Table 3: Descriptive summary of PA results between intervention and control

Author	Class of	PA outcome	Intervention vs control result	Categori	Comments
(year)	PA		% or mean (SD), P-value, unless otherwise stated	sation of	
	outcome		^a p-value between groups	effect	
			^b p-value between group change		
			° p-value interaction effect time x group		
Bertie et al.	Objective	Mean daily mileage	8.2 (0.6) vs 6.6 (0.5), <0.05ª	I>C	
1992		(km)			
Cowie et al.	Objective	Steps/day	4849 (2866)* vs 5458 (2678)* vs 4052 (1910), 0.1ª	I=C	*Both intervention
2011	Objective	Upright duration	4.32 (1.45)* vs 4.9 (1.78)* vs 3.85 (1.85), 0.29ª	I=C	groups
		(hours/day)			
	Objective	Steps/day during	672 (751)* vs 1264 (1640)* vs 417 (713), 0.05ª	I>C	No significant
		extra-long walks			differences
	Objective	Steps/day during	1312 (1224)* vs 1557 (1039)* vs 825 (641), 0.11ª	I=C	across the three
		long walks			groups for any
	Objective	Steps/day during	2294 (1078)* vs 2291 (867)* vs 1658 (1052), 0.14ª	I=C	within group
		moderate walks			change
	Objective	Steps/day during	956 (311)* vs 1129 (474)* vs 955 (474), 0.39ª	I=C	
		short walks			
	Objective	Cadence during	95 (65)* vs 98 (57)* vs 70 (57), 0.36ª	I=C	
		extra-long walks			
	Objective	Cadence during	80 (12)* vs 84 (18)* vs 77 (23), 0.95ª	I=C	
		long walks			

	Objective	Cadence during	62 (6)* vs 61 (5)* vs 59 (5), 0.39ª	I=C	
	Objective	Cadence during short walks	49 (9)* vs 49 (4)* vs 51 (9), 0.81ª	I=C	
Devi et al.	Objective	Steps/day	Difference at 6 weeks: 497 (2171) vs -861 (2534), 0.02 ^b	I>C	
2014			6 months: NR vs NR, 0.15 ^b	I=C	
	Objective	Daily EE (kcal)	Difference at 6 weeks: 43.94 (271.9) vs -133.01 (302.01), 0.01 ^b	I>C	
			6 months: NR vs NR, 0.14 ^b	I=C	
	Objective	Duration of	Difference at 6 weeks: -7.79 (40.14) vs 23.23 (62.78), 0.01 ^b	I>C	
		sedentary activity	6 months: NR vs NR, 0.2 ^b	I=C	
		(min)			
	Objective	Duration of	Difference at 6 weeks: 6.31 (34.37) vs -22.29 (61.34), 0.01 ^b	I>C	
		moderate activity	6 months: NR vs NR, 0.24 ^b	I=C	
		(min)			
Gottlieb et al.	Objective	Daily EE (kcal)	273 (133) vs NR, NR	?	No significant
1999		doubly labelled			difference in EE
		water			with exercise
	Objective	Daily EE (kcal)	361 (224) vs NR, NR	?	training
		accelerometer			Between group
					not reported
Houle et al.	Objective	Steps/day	3 months: 9234 (3502) vs 7972 (3828), <0.001°	I>C	
2011			12 months: 9850 (3282) vs 7970 (3433), 0.003°	I>C	

	Objective	% patients active	3 months: NR vs NR, 0.098°	I=C	
		(>7500 average	6 months: 75% vs 41%, 0.01°	I>C	
		daily steps)	9 months: 68% vs 36%, 0.03°	I>C	
			12 months: 83% vs 55%, 0.042°	I>C	
Oliveira et al.	Objective	Total PA	479.3 (262.9) vs 402.9 (162.8), 0.056 ^c	I=C	
2014		(counts/min)			
	Objective	Sedentary	372 (66.2) vs 382.5 (85.6), 0.04 ^c	I>C	
		(min/day)			
	Objective	Light (min/day)	278.2 (93.2) vs 297.2 (104.9), 0.106 ^c	I=C	
	Objective	MVPA (min/day)	43 (32.3) vs 35.7 (24.7), 0.301°	I=C	
Ribeiro et al.	Objective	Daily light PA	53.3 (94.3) vs -11.1 (120.3), >0.05 ^b	I=C	
2012		change (min/day)			
	Objective	Daily moderate PA	12.9 (21.3) vs -0.7 (13.4), <0.05 ^b	I>C	
		change (min/day)			
Van den	Objective	%24hr engaged in	9.9 (4.2) vs 7.4 (2.9), >0.05 ^b	I=C	
Berg-Emons et al 2004		dynamic activity			
	Objective	Body motility (g)	0.026 (0.009) vs 0.02 (0.007), >0.05 ^b	I=C	
	Objective	Motility during	0.18 (0.06) vs 0.18 (0.07), >0.05 ^b	I=C	
		walking (g)			
	Objective	Transitions (n)	132 (55) vs 129 (57), >0.05 ^b	I=C	
	Objective	Walking periods	318 (109) vs 165 (62), >0.05 ^b	I=C	
		>10s (n)			

	Objective	Walking periods	318 (109) vs 255 (88), >0.05 ^b	I=C
		>5s (n)		
Witham et al.	Objective	Change in	3 months (median % (IQR): 18.7 (-27.5 to 51.8) vs 7 (-29.1 to	I=C
2007		accelerometry	36.8), 0.51 ^b	
		counts from	6 months (median % (IQR): 2.3 (-11.1 to 46.6) vs -14 (-37.7 to	I>C
		baseline	25.4), 0.036 ^b	
			19 months (mean (95% Cl)): -5139 (-26859 to 16580) vs -	I=C
			28184 (-56865 to 497), 0.18 ^b	
Witham et al.	Objective	Change in	8 weeks: 270, 0.97 ^b	I=C
2012		accelerometry	24 weeks: 7992, 0.42 ^b	I=C
		counts exercise vs		
		control		
Borland et al.	Objective	Steps/day	4963 (2950) vs 3063 (2226), 0.351 ^b	I=C
2014	Subjective	IPAQ category	2 (1-3) vs 1 (1-3), 0.008 ^b	I>C
	Subjective	IPAQ sitting time	330 (170) vs 423 (173), 0.551 ^b	I=C
		(mins)		
Reid et al.	Objective	Steps/day	7392 (3365) vs 6750 (3366), 0.656°	I=C
2011	Subjective	MVPA (min/week)	201.4 (179.8) vs 169.6 (152.6), 0.782°	I=C
Astengo et al.	Subjective	Training	4.5 (1.8) vs 0.1 (0.8), <0.001 ^b	I>C
2010		(days/week)		
	Subjective	Training	31 (20) vs 6 (23), <0.001 ^b	I>C
		(min/session)		
Bengtsson 1983	Subjective	Habits to exercise:		

		Never exercise	10% vs 15%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		1-2 times/month	7% vs 5%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		1-3 times/month	37% vs 38%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		Daily exercise	48% vs 43%, >0.05ª	I=C	
	Subjective	Leisure time			
		exertion:			
		Much less	44% vs 34%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		Rather less	24% vs 34%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		Unchanged	29% vs 31%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		Rather more	2% vs 0%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		Much more	0% vs 0%, >0.05ª	I=C	
Carlsson et	Subjective	Regularly training	13% vs 17%, >0.05ª	I=C	
al. 1997	Subjective	Sedentary	77% vs 70%, >0.05ª	I=C	
DeBusk et al.	Subjective	Miles/day (mean	2 (0.6)* vs 2.1 (1.6)* vs 2.9 (1.4), <0.05ª	I <c< td=""><td>*Both intervention</td></c<>	*Both intervention
1979		(SE))			groups
Engblom et	Subjective	Exercise 1-2x per	6 month: 13% vs 9%, >0.05ª	I=C	
al. 1992		week (%)	12 month: 11% vs 10%, >0.05ª	I=C	
	Subjective	Exercise ≥3x per	6 month: 29% vs 29%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		week (%)	12 month: 31% vs 25%, >0.05 ^a	I=C	
	Subjective	Exercise 15-29 min	6 month: 10% vs 6%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		(%)	12 month: 8% vs 6%, >0.05ª	I=C	
	Subjective	Exercise 30-59 min	6 month: 22% vs 22%, >0.05 ^a	I=C	
		(%)	12 month: 19% vs 14%, >0.05ª	I=C	

	Subjective	Exercise ≥60 min	6 month: 10% vs 10%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		(%)	12 month: 15% vs 15%, >0.05ª	I=C	
	Subjective	No regular	6 month: 58% vs 62%, >0.05ª	I=C	
		exercise (%)	12 month: 58% vs 65%, >0.05ª	I=C	
Erdman et al.	Subjective	Patients	6 months: 86% vs 33%, 0.01 <p< 0.001ª<="" td=""><td>I>C</td><td></td></p<>	I>C	
1986		undertaking	5 years: 52% vs 33%, 0.01 <p< 0.001ª<="" td=""><td>I>C</td><td></td></p<>	I>C	
		habitual exercise			
		(%)			
Gulanick	Subjective	Walk (score)	4 week: 13.5 (4.9)* vs 11 (3.5)* vs 10.3 (3.4), >0.05ª	I=C	*Both intervention
1991			9 week: 17.2 (4.4)* vs 14.6 (3.9)* vs 15.8 (5.3), >0.05ª	I=C	groups
	Subjective	Climb (score)	4 week: 11.4 (5.3)* vs 10.4 (2.4)* vs 9.2 (3.7), >0.05ª	I=C	All groups sig.
			9 week: 14.3 (5)* vs 13.2 (2.5)* vs 12.3 (4.9), >0.05ª	I=C	decreased
	Subjective	Lift (score)	4 week: 9.9 (5.4)* vs 9.1 (5.2)* vs 7.5 (3.3), >0.05ª	I=C	(p<0.001) from
			9 week: 15.5 (4.3)* vs 15.5 (6.8)* vs 12.7 (5.6), >0.05ª	I=C	before hospital to
	Subjective	Chores (score)	4 week: 13.6 (3.8)* vs 14.1 (2.9)* vs 13.2 (3), >0.05ª	I=C	4 weeks recovery
			9 week: 16.9 (3.1)* vs 17.7 (2.7)* vs 15.9 (2,9), >0.05ª	I=C	(except for
	Subjective	Social (score)	4 week: 13 (4.5)* vs 13.8 (2.8)* vs 10.9 (1.6), >0.05ª	I=C	walking).
			9 week: 18.8 (3.8)* vs 17.2 (2.8)* vs 17.3 (4.2), >0.05ª	I=C	All groups sig.
	Subjective	Drive (score)	4 week: 12.8 (5.1)* vs 11.5 (2.7)* vs 10.4 (2.8), >0.05ª	I=C	increased
			9 week: 19.4 (3.9)* vs 16.3 (3.8)* vs 15.7 (4.3), >0.05ª	I=C	(p<0.001) from 4
	Subjective	Sex (score)	4 week: 9.6 (5.2)* vs 7.8 (4.4)* vs 7.3 (2.8), >0.05ª	I=C	weeks to 9 weeks
			9 week: 14.6 (6.8)* vs 12.5 (6.2)* vs 10 (4.8), >0.05ª	I=C	recovery.
	Subjective	Total (score)	4 week: 83.8 (28)* vs 77.5 (13.9)* vs 68.4 (13), >0.05 ^a	I=C	

			9 week: 116.4 (24.3)* vs 106 (17.7)* vs 97 (20.9), >0.05ª	I=C	All groups sig. increased walking (p<0.001) from before hospital to 9 weeks recovery.
et al. 1989	Subjective	% patients taking moderate to vigorous exercise	NR VS NR, >0.05 [~]	I=C	
Hambrecht et al. 1993	Subjective	EE in leisure time PA (kcal/week)	1876 (163) vs 1187 (97), <0.001ª	I>C	
Heath et al. 1987	Subjective	Kcal/week	2549 (970)* vs 2058 (800)* vs 1089 (795), <0.01ª	I>C	*Both intervention groups
Higgins et al.	Subjective	% patients	10 weeks: 88% vs 59%, <0.01ª	I>C	
2001		currently exercising	51 weeks: 72% vs 61%, >0.05ª	I=C	
Lidell &	Subjective	% patients	1 year: 66.7% vs 27.6%, <0.001ª	I>C	
Fridlund. 1996		physically exercising	5 years: 40.9% vs 27.5%, 0.112ª	I=C	
Maddison et al. 2015	Subjective	Total PA (min/week)	1555 (NR) vs 1321.1 (NR), 0.22ª	I=C	
	Subjective	Leisure time PA (min/week)	383.2 (NR) vs 273 (NR), 0.04ª	I>C	

	Subjective	Walking	512.3 (NR) vs 360.9 (NR), 0.02 ^a	I>C	
		(min/week)			
	Subjective	Sitting time	NR vs NR, >0.05 ^a	I=C	
		(min/week)			
Mueller et al.	Subjective	Current	2704 (1970) vs 2085 (1522), 0.4ª	I=C	
2007		recreational activity			
		(kcal/week)			
Naser et al.	Subjective	% exercising	88% vs 20%, <0.05 ^a	I>C	
2008		vigorously 20min			
		3x per week			
Oldenberg et	Subjective	Exercise	Both groups' level of activity generally changed over time	?	Between group
al. 1995		classification	(Z=3.52, p<0.001)		not reported
Ornish et al.	Subjective	Exercise	1 year: 4.97 (0.35) vs 2.87 (0.7), 0.06 ^b	I=C	
1998		(times/week)	5 years: 4.34 (0.49) vs 3.57 (0.56), 0.64 ^b	I=C	
	Subjective	Exercise	1 year: 5.02 (0.61) vs 2.52 (0.7), 0.12 ^b	I=C	
		(hours/week)	5 years: 3.56 (0.56) vs 2.9 (0.65), 0.5 ^b	I=C	
Otterstad et	Subjective	% exercising	6 months: 93% vs 72%, <0.001 ^a	I>C	
al. 2003		>1hr/week	2 years: 67% vs 46%, <0.01ª	I>C	
	Subjective	% no exercise	2 years: 7% vs 22%, <0.01ª	I>C	
Senden et al. 2005	Subjective	DPA score	13.2 (7.5) vs 12.8 (7.3), >0.05ª	I=C	
Sivarajan et	Subjective	MET level	3 months: 5 (NR)* vs 4.6 (NR)* vs 4.3 (NR), NR	?	*Both intervention
ai. 1982			6 months: 5.2 (NR)* vs 5 (NR)* vs 4.7 (NR), NR	?	groups

	Subjective	Max walking	3 months: 2.4 (NR)* vs 2.2 (NR)* vs 1.5 (NR), <0.001**a,	I>C	** Exercise only
		distance (miles)	<0.01***a	I>C	vs control
			6 months: NR* vs NR* vs NR, <0.04** ^a , >0.05*** ^a	(exercise	***
				only)	exercise/teaching
					vs control
Ståhle et al.	Subjective	Self-estimated PA	3 months (difference): 1.4 (1.2) vs 0.7 (1.0), <0.01 ^b	I>C	
1999			12 months (difference): 0.7 (1.0) vs 0.4 (1.1), >0.05 ^b	I=C	
			3-6 years (median (range)): 4 (3-6) vs 4 (1-6), 0.06ª	I=C	
Todd & Ballantyne 1992	Subjective	Self-reported PA	NR vs NR, >0.05 ^a	I=C	
Toobert et al.	Subjective	Stanford 7 day	4 months: 164 (101) vs 128 (87), 0.497ª	I=C	
1998		recall (kcal/day)	12 months: 198 (99) vs 138 (76), 0.307ª	I=C	
	Subjective	Summary of self-	4 months: 4.8 (1) vs 2.4 (1.1), 0.00ª	I>C	
		care activities	12 months: 4.5 (1.6) vs 2.5 (1.8), 0.03ª	I>C	
		(days	24 months: 3.7 (2) vs 2.7 (1.6), 0.005ª	I>C	
		exercise/week)			
Wall et al.	Subjective	Vigorous activity	6 months: 1.88 (7.25) vs 2.14 (3.06), >0.05ª	I=C	
2009		index score	12 months: 6.88 (8.34) vs 2.86 (2.86), >0.05ª	I=C	
		change (mean			
		(SE))			
	Subjective	Leisurely walking	6 months: 1,78 (2.32) vs 1.71 (2.45), >0.05ª	I=C	
		index score	12 months: 4.89 (3.25) vs 1.71 (2.74), >0.05ª	I=C	
		change			

	Subjective	Moving index score	6 months: 0.33 (1.17) vs 0.3 (1.22), >0.05 ^a	I=C	
		change	12 months: 1 (0.71) vs 0.9 (0.46), >0.05ª	I=C	
	Subjective	Standing index	6 months: -0.02 (0.4) vs 0.25 (0.25), >0.05ª	I=C	
		score change	12 months: -0.89 (0.35) vs 0 (0), <0.05ª	I>C	
	Subjective	Sitting index score	6 months: 0.33 (0.44) vs -0.1 (0.28), >0.05ª	I=C	
		change	12 months: 0.11 (0.39) vs 0.1 (0.41), >0.05ª	I=C	
	Subjective	Total index score	6 months: 3.89 (7.52) vs 2.8 (5.13), >0.05 ^a	I=C	
		change	12 months: 11.22 (7.86) vs 2.4 (4.2), >0.05 ^a	I=C	
Wang et al.	Subjective	MIDAS PA score	9.27 (9.71) vs 14.63 (11.09), 0.02°	I>C	Low score
2016					favourable
West et al.	Subjective	% exercising	9% vs 12%, <0.05ª	I <c< td=""><td></td></c<>	
2012		>100kcal/day			
Willenheimer	Subjective	PA score	4 months: 60 (85) vs 42 (55), 0.507 ^b	I=C	
et al. 2001			10 months: 48 (41) vs 32 (41), 0.481 ^b	I=C	
Zwisler et al.	Subjective	% PA <4 hours per	34% vs 43%, 0.01ª	I>C	
2008		week			

PA=physical activity, EE=energy expenditure, NR=not reported, MVPA=moderate-vigorous physical activity, IPAQ=international physical

activity questionnaire, IQR=interquartile range, CI=confidence interval, SE=standard error, MET=metabolic equivalent.

Effect categorisations:

I=C: no statistical difference in PA between intervention and control

I>C: PA statistically superior in intervention compared to control

I<C: PA statistically superior in intervention compared to control

?: between group not reported, difference between intervention and control uncertain