Appendix 1. Fagotti Scoring Algorithm

Tumor Characteristic	Score
Peritoneal carcinomatosis:	0 (not present) or 2 (present)
Massive peritoneal involvement and/or	
miliary pattern of distribution	
Diaphragmatic surface involvement:	0 (not present) or 2 (present)
Widespread infiltrating carcinomatosis and/or	
confluent nodules to most of diaphragm surface	
Mesenteric involvement:	0 (not present) or 2 (present)
Large infiltrating nodules and/or involvement	
of the root of the mesentery based on limited	
movement of intestinal segments	
Omental involvement:	0 (not present) or 2 (present)
Tumor diffusion of the omentum up to the	
greater curvature of the stomach	
Bowel involvement:	0 (not present) or 2 (present)
Tumor infiltration of large or small bowel	
requiring intestinal resection (excludes	
rectosigmoid colon) and/or	
Stomach involvement:	0 (not present) or 2 (present)
Obvious tumor infiltration into gastric wall	
Liver involvement:	0 (not present) or 2 (present)
Liver surface lesions >2 cm in size	

Appendix 2. Laparoscopy Surgical Data

	All laparoscopy patients
	(n=215)
Laparoscopy time, median	37 (6-179)
(minutes, range)	
EBL, median (mL, range)	5 (0-150)
Entry method	
Direct optical entry	191 (89%)
Open trocar entry	11 (5%)
Unknown	13 (6%)
Abdominal access point	
LUQ	184 (86%)
Umbilical	14 (6%)
RUQ	1 (0.5%)
RLQ	1 (0.5%)
Suprapubic	2 (1%)
Unknown	13 (6%)
Complications	
GI trocar injury	5 (2%)
Port site metastases	10 (5%)
Wound	10 (5%)

EBL=Estimated blood loss, LUQ=left upper quadrant, RUQ=right upper quadrant, RLQ=right lower quadrant

	No Scope/NACT	Scope/NACT	Primary Surgery	p-value
	(n=245)	(n=102)	(n=138)	
Age in years, median	67 (22-89)	62 (36-85)	62 (37-88)	< 0.001
(range)				
BMI, median	27.2 (13.1-59.3)	28.4 (17.1-49.4)	26.1 (17.9-47.2)	0.42
(kg/m2, range)				
Race				0.71
White	200 (85%)	89 (90%)	120 (87%)	
Black	22 (9%)	5 (5%)	10(7%)	
Other	13 (6%)	5 (5%)	6 (4%)	
Missing	10	3	2	
ECOG				< 0.001
0-1	154 (71%)	84 (88%)	120 (94%)	
2	40 (18%)	10 (10%)	7 (5%)	
3-4	23 (11%)	2 (2%)	1 (1%)	
Missing	28	6	10	
Charlson	3 (0-10)	3 (1-14)	3 (0-10)	< 0.001
Comorbidity Index,				
median				
CA-125 at Dx,	774 (9.7-45600)	749 (41.5-12472)	343 (10.9-11837)	< 0.001
median (U/mL,				
range)				
BRCA status				0.05
No mutation	124 (81%)	58 (84%)	66 (69%)	
BRCA 1	11 (7%)	8 (12%)	18 (19%)	
BRCA 2	14 (8%)	2 (3%)	6 (6%)	
VUS	6 (4%)	1 (1%)	5 (5%)	
Unknown	90	33	43	
Disease site				< 0.001
Fallopian tube	6 (2%)	4 (4%)	8 (6%)	
Ovarian	181 (74%)	88 (86%)	116 (84%)	
Primary peritoneal	43 (18%)	10 (10%)	14 (10%)	
Mullerian NOS	15 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Stage				< 0.001
II	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18 (13%)	
III	67 (27%)	80 (78%)	108 (78%)	
IVA	22 (9%)	9 (9%)	2 (1%)	
IVB	153 (64%)	13 (13%)	10 (7%)	
Histology				< 0.001
Serous	200 (82%)	93 (91%)	108 (78%)	
Endometrioid	3 (1%)	0 (0%)	5 (4%)	
Mucinous	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	
Clear cell	6 (2%)	5 (5%)	3 (2%)	
Adenoca NOS	23 (9%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	
Mixed	6 (2%)	2 (2%)	11 (8%)	

Appendix 3. Clinical and Demographic Data on Entire Cohort by Subgroup Analysis

Fleming ND, Nick AM, Coleman RL, Westin SN, Ramirez PT, Soliman PT, et al. Laparoscopic surgical algorithm to triage the timing of tumor reductive surgery in advanced ovarian cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2018; 132.

The authors provided this information as a supplement to their article.

©2018 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

Carcinosarcoma 6 (2%)	2 (2%)	8 (6%)	
-----------------------	--------	--------	--

Scope=Laparoscopy, NACT=Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, BMI=Body mass index, ECOG=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, Dx=diagnosis, VUS=Variant of uncertain significance, NOS=not otherwise specified, Adenoca=adenocarcinoma *Percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding

	No Scope/NACT	Scope/NACT	Primary Surgery	p-value
	(n=245)	(n=102)	(n=138)	1
TRS				< 0.001
Primary	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	138 (100%)	
Interval	155 (65%)	84 (82%)	0 (0%)	
No surgery	82 (35%)	18 (18%)	0 (0%)	
Missing	8	0	0	
Surgical approach				0.04
Open	139 (90%)	68 (83%)	129 (94%)	
Laparoscopy	14 (9%)	14 (17%)	8 (6%)	
Robotic	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Missing	1	2	1	
OR time, median (minutes,	277 (147-937)	305 (180-688)	370 (160-798)	< 0.001
range)				
LOS, median (days, range)	3 (0-25)	4 (0-43)	5 (0-30)	< 0.001
EBL, median (mL, range)	200 (20-1750)	300 (25-6000)	500 (50-2600)	< 0.001
Residual disease at TRS				0.25
R0	124 (81%)	62 (76%)	120 (88%)	
≤1 cm	14 (9%)	10 (12%)	9 (7%)	
>1 cm	15 (10%)	10 (12%)	8 (6%)	
Missing	2	2	1	
Number of chemotherapy				
cycles, median (range)				
NACT	3 (1-14)	3 (1-12)		0.20
Total cycles	6 (0-14)	6 (0-12)	6 (0-9)	0.009

Appendix 4. Surgical and Chemotherapy Data on Entire Cohort by Subgroup Analysis

Scope=Laparoscopy, NACT=Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, TRS=Tumor reductive surgery, LOS=Length of stay, EBL=Estimated blood loss, R0=no gross residual disease

	No Scope/NACT	Scope/NACT	Primary Surgery	p-value
	(n=155)	(n=84)	(n=138)	•
Surgical Procedures				
Hysterectomy	109 (47%)	61 (60%)	98 (71%)	< 0.001
USO or BSO	140 (61%)	83 (80%)	130 (94%)	< 0.001
Omentectomy	148 (64%)	79 (78%)	130 (94%)	< 0.001
Diaphragm stripping	15 (7%)	8 (8%)	22 (16%)	0.008
Diaphragm resection	15 (7%)	8 (8%)	11 (8%)	0.71
Liver resection	17 (8%)	6 (6%)	13 (9%)	0.58
Peritoneal stripping	22 (10%)	16 (16%)	40 (29%)	< 0.001
Splenectomy	11 (5%)	6 (6%)	11 (8%)	0.46
Partial pancreatectomy	4 (2%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0.30
Pelvic lymphadenectomy	15 (6%)	2 (2%)	32 (23%)	< 0.001
PA lymphadenectomy	21 (9%)	2 (2%)	41 (30%)	< 0.001
Bowel procedures				
Appendectomy	22 (9%)	20 (19%)	37 (27%)	< 0.001
End colostomy	3 (1%)	4 (4%)	7 (5%)	0.06
Right colon resection	6 (3%)	1 (1%)	7 (5%)	0.19
Ileostomy	2 (1%)	1 (1%)	10 (7%)	0.001
Partial gastric resection	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	0.67
Posterior exenteration	2 (1%)	3 (3%)	12 (9%)	< 0.001
Rectosigmoid resection	11 (5%)	11 (11%)	44 (32%)	< 0.001
Small bowel resection	7 (3%)	3 (3%)	12 (9%)	0.04
Transverse colon resection	7 (3%)	5 (5%)	4 (3%)	0.57

Appendix 5. Tumor Reductive Surgical Procedures in Entire Cohort by Subgroup Analysis

USO=unilateral salpingoophorectomy, BSO=bilateral salpingoophorectomy, PA=para-aortic

*Percentages are based off of the number of patients undergoing tumor reductive surgery in each group

Appendix 6. Progression-free survival (PFS) in entire cohort by subgroup. Median PFS was 21.4 months for primary surgery compared to 14.1 months no laparoscopy-NACT and 13.1 months laparoscopy-NACT (*P*<.001). NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy.



Appendix 7. Progression-free survival (PFS) in entire cohort by residual disease and subgroup. Median PFS was as follows: primary surgery-R0 23.5 months; primary surgery-R1 16.4 months; NACT-R0 15.6 months; NACT-R1 13.1 months (*P*<.001). NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy.



	HR (95% CI)	p-value
Age at diagnosis	1.00 (0.98-1.03)	0.82
ECOG status	1.28 (1.02-1.61)	0.03
Charlson comorbidity index	1.06 (0.93-1.20)	0.37
Baseline CA-125	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	0.43
Baseline platelet count	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	0.06
Stage		
II		
III	2.14 (0.85-5.37)	0.11
IVA	2.68 (0.89-8.11)	0.08
IVB	2.15 (0.79-5.84)	0.14
BRCA status		
No mutation		
BRCA 1/2	0.66 (0.39-1.09)	0.11
Unknown	1.09 (0.75-1.59)	0.65
Resection status		
R0		
$\leq 1 \text{ cm}$	2.03 (1.30-3.19)	0.002
>1 cm	1.26 (0.69-2.31)	0.45
Treatment group		
No Scope/NACT		
Scope/NACT	1.17 (0.73-1.87)	0.51
Primary surgery	0.88 (0.55-0.42)	0.61

Appendix 8. Multivariate Analysis for PFS for Entire Cohort Based on Subgroup Analysis

ECOG=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, Scope=Laparoscopy, NACT=Neoadjuvant chemotherapy