ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table S1. Sensitivity analysis of the empirical dietary inflammatory pattern scores and risk of colorectal cancer according to tumor *F nucleatum* status in the pooled cohorts of the Nurses' Health Study (women, 1984-2012) and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (men, 1986-2012) by using inverse probability weighting

	Tumor		Tertiles of th	D *			
	F nucleatum status	_	T1 (lowest)	T2	T3 (highest)	$- P_{\text{trend}}^*$	$P_{ m heterogeneity}$ †
Colorectal cancer							
	Negative	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	0.94 (0.79–1.12)	0.93 (0.78–1.11)	0.41	0.05
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	0.97 (0.81-1.16)	0.95 (0.79–1.14)	0.57	
	Positive	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.08 (0.65-1.77)	1.52 (0.94–2.45)	0.07	
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.10 (0.67–1.81)	1.55 (0.96-2.49)	0.06	
Proximal colon cancer							
	Negative	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	0.93 (0.72-1.20)	0.90 (0.70-1.16)	0.49	0.002
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	0.93 (0.72-1.20)	0.89 (0.68-1.17)	0.49	
	Positive	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.85 (0.92–3.71)	2.58 (1.33-5.02)	0.002	
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.84 (0.92–3.68)	2.55 (1.31-4.98)	0.003	

CI, confidence interval; F nucleatum, Fusobacterium nucleatum; HR, hazard ratio; T1, tertile 1; T2, tertile 2; T3, tertile 3.

Cox proportional cause-specific hazards regression weighted by the inverse probability of availability of tumor *F nucleatum* status for competing risks data was used to compute HRs and 95% CIs.

All analyses were stratified by age (in month), year of questionnaire return and sex.

* Linear trend test using the median value of each category.

[†] The Wald test was used for the heterogeneity of the association between the empirical dietary inflammatory pattern scores and colorectal cancer risk according to tumor *F nucleatum* status (negative *vs* positive).

 \ddagger Multivariable HR was adjusted for pack-years smoked (0 vs 1-19 vs 20-39 vs \ge 40 pack-years), family history of colorectal cancer (yes vs no), endoscopy status (yes vs no), physical activity level [quintiles of mean metabolic equivalent task score (METS) - hours per week], total calorie intake (quintiles of kcal/day), total alcohol intake (0 vs 1-5 vs 6-15 vs > 15 g/day), current multivitamin use (yes vs no), and regular aspirin use (yes vs no).

çô

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

v ``	Tumor		Tertiles of the empirical dietary inflammatory pattern (EDIP) scores					
	F nucleatum status		T1 (lowest)	T2	T3 (highest)	P _{trend} *	$P_{ m heterogeneity}$ †	
Women (NHS)		Person-years	677,257	645,317	637,874			
Colorectal cancer		N of cases (n=508)	177	187	144			
		Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.08 (0.88–1.32)	0.89 (0.72-1.11)	0.36		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.08 (0.87-1.33)	0.87 (0.70-1.10)	0.29		
	Negative	N of cases (n=442)	161	162	119		0.03	
		Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.03 (0.83-1.28)	0.81 (0.64-1.03)	0.10		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.03 (0.82–1.29)	0.79 (0.62-1.02)	0.08		
	Positive	N of cases (n=66)	16	25	25			
		Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.55 (0.83-2.91)	1.72 (0.92-3.23)	0.09		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.55 (0.83-2.92)	1.69 (0.89-3.18)	0.10		
Proximal colon cancer	Negative	N of cases (n=222)	89	78	55		0.002	
	-	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	0.90 (0.66-1.22)	0.69 (0.49-0.97)	0.04		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	0.89 (0.65–1.21)	0.68 (0.48-0.97)	0.04		
	Positive	N of cases (n=46)	8	18	20			
		Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	2.26 (0.98-5.21)	2.68 (1.18-6.10)	0.02		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	2.20 (0.95-5.10)	2.65 (1.16-6.07)	0.02		
Men (HPFS)		Person-years	362,752	345,852	329,534			
Colorectal cancer		N of cases (n=443)	132	142	169			
		Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.05 (0.82–1.33)	1.33 (1.05-1.67)	0.02		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.15 (0.90-1.47)	1.48 (1.16-1.90)	0.003		
	Negative	N of cases (n=394)	116	129	149		0.70	
	-	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.08 (0.84–1.39)	1.32 (1.03-1.68)	0.04		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.18 (0.91–1.53)	1.47 (1.13-1.91)	0.01		
	Positive	N of cases (n=49)	16	13	20			
		Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	0.81 (0.39-1.70)	1.41 (0.73-2.74)	0.27		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	0.90 (0.43-1.90)	1.58 (0.81-3.08)	0.16		
Proximal colon cancer	Negative	N of cases (n=174)	47	60	67		0.23	
	-	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.19 (0.81–1.76)	1.40 (0.96-2.04)	0.10		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.28 (0.86-1.91)	1.50 (1.00-2.25)	0.06		
	Positive	N of cases (n=21)	5	6	10			
		Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.33 (0.40-4.44)	2.47 (0.84-7.29)	0.08		
		Multivariable HR (95% CI)‡	1 (reference)	1.48 (0.44-4.94)	2.67 (0.90-7.95)	0.06		

Table S2. The empirical dietary inflammatory pattern scores and risk of colorectal cancer according to tumor *F nucleatum* status in the Nurses' Health Study (women, 1984-2012) and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (men, 1986-2012) separately

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

CI, confidence interval; *F nucleatum*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*; HPFS, Health Professionals Follow-up Study; HR, hazard ratio; NHS, Nurses' Health Study; T1, tertile 1; T2, tertile 2; T3, tertile 3.

Cox proportional cause-specific hazards regression for competing risks data was used to compute HRs and 95% CIs.

All analyses were stratified by age (in month) and year of questionnaire return.

* Linear trend test using the median value of each category.

[†] The likelihood ratio test was used for the heterogeneity of the association between the empirical dietary inflammatory pattern scores and colorectal cancer risk according to tumor *F nucleatum* status (negative *vs* positive).

 \ddagger Multivariable HR was adjusted for pack-years smoked (0 vs 1-19 vs 20-39 vs \ge 40 pack-years), family history of colorectal cancer (yes vs no), endoscopy status (yes vs no), physical activity level [quintiles of mean metabolic equivalent task score (METS) - hours per week], total calorie intake (quintiles of kcal/day), total alcohol intake (0 vs 1-5 vs 6-15 vs > 15 g/day), current multivitamin use (yes vs no), and regular aspirin use (yes vs no).

CERTER MARINE

Table S3. The empirical dietary inflammatory pattern scores and risk of colorectal cancer according to tumor F nucleatum status in different
prudent dietary pattern groups in the pooled cohorts of the Nurses' Health Study (women, 1984-2012) and the Health Professionals Follow-up
Study (men, 1986-2012)

		Prudent dietary pattern scores							
Tumor F			Low				High		
<i>nucleatum</i> status		Tertiles of the empirical dietary inflammatory pattern (EDIP) scores			P_{trend}^*	Tertiles of the empirical dietary inflammatory pattern (EDIP) scores			P_{trend}^*
		T1 (lowest)	T2	T3 (highest)		T1 (lowest)	T2	T3 (highest)	ticitu
Negative									
	N of cases	144	146	140		133	145	128	
	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	0.91 (0.72-1.15)	0.94 (0.75-1.20)	0.56	1 (reference)	1.16 (0.91–1.47)	1.10 (0.86-1.41)	0.33
	Multivariable HR (95% CI) †	1 (reference)	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	0.96 (0.75-1.24)	0.69	1 (reference)	1.24 (0.97–1.58)	1.18 (0.90-1.53)	0.15
Positive									
	N of cases	15	21	30		17	17	15	
	Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1 (reference)	1.30 (0.67-2.55)	2.02 (1.09-3.78)	0.02	1 (reference)	1.03 (0.52-2.04)	0.96 (0.47-1.93)	0.88
	Multivariable HR (95% CI) †	1 (reference)	1.34 (0.68–2.62)	2.01 (1.07-3.79)	0.02	1 (reference)	1.10 (0.56-2.18)	1.02 (0.50-2.07)	0.96
$P_{\rm heterogeneity}$ ‡			0.02				0.66		

CI, confidence interval; F nucleatum, Fusobacterium nucleatum; HR, hazard ratio; T1, tertile 1; T2, tertile 2; T3, tertile 3.

Cox proportional cause-specific hazards regression for competing risks data was used to compute HRs and 95% CIs.

All analyses were stratified by age (in month), year of questionnaire return and sex.

* Linear trend test using the median value of each category.

[†] Multivariable HR was adjusted for pack-years smoked (0 vs 1-19 vs 20-39 vs \ge 40 pack-years), family history of colorectal cancer (yes vs no), endoscopy status (yes vs no), physical activity level [quintiles of mean metabolic equivalent task score (METS) - hours per week], total calorie intake (quintiles of kcal/day), total alcohol intake (0 vs 1-5 vs 6-15 vs > 15 g/day), current multivitamin use (yes vs no) and regular aspirin use (yes vs no).

[‡] The likelihood ratio test was used for the heterogeneity of the association between the empirical dietary inflammatory pattern scores and colorectal cancer risk according to tumor *F nucleatum* status (negative *vs* positive).