Supplementary information for

Soil properties, carbon inputs, and climate combine to control the stable soil carbon saturation deficit dynamics over different 17-year fertilizations Jiaying Di^{1, 2}, Minggang Xu^{1,*}, Wenju Zhang¹, Xiaogang Tong³, Xinhua He^{4, 5}, Hongjun Gao⁶, Hua Liu⁷ & Boren Wang¹

¹National Engineering Laboratory for Improving Quality of Arable Land, Institute of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

² Key Laboratory of Agro-information Services Technology of Ministry of Agriculture, Agriculture Information Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

³ College of Resources and Environment, Northwest A & F University, Yangling, Shannxi 712100, China

⁴ College of Resources and Environment, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China

⁵ School of Plant Biology, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009,

Australia

⁶ Centre of Agricultural Environment and Resources, Jilin Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changchun 130033, China

⁷ Institute of Soil and Fertilizer, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Urumqi, China

*Corresponding authors.

E-mail address: <u>xuminggang@caas.cn</u>(M.G. Xu)

Table S1. Coefficient values of the 13 predictor variable of the lasso regression model at the three long-term experimental sites. TOC, total soil organic content; TN, total soil nitrogen; AN, available nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; AP, available phosphorus; BD, bulk density; pH, soil pH; MAP, mean annual precipitation; MAT, mean annual temperature; Cum_T > 10°C, effective cumulative temperature >10°C; Cum_C input, cumulative C input amount C input_R, crop residue-C input amount; C input_M/S, the extra organic C input amount (i.e., manure or straw).

Catagory	ategory Predictor variable	Coeff	Coefficient value			
Category	Predictor variable	Gongzhuling	Qiyang	Urumqi		
	TOC	-4.63	-4.19	-11.82		
	TN	Coefficient value Gongzhuling Qiyang Urum OC -4.63 -4.19 -11.8 N -4.18 0.00 0.00 N -4.18 0.00 0.00 P 10.13 0.00 0.00 P -11.30 0.00 0.00 D 2.23 0.00 0.00 H 0.00 0.00 0.00 AP 0.00 0.00 -3.52 n_T -0.24 2.77 0.00 Cinput 0.00 0.00 0.00 ture -5.28 0.00 0.00	0.00			
	TOC -4.63 -4.19 TN -4.18 0.00 AN 0.00 0.00 TP 10.13 0.00 AP -11.30 0.00 BD 2.23 0.00 pH 0.00 0.00 MAP 0.00 0.00	0.00				
Soil properties	TP	10.13	0.00	0.00		
	AP	-11.30	0.00	0.00		
	BD	2.23	0.00	0.00		
	BD pH	0.00	0.00	4.02		
	MAP	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Climate	MAT	-1.21	0.00	-3.53		
	Cum_T	-0.24	2.77	0.00		
	Cum_C input	0.00	0.00	0.00		
C inputs	C input_R	-5.28	0.00	0.00		
	C input_M/R	0.00	-15.05	-9.72		

Table S2. Application rates of mineral fertilizers at the three long-term

experimental sites. N, mineral nitrogen; NP, mineral N and phosphorus; NPK, mineral N, phosphorus, and potassium combination; NPKS, NPK plus crop straw return; NPKM, NPK plus livestock manure; hNPKM, higher rates of mineral fertilizer and manure input.

		N/NP/NPK	NPKS	NPKM	hNPKM
Site	Crops	Mineral N-P-K			
		(kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)			
Gongzhuling	Corn	165-36-68	112-36-68	50-36-68	75-54-103
Qiyang	Corn	210-36-70	210-36-70	63-36-70	94-54-105
	Wheat	90-15-30	90-15-30	27-15-30	40-23-45
Urumqi	Corn/wheat/wheat	241-59-48	217-50-42	85-22-10	150-39-15

Table S3. Sources and application rates of organic amendments in various fertilizer treatments at the three long-term experimental sites. NPK, mineral N, phosphorus, and potassium combination; NPKS, NPK plus crop straw return; NPKM, NPK plus livestock manure; hNPKM, higher rates of mineral fertilizer and manure input.

Site	NPKS	NPKM/hNPKM	NPKS	NPKM	hNPKM
	Source		Application rate (Mg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)		-1)
Gongzhuling	CS	PM	7.5	23	34.6
Qiyang	WS	PM	Half ^{&}	29	44
	CS	PM	Half ^{&}	13	19
Urumqi	CS/WS	GM	All ^{&}	30	60

CS: corn straw, WS: wheat straw, PM: pig manure, GM: goat manure. [&]: Half or all crop straw of the previous season was returned back to the soil.

Table S4. The 13 predictor variables of the lasso regression model at the three

Category	Predictor variable	Description	Unit
Soil properties	TOC	total soil organic carbon	g kg ⁻¹
	TN	total soil nitrogen	g kg ⁻¹
	AN	available nitrogen	g kg ⁻¹
	TP	total phosphorus	g kg ⁻¹
	AP	available phosphorus	mg kg ⁻¹
	BD	bulk density	g cm ⁻³
	pH	soil pH	
Climate	MAP	mean annual precipitation	mm
	MAT	mean annual temperature	°C
	Cum_T	effective cumulative temperature >10°C	°C
C inputs	Cum_C input	cumulative C input amount	Mg ha ⁻¹
	C input_R	crop residue C input amount	Mg ha ⁻¹
	C input_M/R	extra organic C input amount include manure or straw	Mg ha ⁻¹

long-term experimental sites.



Figure S1. Observed values and values predicted by the lasso linear regression model using the predictors shown in Fig. 3. The dashed line shows the 1:1 line (in all sites P < 0.01, denoted by two asterisks).