). Among these more accessible chromatin regions were the internal Bcl2 enhancer, the Pim1 downstream enhancer, and the Notchdependent Myc enhancer (N-ME) (Herranz et al., 2014; Yashiro-Ohtani et al., 2014), while the promoter regions of these genes showed only minimal changes in chromatin structure (Figures 4E and S6).

TLX1 and STAT5 Activate a Feedforward Loop Engaging MYC in TLX1/STAT5 Complexes

To determine whether TLX1 and STAT5 co-bound regulatory regions were enriched for additional transcription factor binding sites, we integrated ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data from mouse and human leukemia cells and performed an *in silico* analysis using i-CisTarget (Herrmann et al., 2012; Verfaillie et al., 2015). This analysis revealed that MYC and MAX binding motifs were significantly enriched specifically in genes positively regulated by STAT5 (i.e., downregulated after imatinib treatment) (Figure 5A). To verify MYC binding to these regions, we performed ChIP-seq experiments with MYC antibodies to characterize genome-wide binding sites of MYC in human ALL-SIL cells. Strikingly, the binding pattern of MYC overlapped significantly with STAT5 and

Figure 3. STAT5 and TLX1 Co-bind Regulatory Regions throughout the Genome

⁽A) Centered read density heatmaps of ChIPmentation ChIP-seq signals for the binding of TLX1, STAT5, p300, BRD4, ETS1, RUNX1, and the histone marks H3K27ac and H3K4me1. Heatmaps centered and ranked on TLX1 signal strength in leukemic NA + TLX1 and WT mouse cells.

⁽B) GSEA comparing genes bound by both STAT5 and TLX1 and differentially expressed genes after treatment with imatinib in ALL-SIL cells.

⁽C) Venn diagram showing the total amount of TLX1 and STAT5 peaks that fall within the 90,804 H3K27ac peaks for NA + TLX1 leukemic cells (left). Pie chart (right) showing ChIP-seq peak co-occurrence of STAT5 and TLX1 in mouse NA + TLX1 leukemic cells in relation to promoter regions (H3K4me3⁺/H3K27ac⁺) and enhancer regions (H3K27ac⁺/BRD4⁺/p300⁺/H3K4me3⁻).

⁽D) Enhancer regions ranked on H3K27ac signal for NA + TLX1 leukemic cells and ALL-SIL. Only H3K27ac clusters with overlapping TLX1 peaks are shown. Gene labels are distance-based if not intragenic.

⁽E–G) Representative ChIP-seq tracks for canonical STAT5 target genes *Bcl2* (E), *Pim1* (F), and *Socs1* (G) showing STAT5, TLX1, H3K4me3, p300, BRD4, and H3K27ac binding in NA + TLX1 leukemic mouse cells.



Figure 4. TLX1 and STAT5 Bind in Newly Accessible Enhancer Regions

(A) Volcano plot of differential ATAC-seq peak height in CD4⁺CD8⁺ NA + TLX1 leukemic cells versus CD4⁺CD8⁺ WT cells. Peaks in STAT5 response genes are shown as blue dots. Transcription factor binding motifs enriched through i-CisTarget analysis in the appearing peaks are shown on the right. NES, normalized enrichment score.

(B) GSEA of appearing ATAC peaks in relation to differentially expressed genes in CD4⁺CD8⁺ NA + TLX1 leukemic cells versus CD4⁺CD8⁺ WT cells.

(C) Pie chart representing the genomic locations of appearing ATAC-seq peaks in CD4⁺CD8⁺ NA + TLX1 cells versus CD4⁺CD8⁺ WT cells. A promoter region is defined as a region with combined H3K4me3 and H3K27ac marks. An enhancer region is defined as a region with H3K27ac signal or BRD4 binding or p300 binding, but no H3K4me3.

(D) Read density heatmaps of ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq signals (STAT5, TLX1, p300, BRD4, H3K27ac, H3K4me1, H3K4me3) in CD4⁺CD8⁺ WT, NA, TLX1, and NA + TLX1 cells, centered around the top 2,000 appearing and disappearing ATAC-seq peaks.

(E) ATAC-seq tracks (performed in CD4⁺CD8⁺ WT, NA, TLX1, and NA + TLX1 cells) and ChIP-seq tracks (H3K4me1, H3K27ac in WT cells and STAT5, TLX1, H3K27ac in NA + TLX1 cells) at the *Bcl2* locus, the *Pim1* locus, and the *Myc* locus.

See also Figure S6.



(legend on next page)

TLX1 (p < 0.001) (Figures 5B and 5C). Moreover, MYC was bound together with STAT5 and TLX1 in regulatory regions of STAT5 target genes, e.g. OSM, PIM1, BCL2, and MYC (Figures 5D-5G). To determine whether MYC was actively involved in the regulation of these genes, we treated the cells with MYC small interfering RNA (siRNA) or with the BET inhibitor JQ1, which indirectly causes MYC downregulation. Knockdown of MYC led to a reduction of STAT5 target gene expression, supporting an active role for MYC in the expression of STAT5 target genes in the leukemia cells expressing NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 (Figures 5H and 5l). A more detailed analysis of the MYC locus revealed that STAT5 and TLX1 were bound to the promoter region (Figure 5G) as well as to regions within the 1.4-Mb downstream superenhancer region. This downstream super-enhancer region has a well-characterized Notch-dependent enhancer region (N-ME) (Herranz et al., 2014; Yashiro-Ohtani et al., 2014) and also previously characterized STAT5 binding regions (Pinz et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2013). These regions were characterized by a strong H3K27ac signal and distinct regions co-bound by BRD4, STAT5, TLX1, and MYC itself (Figure 5J), suggesting a feedforward loop in the regulation of MYC expression.

Taken together, these data show that *MYC* upregulation in NUP214-ABL1/TLX1 leukemic cells is a direct effect of STAT5 and TLX1 binding to regulatory regions, and that MYC reinforces the transcriptional program initiated by these transcription factors.

Downstream Effectors of NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 Can Be Targeted to Improve Treatment Strategies

Having established a role for STAT5, MYC, and TLX1 in the expression of key regulatory genes controlling cell survival and proliferation through enhancer activation, we sought to exploit these findings using a targeted therapeutic approach within NUP214-ABL1-positive patient T-ALL samples. Given that NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 activate enhancer regions, we tested the therapeutic efficacy of BET inhibitors, which are known to disrupt active enhancers (Lovén et al., 2013). In addition, we selected to inhibit BCL2 as a downstream target of NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1, since a small-molecule inhibitor is already available for this target (Souers et al., 2013).

Treatment of ALL-SIL cells or T-ALL patient-derived xenograft (PDX) cells (X12 and XD82, Figures S7A and S7B) showed reduced viability upon treatment with imatinib (ABL1 inhibitor), JQ1 (BET inhibitor), and ABT-199 (BCL2 inhibitor, Venetoclax).

Treatment with a combination of these inhibitors was even more potent (Figures 6A–6C). To explore the synergistic properties of the different inhibitors in more detail, we combined imatinib with a BET inhibitor (JQ1, iBET-762 or CPI0610) (Figures 6D, 6E, and S7C–S7H) or a BCL2-inhibitor (ABT-199) (Figures 6F, 6G, and S7I–S7L). We calculated synergy using the zero interaction potential (ZIP) method, and observed a clear average synergistic effect for all combinations. Moreover, we showed that the maximum ZIP synergy score for each combination exceeds 10, which means a 10% additional cell inhibition due to the synergy, compared with a solely additive effect of the two drugs (lanevski et al., 2017). Combining imatinib with JQ1 resulted in a stronger reduction of BCL2 expression compared with the single agents (Figure 6H), which was in line with the more drastic inhibitory effect of the inhibitor combination on cell viability.

To test the combination treatment *in vivo*, we injected NSG mice with X12 PDX cells, and treated these mice for 10 consecutive days with imatinib, JQ1, ABT-199, or a combination of imatinib with JQ1 or ABT-199. Combined treatment with imatinib and JQ1 or ABT-199 reduced leukemia burden significantly more than single agents (Figures 6I–6L, S7M, and S7N). Investigation of expression data from NUP214-ABL1⁺ T-ALL cases (Liu et al., 2017) confirmed that MYC and BCL2 levels are consistently high in these cases, providing evidence that this subgroup could indeed benefit from combined treatment with imatinib and BET or BCL2 inhibitors (Figure 6M).

In summary, we have shown that STAT5, MYC, and TLX1 cooperatively activate key target genes implicated in proliferation and survival. Inhibition of these downstream targets of STAT5, MYC, and TLX1 together with inhibition of NUP214-ABL1 greatly improves therapy response in relevant T-ALL patient samples and could be a viable treatment option for NUP214-ABL1⁺ patients (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

Recent next-generation sequencing studies encompassing large cohorts of T-ALL patient samples have led to the identification of a large number of mutations across multiple genes, indicating that on average ten or more potential oncogenic lesions are found in the leukemia cells at diagnosis (De Keersmaecker et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2017; Seki et al., 2017; Vicente et al., 2015). Based on these large datasets, we are now able to observe specific and recurrent associations between genetic

Figure 5. MYC Co-binds and Co-regulates STAT5 and TLX1 Target Genes

⁽A) In silico i-CisTarget analysis for enriched transcription factor motifs found within in regulatory regions of genes that are positively or negatively regulated by STAT5.

⁽B) Read density heatmaps of ChIP-seq signals on TLX1 binding locations for different transcription factors or epigenetic marks ranked on TLX1 signal strength (top) or STAT5 signal strength (bottom) in ALL-SIL cells.

⁽C) Venn diagram showing the total amount of TLX1 and STAT5 peaks that fall within the 41,229 H3K27ac peaks (left) and the total amount of STAT5 + TLX1 peaks that overlap with MYC peaks (right) in ALL-SIL cells.

⁽D–G) ChIP-seq tracks (performed on ALL-SIL cells) of STAT5, TLX1, MYC, p300, BRD4, and H3K27ac at canonical STAT5 regulated genes OSM (D), PIM1 (E), BCL2 (F), and MYC (G) loci.

⁽H) qRT-PCR in ALL-SIL cells treated with MYC siRNAs for 48 hr. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance.

⁽I) qRT-PCR of STAT5 target genes in ALL-SIL cells treated with 500 nM JQ1 for 6 hr. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance.

⁽J) ChIP-seq tracks (performed on ALL-SIL cells) of STAT5, TLX1, MYC, p300, BRD4, and H3K27ac at the MYC enhancer locus, 1.4 Mb downstream of the MYC gene.



Figure 6. Downstream Effectors of NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 Can Be Targeted to Improve Treatment Strategies

(A) Viability of ALL-SIL cells after 48 hr treatment with 500 nM imatinib, 500 nM JQ1, 500 nM ABT-199, or a combination of these inhibitors (500 nM + 500 nM). (n = 3; experiment was performed as three independent repeats, and data are presented as mean ± SD).

(B) Viability of NUP214-ABL1⁺TLX3⁺ X12 PDX cells after 48 hr treatment with 500 nM imatinib, 500 nM JQ1, 500 nM ABT-199, or a combination of these inhibitors (500 nM + 500 nM). Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance (n = 2; the experiment was performed using cells harvested from two different xenograft mice, and data are presented as mean \pm SD). Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance.



aberrations, implying a degree of reciprocal dependency and potential cooperation. One of the challenges that remains is to functionally assess whether these associations are indeed cooperative and whether they are essential oncogenic drivers of the disease. Subsequent to this confirmation, it is important to determine whether these cooperating mutations drive leukemia development either by initiating separate but complementary changes in the cell or by directly cooperating with each other at the signaling or transcriptional level.

In this study, we reanalyzed genetic data from 457 T-ALL patients (Burmeister et al., 2006; Graux et al., 2004, 2009; Homminga et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2017; Soulier et al., 2005) and Figure 7. Schematic Overview of the Mechanism of Cooperation between STAT5 and TLX1

confirmed that the NUP214-ABL1-positive subgroup of T-ALL is significantly and almost exclusively associated with ectopic TLX1 or TLX3 expression, suggesting potential cooperation between NUP214-ABL1 signaling and TLX-driven transcriptional deregulation. Previous studies have shown that NUP214-ABL1 or TLX1 expression alone can lead to the development of T-ALL in mouse models, albeit with a long latency. Using a transgenic mouse model, we show that NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 co-expression indeed accelerates T-ALL development. Both genetic and functional data described here demonstrate that STAT5 is a key player in the cooperation of NUP214-ABL1 with TLX1/TLX3. STAT5 is phosphorylated and activated by NUP214-ABL1, and we show that, in the leukemic context, STAT5 and TLX1 cobind regulatory regions genome-wide

and regulate target gene expression mainly through the activation of otherwise silent/poised and inaccessible enhancers.

Our finding that STAT5 and TLX1 regulate the expression of their target genes mainly through binding of more accessible enhancer regions indicates that leukemia development in this model is mainly driven by cell-identity-specific genes (Hnisz et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2016). TLX1- and STAT5-occupied regions were bound by typical enhancer marks BRD4 and p300, both of which are necessary for transcriptional elongation, and were also marked by H3K27 acetylation.

MYC is a key oncogenic driver known as a general transcriptional activator with a large number of target genes, affecting

⁽C) Viability of NUP214-ABL1⁺TLX3⁺ XD82 PDX cells after 48 hr treatment with 500 nM imatinib, 500 nM JQ1, 500 nM ABT-199, or a combination of these inhibitors (500 nM + 500 nM) (n = 2; the experiment was performed using cells harvested from two different xenograft mice, and data are presented as mean \pm SD). Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance.

⁽D) Growth of X12 PDX cells after 48 hr treatment with imatinib with or without JQ1 (1 μM). Data are presented as mean ± SD.

⁽E) Synergy matrix plot showing δ -scores for X12 PDX cells treated with imatinib + JQ1 (average ZIP synergy score = the average δ -score for the whole range of concentrations shown in the synergy matrix; max ZIP synergy score = maximal score for a specific dose combination).

⁽F) Growth of X12 PDX cells after 48 hr treatment with imatinib with or without ABT-199 (0.5 μ M). Data are presented as mean \pm SD.

⁽G) Synergy matrix plot showing δ -scores for X12 PDX cells treated with imatinib + ABT-199 (average ZIP synergy score = the average δ -score for the whole range of concentrations shown in the synergy matrix; max ZIP synergy score = maximal score for a specific dose combination).

⁽H) qRT-PCR analysis of *BCL2* and *MYC* mRNA expression levels in ALL-SIL cells after 6 hr of treatment with 500 nM imatinib, 500 nM JQ1, or in combination. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two-tailed t test with equal variance.

⁽I and J) Percentage of human CD45 cells detected by flow cytometry in peripheral blood samples (I) or spleen samples (J) of mice treated for 10 days with JQ1 (50 mg/kg/day), imatinib (100 mg/kg/day), or a combination of imatinib + JQ1. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

⁽K) Spleen weight of mice treated with JQ1, imatinib, or a combination of imatinib + JQ1. Data are presented as mean \pm SD.

⁽L) Percentage of human CD45 detected by flow cytometry in peripheral blood samples of mice treated with ABT-199 (20 mg/kg/day), imatinib (100 mg/kg/day), or a combination of imatinib + ABT-199. Gray bar indicates treatment period. imatinib versus imatinib + ABT-199: p = 0.0048 (unpaired t test). ABT-199 versus imatinib + ABT-199: p = 0.0027 (unpaired t test). Data are presented as mean ± SD.

⁽M) Expression levels of *MYC* (left), *BCL2* (middle), and *PIM1* (right) in patients from different T-ALL subgroups. Patients harboring the NUP214-ABL1 fusion are represented by an orange star. Statistical significance was calculated using a Mann-Whitney test. Data are presented as mean ± SD. See also Figure S7.

many different cellular processes, including cell growth and genomic instability (Adhikary and Eilers, 2005). We document co-binding of STAT5 and TLX1 at the Notch-dependent MYC enhancer (N-ME) 1.4 Mb downstream of the MYC promoter (Herranz et al., 2014; Yashiro-Ohtani et al., 2014). No STAT5-TLX1 binding was detected at the blood enhancer cluster, an enhancer region 1.7 Mb downstream of the MYC promoter important for expression in B cells and granulocytes (Bahr et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2013). Notably, in the current study MYC was found to co-bind enhancer regions with STAT5 and TLX1 across the genome, including the N-ME. Binding of MYC occurred specifically to genes that were also sensitive to imatinib treatment, and depletion of MYC resulted in downregulation of these imatinib-sensitive genes. Taken together, these findings indicate a critical MYC-driven feedforward loop in the upregulation of STAT5/TLX1 target genes implicated in leukemia development. This mechanism of enhancer activation, combined with previously studied effects of TLX1 on NOTCH1 signaling and TCR recombination (Dadi et al., 2012; Durinck et al., 2015; Della Gatta et al., 2012; De Keersmaecker et al., 2010), can begin to explain how NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 drive the development of T-ALL.

Our findings on the cooperation of NUP214-ABL1 (through STAT5) with TLX1 and MYC also provide opportunities for improved treatment of NUP214-ABL1-positive T-ALL cases. Imatinib treatment to inhibit NUP214-ABL1 kinase activity and STAT5 activation has been tested in several T-ALL patients. with variable success (Clarke et al., 2011; Crombet et al., 2012; Deenik et al., 2009; Koschmieder et al., 2014; Stergianou et al., 2005). Now that we understand that STAT5 and TLX1 occupy enhancer regions and that MYC is an important component of the STAT5/TLX1 complex, inhibition of enhancer activity and/or MYC expression may provide improved therapeutic opportunities for these cases. Treatment of the NUP214-ABL1/TLX1expressing cell line ALL-SIL and human NUP214-ABL1/TLX3expressing T-ALL xenografts with the BET inhibitor JQ1 in combination with imatinib showed synergistic anti-leukemia effects. Thus, this combination may be a viable treatment option for NUP214-ABL1-positive T-ALL patients. The use of BET inhibitors could serve a double purpose in these cases by disrupting activated enhancers in general and more specifically MYC expression, since MYC expression depends strongly on the activity of the downstream super-enhancer (Lovén et al., 2013). Clinical trials are currently ongoing for multiple BET inhibitors (Amorim et al., 2016; Berthon et al., 2016; Whitfield et al., 2017). Additionally, combining imatinib with the BCL2-inhibitor ABT-199 could also enhance therapy response.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that the oncogenic kinase NUP214-ABL1, through its downstream effector STAT5, directly cooperates with TLX1 at the transcriptional level. STAT5 and TLX1 selectively increase the accessibility of enhancer regions and drive a feedforward loop at the *MYC* enhancer, thereby reinforcing the activation of their oncogenic targets. Our data identify MYC and BCL2 as novel targets for therapy in NUP214-ABL1-positive T-ALL.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - In Vivo Animal Studies
 - Patient-Derived Xenograft (PDX) Samples
 - Ex Vivo Primary T Cells
 - Cell Lines
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Flow Cytometry Analyses
 - Virus Production and Viral Transduction
 - O RNA Extraction, qRT-PCR and RNA-seq
 - ChIPmentation ChIP-seq
 - Fast-ATAC-seq
 - Gene Set Enrichment Analysis
 - Western Blotting
 - RNA Interference
 - Immunohistochemistry
 - In Vitro/Ex Vivo Inhibitor Treatments
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
- DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes seven figures and three tables and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.07.007.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from KU Leuven (PF/10/016 SymBioSys), the Swiss Bridge Award, FWO-Vlaanderen, Foundation against Cancer (2014-120), European Research Counsel (ERC-consolidator 617340), and Interuniversity Attraction Poles (IAP) granted by the Federal Office for Scientific, Technical and Cultural Affairs, Belgium. M.V.B. holds a PhD fellowship strategic basic research of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO). M.B., S.B., and J.D.B. hold a PhD fellowship of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, M.V.B., S.D., C.E.d.B., and J.C.; Software, S.D.; Formal analysis, M.V.B., S.D., E.R., C.E.d.B., and J.C.; Investigation, M.V.B., S.D., M.B., S.B., J.D.B., N.M., E.G., R.V., and E.R.; Resources, J.P.M., J.-P.B., B.C.B., and A.E.K.; Writing – Original Draft, M.V.B., S.D., C.E.d.B., and J.C.; Writing – Review and Editing, M.V.B., S.D., M.B., S.B., J.D.B., J.-P.M., C.E.d.B., and J.C.; Funding Acquisition, M.V.B. and J.C.; Supervision, C.E.d.B. and J.C.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: August 31, 2017 Revised: May 4, 2018 Accepted: July 18, 2018 Published: August 13, 2018

REFERENCES

Adhikary, S., and Eilers, M. (2005). Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *6*, 635–645.

Amorim, S., 5his, A., Gleeson, M., Iyengar, S., Magarotto, V., Leleu, X., Morschhauser, F., Karlin, L., Broussais, F., Rezai, K., et al. (2016). Bromodomain inhibitor OTX015 in patients with lymphoma or multiple myeloma: a dose-escalation, open-label, pharmacokinetic, phase 1 study. Lancet Haematol. *3*, e196–e204. Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics *31*, 166–169.

Ariyoshi, K., Nosaka, T., Yamada, K., Onishi, M., Oka, Y., Miyajima, A., and Kitamura, T. (2000). Constitutive activation of STAT5 by a point mutation in the SH2 domain. J. Biol. Chem. *275*, 24407–24413.

Bahr, C., von Paleske, L., Uslu, V.V., Remeseiro, S., Takayama, N., Ng, S.W., Murison, A., Langenfeld, K., Petretich, M., Scognamiglio, R., et al. (2018). A Myc enhancer cluster regulates normal and leukaemic haematopoietic stem cell hierarchies. Nature 553, 515–520.

Bandapalli, O.R., Schuessele, S., Kunz, J.B., Rausch, T., Stutz, A.M., Tal, N., Geron, I., Gershman, N., Izraeli, S., Eilers, J., et al. (2014). The activating STAT5B N642H mutation is a common abnormality in pediatric T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and confers a higher risk of relapse. Haematologica *99*, e188–e192.

Bassan, R., and Hoelzer, D. (2011). Modern therapy of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. *29*, 532–543.

Belver, L., and Ferrando, A. (2016). The genetics and mechanisms of T cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nat. Rev. Cancer *16*, 494–507.

Berthon, C., Raffoux, E., Thomas, X., Vey, N., Gomez-Roca, C., Yee, K., Taussig, D.C., Rezai, K., Roumier, C., Herait, P., et al. (2016). Bromodomain inhibitor OTX015 in patients with acute leukaemia: a dose-escalation, phase 1 study. Lancet Haematol. *3*, e186–e195.

de Bock, C.E., Demeyer, S., Degryse, S., Verbeke, D., Sweron, B., Gielen, O., Vandepoel, R., Vicente, C., Vanden Bempt, M., Dagklis, A., et al. (2018). HOXA9 cooperates with activated JAK/STAT signaling to drive leukemia development. Cancer Discov. *8*, 616–631.

Bornschein, S., Demeyer, S., Stirparo, R., Gielen, O., Vicente, C., Geerdens, E., Ghesquière, B., Aerts, S., Cools, J., and de Bock, C.E. (2018). Defining the molecular basis of oncogenic cooperation between TAL1 expression and Pten deletion in T-ALL using a novel pro-T cell model system. Leukemia *32*, 941–951.

Burmeister, T., Gökbuget, N., Reinhardt, R., Rieder, H., Hoelzer, D., and Schwartz, S. (2006). NUP214-ABL1 in adult T-ALL: the GMALL study group experience. Blood *108*, 3556–3559.

Canté-Barrett, K., Spijkers-Hagelstein, J.A.P., Buijs-Gladdines, J.G.C.A.M., Uitdehaag, J.C.M., Smits, W.K., van der Zwet, J., Buijsman, R.C., Zaman, G.J.R., Pieters, R., and Meijerink, J.P.P. (2016). MEK and PI3K-AKT inhibitors synergistically block activated IL7 receptor signaling in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia *30*, 1832–1843.

Clarke, S., O'Reilly, J., Romeo, G., and Cooney, J. (2011). NUP214-ABL1 positive T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia patient shows an initial favorable response to imatinib therapy post relapse. Leuk. Res. *35*, e131–e133.

Corces, M.R., Buenrostro, J.D., Wu, B., Greenside, P.G., Chan, S.M., Koenig, J.L., Snyder, M.P., Pritchard, J.K., Kundaje, A., Greenleaf, W.J., et al. (2016). Lineage-specific and single-cell chromatin accessibility charts human hematopoiesis and leukemia evolution. Nat. Genet. *48*, 1193–1203.

Crombet, O., Lastrapes, K., Zieske, A., and Morales-Arias, J. (2012). Complete morphologic and molecular remission after introduction of dasatinib in the treatment of a pediatric patient with T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and ABL1 amplification. Pediatr. Blood Cancer *59*, 333–334.

Dadi, S., Le Noir, S., Payet-Bornet, D., Lhermitte, L., Zacarias-Cabeza, J., Bergeron, J., Villarèse, P., Vachez, E., Dik, W.A., Millien, C., et al. (2012). TLX homeodomain oncogenes mediate T cell maturation arrest in T-ALL via interaction with ETS1 and suppression of TCR α gene expression. Cancer Cell 21, 563–576.

Deenik, W., Beverloo, H.B., van der Poel-van de Luytgaarde, S.C., Wattel, M.M., van Esser, J.W.J., Valk, P.J.M., and Cornelissen, J.J. (2009). Rapid complete cytogenetic remission after upfront dasatinib monotherapy in a patient with a NUP214-ABL1-positive T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia *23*, 627–629.

Degryse, S., de Bock, C.E., Cox, L., Demeyer, S., Gielen, O., Mentens, N., Jacobs, K., Geerdens, E., Gianfelici, V., Hulselmans, G., et al. (2014). JAK3 mutants transform hematopoietic cells through JAK1 activation, causing T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia in a mouse model. Blood *124*, 3092–3100.

Durinck, K., Van Loocke, W., Van der Meulen, J., Van de Walle, I., Ongenaert, M., Rondou, P., Wallaert, A., de Bock, C.E., Van Roy, N., Poppe, B., et al.

(2015). Characterization of the genome-wide TLX1 binding profile in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 29, 2317–2327.

Della Gatta, G., Palomero, T., Perez-Garcia, A., Ambesi-Impiombato, A., Bansal, M., Carpenter, Z.W., De Keersmaecker, K., Sole, X., Xu, L., Paietta, E., et al. (2012). Reverse engineering of TLX oncogenic transcriptional networks identifies RUNX1 as tumor suppressor in T-ALL. Nat. Med. *18*, 436–440.

Gehre, N., Nusser, A., von Muenchow, L., Tussiwand, R., Engdahl, C., Capoferri, G., Bosco, N., Ceredig, R., and Rolink, A.G. (2015). A stromal cell free culture system generates mouse pro-T cells that can reconstitute T cell compartments in vivo. Eur. J. Immunol. *45*, 932–942.

Girardi, T., Vicente, C., Cools, J., and De Keersmaecker, K. (2017). The genetics and molecular biology of T-ALL. Blood *129*, 1113–1123.

Graux, C., Cools, J., Melotte, C., Quentmeier, H., Ferrando, A., Levine, R., Vermeesch, J.R., Stul, M., Dutta, B., Boeckx, N., et al. (2004). Fusion of NUP214 to ABL1 on amplified episomes in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. *36*, 1084–1089.

Graux, C., Stevens-Kroef, M., Lafage, M., Dastugue, N., Harrison, C.J., Mugneret, F., Bahloula, K., Struski, S., Grégoire, M.J., Nadal, N., et al. (2009). Heterogeneous patterns of amplification of the NUP214-ABL1 fusion gene in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia *23*, 125–133.

Haddy, T.B., Mosher, R.B., and Reaman, G.H. (2009). Late effects in long-term survivors after treatment for childhood acute leukemia. Clin. Pediatr. (Phila) 48, 601–608.

Herranz, D., Ambesi-Impiombato, A., Palomero, T., Schnell, S.A., Belver, L., Wendorff, A.A., Xu, L., Castillo-Martin, M., Llobet-Navás, D., Cordon-Cardo, C., et al. (2014). A NOTCH1-driven MYC enhancer promotes T cell development, transformation and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Med. *20*, 1130–1137.

Herrmann, C., Van de Sande, B., Potier, D., and Aerts, S. (2012). i-cisTarget: an integrative genomics method for the prediction of regulatory features and cisregulatory modules. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, e114.

Hnisz, D., Abraham, B.J., Lee, T.I., Lau, A., Saint-André, V., Sigova, A.A., Hoke, H.A., and Young, R.A. (2013). Super-enhancers in the control of cell identity and disease. Cell *155*, 934–947.

Homminga, I., Pieters, R., Langerak, A.W., de Rooi, J.J., Stubbs, A., Verstegen, M., Vuerhard, M., Buijs-Gladdines, J., Kooi, C., Klous, P., et al. (2011). Integrated transcript and genome analyses reveal NKX2-1 and MEF2C as potential oncogenes in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Cell *19*, 484–497.

lanevski, A., He, L., Aittokallio, T., and Tang, J. (2017). SynergyFinder: a web application for analyzing drug combination dose-response matrix data. Bioinformatics *33*, 2413–2415.

De Keersmaecker, K., Graux, C., Odero, M.D., Mentens, N., Somers, R., Maertens, J., Wlodarska, I., Vandenberghe, P., Hagemeijer, A., Marynen, P., et al. (2005). Fusion of EML1 to ABL1 in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia with cryptic t(9;14)(q34;q32). Blood *105*, 4849–4852.

De Keersmaecker, K., Rocnik, J.L., Bernad, R., Lee, B.H., Leeman, D., Gielen, O., Verachtert, H., Folens, C., Munck, S., Marynen, P., et al. (2008a). Kinase activation and transformation by NUP214-ABL1 is dependent on the context of the nuclear pore. Mol. Cell *31*, 134–142.

De Keersmaecker, K., Versele, M., Cools, J., Superti-Furga, G., and Hantschel, O. (2008b). Intrinsic differences between the catalytic properties of the oncogenic NUP214-ABL1 and BCR-ABL1 fusion protein kinases. Leukemia *22*, 2208–2216.

De Keersmaecker, K., Real, P.J., Gatta, G.D., Palomero, T., Sulis, M.L., Tosello, V., Van Vlierberghe, P., Barnes, K., Castillo, M., Sole, X., et al. (2010). The TLX1 oncogene drives aneuploidy in T cell transformation. Nat. Med. *16*, 1321–1327.

De Keersmaecker, K., Atak, Z.K., Li, N., Vicente, C., Patchett, S., Girardi, T., Gianfelici, V., Geerdens, E., Clappier, E., Porcu, M., et al. (2013). Exome sequencing identifies mutation in CNOT3 and ribosomal genes RPL5 and RPL10 in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. *45*, 186–190.

Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S.L. (2013). TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol. *14*, R36.

Kleppe, M., Lahortiga, I., El Chaar, T., De Keersmaecker, K., Mentens, N., Graux, C., Van Roosbroeck, K., Ferrando, A.A., Langerak, A.W., Meijerink, J.P.P., et al. (2010). Deletion of the protein tyrosine phosphatase gene PTPN2 in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. *42*, 530–535.

Koschmieder, S., Burmeister, T., Brüggemann, M., Berkemeier, A., Volpert, S., Wieacker, P., Silling, G., Gökbuget, N., Müller-Tidow, C., Berdel, W.E., et al. (2014). Molecular monitoring in NUP214-ABL-positive T-acute lymphoblastic leukemia reveals clonal diversity and helps to guide targeted therapy. Leukemia 28, 419–422.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods *9*, 357–359.

Lee, P.P., Fitzpatrick, D.R., Beard, C., Jessup, H.K., Lehar, S., Makar, K.W., Pérez-Melgosa, M., Sweetser, M.T., Schlissel, M.S., Nguyen, S., et al. (2001). A critical role for Dnmt1 and DNA methylation in T cell development, function, and survival. Immunity *15*, 763–774.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R.; 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079.

Li, Y., Buijs-Gladdines, J.G.C.A.M., Canté-Barrett, K., Stubbs, A.P., Vroegindeweij, E.M., Smits, W.K., van Marion, R., Dinjens, W.N.M., Horstmann, M., Kuiper, R.P., et al. (2016). IL-7 receptor mutations and steroid resistance in pediatric T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a genome sequencing study. PLoS Med. *13*, e1002200.

Liu, Y., Easton, J., Shao, Y., Maciaszek, J., Wang, Z., Wilkinson, M.R., McCastlain, K., Edmonson, M., Pounds, S.B., Shi, L., et al. (2017). The genomic landscape of pediatric and young adult T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. *49*, 1211–1218.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*, 550.

Lovén, J., Hoke, H.A., Lin, C.Y., Lau, A., Orlando, D.A., Vakoc, C.R., Bradner, J.E., Lee, T.I., and Young, R.A. (2013). Selective inhibition of tumor oncogenes by disruption of super-enhancers. Cell *153*, 320–334.

Mullighan, C.G., Zhang, J., Harvey, R.C., Collins-Underwood, J.R., Schulman, B.A., Phillips, L.A., Tasian, S.K., Loh, M.L., Su, X., Liu, W., et al. (2009). JAK mutations in high-risk childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 9414–9418.

Pinz, S., Unser, S., and Rascle, A. (2016). Signal transducer and activator of transcription STAT5 is recruited to c-Myc super-enhancer. BMC Mol. Biol. *17*, 10.

Pui, C.-H., Yang, J.J., Hunger, S.P., Pieters, R., Schrappe, M., Biondi, A., Vora, A., Baruchel, A., Silverman, L.B., Schmiegelow, K., et al. (2015). Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: progress through collaboration. J. Clin. Oncol. *33*, 2938–2948.

Ramírez, F., Dündar, F., Diehl, S., Grüning, B.A., and Manke, T. (2014). deepTools: a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. *42*, W187–W191.

Ramírez, F., Ryan, D.P., Grüning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A.S., Heyne, S., Dündar, F., and Manke, T. (2016). deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. *44*, W160–W165.

Rendeiro, A.F., Schmidl, C., Strefford, J.C., Walewska, R., Davis, Z., Farlik, M., Oscier, D., and Bock, C. (2016). Chromatin accessibility maps of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia identify subtype-specific epigenome signatures and transcription regulatory networks. Nat. Commun. 7, 11938.

Rickert, R.C., Roes, J., and Rajewsky, K. (1997). B lymphocyte-specific, Cremediated mutagenesis in mice. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 1317–1318.

Sawada, S., Scarborough, J.D., Killeen, N., Littman, D.R., Baniahmad, A., Muller, M., Steiner, C., Renkawitz, R., Bienz, M., Biggin, M.D., et al. (1994). A lineage-specific transcriptional silencer regulates CD4 gene expression during T lymphocyte development. Cell 77, 917–929.

Schmidl, C., Rendeiro, A.F., Sheffield, N.C., and Bock, C. (2015). ChIPmentation: fast, robust, low-input ChIP-seq for histones and transcription factors. Nat. Methods *12*, 963–965.

Seki, M., Kimura, S., Isobe, T., Yoshida, K., Ueno, H., Nakajima-Takagi, Y., Wang, C., Lin, L., Kon, A., Suzuki, H., et al. (2017). Recurrent SPI1 (PU.1) fusions in high-risk pediatric T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. *49*, 1274–1281.

Shi, J., Whyte, W.A., Zepeda-Mendoza, C.J., Milazzo, J.P., Shen, C., Roe, J.-S., Minder, J.L., Mercan, F., Wang, E., Eckersley-Maslin, M.A., et al. (2013). Role of SWI/SNF in acute leukemia maintenance and enhancer-mediated Myc regulation. Genes Dev. *27*, 2648–2662.

Souers, A.J., Leverson, J.D., Boghaert, E.R., Ackler, S.L., Catron, N.D., Chen, J., Dayton, B.D., Ding, H., Enschede, S.H., Fairbrother, W.J., et al. (2013). ABT-199, a potent and selective BCL-2 inhibitor, achieves antitumor activity while sparing platelets. Nat. Med. *19*, 202–208.

Soulier, J., Clappier, E., Cayuela, J.-M., Regnault, A., García-Peydró, M., Dombret, H., Baruchel, A., Toribio, M.-L., and Sigaux, F. (2005). HOXA genes are included in genetic and biologic networks defining human acute T cell leukemia (T-ALL). Blood *106*, 274–286.

Stergianou, K., Fox, C., and Russell, N.H. (2005). Fusion of NUP214 to ABL1 on amplified episomes in T-ALL—implications for treatment. Leukemia *19*, 1680–1681.

Thomas-Chollier, M., Darbo, E., Herrmann, C., Defrance, M., Thieffry, D., and van Helden, J. (2012). A complete workflow for the analysis of full-size ChIP-seq (and similar) data sets using peak-motifs. Nat. Protoc. *7*, 1551–1568.

Vacchio, M.S., Wang, L., Bouladoux, N., Carpenter, A.C., Xiong, Y., Williams, L.C., Wohlfert, E., Song, K.-D., Belkaid, Y., Love, P.E., et al. (2014). A ThPOK-LRF transcriptional node maintains the integrity and effector potential of post-thymic CD4+ T cells. Nat. Immunol. *15*, 947–956.

Vanden Bempt, M., Mentens, N., Vandenberghe, P., Cools, J., and De Keersmaecker, K. (2018). EML1–ABL1 is activated by coiled-coil-mediated oligomerization and induces t cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia or myeloproliferative disease in a mouse bone marrow transplant model. HemaSphere 2, 1.

Verfaillie, A., Imrichova, H., Janky, R., and Aerts, S. (2015). iRegulon and i-cisTarget: reconstructing regulatory networks using motif and track enrichment. Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics 52, https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953. bi0216s52.

Vicente, C., Schwab, C., Broux, M., Geerdens, E., Degryse, S., Demeyer, S., Lahortiga, I., Elliott, A., Chilton, L., La Starza, R., et al. (2015). Targeted sequencing identifies associations between IL7R-JAK mutations and epigenetic modulators in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica *100*, 1301–1310.

Van Vlierberghe, P., Ferrando, A., Reinhardt, R., Rieder, H., Hoelzer, D., and Schwartz, S. (2012). The molecular basis of T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J. Clin. Invest. *122*, 3398–3406.

Weng, A.P., Ferrando, A.A., Lee, W., Morris, J.P., Silverman, L.B., Sanchez-Irizarry, C., Blacklow, S.C., Look, A.T., and Aster, J.C. (2004). Activating mutations of NOTCH1 in human T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Science *306*, 269–271.

Whitfield, J.R., Beaulieu, M.-E., and Soucek, L. (2017). Strategies to inhibit Myc and their clinical applicability. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 5, 10.

Yashiro-Ohtani, Y., Wang, H., Zang, C., Arnett, K.L., Bailis, W., Ho, Y., Knoechel, B., Lanauze, C., Louis, L., Forsyth, K.S., et al. (2014). Long-range enhancer activity determines *Myc* sensitivity to Notch inhibitors in T cell leukemia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *111*, E4946–E4953.

Zenatti, P.P., Ribeiro, D., Li, W., Zuurbier, L., Silva, M.C., Paganin, M., Tritapoe, J., Hixon, J.A., Silveira, A.B., Cardoso, B.A., et al. (2011). Oncogenic IL7R gainof-function mutations in childhood T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. *43*, 932–939.

Zeng, X., Willi, M., Shin, H.Y., Hennighausen, L., and Wang, C. (2016). Lineagespecific and non-specific cytokine-sensing genes respond differentially to the master regulator STAT5. Cell Rep. *17*, 3333–3346.

Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., et al. (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. *9*, R137.

STAR*METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER			
Antibodies					
Mouse monoclonal anti-CD45 (clone 2D1) (APC)	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# 17-9459-42, RRID:AB_10718532			
Rat monoclonal anti-CD4 (PE vio770)	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat# 130-102-784 RRID:AB_2659911			
Rat monoclonal anti-CD8a (Vioblue)	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat# 130-102-431 RRID:AB_2659889			
Rat monoclonal Anti-Gr1 (Vioblue)	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat# 130-102-233, RRID:AB_2659865			
Rat monoclonal Anti-Cd11b (APC-vio770)	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat# 130-096-834, RRID:AB_2660135			
Rat monoclonal anti-CD4 (clone GK1.5) (PE Cy7)	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# 25-0041-81, RRID:AB_469575			
Rat monoclonal anti-CD8a (clone 53-6.7) (APC Cy7)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 47-0081-82, RRID:AB_1272185			
Armenian hamster monoclonal anti-TCR-b (clone H57-597) (PE)	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# 12-5961-82 RRID:AB_466066			
Mouse monoclonal anti-phospho-Stat5 (pY694) (PerCPCy5.5)	BD Biosciences	Cat# 560118, RRID:AB_1645551			
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Phospho-Stat5 (Tyr694) (C11C5)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 9359S, RRID:AB_823649			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Stat5	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 9363, RRID:AB_2196923			
Mouse monoclonal Anti-β-Actin (Clone AC-15)	Merck	Cat# A5441, RRID:AB_476744			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Stat5 (C17)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-835 RRID:AB_632446			
Mouse monoclonal anti-Stat5 (A-9)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-74442 RRID:AB_1129711			
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Stat5 (L-20)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-1081 RRID:AB_632448			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-HOX11 (C18)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-880 RRID:AB_2203789			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-MYC	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-764 RRID:AB_631276			
Rabbit anti-EP300	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# SC-585X RRID:AB_2616339			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-BRD4	Bethyl	Cat# A301-985A50 RRID:AB_2631449			
Mouse monoclonal anti-ETS1 (8A8)	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# MA5-15609, RRID:AB_10978854			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-RUNX1	Active Motif	Cat# 39000			
Rabbit anti-H3K4me1	Active motif	Cat# 39297, RRID:AB_2615075			
Rabbit monoclonal anti-H3K4me3 (clone C42D8)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 9751, RRID:AB_2616028			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-H3K27ac	Abcam	Cat# ab4729 RRID:AB_2118291			
Rabbit polyclonal Anti-H3K27me3	Millipore	Cat# 07-449, RRID:AB_310624			
Rabbit monoclonal anti- Cre Recombinase (D7L7L) XP®	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 15036			
Goat polyclonal anti-CD3 epsilon	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# Sc-1127; RRID:AB_631128			
Biological Samples					
Patient-derived xenografts (PDX)	This paper				
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins					
Imatinib (Gleevec)	Selleck Chemicals	Cat# S2475; CAS ID:152459-95-5			
JQ1	Selleck Chemicals	Cat# S7110; CID ID: 1268524-71-5			
ABT-199	MedChem Express	Cat# HY-15531; CAS ID: 1257044-40-8			
iBET-762	Merck	Cat# SML1272; CAS ID: 1260907-17-2			
CPI0610	Axon Med Chem	Cat# 2594; CAS ID: 1380087-89-7			
DMSO	Millipore	Cat# 102950; CAS ID: 67-68-5			
Polybrene Infection / Transfection Reagent	Merck	Cat# TR-1003-G			
Recombinant murine IL7	Peprotech	Cat# 217-17			
Recombinant murine IL6	Peprotech	Cat# 216-16			
Recombinant murine IL3	Peprotech	Cat# 213-13			
Recombinant murine SCF	Peprotech	Cat# 250-03			

Continued				
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER		
DLL4-Fc	Bornschein et al., 2018			
Mouse monoclonal anti-Human IgG1 Fc	Abcam	Cat# ab1927; RRID:AB_956021		
1M Tris-HCI, pH 8.0	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# 15568025		
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	VWR Chemicals	Cat# 444464T; Cas ID 151-21-3		
Sodium deoxycholate	Merck	Cat# D6750; Cas ID: 302-95-4		
Glycerol	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# 15514-011		
IGEPAL® CA-630	Merck	Cat# 18896; CAS ID: 9016-45-9		
Triton™ X-100	Merck	Cat# T8787 CAS ID: 9002-93-1		
Tween 20	MP Biomedicals	Cat# 11TWEEN201, CAS ID: 9005-64-5		
Tween 40	Merck	Cat# P1504; CAS ID: 9005-66-7		
EDTA	Millipore	Cat# 108421; CAS ID: 6381-92-6		
EGTA	Merck	Cat# E3889; CAS ID 67-42-5		
NaCl	Fischer Scientific	Cat# S/3160; CAS ID: 7647-14-5		
MgCl2	Merck	Cat# M8266; CAS ID: 7786-30-3		
LiCl	Merck	Cat# L4408; CAS ID: 7447-41-8		
N-Lauroylsarcosine sodium salt solution 20%	Merck	Cat# L7414; CAS ID: 137-16-6		
Formaldehyde	Merck	Cat# 25,254-9; CAS ID: 50-00-0		
cOmplete [™] Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, EDTA-free	Merck	Cat# COEDTAF-RO		
Magna ChIP™ Protein A+G Magnetic Beads	Millipore	Cat# 16-663		
Glycine Solution (10X)	Cell Signalling Technology	Cat# 7005S		
ChIP Elution Buffer (2X)	Cell Signalling Technology	Cat# 7009S		
5 M NaCl	Cell Signalling Technology	Cat# 7010S		
Proteinase K	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# EO0491		
RNAse A	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat# EN0531		
Tagmentation enzyme	Illumina	Cat# 15027916		
Tagment buffer	Illumina			
KAPA HiFi HotStart Ready Mix	KAPA Biosystems	Cat# KK2602		
Agencourt AMPure XP beads	Fischer Scientific	Cat# A63881		
Resuspension buffer	Illumina	Cat# 15026770		
Ethanol absolute	VWR	Cat# 20821.296, CAS ID: 64-17-5		
Cell lysis buffer 10x	Cell signaling technology	Cat# 9803		
Sodium Orthovanadate	Merck	Cat# 450243, CAS ID: 13721-39-6		
cOmplete [™] Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Merck	Cat# CO-RO		
Digitonin	Merck	Cat# D141; CAS ID: 11024-24-1		
Formalin, 10%	Sigma-aldrich	Cat# F5554		
Critical Commercial Assays				
GoScript™ Reverse Transcriptase kit	Promega	Cat# A5001		
Maxwell® 16 LEV simplyRNA Purification Kit	Promega	Cat# AS1270		
GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix	Promega	Cat# A6001		
MinElute PCR purification kit	Qiagen	Cat# 28006		
ATPlite luminescence system	Perkin Elmer	Cat# 6016949		
Easysep Mouse hematopoietic progenitor cell isolation kit	Stem Cell Technologies	Cat# 19856		
Western lighting chemiluminescence reagent plus	Perkin Elmer	Cat# NEL105		
ImmPRESS HRP Anti-Goat IgG (Peroxidase) Polymer Detection Kit, made in Horse	Maravai Life Sciences	Cat# MP-7405		
EnVision+ System	Agilent	Cat# K400011-2		

(Continued on next page)

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Deposited Data		-
Raw and analyzed data	This paper	GSE102209
Human reference genome GRCh37/hg19	Genome Reference Consortium	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/grc/human
Mouse reference genome GRCm38/mm10	Genome Reference Consortium	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/grc/mouse
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
ALL-SIL	DSMZ	Cat# ACC-511, RRID:CVCL_1805
PEER	DSMZ	Cat# ACC 6, RRID:CVCL_1913
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: C57BL/6	The Jackson lab	Cat# 000664
Mouse: C57BL/6 LSL-NA	GenOway	N/A
Mouse: C57BL/6 NA	This paper	N/A
Mouse: C57BL/6 NA+TLX1	This paper	N/A
Mouse: C57BL/6 TLX1	De Keersmaecker et al., 2010	
Mouse: C57/BL/6 CD4-Cre	The Jackson lab	Cat# 017336
Mouse: C57/BL/6 CD2-Cre	Vacchio et al., 2014,	Cat# 027406
	The Jackson lab	
Mouse: C57/BL/6 CD19-Cre	The Jackson lab	Cat# 018958
Oligonucleotides		
Primers for genotyping	This paper	See Table S2
Primers for qRT-PCR	This paper	See Table S3
Negative control antisense LNA gapmer	Qiagen	Cat# LG00000002
TLX1 antisense LNA gapmer	Qiagen	Cat# 339511
STAT5A antisense LNA gapmer	Qiagen	Cat# 339511
STAT5A antisense LNA gapmer	Qiagen	Cat# 339511
STAT5B antisense LNA gapmer	Qiagen	Cat# 339511
STAT5B antisense LNA gapmer Qiagen (Cat# 339511
Silencer™ Select Negative Control No. 1 siRNA Thermo Fischer Scientific Cat# 439084		Cat# 4390843
Silencer™ Select s9129 MYC siRNA Thermo Fischer Scientific		Cat# 4392420
MYC siRNA sc-29226	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-29226
Recombinant DNA		
MSCV-EML1-ABL1-IRES-GFP	De Keersmaecker et al., 2005	
MSCV-STAT5 N642H-IRES-GFP	Bandapalli et al., 2014	
MSCV-TLX1-IRES-mCherry	This paper	
Software and Algorithms		
FlowJo	FlowJo, LLC	www.flowjo.com
GraphPad Prism	Graphpad software	www.graphpad.com
qBase+	Biogazelle	www.qbaseplus.com
CLC Main workbench	Qiagen Bioinformatics	www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ clc-main-workbench/
Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV)	Broad Institute	software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/
Bowtie2	Langmead and Salzberg, 2012	http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/ bowtie2/index.shtml
SAMtools	Li et al., 2009	http://samtools.sourceforge.net/
MACS2	Zhang et al., 2008	https://github.com/taoliu/MACS
deeptools	Ramírez et al., 2016	http://deeptools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/
RSAT peak-motifs	Thomas-Chollier et al., 2012	http://pedagogix-tagc.univ-mrs.fr/rsat/ RSAT_portal.html
fastq-mcf	Ea-utils	https://github.com/ExpressionAnalysis/ ea-utils/blob/wiki/FastqMcf.md

(Continued on next page)

Continued			
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER	
FastQC	Babraham Bioinformatics	https://www.bioinformatics. babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/	
Tophat2	Kim et al., 2013	https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/ index.shtml	
HTSeq	Anders et al., 2015	http://htseq.readthedocs.io/en/ release_0.9.1/	
DESeq2	Love et al., 2014	http://bioconductor.org/packages/ release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html	
SynergyFinder	lanevski et al., 2017	https://synergyfinder.fimm.fi	

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Jan Cools (jan.cools@kuleuven.vib.be).

Tg(Lck TLX1) mice were provided by the lab of Adolfo Ferrando, af2196@columbia.edu.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

In Vivo Animal Studies

NSG mice were bred in-house. Tg(Lck TLX1), Tg(CD4-Cre), Tg(CD2-Cre) and Tg(CD19-Cre) mice have been described elsewhere (De Keersmaecker et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2001; Rickert et al., 1997; Sawada et al., 1994; Vacchio et al., 2014). Tg(NUP214-ABL1) mice were developed in collaboration with genOway, France. A LoxP-Stop-LoxP NUP214-ABL1 IRES GFP expression cassette was inserted into the Rosa26 locus via homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells, which were injected into blastocysts (Figure S1A). Crossing the offspring with wild-type females resulted in the creation of a Tg(NUP214-ABL1) mice strain. Tg(NUP214-ABL1) mice strain. Tg(NUP214-ABL1) mice strain. Tg(NUP214-ABL1) mice were crossed with Tg(CD4 Cre) mice to generate the Tg (CD4 Cre;NUP214-ABL1) mouse model. Tg (CD4 Cre;NUP214-ABL1) mice were crossed with Tg(Lck TLX1) mice to generate the Tg(CD4 Cre;NUP214-ABL1;Lck TLX1) mouse model. Primers for genotyping are listed in Table S2. Leukemia development was monitored by biweekly peripheral blood withdrawal. Secondary and tertiary transplants were performed using 6-8 weeks old in-house bred BL/6 recipient mice. For bone marrow transplant assays, hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells were harvested from in-house bred BL/6 mice using the EasySep Mouse Hematopoietic Progenitor Cell Isolation Kit (Stem Cell Technologies). The cells were transduced with retrovirus for expression of the desired oncogenes, and subsequently injected through tail-vein injection into recipient BL/6 mice. The survival of the mice was recorded daily. All mice were kept in SPF or semi-SPF conditions in the KU Leuven animal facility. For *ex vivo* assays, cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% calf serum in 5% carbon dioxide at 37°C. Mouse experiments were approved and supervised by the KU Leuven ethical committee and conducted according to EU legislation (Directive 2010/63/EU).

Patient-Derived Xenograft (PDX) Samples

PDX sample X12 was a kind gift from prof. Jules Meijerinck (Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht). PDX sample XD82 was a kind gift of prof. Jean-Pierre Bourquin (Department of Oncology and Children's Research Center, University Children's Hospital Zurich, Zurich). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Patient PDX characteristics are shown in Figure S7. PDX samples X12 and XD82 were injected in NSG mice through tail vein injection: 1-3.10⁶ cells (resuspended in 300 µL PBS) were injected per mouse. Expansion of the human leukemic cells was monitored by biweekly peripheral blood withdrawal and stained using human CD45 staining (BD Biosciences, 560363). Cells were analysed using MACSQuant Vyb (Miltenyi biotech). All data analysis was carried out using FlowJo software (Treestar). Moribund mice were sacrificed and human leukemic cells were harvested from the spleen. For *ex vivo* assays, cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% calf serum in 5% carbon dioxide at 37°C. For *in vivo* treatment studies, mice were injected with 2.10⁶ X12 patient cells. When engraftment was established, mice were randomized into the different treatment groups (4-5 mice per group) according to the percentage human CD45 detected in peripheral blood. Mice were treated for 10 consecutive days. Imatinib was orally delivered (100 mg/kg/day). JQ1 (50 mg/kg/day) and ABT-199 (20 mg/kg/day) were delivered through intraperitoneal injection. Experiments on human samples were approved and supervised by the UZ Leuven ethical committee.

Ex Vivo Primary T Cells

Ex vivo primary T cells (pro T cells) were generated from freshly harvested mouse (BL/6) hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells as previously described (Bornschein et al., 2018; Gehre et al., 2015). The pro T cells were transduced with retrovirus to express EML1-ABL1-IRES-GFP, TLX1-IRES-mCherry or a combination of both. Then, the cells were grown for 4 days in the presence of immobilized DLL4, but without interleukin 7 (IL7) or stem cell factor (SCF). Cell number, viability and GFP/mCherry percentage was measured daily on a MACSQuant Vyb (Miltenyi).

Cell Lines

ALL-SIL cells (source: male) and PEER cells (source: female) were obtained from DSMZ. The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen, CA, USA) in 5% carbon dioxide at 37°C.

METHOD DETAILS

Flow Cytometry Analyses

Single-cell suspensions were prepared from spleen, thymus, bone marrow and lymph nodes. Peripheral blood was incubated in red blood cell lysis buffer (150 mM NH₄Cl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10 mM KHCO₃) for 5-10 minutes prior to staining. Cells were then washed with PBS and stained for 20 minutes at 4°C. Stained cells were washed with PBS and then analysed on either a FACSCanto flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), a FACSverse flow cytometer (BD biosciences) or a MACSQuant Vyb (Miltenyi). Data was analysed with the FlowJo software (Tree Star). Antibodies are listed in the Key Resources Table.

Virus Production and Viral Transduction

Viral particles were produced by HEK293T cells transfected (using GeneJuice transfection reagent (Millipore)) with an ecopac packaging plasmid and the retroviral MSCV-EML1-ABL1-IRES-GFP, MSCV-STAT5 N642H-IRES-GFP or MSCV-TLX1-IRES-mCherry expression plasmids. The supernatant carrying the viral particles was harvested after 48 hr.

1.10⁶ primary T cells were seeded in 2 mL RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen), IL7 (20 ng/mL), SCF (20 ng/mL) and polybrene (8 μg/mL). 1 mL viral supernatant was added, and the cells were spinfected at 2500 rpm for 99 minutes at 30°C. 4 hours after spinfection, the cells were transferred to a mDLL4-coated plate, and the medium was changed into RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen), IL7 (20 ng/mL) and SCF (20 ng/mL), to remove polybrene and remaining viral particles.

1.10⁶ Hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells were seeded in 2 mL RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen), IL3 (10 ng/mL), IL6 (10 ng/mL), SCF (50 ng/mL) and polybrene (8 μg/mL). 1 mL viral supernatant was added, and the cells were spinfected at 2500 rpm for 99 minutes at 30°C. 4 hours after spinfection, the medium was changed into RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen), IL3 (10 ng/mL), IL6 (10 ng/mL) and SCF (50 ng/mL), to remove polybrene and remaining viral particles.

 1.10^{6} NA+TLX1 spleen cells were seeded in 2 mL RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen) and polybrene (8 μ g/mL). 1 mL STAT5 N642H-IRES-GFP viral supernatant was added, and the cells were spinfected at 2500 rpm for 99 minutes at 30°C. 4 hours after spinfection, the medium was changed into RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen), to remove polybrene and remaining viral particles.

RNA Extraction, qRT-PCR and RNA-seq

RNA was extracted from tissue and cells using the Maxwell 16 LEV Simply RNA purification kit (Promega) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. RNA quality was measured using the 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent). cDNA synthesis was carried out using GoScript (Promega) and qRT-PCR was performed using the GoTaq qRT-PCR master mix (Promega) with the ViiA7 Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystem). Primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table S3.

Libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 with 125bp single-end reads (Illumina). This RNA-sequencing data was first cleaned (i.e. removal of adapters and low quality reads) with fastq-MCF (ea-utils) and a quality control was perfomed with fastQC. The reads were then aligned with TopHat2 (Kim et al., 2013; Li et al., 2009) to the respective genomes, either Homo Sapiens (GRCh37/hg19) or Mus Musculus (mm10). To identify the gene expression HTSeq-count (Anders et al., 2015) was used to count the number of reads per gene. These read count numbers were then normalized to the sample size. Differential gene expression analysis was performed with the R-package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014).

ChIPmentation ChIP-seq

ChIPmentation ChIP-seq was performed as described with minor modifications (Rendeiro et al., 2016; Schmidl et al., 2015). 20-40 million cells were washed in PBS and cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature and then quenched by addition of glycine (125 mM final concentration). For Nuclei isolation, cells were resuspended in 1X RSB buffer (10 mM Tris pH7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂) and left on ice for 10 min to swell. Cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in RSBG40 buffer (10 mM Tris pH7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 0.5% NP40) with 1/10 v/v of 10% detergent (3.3% w/v sodium deoxycholate, 6.6% v/v Tween-40). Nuclei were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in L3B+ buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.1% Na-Deoxycholate, 0.5% N-Lauroylsarcosine, 0.2% SDS). Chromatin was fragmented to 200-400 bp using 20-25 cycles (30 s on, 30 s off, High) using the Bioruptor (Diagenode). The chromatin was supplemented with 1% Triton-X100 after fragmentation. Antibodies (listed in the Key Resources Table) were preconjugated to either magnetic protein A/G beads (Millipore) or magnetic protein-G beads (Celll Signaling Technology). Chromatin immunoprecipitation was carried out overnight. Tagmentation and library preparation was performed using the Nextera DNA library prep kit (Illumina).

DNA was purified using triple sided SPRI bead clean-up (1.2X, 0.6X, 0.9X). (Agencourt AMPure Beads, Beckman Coulter). Sequencing was carried out by Illumina Hiseq 2000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The raw ChIPmentation sequencing data was first cleaned with fastq-MCF (ea-utils) after which a quality control was performed with fastQC. Subsequently it was mapped to the human genome (GRCh37/hg19) or to the mouse genome (mm10) with Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). The peaks were called with the MACS2 software (Zhang et al., 2008) using the input signal as background. For visualization purposes the ChIP-signals were normalized with deeptools (Ramírez et al., 2014). To discover which motifs are enriched in the ChIP peaks the on-line tool RSAT peak-motifs was used (Thomas-Chollier et al., 2012).

Fast-ATAC-seq

Fast-ATAC-seq was carried out as described with minor modifications (Corces et al., 2016), Briefly, 100,000 cells were resuspended in transposase mix containing the tagmentation enzyme and 0,1% digitonin. Cells were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Tagmented DNA was purified using MinElute columns (Qiagen). Library preparation was performed using the Nextera DNA library prep kit, Illumina. DNA was purified using triple-sided SPRI bead clean-up. (Agencourt AMPure Beads, Beckman Coulter) using the following ratio: 1.2X, 0.55X, 1.5X. Sequencing was carried out by Illumina Hiseq 2000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The ATAC-sequencing reads were processed similarly as the ChIPmentation data. The peak calling with MACS2 was performed without any background signal. To identify differential peaks, all peak files were merged together with the bedtools software and transformed to a GFF file which could then be used by HTSeq-count to count the number of reads per peak. A differential peak analysis was then performed with the R package DESeq2.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

A ranked gene set enrichment analysis was performed with the BROAD GSEA software. For the RNA sequencing data a ranked gene list was constructed from the differential gene expression results, in which the ranking value was calculated as –sign(log2FC)* log(padj). Different gene sets were used, such as the KEGG pathway database, and custom gene sets like the genes with an appearing ATAC-peak, or genes with certain ChIP-signals.

Western Blotting

Cell lysates were prepared using 1X Cell Lysis Buffer (Cell Signaling) containing protease inhibitor (Complete – EDTA-free, Roche) and 1 mM Na₃VO₄. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE (NuPAGE 3-8% Tris-Acetate or NuPAGE 4-12% Bis Tris, Invitrogen) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using an iBlot Gel Transfer device (Thermo Fischer). Subsequent labelling was carried out using unlabelled primary antibodies. Western blot detection was performed with secondary antibodies conjugated with horse-radish peroxidase (GE Healthcare). Images were acquired using a cooled charge-coupled device camera system (ImageQuant LAS-4000, GE Health Care).

RNA Interference

For siRNA studies, 1.10^{6} ALL-SIL cells were electroporated in 400 µL serum-free RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 4 µL of a 50 µM siRNA solution (final concentration of 200 nM) using the Gene Pulser XcellTM system (Biorad) in 0,4 cm cuvettes. Immediately after electroporation, cells were transferred to 2 mL pre-warmed RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum. For antisense oligo knock-down experiments, 1.10^{6} ALL-SIL cells were incubated with 5 µM or 10 µM antisense oligo in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum. The medium was changed every 48 hours, and fresh antisense oligo was added each time. 1-2 days after siRNA electroporation or 2-6 days after antisense-oligo treatment, RNA was isolated using the Maxwell 16 LEV Simply RNA purification kit (Promega) according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

Immunohistochemistry

Spleen tissue was fixed overnight in 10% neutral buffered formalin (Sigma) and then transferred to 50% ethanol. The samples were processed for paraffin embedding (Thermo Scientific Excelsior AS Tissue Processor and HistoStar Embedding Workstation). Sections of 4 µm were mounted on Superfrost Plus Adhesion slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Diapath). For CD3 and Cre stainings, heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed, and the sections were subsequently blocked with normal goat (Cre) or horse (CD3) serum. The sections were incubated overnight with the primary antibody at 4°C and incubated with the secondary antibody (conjugated with biotin-free HRP) for 30-45 min at room temperature. Visualisation was performed using a peroxidase substrate, and hematoxylin counterstaining was carried out.

In Vitro/Ex Vivo Inhibitor Treatments

For single-drug concentration studies, ALL-SIL, mouse leukemic cells, mouse leukemic cells transduced with an STAT5 N642H expression construct, or patient-derived xenograft cells were incubated overnight with either the small molecule inhibitors (imatinib, JQ1, ABT-199) or DMSO. To determine synergy between the different compounds, ALL-SIL, mouse leukemic cells or patient-derived xenograft cells were seeded into 96-well plates and the compounds were added in a randomized fashion using a D300e digital dispenser (Tecan). The DMSO concentration was normalized. Cell proliferation was measured after 48 hours using the ATPlite luminescence system (PerkinElmer) using a Victor multilabel plate reader. Data were analysed using the SynergyFinder software (lanevski et al., 2017).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were performed using Prism software (Graphpad software, CA, USA). For analysis of the mouse data, survival was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and two-sided p values were determined by the log-rank (Mantel Cox) test.

For qRT-PCR analyses, data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Comparisons between two groups were performed by unpaired Student t tests.

To identify statistical significance of co-occurring ChIP peaks, the regulatory regions of the genome were defined by the H3K27ac signal, and only the peaks within these regulatory regions were taken into account. To determine whether the overlap is greater than expected by chance, a hypergeometric distribution was used to calculate the expected overlap and the p value.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The RNA-seq, ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database via accession number GSE102209.

Cancer Cell, Volume 34

Supplemental Information

Cooperative Enhancer Activation by TLX1 and STAT5

Drives Development of NUP214-ABL1/TLX1-Positive

T Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Marlies Vanden Bempt, Sofie Demeyer, Michaël Broux, Jolien De Bie, Simon Bornschein, Nicole Mentens, Roel Vandepoel, Ellen Geerdens, Enrico Radaelli, Beat C. Bornhauser, Andreas E. Kulozik, Jules P. Meijerink, Jean-Pierre Bourquin, Charles E. de Bock, and Jan Cools

#	Gender	Adult/Pediatric	Age	Immune phenotype	ABL1	Other alterations
Gra	Graux et al., 2004					
1	М	A	52	cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
2	М	Р	3	cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
3	М	A	23	cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
4	М	Р	7	mature	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
5	М	A	25	mature	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
6	F	Р	ped	cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
7	М	Р	9	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
8	М	Р	4	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
9	F	A	31	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
Gra	ux et al., 2	008	•			
10	М	A	28	mature	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
11	F	A	36	cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
12	М	Р	3	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
13	F	Р	14	cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
14	F	Р	6	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
15	F	А	28	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
16	М	А	22	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
17	М	Р	7	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
18	М	Р	18	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
19	М	Р	11	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
20	М	A	26	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
21	М	Р	5	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
22	М	Р	8	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
23	М	Р	17	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
24	М	Р	12	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
25	М	A	40	pre T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
26	М	A	48	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
27	М	Р	9	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
28	М	Р	11	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
29	М	Р	12	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
30	F	Р	14	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
31	М	A	42	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
32	М	Р	2	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
33	М	A	36	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
Burmeister et al., 2006						
34	М	A	18	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
35	М	A	20	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	/
36	М	А	39	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
37	М	A	34	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1

Table S1 (Related to Figure 1): NUP214-ABL1⁺ T-ALL cases from published studies

38	М	А	28	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
39	М	A	23	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
40	М	A	18	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	/
41	М	A	18	early T	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
42	F	A	27	thymic	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
Liu et al., 2017						
43	М	Р	13	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX1
44	М	Р	4	?	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
45	М	Р	7	Cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3
46	М	Р	7	Pre-cortical	NUP214-ABL1 fusion	TLX3



Figure S1 (Related to Figure 1): Expression of NUP214-ABL1 alone is not sufficient to cause T-ALL in a transgenic mouse model

(A) Schematic overview of the generation of the LSL-NA and the NA transgenic mouse models through homologous recombination in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells. (B-D) White blood cell count (WBC, cells/µL) (B), Spleen weight (C) and thymus weight (D) in LSL-NA and NA mice at end

stage (>360 days). Data are represented as mean \pm SD Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired two tailed t-test with equal variance. **(E)** Peripheral blood staining for CD4 and CD8 T cells in LSL-NA and NA mice at end stage. **(F)** FACS analysis of spleen, thymus and bone marrow analyzing the frequency of GFP cells in LSL-NA and NA mice at end stage. **(G)** Total T cell numbers in LSL-NA and NA in peripheral blood (1 µL), thymus or spleen. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two tailed t-test with equal variance.



Figure S2 (Related to Figure 1): Expression of NUP214-ABL1 in lymphoid progenitors or Bcells is not sufficient to cause leukemia development

(A) Schematic of LSL-NA mice crossed with CD2 Cre mice (top) and associated Kaplan-Meier survival curve for CD2 Cre NA and LSL-NA mice (bottom). (B) FACS analysis of peripheral blood for GFP and CD4/CD8 staining of LSL-NA and CD2 Cre NA mice. (C) Schematic of LSL-NA mice crossed with CD19 Cre mice (top) and associated Kaplan-Meier survival curve for CD19 Cre NA and LSL-NA mice (bottom). (D) FACS analysis of peripheral blood for GFP and proportion of myeloid cells, B cells and T cells in CD19 Cre NA mice. (E) Percentage of GFP positive cells in peripheral blood of LSL-NA, CD2 Cre NA and CD19 Cre NA mice at end stage (>360 days). Data are represented as mean ± SD.



Figure S3 (Related to Figure 1): NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 expression in the transgenic mouse models.

(A-B) qRT-PCR of NUP214-ABL1 (A) or TLX1 (B) expression in CD4⁺CD8⁺ thymus or spleen cells harvested from the different transgenic mouse models. Data are represented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two tailed t-test with equal variance. (C) Western blot to show activation of STAT5 in different tissues of NA+TLX1 mice and in ALL-SIL cells. (D) phospho-flow for phospho-STAT5 (p-STAT5) in peripheral blood cells of NA+TLX1 mice.



Figure S4 (Related to Figure 1): Cooperation between EML1-ABL1 and TLX1 in an ex vivo pro T cell system and in a mouse bone marrow transplant model.

(A) Schematic of the strategy followed for the bone marrow transplant assay (B) FACS analysis of spleen and peripheral blood for EML1-ABL1 (GFP) and TLX1 (mCHERRY) expression in spleen cells (left) and peripheral blood (right) from a EML1-ABL1+TLX1 leukemic mouse. (C) FACS analysis of EML1-ABL1+ TLX1+ spleen cells from a EML1-ABL1 + TLX1 leukemic mouse, showing expression of Gr1 and CD11b or CD4 and CD8.



Figure S5 (Related to Figure 2): NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 activate the JAK-STAT pathway through STAT5 signaling

(A) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showing enrichment of JAK-STAT pathway genes in the differentially expressed genes in NA+TLX1 compared to NA (top) or NA+TLX1 compared to TLX1 (bottom). (NES = normalized enrichment score). (B) GSEA showing enrichment of STAT5 target genes (as defined by ChIP-seq) in the differentially expressed genes in NA+TLX1 compared to NA (top) or TLX1 (bottom). (NES = normalized enrichment score). (C) qRT-PCR to show *Osm* (left) and *Cish* (right) expression in the different transgenic mouse models. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two tailed t-test with equal variance. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. (D) qRT-PCR of STAT5 target genes after 48 hr treatment with 10 μ M STAT5 gapmers. Data are

represented as mean \pm SD. (**E**) Growth curve of ALL-SIL cells treated with 10 µM TLX1 or negative control (neg CTRL) gapmer for 12 days. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. (**F**,**G**) qRT-PCR of STAT5 target genes after 3 hr imatinib treatment in the NUP214-ABL1⁺TLX1⁺ cell line PEER (**F**) or NUP214-ABL1⁺TLX3⁺ patient-derived xenograft cells (X12) (**G**). Data are represented as mean \pm SD. (**H**) Volcano plot showing up- and downregulated genes (top) and GSEA to show enrichment of STAT5 target genes in differentially expressed genes (bottom) after imatinib treatment (500 nM imatinib or DMSO for 3 hr) in a NUP214-ABL1⁺TLX3⁺ patient-derived xenograft cells isolated from 3 separate xenograft NSG mice).



Figure S6 (related to Figure 4): TLX1 and STAT5 bind in newly accessible enhancer regions.

(A-C) ATAC-seq tracks (performed in CD4⁺CD8⁺ WT, NA, TLX1 and NA+TLX1 cells) and ChIP-seq tracks (H3K4me1, H3K27ac in WT cells, STAT5, TLX1, H3K27ac in NA+TLX1 cells) at the *Bcl2* locus **(A)**, the *Pim1* locus **(B)** and at the *Myc* gene locus and enhancer loci **(C)**.



Figure S7 (Related to Figure 6): Downstream effectors of NUP214-ABL1 and TLX1 can be targeted to improve treatment strategies.

(A) Sanger sequencing profile of the NUP214-ABL1 fusion detected in PDX samples X12 and

XD82. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of TLX3 expression in T-ALL PDX samples X12 and XD82. Data are represented as mean ± SD. (C) Growth of ALL-SIL cells after 48 hr treatment with imatinib with or without JQ1 (1.2 μ M). Data are represented as mean ± SD. (D) Synergy matrix plot showing δ scores for ALL-SIL cells treated with imatinib + JQ1. (E) Growth of ALL-SIL cells after 48 hr treatment with imatinib with or without iBET-762 (2 μ M). Data are represented as mean ± SD. (F) Synergy matrix plot showing δ -scores for ALL-SIL cells treated with imatinib + iBET-762. (G) Growth of ALL-SIL cells after 48 hr treatment with imatinib with or without CPI0610 (2 µM). Data are represented as mean \pm SD. (H) Synergy matrix plot showing δ -scores for the combination treatment of ALL SIL cells with imatinib + CPI0610. (I) Growth of ALL-SIL cells after 48 hr treatment with imatinib with or without ABT-199 (0.4 μ M). Data are represented as mean ± SD. (J) Synergy matrix plot showing δ -scores for the combination treatment of ALL SIL cells with imatinib + ABT-199. (K) Growth of NA+TLX1 mouse leukemic cells after 48 hr treatment with imatinib with or without ABT-199 (75 nM). Data are represented as mean \pm SD. (L) Synergy matrix plot showing δ -scores for the combination treatment of NA+TLX1 mouse leukemic cells with imatinib + ABT-199. (M) Spleen weight of mice treated with ABT-199, imatinib or a combination of imatinib + ABT-199. Data are represented as mean ± SD. (N) % human CD45 cells detected by flow cytometry in spleen samples of mice treated with ABT-199, imatinib or a combination of imatinib + ABT-199. Statistical significance calculated using unpaired two tailed t-test with equal variance. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

Table S2 (Related to STAR methods): primers for genotyping of the transgenic mouse strains

LSL-NA			
LSL-NA Fw	5'-AGAGGGGGGGGGGTTTCTTCAGT-3'		
LSL-NA Rv	5'-ACACCATTCCCCATTGTGATTAT-3'		
WT Fw	5'-CAATACCTTTCTGGGAGTTCTCTGC-3'		
WT Rv	5'-CTGCATAAAACCCCAGATGACTACC-3'		
TLX1			
Fw	5'-AGGTACCCTCCTTGGTGGAG-3'		
Rv	5'-AAAGTAGAAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG		
CD4 Cre / CD19 Cre			
Fw	5'-GCGGTCTGGCAGTAAAAACTATC-3'		
Rv	5'-GTGAAACAGCATTGCTGTCACTT-3'		
CD2 iCre			
Fw	5'-AGATGCCAGGACATCAGGAACCTG-3'		
Rv	5'-ATCAGCCACACCAGACACAGAGATC-3'		

Table S3 (Related to STAR methods): primers for qRT-PCR

Mouse			
Мус	Fw	5'-AGAGCTCCTCGAGCTGTTTG-3'	
	Rv	5'-TGAAGTTCACGTTGAGGGG-3'	
Bcl2	Fw	5'-AGTACCTGAACCGGCATCTG-3'	
	Rv	5'-AGGGTCTTCAGAGACAGCCA-3'	
Osm	Fw	5'-TGCTCCAACTCTTCCTCTCAG-3'	
	Rv	5'-CAGGTGTGTTCAGGTTTTGG-3'	
Cish	Fw	5'-CAGAGAATGAACCGAAGGTG-3'	
	Rv	5'-CCTCGCTGGCTGTAATAGAAC-3'	
	1	Human	
TLX1	Fw	5'-GACAAAGTGGAGACGGCAGA-3'	
	Rv	5'-CTGTGCCAGGCTCTTCTGG-3'	
NUP214-ABL1	Fw	5'-AGGAAAACCCAGTCAGGATG-3'	
	Rv	5'-TGAGGCTCAAAGTCAGATGC-3'	
MYC	Fw	5'-AAAACCAGCAGCCTCCCGCGA-3'	
	Rv	5'-AATACGGCTGCACCGAGTCGT-3'	
OSM	Fw	5'-CAGCTCCAGAAGCAGACAGA-3'	
	Rv	5'-CCCTGCAGTGCTCTCTCAGT-3'	
PIM1	Fw	5'-AGGGTCTCTTCAGAATGTCAGC-3'	
	Rv	5'-TGGATCTCAGCAGTTTCCTG-3'	
CISH	Fw	5'-CTGCTGTGCATAGCCAAGAC-3'	
	Rv	5'-GTGCCTTCTGGCATCTTCTG-3'	
BCL2	Fw	5'-GCCCTGTGGATGACTGAGTA-3'	
	Rv	5'-AGGGCCAAACTGAGCAGAG-3'	