## Supplementary Results Section

Supplemental Figure 1. Distribution of all EMG amplitudes elicited to startle probes as a function of (a) negative and (b) positive feedback. Quadratic lines of best fit are overlaid on the amplitude distributions to demonstrate the quadratic relationship exhibited by women in the DMT condition. Note that the quadratic curve for positive feedback among DMT women (b) was not significant in the statistical model, whereas the quadratic curve for negative feedback among DMT women (a) was significant.

(a)



(b)



### Math devaluing and self-enhancement

To quantify math self-perceptions, two ANOVAs were performed on math valuing questions and math self-assessments. An initial 2 (Gender: Men or Women) x 2 (Condition: DMT or PST) factorial ANOVAs was conducted on participants' math valuing mean composite scores. This analysis yielded no main effects or interactions (p's>.08).

An additional 2 (Gender: Men or Women) x 2 (Condition: DMT or PST) factorial ANOVAs was conducted on participants' math self-enhancement. This analysis also yielded no main effects or interactions (p's>.15).

### Basic Graph theory analyses

The following analyses excluded the same individuals as the main text mediation and moderation analyses. Degrees of freedom may vary due to participants not having enough valid

EEG trials. A 2 (Gender: Men, Women) x 2 (Task Description: DMT, PST) x 2 (Feedback Type: Wrong, Correct) x 4 (Frequency Band: theta, alpha, beta, gamma) mixed factors ANOVA with repeated measures on the latter variable was conducted on participant's emotional network subnetwork modularity variables, emotional network select network modularity variables, semantic memory network variables, and semantic memory network select network modularity variables.

#### Emotional Network Subnetwork Modularity

Analyses on the emotional network subnetwork modularity to hits revealed a main effect for frequency band, F(3, 78)=4.632, p=.005,  $\eta^2=.151$ . A marginal interaction between feedback type and frequency band was also apparent, F(1, 80)=3.470, p=.066,  $\eta^2=.042$ . There was also an interaction between feedback type, frequency band, condition, and gender F(3, 78)=2.727, p =.050,  $\eta^2=.095$ . Simple effects analyses indicated that emotional network subnetwork modularity was greatest in the alpha (p=.003) and beta (p=.017) frequency bands to all feedback in comparison to the theta frequency band and that the emotional network subnetwork modularity was lowest in the theta frequency band in comparison to the other frequency bands (p's<.05). Specifically, for positive feedback, emotional network subnetwork modularity was greatest in the alpha and beta frequency bands in comparison to the theta frequency band (p's<.05). For negative feedback the emotional network subnetwork modularity was greatest in the alpha frequency band in comparison to theta and beta frequency bands (p's<.18).

Analyses on the emotion network subnetwork modularity to false alarms revealed a main effect for frequency band, F(3, 133)=15.704, p<.01,  $\eta^2=.262$ . Simple effects indicated that emotion network subnetwork modularity to all false alarms was greatest in the alpha, beta, and

gamma frequency bands in comparison to theta frequency band (p's < .001). No other effects were significant (p's>.07).

Analyses on the emotion network subnetwork modularity to misses revealed a main effect for frequency band, F(3, 116)=4.333, p=.006,  $\eta^2=.101$ , indicating that emotion network Subnetwork Modularity to all misses was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in comparison to theta (p's<.05). No other effects were significant (p's>.10).

Analyses on the emotion network subnetwork modularity to correct rejections revealed a main effect for frequency band, F(3, 133)=9.276, p<.001,  $\eta^2=.173$ . A significant interaction between feedback type and frequency band was also found, F(3, 133)=3.741, p=.013,  $\eta^2=.078$ . Simple effects revealed that subnetwork modularity to correct rejections in the gamma and alpha was greater than the theta frequency band (p<.05). Subnetwork modularity to correct rejections was also greater in the gamma frequency band in comparison to the theta frequency band (p<.001). No other effects were significant (p's>.244).

#### Emotion Network Select Network Modularity

Analyses on the emotion network select network modularity to hits revealed a main effect for frequency band F(3, 78)=10.491, p<.001,  $\eta^2=.287$  Simple effects indicated that emotion network select network modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in comparison to the theta frequency band (p's<.001). No other effects were significant (p's>.09)

Analyses on the emotion network select network modularity to false alarms revealed a main effect for frequency band, F(3, 133)=47.932, p<.001,  $\eta^2=.520$ . An interaction between feedback type, frequency, and gender was also shown, F(3, 133)=2.847, p = .040,  $\eta^2=.060$ . Simple effects indicated that emotion network select network modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands to all feedback false alarms in comparison to the theta

frequency band (p's<.001). Specifically in the gamma frequency band, select network modularity to false alarms was greater to negative feedback than positive feedback in the PST condition (p=.017). No other effects were significant (p's>.09).

Analyses on the emotion network select network modularity to misses revealed a main effect for frequency band *F* (3, 116)=18.528, *p*<.001,  $\eta^2$ =.324,. An interaction was found between feedback type, condition, and frequency band *F* (3, 116)=3.449, *p*<.019,  $\eta^2$ =.082. Simple effects indicated that emotion network select network modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands to misses in comparison to theta (p's<.001). Specifically for positive feedback in the DMT condition, select network modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in comparison to theta (p's<.001). In the PST condition select network modularity to positive feedback was greatest in the alpha and beta frequency bands in comparison to theta (p's<.001). For negative feedback in the DMT condition, select network modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in comparison to theta (p's<.05). For negative feedback in the DMT condition, select network modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in comparison to theta (p's<.05). In the PST condition select network modularity to negative feedback was greatest in the alpha, beta and gamma frequency bands as well in comparison to theta (p's<.001). No other effects were significant (*p*'s>.09).

Analyses on the emotion network select network modularity to correct rejections revealed a main effect for frequency band F(3, 133)=57.738, p<.001,  $\eta^2=.566$ . Simple effects revealed that select network modularity to correct rejections was greatest in the alpha and gamma frequency band in comparison to theta (p's<.01). select network modularity in the beta band was also greater in comparison to the alpha frequency band (p=.002). In comparison to the gamma frequency band, select network modularity in the beta frequency band was also greater (p<.001). No other effects were significant (p's>.23).

#### Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity

Analyses on the semantic memory network subnetwork modularity to hits revealed no significant effects (p's>.08).

Analyses on the semantic memory network subnetwork modularity to false alarms revealed a main effect for frequency F(3, 133)=94.196, p<.001,  $\eta^2=.680$ . An interaction between feedback type and frequency band was also apparent, F(3, 133)=3.500, p=.017,  $\eta^2=.073$ . Simple effects indicated that semantic memory subnetwork modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in comparison to the theta frequency band (p's<.001). Semantic memory network subnetwork modularity was also greater in the alpha frequency band in comparison to gamma (p<.001), and in the beta frequency band in comparison to gamma (p<.001). In the theta frequency band specifically subnetwork modularity was greater for negative feedback in comparison to positive feedback (p=.005). No other effects were significant (p's>.21).

Analyses on the semantic memory network subnetwork modularity to misses revealed a main effect for frequency F(3, 116)=5.675, p=.001,  $\eta^2=.128$ . An interaction between frequency band, condition, and gender was found, F(3,116)=3.147,  $p=.028 \eta^2=.075$ ). Simple effects indicated that indicating that semantic memory network subnetwork modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands to misses in comparison to the theta frequency band (p's<.01). Specifically in the gamma frequency band for negative feedback misses, semantic memory subnetwork modularity was greater in the DMT condition than the PST condition (p=.001). No other effects were significant (p's>.12).

Analyses on the semantic memory network subnetwork modularity to correct rejections revealed a main effect for frequency F(3, 133)=169.154, p<.001,  $\eta^2$ =.792. Simple effects

revealed that semantic memory network subnetwork modularity to correct rejections was highest in the beta frequency band in comparison to all other frequency bands(p's<.05). Semantic memory network subnetwork modularity was also lowest in the theta frequency band in comparison to all the other frequency bands (p's<.001). Semantic memory network subnetwork modularity to correct rejections was also higher in alpha in comparison to the gamma frequency band (p<.001). No other effects were significant (p's>.14).

#### Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity

Analyses on the semantic memory network select network modularity to hits revealed a main effect for feedback type, F(1, 80)=10.510, p=.002,  $\eta^2=.116$ , An interaction was found between frequency band and gender, F(3, 78)=2.775, p=.047,  $\eta^2=.096$ . An interaction was found between feedback type and frequency, F(3,78), p=.036,  $\eta^2=.103$ . A final three way interaction between feedback type, frequency band, and condition was also found F(3,78)=2.767, p=.047,  $\eta^2$ =.096. Simple effects revealed that semantic memory network select network modularity to hits was greater to negative feedback than positive feedback (p=.002). In comparison to the theta frequency band, semantic memory network select network modularity to hits was greater in the beta frequency band (p=.049. For females specifically, semantic memory network select network modularity to hits was greater in the alpha and beta frequency bands in comparison to the theta frequency band (p's<.05). For males, select network modularity was greater in the beta frequency band than the alpha frequency band (p=.048). For alpha, beta and gamma frequency bands select network modularity was higher for negative feedback than positive feedback (p's<.05). In the PST condition in the beta frequency band select network modularity was higher for negative feedback in comparison to positive feedback (p=.001). For beta and gamma

frequency bands, select network modularity was higher for negative feedback than positive feedback (p's<.05). No other effects were significant (p's>.23).

Analyses on the semantic memory network select network modularity to false alarms revealed a main effect for frequency F(3, 133)=9.447, p<.01,  $\eta^2=.176$ , indicating that semantic memory network subnetwork modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands to false alarms in comparison to the theta frequency band (p's<.001). No other effects were significant (p's>.07).

Analyses on the semantic memory network select network modularity to misses revealed a main effect for frequency F(3, 116)=5.515, p=.001,  $\eta^2=.125$ . An interaction between frequency band, condition, and feedback type was found (F(3,116)=3.518, p=.017,  $\eta^2=.083$ ). Simple effects revealed that semantic memory network select network modularity was greatest in the alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands to misses in comparison to the theta frequency band (p's<.05). In the theta frequency band specifically, select network modularity was greater for negative feedback than positive feedback in the PST condition (p=.036). In the beta frequency band select network modularity to misses was greater for positive feedback in the DMT condition (p=.046). No other effects were significant (p's>.11).

Analyses on the semantic memory network select network modularity to correct rejections revealed a main effect for frequency band F(3, 133)=17.133, p<.001,  $\eta^2$ =.279. An interaction between feedback type and condition was also found F(1, 135)=5.145, p=.025,  $\eta^2$ =..037. Simple effect revealed that select network modularity was greatest in alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in comparison to theta (P,.01). In comparison to gamma, the SM in the beta and alpha frequency bands were also higher (p's<.001). For positive feedback, select

network modularity was also greater in the DMT condition in comparison to the PST condition (p=.05). No other effects were significant (p's>.14).

### Additional double moderated mediation results

The results from our double moderated mediation revealed that when utilizing startle responses to negative feedback as a predictor, emotion network connectivity measured by Subnetwork modularity in the beta frequency band in response to negative hit memory trials as the mediator, Dprime for negative fonts as the outcome variable, and condition and gender as the two moderator variables, an indirect pathway was significant for women in the DMT condition. We found another significant indirect pathway for negative feedback correct rejection trials in the theta and alpha frequency bands as well. Other double moderated meditation models that included other negative and positive font related variables, emotion network connectivity measured by subnetwork modularity on trials in the memory test associated with hits, misses, false alarms and correct rejections of negative and positive feedback were not found to be significant (Tables, 1, 2, 3, and 4). In addition to this, we tested these 32 models using select network modularity of the emotion network and found no significant indirect effects between the startle response and DPrime (Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8).

#### Additional double moderated regression results

The results from our double moderated regression suggested that men encoded negative feedback more accurately to the extent they exhibited greater connectivity within the semantic network using select network modularity in the gamma band during trials in which they accurately identified previously seen fonts associated with negative feedback. We tested this model using both negative and positive feedback variables and semantic memory network connectivity on trials in the memory test associated with hits, misses, false alarms, and correct rejections of negative and positive feedback in all frequency bands (32 models). These models revealed that our main finding was also seen with men in the control condition in the beta frequency band (b=13.8953 95% CI [1.2088, 26.5818]). Other effects were found with correct rejections. DMT men could correctly recall negative feedback they had seen before more accurately to the extent they exhibited greater connectivity within the semantic network (select network modularity) in the theta frequency band during trials in which they accurately rejected novel fonts associated with negative feedback (b=20.2828, 95%CI[1.9267,38.6388]). This exact relationship was also found with DMT men in the gamma frequency band (b=16.5945, 95%CI[1.9509,31.2381]). PST men had the opposite effect, they could not accurately recall negative feedback to the extent they exhibited this connectivity to correct reject trials to negative feedback in the theta frequency band (b=16.6976, 95%CI[-31.8418, -1.5535]).

Regarding positive feedback, DMT women also demonstrated a few relationships. DMT women could not accurately recall positive feedback they had seen before to the extent they exhibited greater connectivity within the semantic network using select network modularity in the alpha band during trials in which they accurately rejected fonts associated with positive feedback they had not seen before (b=-18.6873, 95%CI[-36.8257, -.5490]). This exact relationship was also observed in the gamma frequency band (b=-19.7003, 95%CI[-35.9866, - 3.4139]). This suggests that women could recall what positive feedback they saw less accurately to the extent that they exhibited greater connectivity within the semantic network in these frequency bands during trials associated with positive lures. No other indirect effects were significant (Tables 9-16).

Semantic Memory Encoding of Negative Feedback Enhances Math Performance for Males.

The following analyses excluded the same participants excluded for basic memory and startle analyses. To determine whether there was a link between semantic memory encoding for negative feedback and performance on the font math task, a double moderation was performed. We tested for this by deriving unstandardized regression coefficients and 95% bias-corrected confidence intervals (CIs) from 10,000 bootstrap estimates (Hayes, 2013; model 2). 95% CIs were considered significant if the confidence interval did not contain zero (Cumming, 2008). We tested separate models for semantic memory network connectivity to positive and negative feedback (subnetwork and select network modularity values) in each frequency band (16 models total, Tables 17 & 18).

These analyses revealed a positive relationship for DMT men, b=3.4981, 95%CI [.1281, 6.8680], and PST men, b=5.0802, 95%CI [1.3569, 8.8035], in the theta frequency band. For men, the more semantic memory network connectivity (Subnetwork modularity) they had during negative feedback encoding the better they performed on the font task overall. This relationship was not present for women in either condition (p's>.40). Using select network modularity to measure semantic memory network connectivity to negative feedback DMT women in the theta frequency band showed a negative relationship between semantic memory encoding to negative feedback and performance, b=-3.9709, 95%CI[-7.8572, -.0846]. In other words, the more they encoded negative feedback through a semantic memory process the worse they performed. mirrored our findings with subnetwork modularity above. This relationship was also found with positive feedback in the beta frequency band for DMT women, b=-5.8660, 95%CI[-9.5849, - 2.1417]. No other relationships were found. This suggests that semantic memory network connectivity to negative feedback may modulate performance in different ways for men and

women in different contexts, having an overall positive effect for men and an overall negative

effect for women.

## Supplementary tables:

Table 1: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity Models to Hits

Table 1			
Alternative Moderated Mediation M	lodels; Subnetwork M	lodularity to Hi	ts
Model	Model Condition		ce Interval
Model	Condition	ULCI	LLCI
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	-0.0033	0.0069
Negative Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.0011	0.0074
	DMT Men	-0.0111	0.0093
	PST Men	-0.0024	0.0119
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	-0.004	0.0043
Negative Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0017	0.0114
	DMT Men	-0.0108	0.0246
	PST Men	-0.0193	0.002
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	0.0013*	0.0185*
Negative Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0021	0.0069
	DMT Men	-0.0017	0.0286
	PST Men	-0.0041	0.0261
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	-0.002	0.006
Negative Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.001	0.0068
	DMT Men	-0.0023	0.0128
	PST Men	-0.0035	0.0109
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	-0.0023	0.0172
Correct Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.014	0.0015
	DMT Men	-0.0108	0.005
	PST Men	-0.0029	0.0208
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	-0.0098	0.0142
Correct Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0019	0.0089
	DMT Men	-0.0098	0.0051
	PST Men	-0.018	0.0036
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	-0.011	0.0042
Correct Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0121	0.0024
	DMT Men	-0.0152	0.0062
	PST Men	-0.0032	0.0073
Subnetwork Modularity Hits to	DMT Women	-0.016	0.005
Correct Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.0023	0.0159
	DMT Men	-0.0034	0.0126
	PST Men	-0.0197	0.0042
Table 1			

Table 2				
Alternative Moderated Mediation Mode	ls; Subnetwork Modula	rity to Misses		
Model	Condition	Confiden	Confidence Interval	
Model	Condition	ULCI	LLCI	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0052	0.0016	
Negative Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.0012	0.0020	
	DMT Men	-0.0023	0.0094	
	PST Men	-0.0130	0.0027	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0034	0.0052	
Negative Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0051	0.0018	
	DMT Men	-0.0169	0.0027	
	PST Men	-0.0089	0.0027	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0031	0.0060	
Negative Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0046	0.0011	
	DMT Men	-0.0030	0.0221	
	PST Men	-0.0053	0.0037	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0047	0.0023	
Negative Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.0012	0.0031	
	DMT Men	-0.0022	0.0178	
	PST Men	-0.0087	0.0030	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0088	0.0082	
Correct Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.0032	0.0099	
	DMT Men	-0.0152	0.0057	
	PST Men	-0.0109	0.0088	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0065	0.0031	
Correct Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0024	0.0106	
	DMT Men	-0.0211	0.0007	
	PST Men	-0.0234	0.0033	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0031	0.0075	
Correct Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0083	0.0052	
	DMT Men	-0.0219	0.0017	
	PST Men	-0.0222	0.0009	
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	DMT Women	-0.0028	0.0071	
Correct Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.0064	0.0018	
	DMT Men	-0.0129	0.0028	
	PST Men	-0.0070	0.0151	
Table 2				

 Table 2: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity Models to Misses

Table 3				
Alternative Moderated Mediation Models; Sul	onetwork Modularity to I	alse Alarms		
Model	Condition	Confidence	Confidence Interval	
moder	Condition	ULCI	LLCI	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0017	0.0131	
Negative Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.0020	0.0020	
	DMT Men	-0.0092	0.0043	
	PST Men	-0.0112	0.0020	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0006	0.0116	
Negative Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0123	0.0008	
	DMT Men	-0.0189	0.0009	
	PST Men	-0.0143	0.0019	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0018	0.0141	
Negative Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0022	0.0047	
	DMT Men	-0.0089	0.0034	
	PST Men	-0.0047	0.0027	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0005	0.0113	
Negative Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.0032	0.0022	
	DMT Men	-0.0089	0.0015	
	PST Men	-0.0021	0.0048	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0052	0.0025	
Correct Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.0014	0.0082	
	DMT Men	-0.0113	0.0030	
	PST Men	-0.0044	0.0188	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0020	0.0107	
Correct Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0058	0.0067	
	DMT Men	-0.0039	0.0035	
	PST Men	-0.0013	0.0155	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0060	0.0026	
Correct Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0116	0.0022	
	DMT Men	-0.0056	0.0039	
	PST Men	-0.0029	0.0094	
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms to	DMT Women	-0.0037	0.0075	
Correct Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.0025	0.0093	
	DMT Men	-0.0057	0.0026	
	PST Men	-0.0022	0.0200	
Table 3				

Table 3: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity Models to False Alarms

Table 4			
Alternative Moderated Mediation Models; Subnetwork Mo	dularity to Correct Re	jections	
Model	Condition	Confidence	Interval
		ULCI	LLCI
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Negative	DMT Women	0.0002*	0.0069*
Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.0014	0.0045
	DMT Men	-0.0084	0.0015
	PST Men	-0.0084	0.0024
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Negative	DMT Women	`-0.0117*	`-0.0001*
Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0033	0.0017
	DMT Men	-0.0095	0.0045
	PST Men	-0.0076	0.0026
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Negative	DMT Women	-0.0070	0.0046
Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0038	0.0021
	DMT Men	-0.0079	0.0023
	PST Men	-0.0027	0.0052
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Negative	DMT Women	-0.0029	0.0030
Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.0059	0.0053
	DMT Men	-0.0097	0.0059
	PST Men	-0.0093	0.0027
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Correct	DMT Women	-0.0064	0.0046
Feedback Theta	PST Women	-0.0027	0.0127
	DMT Men	-0.0015	0.0167
	PST Men	-0.0147	0.0019
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Correct	DMT Women	-0.0064	0.0046
Feedback Alpha	PST Women	-0.0027	0.0127
	DMT Men	-0.0015	0.0167
	PST Men	-0.0147	0.0019
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Correct	DMT Women	-0.0131	0.0025
Feedback Beta	PST Women	-0.0056	0.0080
	DMT Men	-0.0018	0.0136
	PST Men	-0.0112	0.0116
Subnetwork Modularity Correct Rejections to Correct	DMT Women	-0.0135	0.0024
Feedback Gamma	PST Women	-0.0030	0.0146
	DMT Men	-0.0039	0.0133
	PST Men	-0.0162	0.0017
Table 4			

Table 4: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity Models to Correct Rejections

Table 5			
Alternative Moderated Mediation Mode	ls; Select Network Mo	odularity to Hits	
Model	Condition	Confidence	e Interval
	<u>condition</u>	ULCI	LLCI
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0057	0.0019
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0055	0.0343
	Women PST	-0.0015	0.0221
	Men PST	-0.0056	0.0229
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0056	0.0016
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0051	0.0187
	Women PST	-0.0001	0.0097
	Men PST	-0.0170	0.0032
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0047	0.0032
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0104	0.0066
	Women PST	-0.0050	0.0025
	Men PST	-0.0151	0.0042
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0046	0.0024
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0175	0.0030
	Women PST	-0.0018	0.0182
	Men PST	-0.0010	0.0187
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0014	0.0150
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0026	0.0215
	Women PST	-0.0054	0.0026
	Men PST	-0.0034	0.0199
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0035	0.0122
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0122	0.0039
	Women PST	-0.0095	0.0030
	Men PST	-0.0109	0.0141
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0091	0.0080
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0125	0.0059
	Women PST	-0.0072	0.0017
	Men PST	-0.0092	0.0172
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-0.0050	0.0061
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0042	0.0180
	Women PST	-0.0029	0.0068
	Men PST	-0.0230	0.0003
Table 5			

# Table 5: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity Models to Hits

Alternative Moderated Mediation Models;	Select Network Modu	ularity to Misses	8
Model	Condition	Confidence	Interval
		ULCI	LLCI
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0015	0.0025
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0083	0.0052
	Women PST	-0.0075	0.0007
	Men PST	-0.0030	0.0097
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0008	0.0045
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0128	0.0013
	Women PST	-0.0036	0.0016
	Men PST	-0.0077	0.0015
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0033	0.0065
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0100	0.0055
	Women PST	-0.0023	0.0020
	Men PST	-0.0051	0.0135
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0019	0.0103
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0072	0.0037
	Women PST	-0.0104	0.0007
	Men PST	-0.0023	0.0104
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0027	0.0078
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0081	0.0035
	Women PST	-0.0151	0.0024
	Men PST	-0.0103	0.0035
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0071	0.0034
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0042	0.0077
-	Women PST	-0.0126	0.0019
	Men PST	-0.0055	0.0135
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0018	0.0146
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0033	0.0142
	Women PST	-0.0115	0.0038
	Men PST	-0.0201	0.0037
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-0.0022	0.0193
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0015	0.0151
	Women PST	-0.0148	0.0042
	Men PST	-0.0046	0.0109

Table 6: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity Models to Misses

Table 7			
Alternative Moderated Mediation Models; Select 1	Network Modularity to I	False Alarms	
Madal	Condition	Confidence	Interval
Model	Condition	ULCI	LLCI
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0059	0.0070
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0036	0.0046
	Women PST	-0.0029	0.0011
	Men PST	-0.0022	0.0111
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0008	0.0063
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0038	0.0142
	Women PST	-0.0062	0.0013
	Men PST	-0.0083	0.0024
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0009	0.0040
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0024	0.0061
	Women PST	-0.0080	0.0020
	Men PST	-0.0025	0.0059
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0018	0.0096
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0043	0.0079
	Women PST	-0.0086	0.0008
	Men PST	-0.0011	0.0107
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0073	0.0055
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0131	0.0023
	Women PST	-0.0116	0.0018
	Men PST	-0.0180	0.0032
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0061	0.0028
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0056	0.0096
	Women PST	-0.0067	0.0069
	Men PST	-0.0130	0.0022
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0143	0.0028
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0076	0.0018
	Women PST	-0.0106	0.0041
	Men PST	-0.0031	0.0095
Select Network Modularity False Alarms to	Women DMT	-0.0018	0.0112
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0037	0.0080
	Women PST	-0.0019	0.0097
	Men PST	-0.0093	0.0045
Table 7			

Table 7: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity Models to False Alarms

Table 8			
Alternative Moderated Mediation Models; Select Ne	twork Modularity t	o Correct Rejection	s
Model	Condition	Confidence Ir	iterval
Model	Condition	ULCI	LLCI
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0018	0.0078
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0032	0.0036
	Women PST	-0.0040	0.0006
	Men PST	-0.0068	0.0038
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0030	0.0011
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0096	0.0032
	Women PST	-0.0058	0.0011
	Men PST	-0.0062	0.0031
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0083	0.0014
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0071	0.0018
	Women PST	-0.0011	0.0018
	Men PST	-0.0029	0.0073
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0009	0.0074
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0090	0.0030
	Women PST	-0.0013	0.0057
	Men PST	-0.0025	0.0074
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0008	0.0103
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-0.0128	0.0027
	Women PST	-0.0028	0.0093
	Men PST	-0.0125	0.0062
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0022	0.0072
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-0.0185	0.0074
	Women PST	-0.0020	0.0144
	Men PST	-0.0021	0.0129
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0106	0.0042
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-0.0061	0.0072
	Women PST	-0.0015	0.0186
	Men PST	-0.0026	0.0141
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-0.0075	0.0017
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-0.0064	0.0062
	Women PST	-0.0066	0.0068
	Men PST	-0.0095	0.0033
Table 8			

Table 9				
Alternative Double Moderated Regression Models; Select Network Modularity to Hits				
Model	Condition	Confidence	e interval	
		ULCI	LLCI	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-14.2883	5.4455	
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-7.5307	15.4466	
	Women PST	-2.0784	14.3194	
	Men PST	-8.3899	8.1817	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-11.1405	3.7005	
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-16.4205	2.8767	
	Women PST	-10.6132	7.6259	
	Men PST	-14.8229	3.8063	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-6.5686	9.0787	
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-17.4906	0.7872	
	Women PST	-16.4543	4.6619	
	Men PST	1.2088*	26.5818*	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-4.1167	9.9238	
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	2.1194*	20.4652*	
	Women PST	-5.0726	11.8823	
	Men PST	3.5906*	24.439*	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-29.5497	-0.0729	
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-15.9958	11.0712	
	Women PST	-15.4193	7.6872	
	Men PST	-15.7641	12.1283	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-17.8520	13.4857	
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-7.7013	21.1602	
	Women PST	-12.1825	14.0969	
	Men PST	-12.9193	13.5686	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-9.9361	15.5536	
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-24.2602	12.3573	
	Women PST	-16.9796	10.9265	
	Men PST	-25.4997	1.6048	
Select Network Modularity Hits to	Women DMT	-13.4452	9.0723	
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-1.8431	8.3554	
	Women PST	-5,3130	15.5245	
	Men PST	-13.1352	11.8113	
Table 9				

Table 9: Semantic Network Select Network Modularity to Hits

Table 10			
Alternative Double Moderated Regressi	on Models: Select N	letwork Modul	arity to Misses
		Confide	nce Interval
Model	Condition	ULCI	LICI
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-8 4392	12 2229
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-15 3829	7 2831
regulter recubler meta	Women PST	-9 6074	14 8708
	Men PST	-4 5360	20 7369
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-5 4693	10 9182
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-4 3952	11 4912
·····	Women PST	-12 1587	6 6485
	Men PST	-8 3792	8 8178
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-14,1711	4,1928
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-4.8412	17.3187
	Women PST	-7.3231	9.8329
	Men PST	-3.4290	19.6348
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-5.2749	12.5883
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-6.3298	9.5828
-	Women PST	-5.6854	9.6254
	Men PST	-5.1987	15.5983
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-19.5891	3.3619
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-14.7228	19.1071
	Women PST	-18.6061	5.1949
	Men PST	-24.3497	6.2658
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-18.4038	3.7325
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-15.6131	10.7951
	Women PST	-18.0912	5.9559
	Men PST	-12.4140	10.3350
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-29.9184	0.3646
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-15.3992	19.1457
	Women PST	-15.4737	8.9336
	Men PST	-20.8094	6.6011
Select Network Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-12.7767	12.2528
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-7.5041	19.8560
	Women PST	-8.9470	16.4066
	Men PST	-21.7113	3.2963
Table 10			

Table 10: Semantic Network Select Network Modularity to Misses

Table 11 Alternative Double Moderated Regressi	ion Models; Selec	t Network Mo	odularity to False
Alarms			
Model	Condition	Confide	ence Interval
		ULCI	LLCI
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-12.6134	10.8488
Alarms to Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-8.5078	18.9524
	Women PST	-12.2624	13.3041
	Men PST	-4.8651	17.7407
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-11.4091	9.3874
Alarms to Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-10.2924	14.7412
	Women PST	-17.2366	9.7018
	Men PST	-9.0651	9.9800
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-16.5171	9.0331
Alarms to Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-18.8698	10.0963
	Women PST	-16.9957	8.2919
	Men PST	-14.3922	11.5951
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-8.7537	13.3704
Alarms to Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-11.3442	9.7533
	Women PST	-5.8274	20.7656
	Men PST	-11.7092	15.7198
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-20.6628	10.4956
Alarms to Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-7.6211	31.6678
	Women PST	-14.5313	24.3721
	Men PST	-6.0931	39.9400
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-12.6022	16.9319
Alarms to Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-8.0540	23.1871
	Women PST	-7.7181	23.3750
	Men PST	-24.3717	10.1236
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-9.2573	16.2853
Alarms to Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-4.1566	30.3094
	Women PST	-16.2846	15.2139
	Men PST	-17.3567	20.8988
Select Network Modularity False	Women DMT	-26.8748	1.4568
Alarms to Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-5.5464	23.4649
	Women PST	-6.8381	21.8869
	Men PST	-29.7375	9.1711
Table 11			

Table 11: Semantic Network Select Network Modularity to False Alarms

Table 12			
Alternative Double Moderated Regression Models;	Select Network Me	odularity to Corre	ct Rejections
M- 1-1	C	Confid	lence Interval
Modei	Condition	ULCI	LLCI
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-22.1558	9.0038
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	1.9267*	38.6388*
-	Women PST	-2.2926	26.1664
	Men PST	`-31.8418*	`-1.5535*
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-7.7412	16.9680
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-13.6793	21.4142
	Women PST	-23.6321	2.0398
	Men PST	-6.7137	21.5752
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-12.3130	13.7748
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-20.6597	9.3812
	Women PST	-19.4365	8.8101
	Men PST	-26.2363	6.8995
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-4.7837	18.6214
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	1.9509*	31.2381*
_	Women PST	-26.5274	9.9311
	Men PST	-9.5068	18.0344
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-25.3244	17.2458
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-35.8505	12.2377
	Women PST	-30.4110	18.3309
	Men PST	-14.9126	30.0530
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	`-36.8257*	`-0.549*
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-32.7861	21.9207
	Women PST	-15.8857	26.2316
	Men PST	-13.6207	20.4396
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	-32.8425	-0.6511
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-24.9800	13.5839
	Women PST	-18.5460	25.0900
	Men PST	-30.6523	6.7755
Select Network Modularity Correct Rejections to	Women DMT	`-35.9866*	`-3.4139*
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-24.1781	13.1457
	Women PST	-35.4937	11.8164
	Men PST	-19.0902	18.1810

Table 13						
Alternative Double Moderated Hits	Regression Models;	Subnetwork Mo	dularity to			
Model	Condition Confidence Interval					
Model	Condition	LLCI	ULCI			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-6.3716	5.1265			
to Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-5.3975	6.4850			
	Women PST	-8.7110	5.0713			
	Men PST	-7.9153	9.3485			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-5.2243	6.0049			
to Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-13.9500	-2.4456			
	Women PST	-7.2248	4.1988			
	Men PST	-6.5925	7.3805			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-5.3922	7.4228			
to Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-11.8255	5.0830			
	Women PST	-5.5426	9.8734			
	Men PST	-7.1868	14.4486			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-7.5877	2.4286			
to Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-11.2418	0.6720			
Gamma	Women PST	-7.2848	4.4160			
	Men PST	-0.2927	12.9439			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-7.9711	9.5184			
to Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-5.1916	12.0532			
	Women PST	-18.3065	6.6701			
	Men PST	-8.2509	6.5940			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-2.6738	13.4870			
to Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-6.3793	8.2535			
	Women PST	-15.8333	1.5031			
	Men PST	-2.9517	19.0327			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-17.2725	6.0240			
to Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-5.9280	13.5870			
	Women PST	-15.7049	8.3820			
	Men PST	-3.3774	16.3928			
Subnetwork Modularity Hits	Women DMT	-9.9047	4.3717			
to Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-15.1133	11.9345			
Gamma	Women PST	-4.8000	10.2533			
	Men PST	-8.1631	5.7296			
Table 13						

Table 13: Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Hits

Table 14: S	emantic Networ	k Subnetwork	Modularity to	Misses
-------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	--------

Table 14						
Alternative Double Moderated Regress	sion Models: Subnety	work Modulari	tv to Misses			
Confidence Interval						
Model	Condition	LLCI	ULCI			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-6.8230	2.6006			
Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-10.5500	1.7259			
-	Women PST	-6.8534	8.8284			
	Men PST	-12.1430	6.5560			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-2.2644	7.2102			
Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-6.9322	4.5318			
	Women PST	-5.1744	8.5250			
	Men PST	-9.0407	2.0515			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-8.0008	4.0169			
Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-2.6912	10.7960			
	Women PST	-14.0301	6.1583			
	Men PST	-12.7552	8.2365			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-3.7283	7.2738			
Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-8.9423	5.7733			
	Women PST	-6.3061	4.8755			
	Men PST	-4.1887	8.9112			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-14.0031	2.7666			
Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-8.9122	7.3703			
	Women PST	-17.6927	2.6746			
	Men PST	-10.0732	18.8749			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-8.0887	6.2589			
Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-14.8187	4.8387			
	Women PST	-9.7427	6.7587			
	Men PST	-11.4996	6.1653			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-16.7341	7.3037			
Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-3.8453	14.3303			
	Women PST	-17.4313	8.4969			
	Men PST	-14.2974	2.6241			
Subnetwork Modularity Misses to	Women DMT	-12.5528	2.8788			
Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-21.1265	-2.1786			
	Women PST	-15.2843	5.0929			
m 11 11	Men PST	-5.0257	0.8540			
Table 14						

		Confidenc	e Interval
Model	Condition	LLCI	ULCI
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-6.2274	7.1212
to Negative Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-8.8003	6.4531
5	Women PST	-9.1232	5.1347
	Men PST	-10.9746	7.9607
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-5.7169	1.4288
to Negative Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-3.6403	3.2565
	Women PST	-5.0941	4.5415
	Men PST	-2.0477	8.4190
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-7.9162	0.8794
to Negative Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-2.7451	6.0033
-	Women PST	-6.8066	2.7697
	Men PST	-6.8885	4.3731
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-5.7808	1.3426
to Negative Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-4.2351	3.3767
-	Women PST	-3.9845	4.3511
	Men PST	-0.6067	7.1643
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-11.2026	12.8838
to Positive Feedback Theta	Men DMT	-4.2837	13.0459
	Women PST	-9.5199	16.0269
	Men PST	-8.5546	13.5455
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-9.6569	0.8968
to Positive Feedback Alpha	Men DMT	-6.1203	5.8407
	Women PST	-7.9862	4.2683
	Men PST	-8.7920	2.4722
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-11.4177	-0.3092
to Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-7.8626	4.6084
	Women PST	-10.4519	-0.0973
	Men PST	-10.8218	2.5736
Subnetwork Modularity False Alarms	Women DMT	-10.4653	0.8612
to Positive Feedback Gamma	Men DMT	-1.4330	8.8854
	Women PST	-13.9729	-1.1029
	Men PST	-9.6266	1.7684

Table 15: Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to False Alarms

Table 16							
Alternative Double Moderated Regress	ion Models: Subn	etwork Modul	arity to				
Correct Rejections							
Model Condition Confidence Interval							
Model	Condition	LLCI	ULCI				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	-9.9158	5.2754				
Rejections to Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-21.4961	11.1414				
Theta	Women PST	-8.5009	4.3212				
	Men PST	-13.7716	8.6908				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	-4.1581	3.0942				
Rejections to Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-3.9781	2.2703				
Alpha	Women PST	-2.6894	5.0933				
	Men PST	-5.0240	1.4509				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	-2.3862	4.4668				
Rejections to Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-2.7964	4.7534				
Beta	Women PST	-4.0228	3.1896				
	Men PST	-6.9816	1.9197				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	-4.7868	2.8049				
Rejections to Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-3.1606	3.5090				
Gamma	Women PST	-2.9862	4.3984				
	Men PST	-8.3393	0.4660				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	-9.7675	6.9857				
Rejections to Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-18.6058	6.1383				
Theta	Women PST	-20.6655	7.3160				
	Men PST	-6.1777	19.4076				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	-0.2562	8.2972				
Rejections to Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-0.2395	7.6377				
Alpha	Women PST	-7.2975	4.4277				
	Men PST	-5.2459	7.1299				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	3.4725	12.6631				
Rejections to Positive Feedback Beta	Men DMT	-1.1551	8.3569				
	Women PST	-2.9719	8.5737				
	Men PST	-0.0746	15.3916				
Subnetwork Modularity Correct	Women DMT	-0.3396	8.6440				
Rejections to Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-1.4904	7.5008				
Gamma	Women PST	-5.6846	5.2253				
	Men PST	-5.6992	6.2852				
Table 16							

## Table 16: Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Correct Rejections

Table 17: Semantic memory connectivity to negative feedback predicts performance

## Table 17

Variable	Condition	Pote		Confidence Interva	
Variable	Condition	Deta	P	LLCI	ULCI
Subnetwork Modularity	Women DMT	-0.33	0.84	-3.4998	2.8401
Theta Negative Feedback	Men DMT	3.50	0.04	0.1281*	6.868*
	Women PST	1.25	0.40	-1.6913	4.1959
	Men PST	5.08	0.01	10.3498*	8.8035*
Subnetwork Modularity	Women DMT	-1.40	0.14	-3.2541	0.4522
Alpha Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-0.87	0.43	-3.0204	1.2885
	Women PST	-0.82	0.39	-2.7143	1.0758
	Men PST	-0.28	0.80	-2.4754	1.9069
Subnetwork Modularity	Women DMT	-1.09	0.36	-3.4522	1.2635
Beta Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-1.51	0.25	-4.1247	1.1000
	Women PST	-0.95	0.36	-2.9791	1.0847
	Men PST	-1.37	0.31	-4.0132	1.2827
Subnetwork Modularity	Women DMT	0.60	0.57	-1.5001	2.6916
Gamma Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-0.33	0.80	-2.9276	2.2629
	Women PST	0.90	0.38	-1.1024	2.8956
	Men PST	-0.03	0.98	-2.4792	2.4162
Select Network Modularity	Women DMT	-3.97	0.05	-7.8572*	-0.0846*
Theta Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-2.13	0.35	-6.6391	2.3809
	Women PST	-2.25	0.37	-7.1771	2.6770
	Men PST	-0.41	0.86	-5.1278	4.3113
Select Network Modularity	Women DMT	1.97	0.33	-1.9904	5.9299
Alpha Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-0.23	0.92	-5.0901	4.6259
	Women PST	2.13	0.40	-2.8686	7.1202
	Men PST	-0.08	0.98	-4.9907	4.8386
Select Network Modularity	Women DMT	-2.40	0.34	-7.3362	2.5344
Beta Negative Feedback	Men DMT	1.33	0.62	-3.8977	6.5542
	Women PST	-1.79	0.47	-6.7079	3.1312
	Men PST	1.94	0.49	-3.5638	7.4454
Select Network Modularity	Women DMT	-0.99	0.67	-5.5305	3.5451
Gamma Negative Feedback	Men DMT	-2.25	0.31	-6.5707	2.0749
	Women PST	-0.25	0.91	-4.6455	4.1437
	Men PST	-1.51	0.53	-6 1822	3 1700

Table 18: Semantic memory connectivity to positive feedback predicts performance

## Table 18

Variable	Condition	Pote		Confidenc	e Intervals
variable	Condition	Deta	p	LLCI	ULCI
Subnetwork Modularity Theta	Women DMT	-0.45	0.79	-3.7264	2.8333
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-1.79	0.29	-5.1239	1.5390
	Women PST	-0.88	0.60	-4.1763	2.4262
	Men PST	-2.22	0.22	-5.7467	1.3048
Subnetwork Modularity Alpha	Women DMT	0.84	0.46	-1.3848	3.0708
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	0.58	0.62	-1.7141	2.8742
	Women PST	0.54	0.65	-1.8022	2.8890
	Men PST	0.28	0.78	-1.6679	2.2288
Subnetwork Modularity Beta	Women DMT	0.48	0.71	-2.0719	3.0361
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	1.25	0.36	-1.4459	3.9380
	Women PST	0.27	0.84	-2.4000	2.9454
	Men PST	1.04	0.45	-1.6512	3.7246
Subnetwork Modularity Gamma	Women DMT	0.48	0.61	-1.4078	2.3720
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	0.93	0.43	-1.3644	3.2188
	Women PST	-0.08	0.95	-2.5533	2.3912
	Men PST	0.36	0.74	-1.8000	2.5281
Select Network Modularity Theta	Women DMT	-2.04	0.27	-5.6732	1.5870
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-0.90	0.74	-6.1497	4.3586
	Women PST	1.04	0.61	-2.9682	5.0508
	Men PST	2.19	0.43	-3.3221	7.6997
Select Network Modularity Alpha	Women DMT	-2.33	0.32	-6.9609	2.3012
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	1.27	0.64	-4.0470	6.5834
	Women PST	-3.17	0.18	-7.8596	1.5247
	Men PST	0.43	0.85	-4.1987	5.0600
Select Network Modularity Beta	Women DMT	-5.87	0.00	-9.5849*	-2.1471*
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-1.30	0.62	-6.4508	3.8546
	Women PST	-0.30	0.89	-4.6890	4.0825
	Men PST	4.26	0.10	-0.8021	9.3313
Select Network Modularity Gamma	Women DMT	-1.93	0.39	-6.3045	2.4491
Positive Feedback	Men DMT	-0.08	0.97	-4.9205	4.7659
	Women PST	-0.23	0.92	-4.5639	4.1073
	Men PST	1.62	0.47	-2.7913	6.0356
Table 18					

Supplementary Descriptive Statistics:

Table 19		-					
Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Negative Misses Variable Condition Gender Mean SD N							
Semantic Network Subnetwork	Condition	Female	0.0082	0.0125	48		
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0962	0.0125	33		
to Negative Misses	Dini	Total	0.0958	0.0121	95 81		
to Negative Misses		Female	0.0972	0.0121	35		
	PST	Male	0.0908	0.0009	30		
	151	Total	0.0908	0.0091	52		
		Female	0.0908	0.0090	83		
	Total	Male	0.0970	0.1111	65		
	IViai	Total	0.0905	0.0104	1/10		
Comentic Natural's Subnatural		Female	0.0971	0.0100	140		
Medularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.1045	0.0120	40		
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Danu	DIVIT	Total	0.1020	0.0135	22		
to Negative misses		Formale	0.1034	0.0120	01 25		
	DCT	remaie Malo	0.1030	0.0115	22		
	131	Total	0.1040	0.0138	52 67		
		10tai Terrete	0.1034	0.0125	0/		
	Total	remaie	0.1037	0.110/	83 65		
	Total	IVIAIE	0.1030	0.0130	00		
C. C. Material Catalogue		Total	0.1034	0.0125	148		
Semantic Network Subnetwork	DIG	Female	0.1014	0.0101	48		
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band to	DMT	Male	0.1012	0.0104	35		
Negative Misses		Total	0.1013	0.0102	81		
	DOT	Female	0.1016	0.0070	35		
	PST	Male	0.1008	0.0072	32		
		Total	0.1012	0.0071	67		
		Female	0.1015	0.0089	83		
	Total	Male	0.1010	0.0089	65		
		Total	0.1013	0.0089	148		
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1021	0.0103	48		
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.1052	0.0110	33		
to Negative Misses		Total	0.1034	0.0106	81		
		Female	0.0994	0.0128	35		
	PST	Male	0.0971	0.0116	32		
		Total	0.0983	0.0122	67		
		Female	0.1010	0.0115	83		
	Total	Male	0.1012	0.0119	65		
		Total	0.1011	0.0116	148		
Table 19							

## Table 19: Semantic Memory Subnetwork Modularity to Negative Misses

## Table 20: Semantic Memory Select Network Modularity to Negative Misses

Table 20					
Semantic Network Select Network M	odularity to N	egative Misse	S Norm	CD	N
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	<u>SD</u>	<u>N</u>
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0074	0.0066	48
Modularity in the Theta Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0084	0.0066	33
Band to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0078	0.0066	81
	DOT	Female	-0.0051	0.0057	35
	PSI	Male	-0.0090	0.0058	32
		Total	-0.0070	0.0061	67
	<b>T</b>	Female	-0.0065	0.0063	83
	Total	Male	-0.0087	0.0062	65
		Total	-0.0074	0.0063	148
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0050	0.0076	48
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0071	0.0094	33
Band to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0059	0.0084	81
		Female	-0.0062	0.0072	35
	PST	Male	-0.0042	0.0085	32
		Total	-0.0053	0.0078	67
		Female	-0.0055	0.0074	83
	Total	Male	-0.0057	0.0090	65
		Total	-0.0056	0.0081	148
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0051	0.0064	48
Modularity in the Beta Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0046	0.0070	33
Band to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0049	0.0066	81
		Female	-0.0054	0.0079	35
	PST	Male	-0.0074	0.0069	32
		Total	-0.0063	0.0075	67
		Female	-0.0052	0.0070	83
	Total	Male	-0.0060	0.0070	65
		Total	-0.0056	0.0070	148
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0061	0.0069	48
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0059	0.0087	33
Band to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0060	0.0076	81
		Female	-0.0048	0.0092	35
	PST	Male	-0.0064	0.0072	32
		Total	-0.0056	0.0083	67
		Female	-0.0056	0.0079	83
	Total	Male	-0.0062	0.0079	65
		Total	-0.0058	0.0079	148
Table 20					

Table 21: Semantic Memory Subnetwork Modularity to Negative False Alarms:

Table 21						
Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Negative False Alarms						
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν	
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0946	0.0086	49	
Modularity in the Theta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0961	0.0102	37	
Band to Negative False Alarms		Total	0.0953	0.0093	86	
-		Female	0.9577	0.0090	38	
	PST	Male	0.0950	0.0073	36	
		Total	0.9542	0.0081	74	
		Female	0.0951	0.0087	87	
	Total	Male	0.0956	0.0088	73	
		Total	0.0953	0.0087	160	
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1098	0.0156	49	
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency	DMT	Male	0.1116	0.0186	37	
Band to Negative False Alarms		Total	0.1106	0.0169	86	
_		Female	0.1112	0.0145	38	
	PST	Male	0.1070	0.0129	36	
		Total	0.1091	0.0138	74	
		Female	0.1104	0.0150	87	
	Total	Male	0.1093	0.0161	73	
		Total	0.1099	0.0155	160	
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1117	0.0130	49	
Modularity in the Beta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.1081	0.0154	37	
Band to Negative False Alarms		Total	0.1101	0.0141	86	
		Female	0.1113	0.0135	38	
	PST	Male	0.1072	0.0119	36	
		Total	0.1093	0.0128	74	
		Female	0.1150	0.0132	87	
	Total	Male	0.1076	0.0137	73	
		Total	0.1097	0.0135	160	
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1061	0.0154	49	
Modularity in the Gamma	DMT	Male	0.1062	0.0174	37	
Frequency Band to Negative False		Total	0.1061	0.0162	86	
Alarms		Female	0.1071	0.0159	38	
	PST	Male	0.1065	0.0164	36	
		Total	0.1068	0.0160	74	
		Female	0.1065	0.0155	87	
	Total	Male	0.1064	0.0168	73	
		Total	0.1065	0.0161	160	
Table 21						

# Table 22: Semantic Memory Select Network Modularity to Negative False Alarms

Table 22						
Semantic Network Select Network Modularity to Negative False Alarms						
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν	
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0089	0.0050	49	
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0082	0.0051	37	
to Negative False Alarms		Total	-0.0086	0.0051	86	
		Female	-0.0093	0.0053	38	
	PST	Male	-0.0079	0.0061	36	
		Total	-0.0086	0.0057	74	
		Female	-0.0091	0.0051	87	
	Total	Male	-0.0081	0.0056	73	
		Total	-0.0086	0.0053	160	
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0060	0.0053	49	
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0065	0.0052	37	
to Negative False Alarms		Total	-0.0062	0.0051	86	
		Female	-0.0059	0.0049	38	
	PST	Male	-0.0062	0.0076	36	
		Total	-0.0060	0.0063	74	
		Female	-0.0059	0.0051	87	
	Total	Male	-0.0064	0.0065	73	
		Total	-0.0061	0.0058	160	
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0069	0.0043	49	
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0057	0.0046	37	
to Negative False Alarms		Total	-0.0063	0.0044	86	
		Female	-0.0064	0.0052	38	
	PST	Male	-0.0067	0.0052	36	
		Total	-0.0065	0.0052	74	
		Female	-0.0067	0.0047	87	
	Total	Male	-0.0062	0.0049	73	
		Total	-0.0064	0.0048	160	
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0072	0.0054	49	
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0079	0.0064	37	
Band to Negative False Alarms		Total	-0.0075	0.0058	86	
		Female	-0.0061	0.0052	38	
	PST	Male	-0.0067	0.0052	36	
		Total	-0.0064	0.0051	74	
		Female	-0.0067	0.0053	87	
	Total	Male	-0.0073	0.0058	73	
		Total	-0.0070	0.0055	160	
Table 22						

# Table 23: Semantic Memory Subnetwork Modularity to Negative Correct Rejections

Table 23					
Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity	to Correct Re	iections for 1	Vegative Feedh	nack	
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Semantic Network Subnetwork	Condition	Female	0.0895	0.0082	49
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0931	0.0052	37
to Correct Rejections for Negative		Total	0.0910	0.0073	86
Feedback		Female	0.0904	0.0106	38
	PST	Male	0.0914	0.0065	36
		Total	0.0908	0.0088	74
		Female	0.0899	0.0093	87
	Total	Male	0.0922	0.0059	73
		Total	0.0909	0.0080	160
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1175	0.0160	49
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.1232	0.0203	37
to Correct Rejections for Negative		Total	0.1199	0.0181	86
Feedback		Female	0.1215	0.0169	38
	PST	Male	0.1163	0.0208	36
		Total	0.1189	0.0190	74
		Female	0.1192	0.0164	87
	Total	Male	0.1198	0.0207	73
		Total	0.1195	0.0184	160
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1184	0.0169	49
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band to	DMT	Male	0.1232	0.0173	37
Correct Rejections for Negative Feedback		Total	0.1205	0.0172	86
		Female	0.1615	0.1218	38
	PST	Male	0.1196	0.0152	36
		Total	0.1207	0.0178	74
		Female	0.1199	0.0183	87
	Total	Male	0.1214	0.0163	73
		Total	0.1206	0.0174	160
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1096	0.0152	49
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.1116	0.0194	37
to Correct Rejections for Negative		Total	0.1105	0.0170	86
Feedback		Female	0.1159	0.0178	38
	PST	Male	0.1115	0.0147	36
		Total	0.1137	0.0164	74
		Female	0.1124	0.0166	87
	Total	Male	0.1115	0.0171	73
		Total	0.1120	0.0168	160
Table 23					

Table 24: Semantic Memory Select Network Modularity to Negative Correct Rejections

Semantic Network Selected Network Modular	ity to Correct	Rejections fo	r Negative Fee	edback	
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0086	0.0035	49
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band to	DMT	Male	-0.0076	0.0037	37
Correct Rejections for Negative Feedback		Total	-0.0082	0.0036	86
		Female	-0.0089	0.0045	38
	PST	Male	-0.0083	0.0043	36
		Total	-0.0086	0.0044	74
		Female	-0.0087	0.0040	87
	Total	Male	-0.0080	0.0040	73
		Total	-0.0084	0.0040	160
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0069	0.0045	49
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band to	DMT	Male	-0.0066	0.0046	37
Correct Rejections for Negative Feedback		Total	-0.0068	0.0045	86
		Female	-0.0051	0.0051	38
	PST	Male	-0.0067	0.0055	36
		Total	-0.0059	0.0053	74
		Female	-0.0061	0.0048	87
	Total	Male	-0.0066	0.0050	73
		Total	-0.0064	0.0049	160
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0067	0.0044	49
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band to to	DMT	Male	-0.0059	0.0043	37
Correct Rejections for Negative Feedback		Total	-0.0064	0.0044	86
		Female	-0.0057	0.0045	38
	PST	Male	-0.0062	0.0044	36
		Total	-0.0059	0.0044	74
		Female	-0.0062	0.0045	87
	Total	Male	-0.0061	0.0043	73
		Total	-0.0062	0.0044	160
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0074	0.0047	49
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency Band to	DMT	Male	-0.0082	0.0046	37
to Correct Rejections for Negative Feedback		Total	-0.0077	0.0047	86
		Female	-0.0071	0.0038	38
	PST	Male	-0.0083	0.0054	36
		Total	-0.0077	0.0046	74
		Female	-0.0073	0.0043	87
	Total	Male	-0.0082	0.0050	73
		Total	-0.0077	0.0046	160

# Table 25: Semantic Memory Subnetwork Modularity to Positive Hits

Table 25					
Semantic Network to Positive Hits					
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1039	0.0098	33
Modularity in the Theta Frequency	Threat	Male	0.0977	0.0102	28
Band to Positive Hits		Total	0.1017	0.0104	61
		Female	0.0982	0.0079	26
	Control	Male	0.0968	0.0131	26
		Total	0.0975	0.0108	52
		Female	0.1014	0.0094	59
	Total	Male	0.0973	0.0116	54
		Total	0.0994	0.0107	113
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1030	0.0100	33
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency	Threat	Male	0.1005	0.0120	28
Band to Positive Hits		Total	0.1019	0.0110	61
		Female	0.0991	0.0104	26
	Control	Male	0.1027	0.0090	26
		Total	0.1009	0.0098	52
		Female	0.1013	0.0103	59
	Total	Male	0.1015	0.0106	54
		Total	0.1014	0.0104	113
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1017	0.0076	33
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	Threat	Male	0.1000	0.0092	28
to Positive Hits		Total	0.1009	0.0083	61
		Female	0.1021	0.0080	26
	Control	Male	0.1025	0.0096	26
		Total	0.1023	0.0088	52
		Female	0.1019	0.0077	59
	Total	Male	0.1012	0.0094	54
		Total	0.1015	0.0085	113
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1024	0.0117	33
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	Threat	Male	0.1014	0.0066	28
Band to Positive Hits		Total	0.1020	0.0096	61
		Female	0.1005	0.0125	26
	Control	Male	0.1002	0.0136	26
		Total	0.1004	0.0129	52
		Female	0.1016	0.0120	59
	Total	Male	0.1008	0.0105	54
		Total	0.1012	0.0113	113

# Table 26: Semantic Memory Select Network Modularity to Positive Hits
Table 26					
Semantic Network to Positive Hits					
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0089	0.0069	33
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	Threat	Male	-0.0071	0.0064	28
to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0081	0.0067	61
		Female	-0.0057	0.0080	26
	Control	Male	-0.0079	0.0069	26
		Total	-0.0068	0.0075	52
		Female	-0.0075	0.0075	59
	Total	Male	-0.0073	0.0068	54
		Total	-0.0075	0.0071	113
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0059	0.0067	33
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	Threat	Male	-0.0071	0.0068	28
to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0065	0.0067	61
		Female	-0.0073	0.0075	26
	Control	Male	-0.0076	0.0070	26
		Total	-0.0074	0.0072	52
		Female	-0.0065	0.0071	59
	Total	Male	-0.0073	0.0068	54
		Total	-0.0069	0.0069	113
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0067	0.0078	33
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	Threat	Male	-0.0055	0.0055	28
to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0062	0.0068	61
		Female	-0.0060	0.0069	26
	Control	Male	-0.0062	0.0077	26
		Total	-0.0074	0.0072	52
		Female	-0.0064	0.0073	59
	Total	Male	-0.0059	0.0066	54
		Total	-0.0062	0.0070	113
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0091	0.0085	33
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	Threat	Male	-0.0095	0.0091	28
Band to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0093	0.0087	61
	- ·	Female	-0.0052	0.0095	26
	Control	Male	-0.0070	0.0083	26
		Total	-0.0061	0.0089	52
	_	Female	-0.0074	0.0091	59
	Total	Male	-0.0083	0.0088	54
		Total	-0.0078	0.0089	113
Table 26					

## Table 27: Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive False Alarms

Table 27										
Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive False Alarms										
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	<u>SD</u>	<u>N</u>					
Semantic Network Subnetwork	DMT	Female	0.0941	0.0072	49					
Modularity in the Theta Frequency		Male	0.0942	0.0104	37					
Band to Positive False Alarms	DOT	Total	0.0941	0.0087	80					
	PST	Female	0.9280	0.0075	38					
		Male	0.0906	0.0085	35					
		Total	0.0917	0.0080	73					
	Total	Female	0.0935	0.0074	87					
		Male	-0.2449	0.0062	72					
		Total	0.0930	0.0084	159					
Semantic Network Subnetwork	DMT	Female	0.1120	0.0157	49					
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency		Male	0.1151	0.0151	37					
Band to Positive False Alarms		Total	0.1133	0.0154	86					
	PST	Female	0.1154	0.0164	38					
		Male	0.1073	0.0169	35					
		Total	0.1115	0.0170	73					
	Total	Female	0.1135	0.0160	87					
		Male	0.1113	0.0164	72					
		Total	0.1125	0.0161	159					
Semantic Network Subnetwork	DMT	Female	0.1117	0.0138	49					
Modularity in the Beta Frequency		Male	0.1103	0.0146	37					
Band to Positive False Alarms		Total	0.1111	0.0141	86					
	PST	Female	0.1135	0.0172	38					
		Male	0.1090	0.0141	35					
		Total	0.1113	0.0158	73					
	Total	Female	0.1125	0.0153	87					
		Male	0.1096	0.1426	72					
		Total	0.1112	0.0149	159					
Semantic Network Subnetwork	DMT	Female	0.1060	0.0142	49					
Modularity in the Gamma		Male	0.1103	0.0169	37					
Frequency Band to Positive False		Total	0.1079	0.0155	86					
Alarms	PST	Female	0.1089	0.0151	38					
		Male	0.0998	0.0158	35					
		Total	0.1045	0.0160	73					
	Total	Female	0.1072	0.0146	87					
		Male	0.1052	0.0171	72					
		Total	0.1063	0.0158	159					
Table 27										

Table 28: Semantic Network Select Network Modularity to Positive False Alarms

Table 28								
Semantic Network Select Network Modularity to Positive False Alarms								
Variable Condition Gender Mean SD N								
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0094	0.0053	49			
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0066	0.0046	37			
to Positive False Alarms		Total	-0.0081	0.0052	86			
		Female	-0.0082	0.0052	38			
	PST	Male	-0.0087	0.0043	35			
		Total	-0.0084	0.0048	73			
		Female	-0.8841	0.0053	87			
	Total	Male	-0.0076	0.0046	72			
		Total	-0.0083	0.0050	159			
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0062	0.0058	49			
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0061	0.0061	37			
to Positive False Alarms		Total	-0.0062	0.0059	86			
		Female	-0.0063	0.0062	38			
	PST	Male	-0.0068	0.0056	35			
		Total	-0.0065	0.0059	73			
		Female	-0.0062	0.0059	87			
	Total	Male	-0.0065	0.0058	72			
		Total	-0.0063	0.0059	159			
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0053	0.0062	49			
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0062	0.0053	37			
to Positive False Alarms		Total	-0.0057	0.0059	86			
		Female	-0.0062	0.0058	38			
	PST	Male	-0.0060	0.0048	35			
		Total	-0.0061	0.0053	73			
		Female	-0.0057	0.0060	87			
	Total	Male	-0.0061	0.0050	72			
		Total	-0.0059	0.0056	159			
Semantic Network Select Network		Female	-0.0072	0.0057	49			
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0066	0.0062	37			
Band to Positive False Alarms		Total	-0.0069	0.0059	86			
		Female	-0.0057	0.0064	38			
	PST	Male	-0.0071	0.0046	35			
		Total	-0.0064	0.0057	73			
		Female	-0.0065	0.0060	87			
	Total	Male	-0.0068	0.0055	72			
		Total	-0.0078	0.0058	159			
Table 28								

## Table 29: Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive Correct Rejections

Table 29										
Semantic Network Subnetwork Modularity to Correct Rejections for Positive Feedback										
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν					
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0884	0.0098	49					
Modularity in the Theta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0917	0.0080	37					
Band to Correct Rejections for		Total	0.0898	0.0092	86					
Positive Feedback		Female	0.0911	0.0074	38					
	PST	Male	0.0938	0.0075	35					
		Total	0.0924	0.0075	73					
		Female	0.0896	0.0089	87					
	Total	Male	0.0927	0.0078	72					
		Total	0.0910	0.0085	159					
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1202	0.0188	49					
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency	DMT	Male	0.1191	0.0223	37					
Band to Correct Rejections for		Total	0.1197	0.0203	86					
Positive Feedback		Female	0.1227	0.0162	38					
	PST	Male	0.1149	0.0155	35					
		Total	0.1190	0.0162	73					
		Female	0.1213	0.0177	87					
	Total	Male	0.1170	0.0193	72					
		Total	0.1194	0.0185	159					
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1208	0.0169	49					
Modularity in the Beta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.1224	0.0182	37					
Band to Correct Rejections for		Total	0.1215	0.0174	86					
Positive Feedback		Female	0.1262	0.0158	38					
	PST	Male	0.1217	0.0135	35					
		Total	0.1240	0.0148	73					
		Female	0.1232	0.0166	87					
	Total	Male	0.1221	0.0160	72					
		Total	0.1227	0.0182	159					
Semantic Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1079	0.0190	49					
Modularity in the Gamma	DMT	Male	0.1133	0.0204	37					
Frequency Band to Correct		Total	0.1103	0.0197	86					
Rejections for Positive Feedback		Female	0.1109	0.0175	38					
	PST	Male	0.1082	0.0153	35					
		Total	0.1096	0.0164	73					
		Female	0.1092	0.0183	87					
	Total	Male	0.1108	0.0182	72					
		Total	0.1100	0.0182	159					
Table 29										

Table 30: Semantic Network Select Network Modularity to Positive Correct Rejections

Table 30					
Semantic Network Selected Network Mo	dularity to Co	rrect Rejectio	ns for Positive	Feedback	
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0080	0.0037	49
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0090	0.0042	37
to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0084	0.0039	86
Feedback		Female	-0.0102	0.0042	38
	PST	Male	-0.0082	0.0050	35
		Total	-0.0092	0.0047	73
		Female	-0.0090	0.0041	87
	Total	Male	-0.0086	0.0460	72
		Total	-0.0088	0.0043	159
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0062	0.0045	49
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0056	0.0035	37
to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0060	0.0041	86
Feedback		Female	-0.0076	0.0042	38
	PST	Male	-0.0061	0.0057	35
		Total	-0.0069	0.0050	73
		Female	-0.0069	0.0041	87
	Total	Male	-0.0058	0.0047	72
		Total	-0.0064	0.0045	159
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0048	0.0049	49
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0053	0.0047	37
to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0050	0.0048	86
Feedback		Female	-0.0070	0.0042	38
	PST	Male	-0.0065	0.0051	35
		Total	-0.0068	0.0046	73
		Female	-0.0576	0.0047	87
	Total	Male	-0.0059	0.0049	72
		Total	-0.0058	0.0048	159
Semantic Network Selected Network		Female	-0.0075	0.0048	49
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0073	0.0048	37
Band to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0074	0.0047	86
Feedback		Female	-0.0069	0.0040	38
	PST	Male	-0.0081	0.0049	35
		Total	-0.0075	0.0045	73
		Female	-0.0073	0.0044	87
	Total	Male	-0.0077	0.0048	72
		Total	-0.0075	0.0046	159
Table 30					

## Table 31: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Negative False Alarms

Table 31								
Emotion Network Subnetwork N	Modularity to	False Alarms	for Negative I	Feedback				
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.089	0.007	49			
Modularity in the Theta	DMT	Male	0.087	0.008	37			
Frequency Band to Wrong		Total	0.089	0.007	86			
False Alarms		Female	0.092	0.007	38			
	PST	Male	0.088	0.006	36			
		Total	0.090	0.006	74			
		Female	0.090	0.007	87			
	Total	Male	0.088	0.007	73			
		Total	0.089	0.007	160			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.091	0.009	49			
Modularity in the Alpha	DMT	Male	0.090	0.010	37			
Frequency Band to Wrong		Total	0.091	0.009	86			
False Alarms		Female	0.094	0.010	38			
	PST	Male	0.093	0.009	36			
		Total	0.094	0.010	74			
		Female	0.093	0.010	87			
	Total	Male	0.091	0.009	73			
		Total	0.092	0.010	160			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.145	0.020	49			
Modularity in the Beta	DMT	Male	0.144	0.018	37			
Frequency Band to Wrong		Total	0.144	0.019	86			
False Alarms		Female	0.136	0.020	38			
	PST	Male	0.137	0.017	36			
		Total	0.137	0.018	74			
		Female	0.141	0.020	87			
	Total	Male	0.141	0.017	73			
		Total	0.141	0.019	160			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.091	0.011	49			
Modularity in the Gamma	DMT	Male	0.090	0.009	37			
Frequency Band to Wrong		Total	0.090	0.010	86			
False Alarms		Female	0.096	0.010	38			
	PST	Male	0.091	0.011	36			
		Total	0.093	0.011	74			
		Female	0.093	0.011	87			
	Total	Male	0.090	0.010	73			
		Total	0.092	0.010	160			
Table 31								

## Table 32: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Negative False Alarms

Table 32									
Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to False Alarms for Negative Feedback									
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0059	0.0051	49				
Network Modularity in the	DMT	Male	-0.0057	0.0058	37				
Theta Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0058	0.0054	86				
Wrong False Alarms		Female	-0.0039	0.0074	38				
-	PST	Male	-0.0042	0.0060	36				
		Total	-0.0041	0.0067	74				
		Female	-0.0050	0.0062	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0050	0.0059	73				
		Total	-0.0050	0.0061	160				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0005	0.0046	49				
Network Modularity in the	DMT	Male	-0.0026	0.0046	37				
Alpha Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0014	0.0047	86				
Wrong False Alarms		Female	0.0010	0.0059	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0003	0.0060	36				
		Total	0.0004	0.0059	74				
		Female	0.0002	0.0052	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0014	0.0054	73				
		Total	-0.0006	0.0054	160				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0003	0.0040	49				
Network Modularity in the	DMT	Male	-0.0022	0.0036	37				
Beta Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0011	0.0039	86				
Wrong False Alarms		Female	-0.0009	0.0057	38				
	PST	Male	0.0002	0.0059	36				
		Total	-0.0004	0.0058	74				
		Female	-0.0006	0.0048	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0010	0.0050	73				
		Total	-0.0008	0.0049	160				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0026	0.0049	49				
Network Modularity in the	DMT	Male	-0.0038	0.0054	37				
Gamma Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0031	0.0051	86				
Wrong False Alarms		Female	0.0006	0.0060	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0002	0.0060	36				
		Total	0.0002	0.0060	74				
	_	Female	-0.0012	0.0056	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0020	0.0059	73				
		Total	-0.0016	0.0058	160				
Table 32									

## Table 33: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Negative Correct Rejections

Table 33					
Emotion Network Subnetwork Mod	ularity to Neg	ative Correct	Rejections		
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0896	0.0060	49
Modularity in the Theta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0890	0.0055	37
Band to Negative Correct		Total	0.0894	0.0058	86
Rejections		Female	0.0913	0.0064	38
	PST	Male	0.0891	0.0060	36
		Total	0.0902	0.0063	74
		Female	0.0903	0.0062	87
	Total	Male	0.0891	0.0058	73
		Total	0.0898	0.0060	160
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0933	0.0107	49
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0943	0.0083	37
Band to Negative Correct		Total	0.0937	0.0097	86
Rejections		Female	0.0940	0.0066	38
	PST	Male	0.0899	0.0091	36
		Total	0.0920	0.0081	74
		Female	0.0936	0.0091	87
	Total	Male	0.0921	0.0089	73
		Total	0.0929	0.0090	160
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0920	0.0090	49
Modularity in the Beta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0913	0.0103	37
Band to Negative Correct		Total	0.0917	0.0095	86
Rejections		Female	0.0923	0.0091	38
	PST	Male	0.0891	0.0130	36
		Total	0.0908	0.0112	74
		Female	0.0291	0.0090	87
	Total	Male	0.0902	0.0117	73
		Total	0.0921	0.0086	160
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0925	0.0092	49
Modularity in the Gamma	DMT	Male	0.0912	0.0078	37
Frequency Band to Negative		Total	0.0919	0.0086	86
Correct Rejections		Female	0.0923	0.0083	38
-	PST	Male	0.0925	0.0092	36
		Total	0.0924	0.0087	74
		Female	0.0924	0.0088	87
	Total	Male	0.0918	0.0085	73
		Total	0.0921	0.0086	160
Table 33					

Table 34: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Negative Correct Rejections

Table 34									
Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Negative Correct Rejections									
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0063	0.0043	49				
Network Modularity in the Theta	DMT	Male	-0.0060	0.0046	37				
Frequency Band to Negative		Total	-0.0062	0.0044	86				
Correct Rejections		Female	-0.0041	0.0047	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0045	0.0045	36				
		Total	-0.0134	0.0046	74				
		Female	-0.0053	0.0046	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0053	0.0046	73				
		Total	-0.0053	0.0046	160				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0013	0.0038	49				
Network Modularity in the Alpha	DMT	Male	-0.0022	0.0047	37				
Frequency Band to Negative		Total	-0.0017	0.0042	86				
Correct Rejections		Female	-0.0009	0.0051	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0017	0.0039	36				
		Total	-0.0013	0.0045	74				
		Female	-0.0011	0.0044	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0019	0.0047	73				
		Total	-0.0015	0.0043	160				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0006	0.0032	49				
Network Modularity in the Beta	DMT	Male	-0.0012	0.0050	37				
Frequency Band to Negative		Total	-0.0009	0.0041	86				
Correct Rejections		Female	-0.0010	0.0043	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0005	0.0044	36				
		Total	-0.0008	0.0044	74				
		Female	-0.0008	0.0038	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0009	0.0047	73				
		Total	-0.0009	0.0042	160				
Emotion Network Select		Female	-0.0023	0.0041	49				
Network Modularity in the	DMT	Male	-0.0028	0.0039	37				
Gamma Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0025	0.0040	86				
Negative Correct Rejections		Female	-0.0013	0.0043	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0021	0.0052	36				
		Total	-0.0017	0.0047	74				
		Female	-0.0019	0.0042	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0024	0.0045	73				
		Total	-0.0021	0.0044	160				
Table 34									

## Table 35: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Negative Misses

Table 35								
Emotion Network Misses to Negative Feedback								
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0882	0.0086	48			
Modularity in the Theta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0875	0.0074	33			
Band to Negative Misses		Total	0.0879	0.0081	81			
5		Female	0.0890	0.0070	35			
	PST	Male	0.0866	0.0083	32			
		Total	0.0878	0.0085	67			
		Female	0.0885	0.0086	83			
	Total	Male	0.0870	0.0078	65			
		Total	0.0879	0.0083	148			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0931	0.0119	48			
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0910	0.0117	33			
Band to Negative Misses		Total	0.0923	0.0118	81			
-		Female	0.0912	0.0115	35			
	PST	Male	0.0882	0.0115	32			
		Total	0.0898	0.0115	67			
		Female	0.0923	0.0117	83			
	Total	Male	0.0897	0.0116	65			
		Total	0.0911	0.0117	148			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.1239	0.0110	48			
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.1227	0.0116	33			
to Negative Misses		Total	0.1234	0.0112	81			
		Female	0.1253	0.0131	35			
	PST	Male	0.1296	0.0154	32			
		Total	0.1273	0.0143	67			
		Female	0.1245	0.1187	83			
	Total	Male	0.1261	0.0139	65			
		Total	0.1252	0.0128	148			
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0924	0.0153	48			
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0903	0.0114	33			
Band to Negative Misses		Total	0.0915	0.0138	81			
		Female	0.0916	0.0123	35			
	PST	Male	0.0856	0.0117	32			
		Total	0.0887	0.0123	67			
		Female	0.0921	0.0140	83			
	Total	Male	0.0880	0.0117	65			
		Total	0.0903	0.0132	148			
Table 35								

## Table 36: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Negative Misses

Table 36									
Emotion Network Misses to Negative Feedback									
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν				
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	-0.0033	0.0053	48				
Modularity in the Theta Frequency		Male	-0.0031	0.0073	33				
Band to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0032	0.0061	81				
_	PST	Female	-0.0051	0.0056	35				
		Male	-0.0044	0.0067	32				
		Total	-0.0048	0.0074	67				
	Total	Female	-0.0041	0.0066	83				
		Male	-0.0037	0.0070	65				
		Total	-0.0039	0.0068	148				
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	-0.0011	0.0068	48				
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency		Male	0.0001	0.0063	33				
Band to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0006	0.0066	81				
	PST	Female	-0.0010	0.0056	35				
		Male	-0.0013	0.0061	32				
		Total	-0.0012	0.0058	67				
	Total	Female	-0.0011	0.0063	83				
		Male	-0.0006	0.0062	65				
		Total	-0.0009	0.0062	148				
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	-0.0013	0.0058	48				
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band		Male	-0.0011	0.0059	33				
to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0012	0.0058	81				
	PST	Female	-0.0008	0.0060	35				
		Male	-0.0020	0.0052	32				
		Total	-0.0013	0.0056	67				
	Total	Female	-0.0011	0.0059	83				
		Male	-0.0015	0.0056	65				
		Total	-0.0013	0.0057	148				
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	-0.0014	0.0062	48				
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency		Male	-0.0007	0.0074	33				
Band to Negative Misses		Total	-0.0011	0.0067	81				
	PST	Female	-0.0008	0.0070	35				
		Male	-0.0017	0.0062	32				
		Total	-0.0013	0.0066	67				
	Total	Female	-0.0012	0.0066	83				
		Male	-0.0012	0.0068	65				
		Total	-0.0012	0.0066	148				
Table 36									

# Table 37: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive Hits

Table 37									
Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive Hits									
Variable Condition Gender Mean SD N									
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0878	0.0090	33				
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0844	0.0115	28				
to Positive Hits		Total	0.0862	0.0103	61				
		Female	0.0864	0.0099	26				
	PST	Male	0.0907	0.0101	26				
		Total	0.0885	0.0102	52				
		Female	0.0872	0.0094	59				
	Total	Male	0.0874	0.0112	54				
		Total	0.0873	0.0102	113				
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0912	0.0160	33				
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0899	0.0152	28				
to Positive Hits		Total	0.0906	0.0155	61				
		Female	0.0926	0.0114	26				
	PST	Male	0.0877	0.0177	26				
		Total	0.0902	0.0150	52				
		Female	0.0918	0.0141	59				
	Total	Male	0.0889	0.0163	54				
		Total	0.0904	0.0152	113				
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0895	0.0077	33				
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0910	0.0070	28				
to Positive Hits		Total	0.0902	0.0073	61				
		Female	0.0900	0.0100	26				
	PST	Male	0.0907	0.0099	26				
		Total	0.0904	0.0099	52				
		Female	0.0897	0.0087	59				
	Total	Male	0.0909	0.0085	54				
		Total	0.0903	0.0086	113				
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0865	0.0112	33				
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0865	0.0125	28				
Band to Positive Hits		Total	0.0865	0.0117	61				
		Female	0.0903	0.0106	26				
	PST	Male	0.0903	0.0137	26				
		Total	0.0903	0.0121	52				
		Female	0.0882	0.0111	59				
	Total	Male	0.0883	0.0131	54				
		Total	0.0882	0.0120	113				
Table 37									

Table 38					
Emotion Network Select Network Mod	fularity to Pos	sitive Hits			
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	0.0065	-0.0006	33
Modularity in the Theta Frequency		Male	-0.0036	0.0069	28
Band to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0020	0.0067	61
	PST	Female	-0.0022	0.0069	26
		Male	-0.0032	0.0077	26
		Total	-0.0028	0.0072	53
	Total	Female	-0.0013	0.0067	59
		Male	-0.0034	0.0072	54
		Total	-0.0023	0.0070	113
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	0.0000	0.0070	33
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency		Male	-0.0017	0.0060	28
Band to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0008	0.0066	61
	PST	Female	0.0004	0.0062	26
		Male	-0.0014	0.0057	26
		Total	-0.0005	0.0060	53
	Total	Female	0.0002	0.0067	59
		Male	-0.0015	0.0058	54
		Total	-0.0006	0.0063	113
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	-0.0002	0.0069	33
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	Ļ	Male	-0.0007	0.0046	28
to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0004	0.0058	61
	PST	Female	0.0006	0.0050	26
		Male	0.0016	0.0056	26
		Total	0.0011	0.0053	53
	Total	Female	0.0002	0.0061	59
		Male	0.0004	0.0051	54
		Total	0.0002	0.0056	113
Emotion Network Select Network	DMT	Female	-0.0017	0.0064	33
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency		Male	-0.0037	0.0065	28
Band to Positive Hits		Total	-0.0027	0.0065	61
	PST	Female	0.0003	0.0060	26
		Male	-0.0011	0.0086	26
		Total	-0.0004	0.0073	53
	Total	Female	-0.0008	0.0062	59
		Male	-0.0025	0.0076	54
m ++ - 00		Total	-0.0016	0.0069	113
Table 38					

Table 38: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Positive Hits

Table 39					
Emotion Network Subnetwork 1	Modularity to	Positive Fals	e Alarms		
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0899	0.0069	49
Modularity in the Theta	DMT	Male	0.0896	0.0067	37
Frequency Band to Positive		Total	0.0897	0.0068	86
False Alarms		Female	0.0890	0.0065	38
	PST	Male	0.0883	0.0065	35
		Total	0.0887	0.0064	73
		Female	0.0895	0.0067	87
	Total	Male	0.0890	0.0066	72
		Total	0.0893	0.0066	159
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0907	0.0097	49
Modularity in the Alpha	DMT	Male	0.0917	0.0110	37
Frequency Band to Positive		Total	0.0912	0.0102	86
False Alarms		Female	0.0936	0.0093	38
	PST	Male	0.0928	0.0106	35
		Total	0.0932	0.0099	73
		Female	0.0920	0.0096	87
	Total	Male	0.0922	0.0108	72
		Total	0.0921	0.0101	159
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0908	0.0079	49
Modularity in the Beta	DMT	Male	0.0898	0.0081	37
Frequency Band to Positive		Total	0.0904	0.0079	86
False Alarms		Female	0.0906	0.0090	38
	PST	Male	0.0912	0.0091	35
		Total	0.0908	0.0090	73
		Female	0.0907	0.0083	87
	Total	Male	0.0905	0.0085	72
		Total	0.0906	0.0084	159
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0925	0.0092	49
Modularity in the Gamma	DMT	Male	0.0915	0.0089	37
Frequency Band to Positive		Total	0.0921	0.0090	86
False Alarms		Female	0.0928	0.0094	38
	PST	Male	0.0929	0.0082	35
		Total	0.0929	0.0088	73
		Female	0.0926	0.0092	87
	Total	Male	0.0922	0.0085	72
		Total	0.0925	0.0089	159
Table 39					

Table 39: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive False Alarms

Table 40					
Emotion Network Select Netw	vork Modularit	v to Positive	False Alarms		
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N
Emotion Network Select	DMT	Female	-0.0063	0.0066	49
Network Modularity in the		Male	-0.0076	0.0063	37
Theta Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0069	0.0064	86
Positive False Alarms	PST	Female	-0.0046	0.0054	38
		Male	-0.0049	0.0054	35
		Total	-0.0048	0.0054	73
	Total	Female	0.0056	0.0061	87
		Male	-0.0063	0.0060	72
		Total	-0.0059	0.0061	159
Emotion Network Select	DMT	Female	-0.0018	0.0048	49
Network Modularity in the		Male	-0.0017	0.0054	37
Alpha Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0017	0.0051	86
Positive False Alarms	PST	Female	-0.0021	0.0058	38
		Male	-0.0004	0.0051	35
		Total	-0.0013	0.0055	73
	Total	Female	-0.0019	0.0052	87
		Male	-0.0011	0.0053	72
		Total	-0.0015	0.0053	159
Emotion Network Select	DMT	Female	-0.0018	0.0043	49
Network Modularity in the		Male	-0.0013	0.0040	37
Beta Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0016	0.0041	86
Positive False Alarms	PST	Female	-0.0010	0.0049	38
		Male	0.0001	0.0045	35
		Total	-0.0005	0.0047	73
	Total	Female	-0.0015	0.0045	87
		Male	-0.0006	0.0043	72
		Total	-0.0011	0.0044	159
Emotion Network Select	DMT	Female	-0.0032	0.0048	49
Network Modularity in the		Male	-0.0027	0.0062	37
Gamma Frequency Band to		Total	-0.0030	0.0054	86
Positive False Alarms	PST	Female	-0.0031	0.0054	38
		Male	-0.0010	0.0055	35
		Total	-0.0021	0.0055	73
	Total	Female	-0.0031	0.0050	87
		Male	-0.0019	0.0059	72
T 11 10		Total	-0.0026	0.0054	159
Table 40					

Table 40: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Positive False Alarms

Table 41									
Emotion Network Subnetwork Modula	rity to Correct	Rejections for	or Positive Fee	dback					
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	N				
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0907	0.0061	49				
Modularity in the Theta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0884	0.0054	37				
Band to Correct Rejections for		Total	0.0897	0.0059	86				
Positive Feedback		Female	0.0912	0.0072	38				
	PST	Male	0.0897	0.0058	35				
		Total	0.0905	0.0066	73				
		Female	0.0909	0.0066	87				
	Total	Male	0.0891	0.0056	72				
		Total	0.0901	0.0062	159				
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0914	0.0088	49				
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0904	0.0083	37				
Band to Correct Rejections for		Total	0.0909	0.0085	86				
Positive Feedback		Female	0.0918	0.0095	38				
	PST	Male	0.0907	0.0108	35				
		Total	0.0913	0.0101	73				
		Female	0.0916	0.0090	87				
	Total	Male	0.0905	0.0095	73				
		Total	0.0911	0.0092	159				
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0911	0.0096	49				
Modularity in the Beta Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0908	0.0086	37				
Band to Correct Rejections for		Total	0.0909	0.0091	86				
Positive Feedback		Female	0.0925	0.0084	38				
	PST	Male	0.0902	0.0076	35				
		Total	0.0914	0.0081	73				
		Female	0.0917	0.0091	87				
	Total	Male	0.0905	0.0081	73				
		Total	0.0912	0.0086	159				
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0928	0.0088	49				
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0897	0.0084	37				
Band to Correct Rejections for		Total	0.0915	0.0087	86				
Positive Feedback		Female	0.0946	0.0090	38				
	PST	Male	0.0908	0.0092	35				
		Total	0.0928	0.0092	73				
		Female	0.0936	0.0089	87				
	Total	Male	0.0903	0.0087	72				
		Total	0.0921	0.0089	159				
Table 41									

Table 41: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive Correct Rejections

Table 42									
Emotion Network Select Network Modula	arity to Correc	t Rejections	for Positive Fe	edback					
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν				
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	-0.0048	0.0056	49				
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0059	0.0057	37				
to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0052	0.0056	86				
Feedback		Female	-0.0037	0.0055	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0052	0.0054	35				
		Total	-0.0044	0.0055	73				
		Female	-0.0043	0.0055	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0056	0.0055	72				
		Total	-0.0049	0.0055	159				
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	-0.0008	0.0039	49				
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0019	0.0043	37				
to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0013	0.0041	86				
Feedback		Female	-0.0013	0.0036	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0010	0.0045	35				
		Total	-0.0011	0.0055	73				
		Female	-0.0010	0.0038	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0015	0.0044	72				
		Total	-0.0012	0.0040	159				
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	0.0001	0.0032	49				
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0011	0.0040	37				
to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0004	0.0036	86				
Feedback		Female	-0.0010	0.0045	38				
	PST	Male	0.0003	0.0043	35				
		Total	-0.0004	0.0045	73				
		Female	-0.0004	0.0039	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0004	0.0042	72				
		Total	-0.0004	0.0040	159				
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	-0.0012	-0.0039	49				
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	-0.0023	0.0050	37				
Band to Correct Rejections for Positive		Total	-0.0017	0.0044	86				
Feedback		Female	-0.0011	0.0044	38				
	PST	Male	-0.0001	0.0044	35				
		Total	-0.0006	0.0044	73				
		Female	-0.0012	0.0041	87				
	Total	Male	-0.0012	0.0048	72				
		Total	-0.0012	0.0044	159				
Table 42									

Table 42: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Positive Correct Rejections

Table 43					
Emotion Network Misses to Positive Feed	iback				
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0894	0.0083	41
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0868	0.0083	35
to Positive Misses		Total	0.0882	0.0083	76
		Female	0.0887	0.0082	34
	PST	Male	0.0862	0.0073	31
		Total	0.0875	0.0078	65
		Female	0.0891	0.0082	75
	Total	Male	0.0865	0.0078	66
		Total	0.0879	0.0081	141
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0906	0.0127	41
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0869	0.0106	35
to Positive Misses		Total	0.0889	0.0119	76
		Female	0.0912	0.0105	34
	PST	Male	0.0883	0.0121	31
		Total	0.0898	0.0113	65
		Female	0.0909	0.0117	75
	Total	Male	0.0876	0.0113	66
		Total	0.0893	0.0116	141
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0904	0.0083	41
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0879	0.0070	35
to Positive Misses		Total	0.0893	0.0078	76
		Female	0.0901	0.0095	34
	PST	Male	0.0877	0.0101	31
		Total	0.0889	0.0098	65
		Female	0.0903	0.0088	75
	Total	Male	0.0878	0.0085	66
		Total	0.0891	0.0087	141
Emotion Network Subnetwork		Female	0.0936	0.0128	41
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0897	0.0101	35
Band to Positive Misses		Total	0.0918	0.0117	76
		Female	0.0883	0.0100	34
	PST	Male	0.0881	0.0142	31
		Total	0.0882	0.0121	65
	_	Female	0.0912	0.0119	75
	Total	Male	0.0890	0.0121	66
		Total	0.0901	0.0120	141
Table 43					

## Table 43: Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity to Positive Misses

Table 44					
Emotion Network Misses to Positive Feed	iback				
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	SD	Ν
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	-0.0042	0.0067	41
Modularity in the Theta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0043	0.0085	35
to Positive Misses		Total	-0.0043	0.0075	76
		Female	-0.0036	0.0075	34
	PST	Male	-0.0031	0.0072	31
		Total	-0.0034	0.0073	65
		Female	-0.0039	0.0071	75
	Total	Male	-0.0038	0.0078	66
		Total	-0.0039	0.0074	141
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	-0.0005	0.0063	41
Modularity in the Alpha Frequency Band	DMT	Male	-0.0013	0.0074	35
to Positive Misses		Total	-0.0009	0.0068	76
		Female	0.0012	0.0061	34
	PST	Male	-0.0009	0.0057	31
		Total	0.0002	0.0060	65
		Female	0.0003	0.0062	75
	Total	Male	-0.0011	0.0066	66
		Total	-0.0004	0.0064	141
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	-0.0005	0.0062	41
Modularity in the Beta Frequency Band	DMT	Male	0.0004	0.0051	35
to Positive Misses		Total	-0.0001	0.0057	76
		Female	-0.0006	0.0056	34
	PST	Male	-0.0016	0.0063	31
		Total	-0.0011	0.0059	65
		Female	-0.0006	0.0059	75
	Total	Male	-0.0005	0.0057	66
		Total	-0.0006	0.0058	141
Emotion Network Select Network		Female	-0.0009	0.0060	41
Modularity in the Gamma Frequency	DMT	Male	0.0007	0.0060	35
Band to Positive Misses		Total	-0.0001	0.0060	76
		Female	-0.0011	0.0053	34
	PST	Male	-0.0019	0.0084	31
		Total	-0.0015	0.0069	65
		Female	-0.0010	0.0057	75
	Total	Male	-0.0005	0.0073	66
		Total	-0.0008	0.0064	141
Table 44					

Table 44: Emotion Network Select Network Modularity to Positive Misses

#### Table 45: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections

Table 45								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct	t Rejections	i i i						
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.24**	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Beta Frequency Band	0.34**	0.53**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.41**	0.45**	0.43**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.03	0.24**	0.12	0.09	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.08	-0.19*	-0.12	-0.03	0.07	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Beta Frequency Band	0.12	-0.09	0.00	0.03	0.11	0.45**	1.00	
8. Semantic Memory Network Selected Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.11	0.10	-0.14	-0.03	0.23**	0.46**	0.39**	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 45								

#### Table 46: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections

Table 46								-
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correc	t Rejections							
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.55**	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Beta Frequency Band	0.47**	0.59**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.68**	0.67**	0.53**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Theta Frequency Band	0.06	-0.02	0.00	-0.04	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.10	-0.13	1.00		
7. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Beta Frequency Band	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.60**	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Correct Rejections in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.09	0.00	0.04	0.03	-0.06	0.70**	0.55**	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 46								

# Table 47: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections

Table 47								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct	Rejections							
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.34**	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Beta Frequency Band	0.31**	0.50**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.43**	0.54**	0.47**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.14	-0.08	-0.12	-0.10	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.06	-0.19*	-0.05	-0.06	0.00	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Beta Frequency Band	0.14	-0.05	-0.08	-0.01	0.09	0.37**	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.04	-0.11	-0.11	-0.03	0.15	0.50**	0.37**	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 47								

#### Table 48: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Correct Rejections

<u>3</u> ) ** 1.00	4	5	<u>6</u>	7	8
<u>3</u> ** 1.00	<u>4</u>	5	<u>6</u>	2	8
<u>3</u> ** 1.00	4	5	<u>6</u>	7	8
* 1.00					
* 1.00					
* 1.00					
* 0.53**	1.00				
* -0.12	-0.12	1.00			
0.16*	0.18*	-0.07	1.00		
0.04	0.17*	-0.07	0.66**	1.00	
0.07	0.06	-0.03	0.54**	0.55**	1.00
	* 0.53** * -0.12 ? 0.16* ! 0.04 ! 0.07	* 0.53** 1.00 * -0.12 -0.12 7 0.16* 0.18* 1 0.04 0.17* 0 0.07 0.06	* 0.53** 1.00 * -0.12 -0.12 1.00 7 0.16* 0.18* -0.07 0.04 0.17* -0.07 0.07 0.06 -0.03	* 0.53** 1.00 * -0.12 -0.12 1.00 7 0.16* 0.18* -0.07 1.00 7 0.04 0.17* -0.07 0.66** 0 0.07 0.06 -0.03 0.54**	* 0.53** 1.00 * -0.12 -0.12 1.00 7 0.16* 0.18* -0.07 1.00 7 0.04 0.17* -0.07 0.66** 1.00 9 0.07 0.06 -0.03 0.54** 0.55**

# Table 49: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms

Table 49								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive F	alse Alarm	S						
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.26**	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	0.24**	0.41**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.54**	0.38**	0.37**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	0.00	0.10	0.06	0.05	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.10	-0.07	-0.09	-0.08	0.12	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	0.03	-0.01	-0.02	0.03	0.04	0.36**	1.00	
8. Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.03	0.04	-0.08	-0.05	0.21**	0.37**	0.37**	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 49								

#### Table 50: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms

Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Fals Measure	se Alarms	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00	-	<u>.</u>	-	2	2	-	2
2. Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.43**	1.00						
3. Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	0.43**	0.50**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.61**	0.56**	0.45**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	0.03	0.11	-0.02	0.05	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.02	0.02	0.01	-0.07	0.20*	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	0.05	0.08	0.05	-0.09	0.10	0.57**	1.00	
8. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.08	0.03	-0.07	-0.05	0.14	0.57**	0.39**	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								

# Table 51: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms

Table 51								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Fals	e Alarms							
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.40**	1.00						
3. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	0.23**	0.42**	1.00					
4. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.46**	0.44**	0.30**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	0.07	.158*	0.05	0.02	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.01	-0.12	0.02	-0.13	0.07	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	-0.07	-0.02	0.03	-0.05	-0.07	0.34**	1.00	
8. Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.00	-0.05	0.08	0.06	0.16*	0.41**	0.18*	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 51								

#### Table 52: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms

Table 52								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative	False Alar	ms						
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	<u>6</u>	2	8
1. Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.38**	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	0.43**	0.61**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.65**	0.50**	0.50**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.20*	-0.11	-0.01	-0.08	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.13	-0.04	-0.01	0.05	0.03	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Beta Frequency Band	0.01	-0.07	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.40**	1.00	
8. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative False Alarms in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.02	0.58**	0.38**	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 52								

# Table 53: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses

Table 53								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Posi	tive Misses							
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	<u>6</u>	7	8
1. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.03	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Beta Frequency Band	0.14	0.29**	1.00					
4. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.32**	0.21*	0.14	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Theta Frequency Band	0.05	0.01	0.06	-0.02	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.15	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.13	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Beta Frequency Band	0.00	0.20*	0.14	0.16	-0.06	0.12	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Misses in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.11	0.00	-0.13	-0.02	0.20*	0.16	0.16	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 53								

#### Table 54: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Misses

Table 54								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Posi	tive Misses							
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Misses in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Misses in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.20*	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Mines in the Beta Frequency Band	0.35**	0.46**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive lines in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.42**	0.40**	0.29**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Misses in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.18*	-0.12	-0.06	0.00	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Misses in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.11	-0.02	-0.13	-0.09	0.09	1.00		
7. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Misses in the Beta Frequency Band	0.12	0.14	0.02	0.06	0.14	0.20*	1.00	
S. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Misses in the Gamma Frequency Band	-0.01	0.00	-0.04	0.11	0.16	0.34**	0.08	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 54								

# Table 55: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses

Table 55								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses								
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.15	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Beta Frequency Band	0.23**	0.40**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.33**	0.33**	0.22**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.03	0.00	0.11	-0.01	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.03	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.12	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Beta Frequency Band	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.25**	0.27**	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Misses in the Gamma Frequency Band	-0.09	-0.05	-0.02	0.09	0.20*	0.28**	0.12	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 55								

#### Table 56: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Misses

Table 56								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Network	1 Ive Misses	2	2	4	6	6	7	0
Measure	1	4	2	4	2	0	1	0
1.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Mines in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Mines in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.23**	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Mines in the Beta Frequency Band	0.24**	0.52**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Misses in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.49**	0.48**	0.32**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Misses in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.09	-0.06	-0.06	-0.08	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Mines in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.15	0.09	0.05	0.01	-0.03	1.00		
7. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Nlisses in the Beta Frequency Band	0.02	-0.04	-0.04	0.07	0.08	0.26**	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Nlisses in the Gamma Frequency Band	-0.21**	0.05	-0.03	-0.03	0.11	0.39**	0.07	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 56								

# Table 57: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits

Table 57								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Po	ositive Hits							
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.14	1.00						
3. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	0.13	0.26**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.33**	0.30**	0.19*	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.05	-0.05	-0.10	-0.10	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.16	0.08	-0.19*	-0.04	0.15	1.00		
7. Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	-0.01	-0.10	0.01	-0.13	0.18	0.16	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Positive Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.13	-0.02	-0.08	0.06	0.26**	0.42**	0.08	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 57								

# Table 58: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits

Table 58								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Po	ositive Hits							
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.32**	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	0.23*	0.30**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.58**	0.32**	0.20*	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	0.04	0.25**	0.06	0.22*	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.14	-0.09	-0.01	0.09	-0.13	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	-0.02	0.04	0.15	0.04	-0.02	0.10	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Positive Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.14	0.10	0.19*	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 58								

# Table 59: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits

Table 59								
Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for N	egative Hits	di internetti di anternetti						
Measure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00							
2.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.13	1.00						
3. Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	0.14	0.08	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.41**	0.21*	0.12	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	0.11	-0.06	-0.04	0.04	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.20*	-0.08	0.03	0.01	0.20*	1.00		
7.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	0.00	-0.10	0.14	0.13	0.03	0.05	1.00	
8.Semantic Memory Network Select Network Modularity for Negative Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.03	-0.06	0.02	-0.02	0.39**	0.28**	-0.02	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).								
Table 59								

# Table 60: Summary of Correlations between Emotion and Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits

Table 60								
Summary of Completions between Equation and Convertio Mensory Matriced: Subastrued: Madularity for Ne	ative Trite							
Measure	l 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	<u></u>	-
2. Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	-0.02	1.00						
3.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	033**	0.32**	1.00					
4.Emotion Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	0.5**	0.23*	0.31**	1.00				
5.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Theta Frequency Band	-0.06	0.09	0.01	0.01	1.00			
6.Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Alpha Frequency Band	0.10	0.19*	0.06	0.14	0.02	1.00		
7. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Beta Frequency Band	-0.08	0.10	0.09	-0.07	0.06	0.31**	1.00	
8. Semantic Memory Network Subnetwork Modularity for Negative Hits in the Gamma Frequency Band	-0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.22**	0.18*	-0.09	1.00
Note.								
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).								
<ol> <li>Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).</li> </ol>								
Table 60								

Table 61					
Descriptive Statistics for all B	ehavioral Variabi	les of Interest in	the Study		
Variable	Condition	Gender	Mean	<u>SD</u>	N
		female	47.35	0.18	49
Score on Easy Problems	DMT	male	62.58	0.21	37
		total	53.47	0.20	86
		female	55.68	0.17	38
	PST	male	66.88	0.19	36
		total	61.13	0.19	74
		female	50.99	0.18	\$7
	Total	male	64.19	0.20	73
		total	57.01	0.20	160
		female	41.90	0.10	49
Score on Medium Problems	DMT	male	47.44	0.16	37
		total	44.28	0.13	86
		female	43.55	0.13	38
	PST	male	50.78	0.13	36
		total	47.07	0.13	74
		female	43.62	0.12	87
	Total	male	49.09	0.14	73
		total	45.57	0.13	160
		female	41.69	0.29	49
	DMT	male	36.43	0.28	37
Score on Hard Problems		total	39.43	0.29	86
		female	29.10	0.24	38
	PST	male	35.89	0.24	36
		total	32.40	0.24	74
		female	36.19	0.28	87
	Total	male	36.17	0.26	73
		total	36.18	0.27	160
Number of Easy Problems		female	32.08	11.64	49
Attempted	DMT	male	31.11	13.93	37
		total	31.66	12.61	86
		female	36.18	11.43	38
	PST	male	29.94	9.82	36
		total	33.15	11.06	74
		female	33.49	11.66	87
	Total	male	30.53	12.01	73
		total	32.35	11.90	160
Number of Medium		female	35.49	7.27	49
Problems Attempted	DMT	male	41.16	8.01	37
		total	37.93	8.06	86
		female	38.37	7.38	38
	PST	male	40.17	7.87	36
		total	39.24	7.62	74
		female	36.75	7.41	87
	Total	male	40.67	7.90	73
		total	38.54	7.86	160
Number of Hard Problems		female	10.90	4.11	49
Attempted	DMT	male	13.00	3.89	37
		total	11.80	4.13	86
		female	12.82	4.40	38
	DCT	male	14.04	4.40	26
	191	male	12.05	4.55	30
		total	13.83	4.47	/4
	<b>T</b>	Temale	11.74	4.33	8/
	Total	male	13.96	4.20	73
		A		1 10	140

Table. 61 Descriptive Statistics for the Math Feedback task as a function of Problem Type.