PNAS www.pnas.org

Supplementary Methods

Study area

This study was conducted at long-term study sites of the Baltimore Ecosystem Study (BES) and the

Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study (HBR), which are components of the U.S. National Science

- Gwynns Falls watershed in Baltimore, Maryland, USA (39°15' N, 76°30' W; www.beslter.org). The
- 29 mean annual air temperatures are 14.5 °C and 12.8 °C, and the mean annual precipitation are 1125 mm
- and 1153 mm for the urban and rural forests, respectively (12) (Fig. S7). Vegetation at these sites is
- dominated by tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera* L.) and oaks, primarily chestnut (*Quercus prinus* L.),

scarlet (*Quercus coccinea* Münchh.) and white (*Quercus alba* L.). Plant community composition, soil

- characterization, nitrogen (N) mineralization and nitrification, soil solution chemistry and soil-
- atmosphere trace gas fluxes are described by Groffman et al. (21) and are summarized in Table S1.
- In 1998, four urban and four rural forest sites (four chambers per site) were established at three
- remnant forests with at least 80% forest canopy to evaluate the influence of urban environment on
- forest ecosystems (Table S1; more details were described in ref. 21). The urban forest sites are located
- in Hillsdale Park (HD) and Leakin Park (LEA, two sites each), which are public parks close to the
- urban core of Baltimore City (5-7 km). Sampling at plot HD2 was discontinued in June 2005 due to
- continuing vandalism. The rural forest sites are located in Oregon Ridge Park (two sites at an upper
- slope location (ORU) and two sites at a middle slope location (ORM)), which is 20-25 km from the
- urban core of Baltimore City. Sampling was stopped at the two ORM sites in June 2010. All sites are

more than 100 m from roads or houses, except for the Hillsdale sites, which are located in a smaller

tract less than 100 m from an urban neighborhood (15).

- HBR is located in the White Mountain National Forest in New Hampshire, USA (43° 56' N, 71° 45' W; www.hubbardbrook.org). Vegetation is dominated by American beech (*Fagus grandiflora*), sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) and yellow birch (*Betula alleghanieusis*). The site was selectively cut around 1900 and was damaged by a hurricane in 1938. Soils are shallow (75-100 cm), acidic (pH 3.9), Typic Haplorthods developed from unsorted basal tills. Detailed site information can be found in Groffman et al. (23) and is summarized in Table S2. This study was conducted in two forested watersheds at HBR: watershed 1 and Bear Brook, which is just west of the long-term biogeochemical reference watershed (watershed 6) at the site. In October 53 1999, watershed 1 was fertilized with wollastonite (CaSiO₃) at a rate of 850 kg Ca/ha to replace Ca that had been depleted by decades of acid deposition (31). Watershed 1 has been continuously monitored for streamflow since 1956 and stream chemistry since 1963 (17). Both the Ca fertilized and reference forests are located on south facing slopes (20-30% slope) along an elevation gradient with similar vegetation. Four sites (three chambers per site) were established in hardwood forests at low (520-560 m), middle (600-650 m) and high elevations (725-750 m) and in a spruce-fir-birch forest (770-850 m) in each watershed (23).
- **CH⁴ flux data collection and analysis**

 Methane flux at the soil-atmosphere interface was measured using an *in situ* chamber method (32). Four replicate permanently installed polyvinyl chloride (PVC) base rings, at least 5 m apart, were installed at each site in Baltimore forests in 1998 and three replicates were installed in Hubbard Brook

85 As described in Groffman et al. (34), CH₄ fluxes were calculated from the linear rate of change in 86 gas concentration, the chamber internal volume and soil surface area. Flux rate calculations were not 87 corrected for actual *in situ* temperature and pressure. Single points were removed from regressions if 88 they were more than 6 times higher or lower than the other three values or if they contradicted a clear 89 trend in the other three points. This procedure prevents inclusion of high flux rates based on non-90 significant regressions. Non-significant regressions were used in flux calculations. This is preferable to 91 setting these regressions to zero, which biases the statistical distribution of rates. 92 Methane uptake rate $(U_{CH4}, \text{mg CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1})$ was calculated as follows: $\alpha_4 = -\rho \times \frac{V}{A} \times \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \times 0.001$ $=-\rho \times \frac{V}{\gamma} \times \frac{\Delta}{\gamma}$ *t c A* $U_{CH4} = -\rho \times \frac{V}{4}$ 93 94 where ρ is the density of CH₄ (0.716×10⁶ mg m⁻³) under standard conditions (temperature of 0 °C 95 and pressure of 101.325 kPa); $V(m^3)$ and $A(m^2)$ are the volume and area of the chamber, respectively; $96 \Delta c/\Delta t$ (10^{-6} m³ m⁻³ h⁻¹) is the rate of change in CH₄ concentration inside the chamber during the 97 measurement period (0, 10, 20 and 30 minutes). 98 **Soil moisture at various soil depths in Baltimore** 99 In the Baltimore forests, time domain reflectometry waveguide probes (Soilmoisture Equipment 100 Corporation, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) were installed horizontally at 10, 20, 30 and 50 cm depths and 101 vertically over 0-20 cm depth to measure volumetric soil moisture. Soil moisture data were recorded by 102 SoilMoisture Trace System I (Model 6050×1 , version 2000) on each monthly sampling date. Given 103 that soil moisture at all soil depths showed similar patterns, we only present data from the 10 cm soil 104 depth.

Soil water flux in Baltimore

chloroform fumigation-incubation method and potential net N mineralization and nitrification were

- 127 measured in 10 day incubations of field moist soil and laboratory temperatures. In this study, we only
- used 0-10 cm mineral soil data collected in summer from 2002 to 2015. All these data are available in
- the HBR LTER (www.hubbardbrook.org) data repository.

Atmospheric and climate data

- 131 Atmospheric CH₄ concentration data were retrieved from the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric
- Administration (NOAA) Global Greenhouse Gas Reference Network (2)
- (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends_ch4; Dataset S5). Atmospheric N deposition data were
- retrieved from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency at the Beltsville (35) (BEL116;
- https://www3.epa.gov/castnet/site_pages/BEL116.html) and Woodstock sites (36) (WST109;
- https://www3.epa.gov/castnet/site_pages/WST109.html) to represent N deposition levels in Baltimore
- 137 and Hubbard Brook respectively (Dataset S6).
- Monthly temperature and precipitation data in Baltimore were retrieved from the U.S. NOAA
- National Centers for Environmental Information at the Baltimore Washington International Airport site
- (37) (https://gis.ncdc.noaa.gov/maps/ncei/summaries/monthly; Dataset S7) and were compiled into
- mean annual values by "water year". For Hubbard Brook, temperature data were taken from weather
- 142 stations 1 and 6 and precipitation data were taken from rain gages 1, 2 and 3 in watershed 1 and rain
- gages 9, 10 and 11 in watershed 6.

Data analysis

Annual values for Baltimore were based on a "water year" (from October to September of the

following year), while Hubbard Brook annual means were based on a calendar year. Seasonal dynamics

were analyzed by combining data from different years for each month. We first tested for the effects of

 time using a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). We also used one-way ANOVA to examine changes in annual CH⁴ uptake over time for each forest type and general linear models for each individual site. Differences between forest types in Baltimore and at Hubbard Brook were examined by paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test if sample sizes were unequal using MATLAB R2012a (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). A total of 28 factors were monitored in Baltimore forests but some data were missing on certain sampling dates (sample sizes were unequal), so we used a partial least squares regression in SIMCA 14.0 (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden) to distinguish the importance of the different factors on soil CH⁴ uptake. We also used a structural equation model in AMOS 22.0 (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) to 158 examine the effects of soil leachate volume (and/or soil moisture), concentrations of soil $NO₃$ and 159 NH₄⁺, microbial biomass N and microbial respiration to examine the relative importance of soil 160 hydrological flux, N cycling and microbial activity on soil CH₄ uptake. In this analysis, each entry must 161 have the data for all of the variables (leachate volume, volumetric soil moisture, $NO₃$ concentration, NH_4^+ concentration and microbial respiration in Baltimore; gravimetric soil moisture, NO₃ 163 concentration, NH₄⁺ concentration, microbial biomass nitrogen and microbial respiration at Hubbard Brook) and the entries were removed if data for one or more variables were missing (*n*=308 in Baltimore and *n*=155 at Hubbard Brook). The data were resampled (499 times) using a Monte Carlo method. Path coefficients were estimated using a Maximum likelihood method and confidence

forest type (urban versus rural in Baltimore or Ca fertilized versus reference at Hubbard Brook) over

intervals were 95%.

Global *in situ* **soil-atmosphere CH⁴ measurements in forests**

- (https://gis.ncdc.noaa.gov/maps/ncei/cdo/annual; Dataset S4). We then calculated means for annual
- CH⁴ uptake and mean annual precipitation for each 30°latitude band. In addition, continually
- monitored volumetric soil moisture data (a total of 3704 entries) were retrieved from the articles and
- used to examine the relationship between CH⁴ uptake and soil moisture. When the data were presented
- as figures, we used Engauge Digitizer 4.1 (Free Software Foundation Inc., Boston, MA, USA) to
- extract values.

- 197 **Fig. S1.** Global distribution of *in situ* CH₄ flux measurements. A total of 501 entries were
- obtained from 317 peer-reviewed journal articles that were published before December 2017.

200 **Fig. S2.** Length of study periods of in situ CH₄ flux measurements obtained from 317 peer-
201 reviewed journal articles that were published before December 2017. 80% of these studies

201 reviewed journal articles that were published before December 2017. 80% of these studies were less than two years. were less than two years.

Fig. S3. Monthly CH₄ uptake in Baltimore forests from November 1998 to December 2016.

(a) Hillsdale Park 1. (b) Hillsdale Park 2. (c) Leakin Park 1. (d) Leakin Park 2. (e) Oregon (*a*) Hillsdale Park 1. (*b*) Hillsdale Park 2. (*c*) Leakin Park 1. (*d*) Leakin Park 2. (*e*) Oregon Ridge upper slope 1, (*f*) Oregon Ridge upper slope 2. (*g*) Oregon Ridge middle slope 1. (*h*) 207 Oregon Ridge middle slope 2. Sampling was discontinued at the Hillsdale Park 2 site in June
2004 and at the two Oregon Ridge middle slope sites in June 2010. Sampling was carried out 2004 and at the two Oregon Ridge middle slope sites in June 2010. Sampling was carried out approximately monthly (4-6 week). Values are means of 4 replicates without error bars shown.

210

211 **Fig. S4.** Annual CH₄ uptake in each site. (*a*) Baltimore forests. HD1: Hillsdale Park 1, HD2:
212 Hillsdale Park 2, LEA1: Leakin Park 1, LEA2: Leakin Park 2, ORU1: Oregon Ridge upper 212 Hillsdale Park 2, LEA1: Leakin Park 1, LEA2: Leakin Park 2, ORU1: Oregon Ridge upper 213 slope 1, ORU2: Oregon Ridge upper slope 2, ORM1: Oregon Ridge middle slope 1, ORM2:
214 Oregon Ridge middle slope 2. The first four sites are urban forests and the latter four sites are 214 Oregon Ridge middle slope 2. The first four sites are urban forests and the latter four sites are rural forests. Values $(\pm S E, n=4)$ are means of monthly data for each "water year" (from 215 rural forests. Values (\pm SE, $n=4$) are means of monthly data for each "water year" (from 216 October to September of the following year). (*b*) Hubbard Brook forests. The sites are lo 216 October to September of the following year). (*b*) Hubbard Brook forests. The sites are located along an elevation gradient with hardwood forests at low (L), middle (M) and high (H) 217 along an elevation gradient with hardwood forests at low (L), middle (M) and high (H)
218 elevations and a spruce-fir-birch (SF) forest in both watershed 1 (WS1, Ca fertilized) are 218 elevations and a spruce-fir-birch (SF) forest in both watershed 1 (WS1, Ca fertilized) and
219 watershed 6 (WS6, reference). Values are means \pm SE (*n*=3). Methane uptake in all sites 219 watershed 6 (WS6, reference). Values are means \pm SE ($n=3$). Methane uptake in all sites (except the spruce-fir-birch forest in watershed 1 at Hubbard Brook) significantly decre 220 (except the spruce-fir-birch forest in watershed 1 at Hubbard Brook) significantly decreased over time $(P<0.05$; Table S5). over time $(P<0.05$; Table S5).

Fig. S5. Seasonal CH₄ uptake. (*a*) Baltimore forests. (*b*) Hubbard Brook forests. Values (\pm SE, $n=16$ in Baltimore and $n=12$ at Hubbard Brook) are means of all years for each month. 224 $n=16$ in Baltimore and $n=12$ at Hubbard Brook) are means of all years for each month.
225 Differences between urban and rural forests in Baltimore were significant ($P < 0.05$) for 225 Differences between urban and rural forests in Baltimore were significant (*P*<0.05) for all months except November. There were no significant differences between Ca fertilized and 226 months except November. There were no significant differences between Ca fertilized and reference forests at Hubbard Brook. The horizontal lines represent the average values for 227 reference forests at Hubbard Brook. The horizontal lines represent the average values for urban (or Ca fertilized, *solid*) and rural (or reference, *dot*) forests separately. 228 urban (or Ca fertilized, *solid*) and rural (or reference, *dot*) forests separately.

230 **Fig. S6.** Monthly temperature, precipitation and soil moisture in Baltimore forests. (*a*) Air temperature. (*b*) Precipitation. (*c*) Volumetric soil moisture at 10 cm soil depth. Values (\pm 231 temperature. (*b*) Precipitation. (*c*) Volumetric soil moisture at 10 cm soil depth. Values (\pm SE, 232 $n=18$) are means of all years (from November 1998 to November 2016) for each month. The 232 $n=18$) are means of all years (from November 1998 to November 2016) for each month. The horizontal lines represent the average values for urban (*solid*) and rural (*dot*) forests 233 horizontal lines represent the average values for urban (*solid*) and rural (*dot*) forests 234 separately. Asterisks show significant differences between urban and rural forests at $P<0.05$, 235 $\overset{\ast}{P}$ <0.01 and $\overset{\ast}{P}$ <0.001.

- **Fig. S7.** Temperature and precipitation. (*a*) Annual air temperatures in Baltimore and Hubbard Brook. (*b*) Mean annual precipitation in Baltimore and Hubbard Brook. Pean
- Hubbard Brook. (*b*) Mean annual precipitation in Baltimore and Hubbard Brook. Pearson's *r*
- and *P* values of linear regression are shown in each panel.

Fig. S8. Atmospheric CH4, and nitrogen (N) deposition. (*a*) Global mean atmospheric CH⁴

concentration. (*b*) Total atmospheric N deposition in Baltimore and Hubbard Brook.

244 **Fig. S9.** Soil hydrology. (*a*) Leachate volume (±SE, *n*=24) collected from zero tension 245 lysimeters at 50 cm soil depth in urban and rural forests in Baltimore. Values were compiled

246 into "water year" (from October to September of the following year). (*b*) Gravimetric soil
247 moisture (\pm SE, *n*=20) of 0-10 cm mineral soils in calcium (Ca) fertilized and reference for

247 moisture (\pm SE, $n=20$) of 0-10 cm mineral soils in calcium (Ca) fertilized and reference forests at Hubbard Brook.

at Hubbard Brook.

249

250 **Fig. S10.** Partial least squares (PLS) regression results. (*a*) PLS coefficients for 28 factors
251 measured in Baltimore. Values show effect directions of each factor on soil CH₄ uptake. (*l* 251 measured in Baltimore. Values show effect directions of each factor on soil CH₄ uptake. (*b*)
252 Variable importance of each factor. Values greater than 1 (colored) are significant. T10: 252 Variable importance of each factor. Values greater than 1 (colored) are significant. T10:
253 tension lysimeters at 10 cm soil depth, T50: tension lysimeters at 50 cm soil depth, ZT50 253 tension lysimeters at 10 cm soil depth, T50: tension lysimeters at 50 cm soil depth, ZT50:
254 zero tension lysimeters at 50 cm soil depth, conc: concentration, load: annual leaching. En 254 zero tension lysimeters at 50 cm soil depth, conc: concentration, load: annual leaching. Error bars are standard errors $(n=1030)$. bars are standard errors $(n=1030)$.

Fig. S11. Structural equation model results. (*a*) Baltimore forests. (*b*) Hubbard Brook forests. Comes Green and orange arrows denote significant positive and negative effects, and grav arrows 258 Green and orange arrows denote significant positive and negative effects, and gray arrows
259 denote non-significant effects. Width of arrows and associated numbers (standard regression 259 denote non-significant effects. Width of arrows and associated numbers (standard regression 260 weights) represent the strength of path coefficients, and the bold coefficients with asterisks 261 are significant (*P <0.05, *P <0.01 and $^{**}P$ <0.001). *n*=308 in Baltimore and *n*=155 at 262 Hubbard Brook. Soil moisture is volumetric at 10 cm soil depth in Baltimore and grav 262 Hubbard Brook. Soil moisture is volumetric at 10 cm soil depth in Baltimore and gravimetric 263 at 0-10 cm in the mineral soil at Hubbard Brook. Leachate volume, nitrate and ammonium
264 concentrations were collected from zero tension lysimeters at 50 cm depth in Baltimore. All 264 concentrations were collected from zero tension lysimeters at 50 cm depth in Baltimore. All
265 data from Hubbard Brook were collected from 0-10 cm in the mineral soil. MBN: microbial data from Hubbard Brook were collected from 0-10 cm in the mineral soil. MBN: microbial 266 biomass nitrogen.

267

268 **Fig. S12.** Methane uptake in forest soils retrieved from published studies. (*a*) Global actually 269 measured (1988 to 2015, *n*=756) and estimated (by using monthly uptake data, 1984 to 2016, 270 *n*=9789) annual CH₄ uptake in forest soils. (*b*) Annual CH₄ uptake in forest soils from 0-
271 30 N (*n*=1558) and 30-60 N (*n*=6887) latitude. (*b*) Annual CH₄ uptake in forest soils from 271 30 °N ($n=1558$) and 30-60 °N ($n=6887$) latitude. (*b*) Annual CH₄ uptake in forest soils from
272 60-90 °N ($n=759$), 0-30 °S ($n=968$) and 30-60 °S ($n=373$) latitude. The data in panels (*b*) and 272 60-90 °N ($n=759$), 0-30 °S ($n=968$) and 30-60 °S ($n=373$) latitude. The data in panels (*b*) and (*c*) include both actually measured and estimated annual CH₄ uptake. The data collected at the

273 (*c*) include both actually measured and estimated annual CH₄ uptake. The data collected at the Baltimore and Hubbard Brook sites were excluded from these analyses. Baltimore and Hubbard Brook sites were excluded from these analyses.

276 **Fig. S13.** Annual precipitation over 30 ° latitude bands from 1987 to 2016. Data were compiled from the NOAA website (https://gis.ncdc.noaa.gov/maps/ncei/cdo/annual) a

277 compiled from the NOAA website (https://gis.ncdc.noaa.gov/maps/ncei/cdo/annual) and were

278 collected at or near in situ soil-atmosphere CH₄ measurement sites identified in our literature

279 review (Dataset S4).

collected at or near in situ soil-atmosphere CH₄ measurement sites identified in our literature

review (Dataset S4). *P* values of linear regression are shown for each latitude band.

281 **Fig. S14.** Methane uptake (\pm SE) at different soil moisture levels. Values were compiled from the literature with *n*=1240, 1765 and 699 for 0-20%, 20-40% and 40-60% soil moisture,

282 the literature with *n*=1240, 1765 and 699 for 0-20%, 20-40% and 40-60% soil moisture,
283 respectively. Different lowercase letters denote significant (*P*<0.05) differences among s

283 respectively. Different lowercase letters denote significant (*P*<0.05) differences among soil moisture levels.

286 **Fig. S15.** Methane uptake in new and old chambers. (*a*) Leakin Park 1 site (LEA 1). (*b*) Oregon Ridge upper slope 2 site (ORU2). Values are mean \pm SE. *n*=2 for new chambers *a*

287 Oregon Ridge upper slope 2 site (ORU2). Values are mean \pm SE. *n*=2 for new chambers and *n*=4 for old chambers. There were no significant differences between new and old chambers 288 $n=4$ for old chambers. There were no significant differences between new and old chambers at both sites.

at both sites.

Site ¹	Land use	Latitude and Longitude	Area	Soil classification	pH	Bulk density	Sand	Silt	Clay	SOM ²	NO ₃	NH_4^+
			(m^2)			$(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	$(mg kg^{-1})$	$(mg kg^{-1})$
HD1	Urban	39°19'28" N, 76°42'16" W	900	Jackland (fine, smecitic, mesic Typic Hapludalf)	3.48	1.0	9.8	76.0	14.2	5.9	0.054	2.1
HD2	Urban	39°19'31" N, 76°42'29" W	900	Jackland (fine, smecitic, mesic Typic Hapludalf)	3.95	n.d. ³	9.8	76.0	14.2	4.8	0.84	0.6
LEA1	Urban	39°18'01" N, 76°41'37" W	1600	Legore (fine-loamy, mixed, mesic, Ultic Hapludalf)	4.14	1.2	35.3	49.9	14.8	4.1	0.24	0.7
LEA2	Urban	39°18'05" N, 76°41'34" W	1600	Occaquon (loamy-skeletal, mixed, subactive Typic Dystrudept)	3.52	1.3	53.3	36.6	10.1	3.5	0.012	4.7
ORU1	Rural	39°28'51" N, 76°41'23" W	1600	Glenelg (fine-loamy, paramicaceous, mesic Typic Hapludult)	3.87	0.8	46.3	35.9	17.8	7.1	0.009	5.5
ORU ₂	Rural	39°29'13" N, 76°41'23" W	1600	Glenelg (fine-loamy, paramicaceous, mesic Typic Hapludult)	3.93	1.1	39.7	42.0	18.3	5.3	0.043	2.7
ORM1	Rural	39°28'51" N, 76°41'18" W	1600	Glenelg (fine-loamy, paramicaceous, mesic Typic Hapludult)	3.87	1.0	43.3	34.5	22.2	6.2	0.036	1.3
ORM ₂	Rural	39°29'13" N, 76°41'19" W	1600	Manor (coarse-loamy, paramicaceous, semiactive, mesic Typic Dystrochrept)	4.02	1.2	38.3	41.6	20.1	5.9	0.035	1.7

290 **Table S1.** Long-term measurement sites in urban and rural forests in Baltimore, MD, USA.

291 ¹HD: Hillsdale Park, LEA: Leakin Park, ORU: Oregon Ridge upper slope, ORM: Oregon Ridge middle slope.

292 $\frac{2}{3}$ Soil organic matter.
293 $\frac{3}{3}$ Not determined.

294 Data from ref. 15 and 20.

295 **Table S2.** Long-term measurement sites along an elevation gradient in Ca fertilized and reference forests at Hubbard Brook, NH, USA.

Watershed	Ca treatment	Site	Elevation	Soil $pH1$	Potential N mineralization	Potential N nitrification	NO ₃	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$
			(m)		$(mg N kg^{-1} soil d^{-1})$	$(mg N kg^{-1} soil d^{-1})$	$(mg kg^{-1})$	$(mg kg^{-1})$
Watershed 1	Ca fertilization	Low	520-560	4.9 ± 0.037 a	0.51 ± 0.24 b	0.77 ± 0.21 b	2.14 ± 0.83 b	5.28 ± 1.06 ab
Watershed 1	Ca fertilization	Middle	600-650	4.5 ± 0.055 b	0.86 ± 0.26 b	1.16 ± 0.25 b	2.54 ± 0.70 ab	5.73 \pm 0.56 ab
Watershed 1	Ca fertilization	High	725-750	4.6 ± 0.031 b	1.73 ± 0.23 b	1.55 ± 0.08 b	4.37 ± 0.55 ab	3.67 ± 0.37 ab
Watershed 1	Ca fertilization	Spruce-Fir	770-850	4.1 ± 0.039 c	1.81 ± 0.31 b	1.02 ± 0.28 b	1.60 ± 0.82 b	6.94 ± 0.98 a
Watershed 6	Reference	Low	520-560	5.1 ± 0.077 a	0.90 ± 0.20 b	0.59 ± 0.24 b	0.55 ± 0.31 b	4.83 ± 1.42 ab
Watershed 6	Reference	Middle	600-650	n.d. ²	4.10 ± 1.59 ab	3.85 ± 1.35 ab	3.57 ± 0.53 ab	3.88 ± 0.96 ab
Watershed 6	Reference	High	725-750	4.6 ± 0.046 b	6.25 ± 1.68 a	5.57 ± 1.49 a	5.84 \pm 1.42 a	2.52 ± 0.89 b
Watershed 6	Reference	Spruce-Fir	770-850	4.6 ± 0.024 b	1.22±0.42 b	0.82 ± 0.29 b	1.63 ± 0.81 b	3.68 ± 0.69 ab

296 $^{-1}$ Soil pH was measured in 1:2 soil:H₂O.
297 ²Not determined.

298 Data were collected from 0-10 cm mineral soils in 2002. Different lowercase letters in the same column denote significant (*P*<0.05) difference among the eight sites.

eight sites.

302 Bold values are significant (*P*<0.05).

Experimental site	Equation	n	R^2	F value	P value				
Baltimore									
Urban forest	$y = 91.4 - 0.045 x$	18	0.46	15.4	0.0012				
Rural forest	$y = 188.3 - 0.093 x$	18	0.47	15.9	0.0011				
Hubbard Brook (from 2002 to 2015)									
Ca fertilized forest	$y = 109.9 - 0.054 x$	14	0.46	12.1	0.0022				
Reference forest	$y = 168.7 - 0.083$ x	14	0.61	21.0	< 0.001				
Hubbard Brook (from 2003 to 2015)									
Ca fertilized forest	$88.0 - 0.043$ x $V =$	13	0.33	6.8	0.025				
Reference forest	$y = 133.0 - 0.066 x$	13	0.52	14.0	0.0033				
Literature analysis ²									
Global	$y = 51.4 - 0.025 x$	28	0.25	10.1	0.0038				
$60-90$ °N	$y = -43.3 + 0.022$ x	25	0.063	2.6	0.12				
30-60 \degree N	$y = 48.9 - 0.023 x$	30	0.23	9.9	0.0039				
$0-30$ °N	$y = 36.6 - 0.018 x$	24	0.14	4.7	0.041				
$0-30$ °S	$y = -7.0 + 0.004 x$	24	θ	0.12	0.73				
$30-60$ °S	$9.4 - 0.004$ x $v =$	13	θ	0.04	0.85				

303 **Table S4.** Linear relationships between annual CH⁴ uptake and time.

 304 ¹y is annual CH₄ uptake and x is time (year).
305 ²The data collected in Baltimore and Hubbard Brook were excluded in the literature analysis.

306 The data used for analysis are presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Bold *P* values are significant

307 (*P*<0.05).

309 *n*=4 in Baltimore and *n*=3 at Hubbard Brook.
310 Bold P values are significant (*P*<0.05).

Bold P values are significant $(P<0.05)$.

311 **Datasets S1 to S7 (separate files)**

- 312 Dataset S1. Study periods of current global *in situ* measurements of CH₄ uptake in forest soils.
313 Dataset S2. Global *in situ* measurements of annual CH₄ uptake in forest soils.
- 313 Dataset S2. Global *in situ* measurements of annual CH₄ uptake in forest soils.
314 Dataset S3. Global *in situ* measurements of monthly CH₄ uptake in forest soils
- Dataset S3. Global *in situ* measurements of monthly CH₄ uptake in forest soils.
- 315 Dataset S4. Mean annual precipitation at these sites from 1987 to 2016.
316 Dataset S5. Atmospheric CH₄ concentration.
- 316 Dataset S5. Atmospheric CH₄ concentration.
317 Dataset S6. Nitrogen deposition in Baltimore
- 317 Dataset S6. Nitrogen deposition in Baltimore and Hubbard Brook.
318 Dataset S7. Monthly temperature and precipitation in Baltimore.
- Dataset S7. Monthly temperature and precipitation in Baltimore.

References

- 1. Peters SC, Blum JD, Driscoll CT, Likens GE (2004) Dissolution of wollastonite during the experimental manipulation of Hubbard Brook Watershed 1. *Biogeochemistry* 67:309-329.
- 2. Bowden RD, Steudler PA, Melillo JM, Aber JD (1990) Annual nitrous oxide fluxes from temperate forest soils in the northeastern United States. *J Geophy Res-Atmos* 95:13997-14005.
- 3. Groffman PM, Hardy JP, Driscoll CT, Fahey TJ (2006) Snow depth, soil freezing, and fluxes of carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide and methane in a northern hardwood forest. *Global Change Biol* 12:1748-1760.
- 4. Groffman PM, Hardy, JP, Fisk MC, Fahey JT, Driscoll CT (2009) Climate variation and soil carbon and nitrogen cycling processes in a northern hardwood forest. *Ecosystems* 12:927-943.
- 5. EPA (2017). [https://www3.epa.gov/castnet/site_pages/BEL116.html.](https://www3.epa.gov/castnet/site_pages/BEL116.html)
- 6. EPA (2017). [https://www3.epa.gov/castnet/site_pages/WST109.html.](https://www3.epa.gov/castnet/site_pages/WST109.html)
- 7. NOAA/NCEI (2017).<https://gis.ncdc.noaa.gov/maps/ncei/summaries/monthly>
- 8. Allen DE, *et al.* (2009) Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from soil are reduced following afforestation of pasture lands in three contrasting climatic zones, *Aust J Soil Res* 47:443-458.
- 9. Amadi CC, Van Rees KCJ, Farrell RE (2016) Soil-atmosphere exchange of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide in shelterbelts compared with adjacent cropped fields. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* 223:123-134.
- 10. Ambus P, Jensen JM, Priemé A, Pilegaard K, Kjøller A (2001) Assessment of CH⁴ and N2O fluxes in a Danish beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) forest and an adjacent N-fertilised barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) field: effects of sewage sludge amendments. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosys* 60:15-21.
- 345 11. Ambus P, Robertson GP (1999) Fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O in aspen stands grown under ambient and twice-ambient CO2. *Plant Soil* 209:1-8.
- 12. Ambus P, Robertson GP (2006) The effect of increased N deposition on nitrous oxide, methane and carbon dioxide fluxes from unmanaged forest and grassland communities in Michigan. *Biogeochemistry* 79:315-337.
- 13. Aronson EL, Vann DR, Helliker BR (2012) Methane flux response to nitrogen amendment in an upland pine forest soil and riparian zone. *J Geophys Res-Biogeo* 117:G03012.
- 14. Assouma MH, *et al.* (2017) Livestock induces strong spatial heterogeneity of soil CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ emissions within a semi-arid sylvo-pastoral landscape in West Africa. *J Arid Land* 9:210-221.
- 15. Awasthi KD, Sitaula BK, Singh BR, Bajracharya RM (2005) Fluxes of methane and carbon dioxide from soil under forest, grazing land, irrigated rice and rainfed field crops in a watershed of Nepal. *Biol Fert Soils* 41:163-172.
- 16. Baah-Acheamfour M, Carlyle CN, Lim SS, Bork EW, Chang SX (2016) Forest and grassland cover types reduce net greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural
- soils. *Sci Total Environ* 571:1115-1127.
- 17. Baas P, Knoepp JD, Markewitz D, Mohan JE (2017) Areas of residential development in the southern Appalachian Mountains are characterized by low riparian zone nitrogen cycling and no increase in soil greenhouse gas emissions. *Biogeochemistry* 133:113-125.
- 18. Ball BC, McTaggart IP, Watson CA (2002) Influence of organic ley-arable 367 management and afforestation in sandy loam to clay loam soils on fluxes of N_2O and CH⁴ in Scotland. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* 90:305-317.
- 369 19. Barrena I, *et al.* (2013) Greenhouse gas fluxes (CO_2 , N_2O and CH_4) from forest soils in the Basque Country: comparison of different tree species and growth stages. *Forest Ecol Manag* 310:600-611.
- 20. Basiliko N, Khan A, Prescott CE, Roy R, Grayston SJ (2009) Soil greenhouse gas and nutrient dynamics in fertilized western Canadian plantation forests. *Can J Forest Res* 39:1220-1235.
- 21. Bellingrath-Kimura SD, *et al.* (2015) Differences in the spatial variability among CO2, CH4, and N2O gas fluxes from an urban forest soil in Japan. *Ambio* 44:55- 66.
- 22. Benanti G, Saunders M, Tobin B, Osborne B (2014) Contrasting impacts of afforestation on nitrous oxide and methane emissions. *Agr Forest Meteorol* 198- 199:82-93.
- 23. Billings SA, Richter DD, Yarie J (2000) Sensitivity of soil methane fluxes to reduced precipitation in boreal forest soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 32:1431-1441.
- 24. Blankinship JC, Brown JR, Dijkstra P, Allwright MC, Hungate BA (2010) Response of terrestrial CH⁴ uptake to interactive changes in precipitation and temperature along a climatic gradient. *Ecosystems* 13:1157-1170.
- 25. Borken W, Beese F (2006) Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes of soils in pure and mixed stands of European beech and Norway spruce. *Eur J Soil Sci* 57:617-625.
- 26. Borken W, Beese F, Brumme R, Lamersdorf N (2002) Long-term reduction in nitrogen and proton inputs did not affect atmospheric methane uptake and nitrous oxide emission from a German spruce forest soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 34:1815- 1819.
- 27. Borken W, Brumme R (1997) Liming practice in temperate forest ecosystems and the effexts on CO2, N2O and CH⁴ fluxes. *Soil Use Manag* 13:251-257.
- 28. Borken W, Brumme R, Xu YJ (2000) Effects of prolonged soil drought on CH₄
395 oxidation in a temperate spruce forest. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* 105:7079-7088. oxidation in a temperate spruce forest. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* 105:7079-7088.
- 29. Borken W, Davidson EA, Savage K, Sundquist ET, Steudler P (2006) Effect of summer throughfall exclusion, summer drought, and winter snow cover on methane fluxes in a temperate forest soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 38:1388-1395.
- 30. Borken W, Xu Y, Beese F (2003) Conversion of hardwood forests to spruce and pine plantations strongly reduced soil methane sink in Germany. *Global Change Biol* 9:956-966.
- 31. Born M, Dörr H, Levin I (1990) Methane consumption in aerated soils of the temperate zone. *Tellus B* 42:2-8.

 63. Dannenmann M, *et al.* (2007) The effect of forest management on trace gas exchange at the pedosphere - atmosphere interface in beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) forests stocking on calcareous soils. *Eur J Forest Res* 126:331-346. 64. Davidson EA, Ishida FY, Nepstad DC (2004) Effects of an experimental drought on soil emissions of carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and nitric oxide in a moist tropical forest. *Global Change Biol* 10:718-730. 65. Davidson EA, Nepstad DC, Ishida FY, Brando PM (2008) Effects of an experimental drought and recovery on soil emissions of carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and nitric oxide in a moist tropical forest. *Global Change Biol* 14:2582-2590. 66. de Urzedo DI, Franco MP, Pitombo LM, do Carmo JB (2013) Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in tropical forestry. *Forest Ecol Manag* 310:37-44. 67. Delmas RA, Servant J, Tathy JP, Cros B, Labat M (1992) Sources and sinks of methane and carbon dioxide exchanges in mountain forest in equatorial Africa. *J Geophys Res* 97:6169-6179. 68. Desyatkin AR, *et al.* (2009) CH⁴ emission from different stages of thermokarst formation in Central Yakutia, East Siberia. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 55:558-570. 69. D'Imperio L, *et al.* (2017) Methane oxidation in contrasting soil types: responses to experimental warming with implication for landscape-integrated CH⁴ budget. *Global Chang Biol* 23:966-976. 513 70. Dinsmore KJ, *et al.* (2017) Growing season CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from a subarctic landscape in northern Finland: from chamber to landscape scale. *Biogeosciences* 14:799-815. 71. Dobbie KE, Smith KA (1996) Comparison of CH⁴ oxidation rates in woodland, arable and set aside soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 28:1357-1365. 72. Dobbie KE, *et al.* (1996) Effect of land use on the rate of methane uptake by surface soils in Northern Europe. *Atmos Environ* 30:1005-1011. 520 73. Dong Y (2003) Experimental study on N_2O and CH₄ fluxes from the dark coniferous forest zone soil of the Gongga Mountain, China. *Sci China Ser D* 46:285. 523 74. Dong Y, Scharffe D, Lobert JM, Crutzen PJ, Sanhueza E (1998) Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O from a temperate forest soil: the effects of leaves and humus layers. *Tellus B* 50:243-252. 75. Dörr H, Katruff L, Levin I (1993) Soil texture parameterization of the methane uptake in aerated soils. *Chemosphere* 26:697-713. 528 76. Dou X, Zhou W, Zhang Q, Cheng X (2016) Greenhouse gas (CO_2, CH_4, N_2O) emissions from soils following afforestation in central China. *Atmos Environ* 126:98-106. 531 77. Dubbs LL, Whalen SC (2010) Reduced net atmospheric CH₄ consumption is a 532 sustained response to elevated $CO₂$ in a temperate forest. *Biol Fert Soils* 46:597-
533 606. 606. 78. Eggleton P, *et al.* (1999) Termite assemblages, forest disturbance and greenhouse gas fluxes in Sabah, East Malaysia. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 354:1791-1802.

 79. Epron D, *et al.* (2016) Effects of compaction by heavy machine traffic on soil fluxes of methane and carbon dioxide in a temperate broadleaved forest. *Forest Ecol Manag* 382:1-9. 80. Erickson HE, Perakis SS (2014) Soil fluxes of methane, nitrous oxide, and nitric oxide from aggrading forests in coastal Oregon. *Soil Biol Biochem* 76:268-277. 541 81. Fang HJ, *et al.* (2010) Effects of multiple environmental factors on CO₂ emission and CH⁴ uptake from old-growth forest soils. *Biogeosciences* 7:395-407. 82. Fang S, Lin D, Tian Y, Hong S (2016) Thinning intensity affects soil-atmosphere fluxes of greenhouse gases and soil nitrogen mineralization in a lowland Poplar plantation. *Forests* 7:141. 546 83. Fang Y, *et al.* (2009) Soil-atmosphere exchange of N_2O , CO_2 and CH_4 along a slope of an evergreen broad-leaved forest in southern China. *Plant Soil* 319:37- 48. 84. Fender A-C, *et al.* (2013) Root-induced tree species effects on the source/sink 550 strength for greenhouse gases (CH₄, N₂O and CO₂) of a temperate deciduous forest soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 57:587-597. 85. Fest B, Hinko-Najera N, von Fischer JC, Livesley SJ, Arndt SK (2017a) Soil methane uptake increases under continuous throughfall reduction in a temperate evergreen, broadleaved eucalypt forest. *Ecosystems* 20:368-379. 86. Fest B, Wardlaw T, Livesley SJ, Duff TJ, Arndt SK (2015b) Changes in soil moisture drive soil methane uptake along a fire regeneration chronosequence in a eucalypt forest landscape. *Global Chang Biol* 21:4250-4264. 87. Fest BJ, *et al.* (2017b) Soil methane oxidation in both dry and wet temperate eucalypt forests shows a near-identical relationship with soil air-filled porosity. *Biogeosciences* 14:467-479. 88. Fest BJ, Livesley SJ, Drösler M, van Gorsel E, Arndt SK (2009) Soil-atmosphere greenhouse gas exchange in a cool, temperate Eucalyptus delegatensis forest in south-eastern Australia. *Agr Forest Meteorol* 149:393-406. 89. Fest BJ, Livesley SJ, von Fischer JC, Arndt SK (2015a) Repeated fuel reduction burns have little long-term impact on soil greenhouse gas exchange in a dry sclerophyll eucalypt forest. *Agr Forest Meteorol* 201:17-25. 567 90. Fiedler S, *et al.* (2008) Impact of the heatwave in 2003 on the summer CH₄ budget of a spruce forest with large variation in soil drainage: a four-year comparison (2001-2004). *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* 171:666-671. 91. Flessa H, *et al.* (2008) Landscape controls of CH⁴ fluxes in a catchment of the forest tundra ecotone in northern Siberia. *Global Change Biol* 14:2040-2056. 92. Galbally IAN, Meyer CP, Wang Y-P, Kirstine W (2010) Soil-atmosphere 573 exchange of CH₄, CO, N₂O and NO_x and the effects of land-use change in the semiarid Mallee system in Southeastern Australia. *Global Change Biol* 16:2407- 2419. 576 93. Gathany MA, Burke IC (2011) Post-fire soil fluxes of CO_2 , CH₄ and N₂O along the Colorado Front Range. *Int J Wildland Fire* 20:838. the Colorado Front Range. *Int J Wildland Fire* 20:838. 94. Geng J, *et al.* (2017) Soil nitrate accumulation explains the nonlinear responses of soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes to nitrogen addition in a temperate needle-broadleaved

 mixed forest. *Ecol Indic* 79:28-36. 95. Gomez J, *et al.* (2016) Estimating greenhouse gas emissions at the soil- atmosphere interface in forested watersheds of the US Northeast. *Environ Monit Assess* 188:295. 96. Guckland A, Flessa H, Prenzel J (2009) Controls of temporal and spatial variability of methane uptake in soils of a temperate deciduous forest with different abundance of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:1659-1667. 588 97. Gulledge J, Doyle AP, Schimel JP (1997) Different NH₄⁺-inhibition patterns of soil CH⁴ consumption: a result of distinct CH4-oxidizer populations across sites? *Soil Biol Biochem* 29:13-21. 98. Gulledge J, Schimel JP (2000) Controls on soil carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in a variety of taiga forest stands in interior Alaska. *Ecosystems* 3:269-282. 99. Gundersen P, *et al.* (2012) The response of methane and nitrous oxide fluxes to forest change in Europe. *Biogeosciences* 9:3999-4012. 100. Gütlein A, Gerschlauer F, Kikoti I, Kiese R (2017a). Impacts of climate and land 596 use on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes from tropical ecosystems in the Mt. Kilimanjaro region. Tanzania. *Global Change Biol* doi:10.1111/gcb.13944. region, Tanzania. *Global Change Biol* doi:10.1111/gcb.13944. 101. Gütlein A, *et al.* (2017b) Nitrogen turnover and greenhouse gas emissions in a tropical alpine ecosystem, Mt. Kilimanjaro, Tanzania. *Plant Soil* 411:243-259. 102. Hall SJ, McDowell WH, Silver WL (2013) When wet gets wetter: decoupling of moisture, redox biogeochemistry, and greenhouse gas fluxes in a humid tropical forest soil. *Ecosystems* 16:576-589. 103. Hart SC (2006) Potential impacts of climate change on nitrogen transformations and greenhouse gas fluxes in forests: a soil transfer study. *Global Change Biol* 12:1032-1046. 104. Hassler E, *et al.* (2015) Soil fertility controls soil-atmosphere carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in a tropical landscape converted from lowland forest to rubber and oil palm plantations. *Biogeosciences* 12:5831-5852. 105. Hiltbrunner D, Zimmermann S, Karbin S, Hagedorn F, Niklaus PA (2012) Increasing soil methane sink along a 120-year afforestation chronosequence is driven by soil moisture. *Global Change Biol* 18:3664-3671. 106. Hofmann K, Farbmacher S, Illmer P (2016) Methane flux in montane and subalpine soils of the Central and Northern Alps. *Geoderma* 281:83-89. 107. Hu R, Kusa K, Hatano R (2001) Soil respiration and methane flux in adjacent forest, grassland, and cornfield soils in Hokkaido, Japan. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 47:621-627. 108. Hudgens DE, Yavitt JB (1997) Land-use effects on soil methane and carbon dioxide fluxes in forests near Ithaca, New York. *Ecoscience* 4:214-222. 619 109. Incl án R, *et al.* (2012) N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in undisturbed and burned holm oak, scots pine and pyrenean oak forests in central Spain. *Biogeochemistry* 107:19-41. 110. Iqbal J, Lin S, Hu R, Feng M (2009) Temporal variability of soil-atmospheric CO² and CH⁴ fluxes from different land uses in mid-subtropical China. *Atmos*

- *Environ* 43:5865-5875.
- 111. Ishizuka S, *et al.* (2005a) The variation of greenhouse gas emissions from soils of various land-use/cover types in Jambi province, Indonesia. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosy* 71:17-32.
- 112. Ishizuka S, *et al.* (2005b) Spatial patterns of greenhouse gas emission in a tropical rainforest in Indonesia. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosy* 71:55-62.
- 113. Ishizuka S, Sakata T, Ishizuka K (2000) Methane oxidation in Japanese forest soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 32:769-777.
- 114. Ishizuka S, *et al.* (2009) Methane uptake rates in Japanese forest soils depend on the oxidation ability of topsoil, with a new estimate for global methane uptake in temperate forest. *Biogeochemistry* 92:281-295.
- 634 115. Ishizuka S, Tsuruta H, Murdiyarso D (2002) An intensive field study on $CO₂$, 635 CH₄, and N_2O emissions from soils at four land-use types in Sumatra, Indonesia. *Global Biogeochem Cy* 16:1049.
- 116. Itoh M, *et al.* (2010) Temporal and spatial variations of soil carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide fluxes in a Southeast Asian tropical rainforest. *Biogeosci Dis* 7:6847-6887.
- 117. Itoh M, *et al.* (2012) Effects of soil water status on the spatial variation of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in tropical rain-forest soils in Peninsular Malaysia. *J Trop Ecol* 28:557-570.
- 118. Itoh M, Ohte N, Koba K (2009) Methane flux characteristics in forest soils under an East Asian monsoon climate. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:388-395.
- 119. Jacinthe PA (2015) Carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in variably-flooded riparian forests. *Geoderma* 241-242:41-50.
- 120. Jacinthe PA, Lal R (2004) Effects of soil cover and land-use on the relations flux-concentration of trace gases. *Soil Sci* 169:243-259.
- 121. Jang I, Lee S, Hong J-H, Kang H (2006) Methane oxidation rates in forest soils and their controlling variables: a review and a case study in Korea. *Ecol Res* 21:849-854.
- 122. Jang I, Lee S, Zoh K-D, Kang H (2011) Methane concentrations and methanotrophic community structure influence the response of soil methane oxidation to nitrogen content in a temperate forest. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43:620-627.
- 123. Jassal RS, Black TA, Roy R, Ethier G (2011) Effect of nitrogen fertilization on soil CH⁴ and N2O fluxes, and soil and bole respiration. *Geoderma* 162:182-186.
- 124. Jílková V, Picek T, Frouz J (2015) Seasonal changes in methane and carbon dioxide flux in wood ant (*Formica aquilonia*) nests and the surrounding forest soil. *Pedobiologia* 58:7-12.
- 125. Jones SP, *et al.* (2016) Drivers of atmospheric methane uptake by montane forest soils in the southern Peruvian Andes. *Biogeosciences* 13:4151-4165.
- 126. Kagotani Y, Hamabata E, Nakajima T (2001) Seasonal and spatial variations and the effects of clear-cutting in the methane absorption rates of a temperate forest soil. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosy* 59:169-175.
- 127. Kähkönen MA, Wittmann C, Ilvesniemi H, Westman CJ, Salkinoja-Salonen MS

- 205. Neufeld ÂDH, *et al.* (2015) Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in relation to vegetation covers and bird activity in ice-free soils of Rip Point, Nelson Island, Antarctica. *Polar Res* 34:23584.
- 889 206. Okuda H, *et al.* (2007) Emission of N₂O and CO₂ and uptake of CH₄ in soil from a satsuma mandarin orchard under mulching cultivation in central Japan. *J Japan Soc Hort Sci* 76:279-287.
- 207. Palm CA, *et al.* (2002) Nitrous oxide and methane fluxes in six different land use systems in the Peruvian Amazon. *Global Biogeochem Cy* 16:1073.
- 208. Pedersen EP, Elberling B, Michelsen A (2017) Seasonal variations in methane fluxes in response to summer warming and leaf litter addition in a subarctic heath ecosystem. *J Geophy Res: Biogeo* 122:2137-2153.
- 209. Peichl M, Arain MA, Ullah S, Moore TR (2010) Carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide exchanges in an age-sequence of temperate pine forests. *Global Change Biol* 16:2198-2212.
- 900 210. Pendall E, *et al.* (2010) Land use and season affect fluxes of CO_2 , CH_4 , CO , N_2O , 901 μ_2 and isotopic source signatures in Panama: evidence from nocturnal boundary layer profiles. *Global Change Biol* 16:2721-2736.
- 211. Peterjohn WT, Melillo JM, Bowles FP, Steudler PA (1993) Soil warming and trace gas fluxes: experimental design and preliminary flux results. *Oecologia* 93:18-24.
- 212. Peterjohn WT, *et al.* (1995) Responses of trace gas fluxes and N availability to experimentally elevated soil temperatures. *Ecol Appl* 4:617-625.
- 213. Petrakis S, Seyfferth A, Kan J, Inamdar S, Vargas R (2017) Influence of experimental extreme water pulses on greenhouse gas emissions from soils. *Biogeochemistry* 133:147-164.
- 911 214. Phillips RL, Whalen SC, Schlesinger WH (2001) Influence of atmospheric $CO₂$ enrichment on methane consumption in a temperate forest soil. *Global Change Biol* 7:557-563.
- 215. Priano ME, *et al.* (2014) Tree plantations on a grassland region: effects on methane uptake by soils. *Agroforest Syst* 88:187-191.
- 216. Price SJ, *et al.* (2004) Pristine New Zealand forest soil is a strong methane sink. *Global Change Biol* 10:16-26.
- 217. Priemé A, Christensen S (1997) Seasonal and spatial variation of methane oxidation in a Danish spruce forest. *Soil Biol Biochem* 29:1165-1172.
- 218. Priemé A, Christensen S (1999) Methane uptake by a selection of soils in Ghana with different land use. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* 104:23617-23622.
- 219. Priemé A, Christensen S, Dobbie KE, Smith KA (1997) Slow increase in rate of methane oxidation in soils with time following land use change from arable agriculture to woodland. *Soil Biol Biochem* 29:1269-1273.
- 220. Purbopuspito J, Veldkamp E, Brumme R, Murdiyarso D (2006) Trace gas fluxes and nitrogen cycling along an elevation sequence of tropical montane forests in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Global Biogeochem Cy* 20:GB3010.
- 928 221. Raut N, Sitaula BK, Bakken LR, Dörsch P (2014) Fluxes of CH₄, N₂O, and
- kinetics of denitrification in disturbed and undisturbed forest soil in India. *Can J Soil Sci* 94:237-249.
- 222. Reiners WA, Keller M, Gerow KG (1998) Estimating rainy season nitrous oxide and methane fluxes across forest and pasture landscapes in Costa Rica. *Water Air Soil Poll* 105:117-130.
- 934 223. Remy E, *et al.* (2017) Edge effects on N₂O, NO and CH₄ fluxes in two temperate
935 forests. Sci Total Environ 575:1150-1155. forests. *Sci Total Environ* 575:1150-1155.
- 224. Rosenkranz P, *et al.* (2006a) Soil N and C trace gas fluxes and microbial soil N turnover in a sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.) forest in Hungary. *Plant Soil* 286:301-322.
- 939 225. Rosenkranz P, *et al.* (2006b) N₂O, NO and CH₄ exchange, and microbial N turnover over a Mediterranean pine forest soil. *Biogeosciences* 3:121-133.
- 226. Rowlings DW, Grace PR, Kiese R, Weier KL (2012) Environmental factors controlling temporal and spatial variability in the soil-atmosphere exchange of 943 CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from an Australian subtropical rainforest. *Global Change Biol* 18:726-738.
- 227. Rowlings DW, Grace PR, Scheer C, Kiese R (2013) Influence of nitrogen fertiliser application and timing on greenhouse gas emissions from a lychee (*Litchi chinensis*) orchard in humid subtropical Australia. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* 179:168-178.
- 949 228. Rustad LE, Fernandez IJ (1998) Experimental soil warming effects on $CO₂$ and CH⁴ flux from a low elevation spruce-fir forest soil in Maine, USA. *Global Change Biol* 4:597-605.
- 229. Saari A, Heiskanen J, Martikainen PJ (1998) Effect of the organic horizon on methane oxidation and uptake in soil of a boreal Scots pine forest. *FEMS Microbial Ecol* 26:245-255.
- 230. Saari A, Smolander A, Martikainen PJ (2006) Methane consumption in a frequently nitrogen-fertilized and limed spruce forest soil after clear-cutting. *Soil Use Manag* 20:65-73.
- 231. Sabrekov AF, *et al.* (2015) Relationship of methane consumption with the respiration of soil and grass-moss layers in forest ecosystems of the southern taiga in Western Siberia. *Eurasian Soil Sci* 48: 841-851.
- 232. Sakabe A, *et al.* (2012) Measurement of methane flux over an evergreen coniferous forest canopy using a relaxed eddy accumulation system with tuneable diode laser spectroscopy detection. *Theor Appl Climatol* 109:39-49.
- 233. Sakabe A, Kosugi Y, Okumi C, Itoh M, Takahashi K (2016) Impacts of riparian wetlands on the seasonal variations of watershed-scale methane budget in a temperate monsoonal forest. *J Geophys Res* 121:1717-1732.
- 967 234. Sakabe A, *et al.* (2015) One year of continuous measurements of soil CH₄ and 968 CO₂ fluxes in a Japanese cypress forest: temporal and spatial variations $CO₂$ fluxes in a Japanese cypress forest: temporal and spatial variations associated with Asian monsoon rainfall. *J Geophys Res-Biogeo* 120:585-599.
- 235. Savage K, Moore TR, Crill PM (1997) Methane and carbon dioxide exchanges between the atmosphere and northern boreal forest soils. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* 102:29279-29288.
- 236. Schiller CL, Hastie DR (1996) Nitrous oxide and methane fluxes from perturbed and unperturbed boreal forest sites in northern Ontario. *J Geophys Res* 101:22767-22774.
- 237. Semenov VM, *et al.* (2004) Seasonal dynamics of atmospheric methane oxidation in gray forest soils. *Microbiology* 73:356-362.
- 238. Shrestha, R. K., Strahm, B. D., Sucre, E. B., Holub, S. M. & Meehan, N. (2014) Fertilizer management, parent material, and stand age influence forest soil greenhouse gas fluxes. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 78:2041.
- 981 239. Shvaleva A, *et al.* (2014) Comparison of methane, nitrous oxide fluxes and CO₂ respiration rates from a Mediterranean cork oak ecosystem and improved pasture. *Plant Soil* 374:883-898.
- 984 240. Shvaleva A, *et al.* (2011) Soil-atmosphere greenhouse gases (CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O)
985 exchange in evergreen oak woodland in southern Portugal. *Plant Soil Environ* exchange in evergreen oak woodland in southern Portugal. *Plant Soil Environ* 57:471-477.
- 241. Shvaleva A, *et al.* (2015) Environmental and microbial factors influencing methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in Mediterranean cork oak woodlands: trees make a difference. *Front Microbial* 6:1104.
- 242. Singh BK, *et al.* (2009) Soil methane oxidation and methanotroph responses to afforestation of pastures with *Pinus radiata* stands. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:2196- 2205.
- 243. Singh JS, *et al.* (1997) Effect of soil nitrogen, carbon and moisture on methane uptake by dry tropical forest soils. *Plant Soil* 196:115-121.
- 244. Sitaula BK, Bakken LR, Abrahamsen G (1995) CH⁴ uptake by temperate forest soil: effect of N input and soil acidification. *Soil Biol Biochem* 27:871-880.
- 245. Sjögersten S, Wookey PA (2002) Spatio-temporal variability and environmental controls of methane fluxes at the forest-tundra ecotone in the Fennoscandian mountains. *Global Change Biol* 8:885-894.
- 246. Snover AK, Quay PD (2000) Hydrogen and carbon kinetic isotope effects during soil uptake of atmospheric methane. *Global Biogeochem Cy* 14:25-39.
- 1002 247. Sommerfeld RA, Mosier AR, Musselman RC (1993) CO_2 , CH₄ and N₂O flux through a wyoming snowpack and implications for global budgets. *Nature* 361:140-142.
- 248. Song L, Tian P, Zhang J, Jin G (2017) Effects of three years of simulated nitrogen deposition on soil nitrogen dynamics and greenhouse gas emissions in a Korean pine plantation of northern China. *Sci Total Environ* 609:1303-1311.
- 249. Steinkamp R, Butterbach-Bahl K, Papen H (2001) Methane oxidation by soils of an N limited and N fertilized spruce forest in the Black Forest, Germany. *Soil Biol Biochem* 33:145-153.
- 250. Steudler PA, Bowden RD, Melillo JM, Aber JD (1989) Influence of nitrogen fertilization on methane uptake in temperate forest soils. *Nature* 341:314-316.
- 251. Steudler PA, Melillo JM, Bowden RD, Castro MS, Lugo AE (1991) The effects of natural and human disturbances on soil nitrogen dynamics and trace gas fluxes in a Puerto Rican wet forest. *Biotropica* 23:356-363.
- 252. Steudler PA, *et al.* (1996) Consequence of forest-to-pasture conversion on CH⁴ fluxes in the Brazilian Amazon basin. *J Geophys Res- Atmos* 101:18547-18554.
- 253. Strömgren M, Hedwall PO, Olsson BA (2016) Effects of stump harvest and site 1019 preparation on N_2O and CH_4 emissions from boreal forest soils after clear-cutting. *Forest Ecol Manag* 371:15-22.
- 254. Sullivan BW, *et al.* (2008) Thinning reduces soil carbon dioxide but not methane flux from southwestern USA ponderosa pine forests. *Forest Ecol Manag* 255:4047-4055.
- 255. Sullivan BW, *et al.* (2011) Wildfire reduces carbon dioxide efflux and increases methane uptake in ponderosa pine forest soils of the southwestern USA. *Biogeochemistry* 104:251-265.
- 1027 256. Sun J, *et al.* (2017) Ten years of elevated CO₂ affectes soil greenhouse gas fluxes
1028 in an open top chamber experiment. *Plant Soil* doi:10.1007/s11104-017-3414-7. in an open top chamber experiment. *Plant Soil* doi:10.1007/s11104-017-3414-7.
- 257. Sundqvist E, *et al.* (2015) Methane exchange in a boreal forest estimated by gradient method. *Tellus B* 67:26688.
- 258. Sundqvist E, Vestin P, Crill P, Persson T, Lindroth A (2014) Short-term effects of thinning, clear-cutting and stump harvesting on methane exchange in a boreal forest. *Biogeosciences* 11:6095-6105.
- 259. Takakai F, *et al.* (2008a) CH⁴ and N2O emissions from a forest-alas ecosystem in the permafrost taiga forest region, eastern Siberia, Russia. *J Geophys Res-Biogeo*113:G02002.
- 1037 260. Takakai F, *et al.* (2008b) Influence of forest disturbance on CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from larch forest soil in the permafrost taiga region of eastern Siberia. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 54:938-949.
- 261. Tamai N, Takenaka C, Ishizuka S, Tezuka T (2003) Methane flux and regulatory variables in soils of three equal-aged Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa*) forests in central Japan. *Soil Biol Biochem* 35:633-641.
- 262. Tang X, Liu S, Zhou G, Zhang D, Zhou C (2006) Soil-atmospheric exchange of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O in three subtropical forest ecosystems in southern China. *Global Change Biol* 12:546-560.
- 263. Tate KR, *et al.* (2007) Methane uptake in soils from *Pinus radiata* plantations, a reverting shrubland and adjacent pastures: effects of land-use change, and soil texture, water and mineral nitrogen. *Soil Biol Biochem* 39:1437-1449.
- 264. Tate KR, *et al.* (2006) Post-harvest patterns of carbon dioxide production, methane uptake and nitrous oxide production in a *Pinus radiata* D. Don plantation. *Forest Ecol Manag* 228:40-50.
- 265. Teepe R, Brumme R, Beese F, Ludwig B (2004) Nitrous oxide emission and methane consumption following compaction of forest soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 68:605-611.
- 266. Teh YA, *et al.* (2014) Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes across an elevation gradient in the tropical Peruvian Andes. *Biogeosciences* 11:2325-2339.
- 267. Torga R, *et al.* (2017) Weather extremes and tree species shape soil greenhouse gas fluxes in an experimental fast-growing deciduous forest of air-humidity manipulation. *Ecol Eng* 106:369-377.

- 315. Yu L, Wang Y, Zhang X, Dörsch P, Mulder J (2017) Phosphorus addition 1192 mitigates N₂O and CH₄ emissions in N-saturated subtropical forest, SW China.
1193 Biogeoscience 14:3097-3109. *Biogeoscience* 14:3097-3109.
- 316. Zhang J, *et al.* (2014a) Understory vegetation management affected greenhouse gas emissions and labile organic carbon pools in an intensively managed Chinese chestnut plantation. *Plant Soil* 376:363-375.
- 317. Zhang J, *et al.* (2015) Understory management and fertilization affected soil greenhouse gas emissions and labile organic carbon pools in a Chinese chestnut plantation. *Forest Ecol Manag* 337:126-134.
- 318. Zhang K, *et al.* (2017) Impact of nitrogen fertilization on soil-atmosphere greenhouse gas exchanges in eucalypt plantations with different soil characteristics in southern China. *PLoS One* 12:e0172142.
- 319. Zhang T, Zhu W, Mo J, Liu L, Dong S (2011) Increased phosphorus availability 1204 mitigates the inhibition of nitrogen deposition on CH₄ uptake in an old-growth tropical forest, southern China. *Biogeosciences* 8:2805-2813. tropical forest, southern China. *Biogeosciences* 8:2805-2813.
- 320. Zhang W, *et al.* (2008) Methane uptake responses to nitrogen deposition in three tropical forests in southern China. *J Geophys Res* 113:D11116.
- 321. Zhang W, *et al.* (2014b) Methane uptake in forest soils along an urban-to-rural gradient in Pearl River Delta, south China. *Sci Rep* 4:5120.
- 322. Zhang W, *et al.* (2012) Large difference of inhibitive effect of nitrogen deposition on soil methane oxidation between plantations with N-fixing tree species and non-N-fixing tree species. *J Geophys Res-Biogeo* 117:G00N16.
- 323. Zhao Y, Wang YZ, Xu ZH, Fu L (2015) Impacts of prescribed burning on soil greenhouse gas fluxes in a suburban native forest of south-eastern Queensland, Australia. *Biogeosciences* 12:6279-6290.
- 324. Zheng M, *et al.* (2016) Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on soil methane uptake in disturbed forests. *J Geophys Res-Biogeo* 121:3089-3100.