## **1** Supplemental materials

2 Methods

### **3** Partial occlusion

The amblyopic brain relies primarily on signals from the stronger dominant eye and suppresses 4 5 signals from the weaker affected eye with reduced vision. To remove this obstacle to binocular vision, we asked our participants to wear a Bangerter blur filter (Ryser Optik, St. Gallen, 6 Switzerland) over the sound eye in order to reduce its crowded visual acuity to roughly 60%, or 7 two lines on a standard logMAR letter chart (National Vision Research Institute of Australia, 8 1978), worse than that of the fellow amblyopic eye. Our previous work with neurotypical 9 observers suggests that placing this type of filter before one eye preserves stereopsis at low 10 spatial frequencies<sup>3</sup>. Throughout the course of the video game intervention, the Bangerter filter 11 was adjusted as visual acuity improved. 12

13

### 14 **Participants**

15 Twenty-one adults with amblyopia participated (age range, 19-79 years; mean age,  $34.5 \pm [SD]$ 13.5 years; male, 8; female, 13). Inclusion criteria included: (1) all forms of amblyopia, 16 17 including strabismic, anisometropic, refractive, deprivation, and astigmatism-related amblyopia; and (2) interocular visual acuity difference of 0.1 logMAR or more. Exclusion criteria included 18 any pathological eye conditions. The maculae of all participants were assessed as normal, and 19 they all had clear ocular media. All our participants, except SAN1 who had nystagmus, had 20 21 normal vision in the preferred eye. Their visual characteristics are summarized in Table S1 22 (sample size, 21: non-strabismic amblyopia, 11; strabismic amblyopia, 10).

23

## 24 General procedures

25 In the main experiment, participants were required to play stereoscopic 3D video games for a total of 40 hours, 2 hours per session, over 4-6 weeks in our research laboratory. First-person 26 shooter action video games were used, such as Killzone 3 (Sony Computer Entertainment), 27 28 SOCOM 4: U.S. Navy Seals (Sony Computer Entertainment), Ratchet & Clank (Sony Computer 29 Entertainment), and Crysis 3 (Electronic Arts). The stronger dominant eye was blurred with Bangerter foils (Ryser Optik, St. Gallen, Switzerland) to a level of roughly 0.2 logMAR worse 30 than crowded visual acuity in the amblyopic eye. Note that the Bangerter foils provided to our 31 participants were based on our clinical measurements, not the filter designation provided by the 32 manufacturer. The filters were applied onto a plano lens which was then taped to a trial frame so 33 34 that each individual participant used the same prescribed blurring lens in all gaming sessions. A 32-inch Panasonic active 3D television (model, TC-L32DT30; resolution, 1920 x 1080; refresh 35 rate, 120 Hz) and a pair of active liquid crystal shutter glasses (model, TY-EW3D3MU; 36 Panasonic, Japan) were used to display stereoscopic game content with a Sony PlayStation 3 37 system (Sony Interactive Entertainment, Japan). The viewing distance was 1 m, but participants 38 were allowed to view the screen at a shorter distance, normally not less than 50cm, if they found 39 40 it too blurry.

We measured visual acuity (Fig 1A, inset) and stereoacuity (Fig 1D, inset) in pre-training
and post-training assessment sessions. All visual stimuli were displayed on a 21-inch flat Sony
F520 monitor screen at 1800 x 1440 resolution and 85 Hz refresh rate. Participants wore full
optical correction for all training and assessment sessions. Appropriate near addition was
provided for those participants with presbyopia.

Li et al

#### 46

## 47 Stereoacuity

An experimental set-up similar to that in our recent studies<sup>3</sup> was used to measure stereoacuity. 48 The visual stimulus was comprised of two horizontally separated black squares (Fig 1D). At the 49 center of each square, there was a target Gabor patch surrounded by four reference Gabor 50 51 patches. A custom-built 4-mirror haploscope was used to present a half monitor screen to each 52 eye (i.e. the left square to the left eye and the right square to the right eye). Binocular disparity was introduced by shifting the two target patches, one in each square, in opposite horizontal 53 directions. To eliminate any potential monocular cues, the position and carrier phase of each 54 target and reference Gabor patch were randomly jittered based on a uniform distribution (vertical 55 and horizontal position range,  $\pm 200-1600$  arcsec; phase range,  $0-360^{\circ}$ ). The mean luminance of 56 the stimuli was 55  $cd/m^2$  and the contrast of each Gabor patch was 99%. The visual task was to 57 determine the stereoscopic depth of the target Gabor (in front or behind) relative to the four 58 adjacent reference Gabor patches. A trial-by-trial audio feedback was provided for each response. 59

For each trial, the amount of binocular disparity between the target Gabors presented to 60 each eye was determined by two interleaved adaptive staircases to track the threshold: one was 61 for crossed disparity (the target patch appears in front of the reference patches), while the other 62 was for uncrossed disparity (the target patch appears behind the reference patches). The trials 63 were divided into triplets: three correct responses decreased the disparity magnitude by one unit 64 step, two correct responses left the disparity unchanged, and only one or no correct response 65 increased the disparity by two unit steps. The starting disparity was roughly two times the 66 individual observer's threshold disparity (or two-third of the maximum measurable disparity, see 67 below, for the stereo-blind participants), and the step size was roughly one-third to half of the 68

3

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

69	threshold disparity. Stereoacuity was defined as the disparity at the 84% correct response rates
70	(d'=1) obtained by fitting a Probit function. Each run consisted of 160 response trials: 75 trials of
71	crossed disparity, 75 trials of uncrossed disparity, and 10 trials with zero disparity.
72	The stimulus spatial scale was manipulated by adjusting the physical size on the screen
73	and varying the viewing distance: 50 cm (V1), 1 m (V2) or 2 m (V5-V15). For V5 stimuli
74	viewed at 2 m, the envelope size was 7 arcmin, the reference-target center-to-center distance was
75	48 arcmin when positional jittering was disabled, the 'E' size was 25 x 25 arcmin, and the square
76	frame was 197.3 arcmin. The inter-pixel distance was 20 arcsec at a viewing distance of 2 m (50
77	cm, 80 arcsec); sub-pixel accuracy was achieved by contrast manipulation. The maximum
78	measurable disparities (crossed or uncrossed) were 8000, 4000, 2000, and 1000 arcsec for V1,
79	V2, V5 and V10, respectively.
80	
81	
82	

- 80
- 81

# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

83	Table S1.	Visual profile	of amblyopia.	Abbreviations:	(1) T	ype of a	amblyopia.	S, strabismic
----	-----------	----------------	---------------	----------------	-------	----------	------------	---------------

84 amblyopia; A, anisometropic amblyopia; R, refractive amblyopia; M, astigmatism-related

85 amblyopia; C, congenital cataract; N, nystagmus. (2) Cover test. ExoT, exotopia; EsoT, esotopia;

86 HyperT, hypertropia; ExoP, exophoria; EsoP, esophoria; NMD, no movement detected.

#	Group	Observer	Gender	Age (yrs)	Туре	Eye	Refractive error	Visual acuity - Crowded [isolated]	Cover test (at 6m)
1	NC	MDI		20.2	•	D	2.50	(Shellen)	NMD
T	INS	IVIBL	IVI	28.3	A	ĸ	-2.50	20/12.5	
	NC	171	NA	26.2	٨	L	+0.75	20/80 (20/03)	1 <sup>Δ</sup> Exce
2	IND	JIL	IVI	30.2	А	ĸ	-1.50/-0.258160	20/10 $20/40^{-2}(20/40^{+2})$	4 EXOP
2	NC	<b>T</b> \/\/	-	22.7		L	+0.75/-0.50X105	20/40 (20/40)	
3	INS	IXY	F	22.7	A	ĸ	-2.00/-1.25815	20/40 (20/32)	NMD
4	NC		-	20.0		L	-2.25	20/10	
4	IND	VXH	F	20.6	А	ĸ	+2.25/-1.00x120	20/40 (20/40 )	NMD
-	NC	KDI	-	20.0	٨	L	-0.25	20/10	
5	IND	KKL	F	20.6	А	ĸ	+3.50/-0.25005	20/32 (20/25)	4 ESOP
6	NC			40.2		L	plano/-0.75x20	20/12.5	
6	INS	SCC	IVI	49.3	A	ĸ	+0.25	20/10	NMD
	NC	FEV	-	20.2		L	+1./5	20/25 (20/25)	1 <sup>Δ</sup> Euro D
	INS	EEY	F	20.3	A	ĸ	-0.25/-0.25X1/5	20/10	4 EXOP
	NC	DIT		10.2		L	+5.50/-1.50X60	20/25 (20/25)	
ð	INS	PKI	F	19.3	A	ĸ	+3.25/-0.25X135	20/25 (20/25)	NMD
				22.4	<u> </u>	L	+1.25/-0.50x140	20/12.5	
9	NS	MXB	F	38.4	A	R	+6.25/-1.00x110	20/20 (20/20)	5 ESOP
10		10.0		95.6			+5.25/-1.00x/5	20/16	
10	NS	JB2	F	25.6	C	R	-/.00/-1./5x180 (IOL)	20/63 (20/50)	5 EXOP
	NC	N/VC	-	40.4				20/16	
11	INS	YXC	F	48.1	к, IVI	ĸ	-14./5/-3.50X/5	20/40(20/40)	L4 HyperP
12	6	DCC		70.4			-2.25/-1.75X160	20/16	
12	5	RCS	IVI	79.1	5	ĸ	+1./5/-2./5X5	20/16	L2 ESOI, L2 Hyperi
10	6	C A A		20.0	C C		+1.50/-1.50X160	20/80 (20/40)	$\downarrow C^{\Delta}$ Function $D^{\Delta}$ there are
13	5	CAA	IVI	28.0	S	ĸ	+1.25/-0.75X45	20/20	L6 EXOL, R1 Hyperi
1.4	6	DIC	-	22.0		L	+0.75/-0.50X160	20/63 (20/32)	(Intermittent)
14	5	210	F	33.8	5	ĸ	-1.25	20/50 (20/32)	R 12 EXOT
15	6	NACI.		42.0		L	-4.00	20/16	
15	5	IVISL	IVI	42.8	5	ĸ	+0.25/-0.50000	20/10	L 5 ESOT
10	6	118.41/		26.2	C C	L	-0.25/-0.258130	20/40 (20/32)	
10	5	HIVIK	F	36.3	5	ĸ	+0.25	20/40 (20/32)	R 6 ESOT
17	6	150	M	40.4	c	L	+0.25	20/10	
1/	5	JED	IVI	40.4	5	ĸ	+0.25	20/12.5	L 8 ESOT
10	6	DVC	7	20.4	C C	L	+0.50/-0.25X180	20/32 (20/20)	$D^{\Delta}$
18	5	RXS	F	38.4	5	ĸ	-4.50/-0.75X20	20/25 (20/20)	R 3 ESOT, L 4 HyperT
10	6	2/110	-	20.0	C A	L	+0.50/-0./5X165	20/10	
19	5	VHC	F	29.9	5, A	ĸ	+2./5/-1.00X180	20/160 (20/125)	R 12 EXOT
20		01/12	N.4	22.2	C ^		+U./S/-U.SUX1/S	20/10	
20	5	QXD	IVI	33.2	5, A	ĸ	-1.00/-0.50X1/5	20/12	L 4 EXOI (Intermittent)
21	6	61.0	-	22.4			+2.25/-0.75X175	20/32 (20/32)	
21	S	SLO		33.4	5, A, N	ĸ	+3.50	20/25 (20/25)	ка Exol, кь HyperT,
			1			L	+1.50	20/25 (20/20 )	latent nystagmus*

87