

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Impact of PCSK9-monoclonal antibodies on circulating hs-CRP levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Journal:	BMJ Open					
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-022348					
Article Type:	Research					
Date Submitted by the Author:	4-Feb-2018					
Complete List of Authors:	ao, Ye-Xuan; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai lospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of ledical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College i, Sha; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, lational Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Division of Dyslipidemia iu, Hui-Hui; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai lospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of ledical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Division of Dyslipidemia i, Jian-Jun; Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical					
Keywords:	PCSK9, monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP, meta-analysis					
	SCHOLARONE [™] Manuscripts					

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Title: Impact of PCSK9-monoclonal antibodies on circulating hs-CRP levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Running Title: PCSK9-mAb and hs-CRP

Authors: Ye-Xuan Cao, MD^{*}, Sha Li, MD, PhD^{*}, Hui-Hui Liu, MD^{*}, Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD^{*}

Affiliations: *Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, BeiLiShi Road 167, Beijing 100037, China.

Correspondence: Professor Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD, FESC, FACC. Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, BeiLiShi Road 167, Beijing 100037, China. Tel: 86+10+88396077; Fax: 86+10+88396584. E-mail: lijianjun938@126.com.

Total word count: 2882

Number of tables/figures: tables 1; figures 3

ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the potential effects of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody (PCSK9-mAb) on high-sensitivity C-reactive (hs-CRP) concentrations.

Design A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

Data sources PubMed, MEDLINE, The Cochrane Library databases, clinical trials registries websites and recent conferences were searched from inception to January 2018.

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies All randomized controlled trials that reported changes of hs-CRP were included.

Results Ten studies involving 4198 participants were identified. PCSK9-mAbs showed a slight efficacy in reducing hs-CRP (-0.04mg/L, 95%CI:-0.17 to 0.01), but no statistical difference was found. Subgroup analyses showed no significant effect when stratified by PCSK9-mAb types (Alirocumab:0.12mg/L, 95% CI:-0.18 to 0.43; Evolocumab:0.00 mg/L, 95% CI:-0.07 to 0.07; LY3015014:-0.48mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32; RG7652:0.35mg/L, 95% CI:-0.26 duration ($\leq 12w:0.00mg/L$, 95% to 0.96), treatment CI:-0.07 to 0.07; >12w:-0.11mg/L, 95% CI:-0.45 to -0.23), participant characteristics (familial hypercholesterolemia:0.00mg/L, 95% CI:-0.07 0.07; non-familial to hypercholesterolemia: 0.07mg/L, 95% CI:-0.12 to 0.26; mix:-0.48mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32) (monotherapy:0.00mg/L, -0.08 treatment methods and to 0.07; combination-therapy:-0.08mg/L, -0.37 to0.21). Meta-regression analyses identified no significant linear correlation between baseline age (p=0.673), sex (p=0.645) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction (p=0.339).

Conclusions Although previous study showed a slightly reduced effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP, the results of this updated meta-analysis suggested that PCSK9-mAbs had no significant impact on circulating hs-CRP levels.

Keywords: PCSK9; monoclonal antibody; hs-CRP; meta-analysis

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement.
- We used a broad search strategy and identified 10 studies that reported changes of high-sensitivity C-reactive during proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody treatment.
- Studies with moderate heterogeneity and lack of individual level data.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the greatest burden of global health, which is characterized by atherosclerosis¹. Atherosclerosis is a chronic and progressive inflammatory disease, including endothelial dysfunction, lipid accumulation in the arterial wall and leukocyte infiltration, which leads to luminal stenosis, plaque rupture and acute coronary syndrome (ACS)². Apart from well-established dyslipidaemia theory, inflammation also plays an important role in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis³. Recently, CANTOS trial reported that anti-inflammatory therapy by canakinumab, a therapeutic monoclonal antibody targeting interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), significantly reduced the primary cardiovascular end points⁴.

Hs-CRP (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein) is the most intensively investigated inflammatory biomarker and shows an extensive clinical application. Hs-CRP is an 11,800 Da molecular synthesized by hepatocytes in response to interleukin 6 (IL-6)⁵. Several studies revealed that hs-CRP concentration was relatively constant in an individual, making it an ideal inflammatory biomarker⁵. Increasing studies have confirmed that hs-CRP is a predictive factor for assessing the progression of atherosclerotic disease and future adverse cardiovascular events (CE)^{6,7}. Moreover, previous studies also indicated that hs-CRP may have pro-inflammatory effects and play a direct role in progression of atherosclerosis^{8,9}. Many studies demonstrated that administration of statins may modify hs-CRP and other pro-inflammatory cytokine concentrations with decreasing CEs^{10,11}.

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9), a 73-kDa protein secreted by liver, regulates the activity of low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) expressing in hepatocellular surface ¹². Multiple studies revealed that PCSK9 binds to LDLR and increases its degradation to block the cholesterol homeostasis, resulting in elevated plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels^{13,14}. PCSK9 monoclonal antibody (PCSK9-mAb)

BMJ Open

decreased LDL-C concentrations by up to 70% and reduced the rate of CEs¹⁵. Therefore, PCSK9-mAb has emerged as the most powerful lipid-lowering drugs currently. Although the effect of PCSK9 on LDL-C has been established, its role in inflammation has not been fully investigated. A number of experimental studies found that PCSK9 could enhance inflammatory reaction to promote the progression of atherosclerosis and down-regulated PCSK9 expression led to the reduction of inflammation^{16,17}. A meta-analysis published two years ago found that PCSK9-mAbs had no effect on serum hs-CRP¹⁸. However, this meta-analysis did not perform sufficient subgroup analyses to our knowledge. Besides, a recent published study with limited sample size found that initial PCSK9 plasma levels are associated with hs-CRP levels in patients with ACS¹⁹ and some kinds of PCSK9-mAbs showed a reduction in hs-CRP levels²⁰. Hence, whether PCSK9-mAbs could decrease circulating hs-CRP levels should be intensively examined.

To further explore the efficiency of PCSK9 inhibitors on serum hs-CRP levels, we performed this meta-analysis including all published randomized controlled trials (RCT) published till January 2018.

Methods

Literature search

The present study was designed according to the guidelines of the 2009 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISMA) statement²¹. To identify all RCTs that assessed the effects of PCSK9-mAbs on circulating hs-CRP levels, we comprehensively searched PubMed, MEDLINE, and the Cochrane Library database up until January 2018. We also searched the clinical trials registries websites. The search terms we used included the following: (AMG145 or Evolocumab or REGN727 or SAR236553 or Alirocumab or RN316 or bococizumab or RG7652 or LY3015014 or PCSK9 antibody or anti-PCSK9) AND (randomized controlled trial OR randomized OR randomly). Meanwhile manual search was performed for relevant studies including references lists, relevant review articles and commentaries. No language restriction was used and non-English articles were translated.

Study selection

Original studies met the following criteria would be included: the design was phase 2 or phase 3 double-blind RCTs with longer than 8 weeks treatment duration; human participants were randomly assigned to PCSK9-mAbs group versus control group with or without other lipid-lowering therapy; outcomes included percentage changes of hs-CRP from baseline. Studies were excluded if they were duplicate publications, review articles, non-human studies, observational studies, lack of adequate information on outcomes or lacking control group. Two investigators (YC and SL) independently screened and selected the eligible studies. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third investigator.

Data Extraction

A standardized extraction form was used to extract the following items by two investigators (YC and HL) independently: trial name/first author, year of publication, type of intervention, follow-up period, treatment duration, number of patients, participant characteristics, background lipid-lowering therapy, types and doses of PCSK9-mAbs, LDL and hs-CRP levels at baseline and changes. We included the final reported follow-up point if a trial contains several time points. If necessary, further information was required from correspondence author.

Quality Assessment

BMJ Open

We used Cochrane Collaboration's tool and Jadad score to assess the data and the methodological quality of included RCTs. For Cochrane Collaboration's tool, the following items were performed: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective reporting (reporting bias) and other sources of bias. The judgments were classified as 'low risk', 'high risk', and 'unclear risk' of bias. The 5-point Jadad score included the following items: basis of randomization (0 to 2 points), double blinding (0 to 2 points), and withdrawals and dropouts (0 to 1 points). Studies with a score \geq 3 points are considered to be high quality. Two investigators (YC and HL) independently assessed the quality of each study. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third investigator.

Data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis

All analyses were analyzed according to the intention-to-treat principle. For all efficacy outcomes, changes in hs-CRP concentrations were expressed as weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). All the data were standardized and expressed by mg/mL. Standard deviation could be calculated from CI, interquartile range or standard error according to formulas in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions if not reported.

Heterogeneity was assessed by the Cochran Q test and the I² statistic, and we considered I²<25% as representing low heterogeneity and I²>75% as representing at high heterogeneity. Outcomes were calculated by fixed-effects model under no or low to moderate inconsistency (I²<50%); otherwise, the data was pooled based on a random-effects model. Subgroups were applied to reduce the heterogeneity if I² \geq 50%, such as PCSK9-mAb types, treatment duration and participant characteristics. In order to explore the resource of heterogeneity,

sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting studies in turn to evaluate the consistency of the results. Meta-regression analyses were performed to the contribution of participant characteristics and reductions in LDL-C concentrations. Publication bias was assessed with a funnel plot and Egger's test.

All analyses were conducted with Review Manager Version 5.3 (Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Denmark) and Stata 14.0 (Stata Statistical Software: College Station, TX: Stata Corp LP). P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Study selection and Characteristics

The initial search identified 575 articles. After screening the titles and abstracts, 339 were excluded and 236 studies were retrieved for full-text identification. We further excluded 135 studies, of which 58 were pooled or meta-analysis, 2 studies were not RCTs and 13 was phase 1 trials, 16 were open label trails and 42 without adequate information. Finally, ten studies^{20,22-30} were included in this meta-analysis. Figure 1 shows flow diagram of selection process.

These ten studies were published between 2012 and 2017 from different countries with low risk of bias, of which 5 were phase 2 studies and 5 were phase 3 studies (Table 1). In total, 4198 participants were included, comprising 2728 individuals in the PCSK9-mAb group and 1470 in the control group. Alirocumab (SAR236553/REGN72 7) was used in 4 arms and 11 arms applied Evolocumab (AMG 145). Five arms managed LY3015014 and 2 arms used RG7652. Participants of 3 studies were heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH), 1 study contained homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HoFH) patients, and the remaining 6 trials enrolled non-FH or hypercholesterolemia

individuals. Most of the treatment duration ranged from 12 to 24 weeks and the longest treatment duration was 78 weeks. Apart from DESCARTES trial which co-administered with atorvastatin, another 9 studies used PCSK9-mAb as monotherapy. Baseline characteristics, including circulating hs-CRP levels, were similar between PCSK9-mAbs and control groups within each study. The characteristics of these trials and participants are summarized in Table 1. All these studies had a relatively high quality evaluated by the Jadad score and low risk of bias (online supplementary Table 1 and online supplementary Figure 1, 3 scores=5, 6 scores=4).

Efficiency outcomes of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP

A total of 4198 participants were included in the analysis of efficiency of PCSK9-mAbs on plasma hs-CRP concentrations before and after treatment. When data were pooled, PCSK9-mAbs showed a slight efficacy in reducing hs-CRP (WMD: -0.04mg/L, 95%CI: -0.17 to 0.01), but no statistical difference was found compared with control treatment (Figure 2). There was a moderate heterogeneity between each study (I^2 =57.4%, P=0.0001), so the random-effects model was selected.

To assess the potential discrepancy, we applied the subgroup analysis based on the characteristics of trials and participants (Figure 3 and online supplementary Figures 2-5). Although the efficiency of LY3015014 was a mild higher (-0.48 mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32), there was no difference between these four antibodies (Alirocumab: 0.12 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.18 to 0.43; Evolocumab: 0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07; RG7652: 0.35 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.26 to 0.96). When studies were classified by treatment duration, the hs-CRP reduction showed no difference in less than 12 weeks duration groups (0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07) and above 12 weeks duration groups (-0.11mg/L, 95% CI: -0.45 to -0.23). There was a statistically non-significant reduction in circulating hs-CRP with use of PCSK9 antibodies

compared with control treatment when categorized to participant characteristics (FH: 0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07; non-FH: 0.07 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.12 to 0.26; mix: -0.48 mg/L, 95%CI: -1.28 to 0.32). The analysis stratified by treatment method also supported the results that no differential effect of PCSK9-mAb therapy on plasma CRP concentrations was observed (monotherapy: 0.00 mg/L, 95%CI: -0.08 to 0.07 vs combination-therapy: -0.08 mg/L, 95%CI: -0.37 to 0.21).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

The sensitivity analysis for all outcomes in the comparison was conducted by gradually removing each study, but the results did not change meaningfully (online supplementary Figure 6). Neither funnel plots nor Egger's regression test (p=0.913) showed publication bias (online supplementary Figure 7).

Meta-regression analyses

We used meta-regression analysis to assess the association between changes in hs-CRP and baseline age, sex and average LDL changes (online supplementary Figure 8). No statistically significant relationship between baseline age, sex and hs-CRP changes were observed. Likewise, LDL lowering effects had no impact on hs-CRP lowering.

Discussion

The results of this comprehensive meta-analysis, based on 10 RCTs encompassing 4198 participants, suggested that short-term PCSK9-mAb therapy had no impact on circulating hs-CRP concentrations. In the subgroup analysis, we found no difference between PCSK9-mAb types, treatment duration, participant characteristics and treatment methods.

Atherosclerosis is a chronic progressive disorder and its pathophysiology is complex. In

addition to well-established imbalanced lipid metabolism, growing evidence has suggested that inflammation played a major role in the formation and progression of atherosclerosis, including vasomotor dysfunction, endothelial cell injury, adhesion and transendothelial migration of monocytes and plaque rupture². Numerous pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interleukin 1 (IL-1), intercellular cell adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), and CRP have been shown to drive the disease progression³¹. However, most anti-inflammatory drugs showed no positive effect in reducing the burden of atherosclerosis in human studies³². Fortunately, evidence from CATONS found that canakinumab significantly reduced hs-CRP levels and cardiovascular outcomes after follow-up of 3.7 years, which directly confirmed the inflammatory hypothesis⁴.

A number of studies indicated that hs-CRP could independently predict major CEs⁶. Framingham study found that men and women in the highest quartile of CRP respectively had twice and three-times the risk of stroke compared with those in the lowest after more than 10-years follow-up³³. NOMAS (the Northern Manhattan Study) reported that >3 mg/L CRP was associated with a 1.7-fold increase in cardiovascular outcomes and a 1.55-fold increase in mortality³⁴. A recent study showed a positive association between sustained high exposure to hs-CRP and CVD risk⁷. Furthermore, hs-CRP also plays a vital role in the development of atherosclerosis. Zwaka et al⁸ found that CRP enhanced the transformation from macrophages to foam cells by increasing the uptake of native LDL. Previous studies also reported that CRP impaired vasodilatation, inhibited the synthesis of nitric oxide synthase, and facilitated adhesion of monocyte^{35,36}. Many studies found that statin therapy may reduce hs-CRP and other pro-inflammatory cytokine concentrations with decreasing CEs^{10,11}. The JUPITER

study applied rosuvastatin on individuals with LDL-C levels below 130 mg/dl but with hs-CRP levels ≥ 2 mg/l and found a significant reduction in all vascular events³⁷. That is the reason why we chose hs-CRP as an inflammatory biomarker to identify whether PCSK9-mAb has an effect on inflammatory status.

Although the relationship between PCSK9 and LDL-C was well-established, more and more evidence demonstrated its function beyond lipids. In 2010, microarray gene expression analysis suggested that PCSK9 affected not only cholesterol metabolism, but also inflammation. Experimental studies indicated that PCSK9 could participate in vascular and systemic inflammation¹⁶. PCSK9 enhanced the oxidized low-density lipoprotein (ox-LDL) accumulation in macrophages by up-regulating the expression of CD36 and blocked cholesterol efflux through lowering ATP-binding cassette transporter (ABCA1) and ATP-binding cassette transporter G1 (ABCG1) levels³⁸. In transgenic mice expressing human PCSK9 gene, Tavori et al³⁹ observed that atherosclerosis lesion size and local Ly6C^{hi} monocytes, the precursors of pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages, significantly increased. Clinical studies further supported this hypothesis. Li et al^{40} found that serum PCSK9 concentrations were associated with white blood cell count independently in CAD patients, indicating PCSK9 may be involved in the inflammation process, ATHEROREMO-IVUS study reported a positive linearly association between PCSK9 levels and coronary plaque inflammation, including amount of necrotic core tissue and plaque volume⁴¹. PCSK9 concentration was also reported to be a predictor for carotid atherosclerosis in asymptomatic adults and for the incidence and severity of CAD independent of conventional cardiovascular risk factors^{14,42}.

Page 13 of 37

BMJ Open

Since previous studies showed that PCSK9 directly augmented inflammatory cytokines expression and atherosclerotic lesion, PCSK9 inhibition may exert anti-inflammatory effects. The levels of IL-1, IL-6, TNF- α , and PCSK9 synthesized by THP-1-derived macrophages were increased after treated with ox-LDL and PCSK9 small interfering RNA reduced the expression of these pro-inflammatory genes through nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) Pathway⁴³. In apoE-/- mice, PCSK9 silencing limited the development of atherosclerosis and decreased the number of macrophages and the expression of vascular inflammation regulators through TLR4/NF- κ B signaling pathway⁴⁴. Pro-inflammatory Ly6C^{hi} monocytes and ICAM-1, which enhances the adhesion to the vascular endothelium, were obviously reduced after treatment with Alirocumab¹⁷. AT04A anti-PCSK9 vaccine also got the same results that anti-PCSK9 therapy could reduce vascular inflammation⁴⁵. Clinically, Bernelot et al⁴⁶ found that after 24 weeks of treatment with PCSK9-mAbs, the migratory capacity of monocytes and inflammatory responsiveness showed a significant reduction, and anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-10 levels increased in FH patients, which supported the hypothesis that PCSK9-mAb had an anti-inflammatory effect. However, in our study PCSK9 suggested no effect on decreasing hs-CRP in both FH participants and non-FH individuals.

Statins are the cornerstone of cardiovascular drugs because of its pleiotropic effects, including lipid-lowering and anti-inflammatory effect, which notably reduce CAD risks. The CARE trial indicated that pravastatin decreased hs-CRP levels independent of LDL-C⁴⁷. AFCAPS/TexCAPs research also got the similar results that statin was clinically effective in primary prevention of CAD events by reducing LDL-C and hs-CRP levels⁴⁸. Interestingly, unlike statins, although experimental and clinical studies showed that PCSK9 inhibition could

reduce atherosclerotic inflammation, in our study PCSK9-mAbs had no significant impact on plasma hs-CRP levels. Besides, the same results were also observed in combination of statins and PCSK9-mAbs group. Although the results of previous meta-analysis published two years ago consistent with ours, the methods of analyses including subgroup analysis were different and they might be limited by small sample size and insufficient subgroup analyses. Although the exact mechanism between statin and PCSK9-mAb on inflammation is unclear, it is notable that the participants in statins therapy had high levels of hs-CRP at baseline, while, in PCSK9 inhibition therapy, initial hs-CRP levels were at normal range in recruited individuals. Besides, the effective observation of PCSK9-mAb on inflammatory marker in humans may be limited by duration. The treatment duration and follow-up period is long in statin therapy, but the longest follow-up of PCSK9-mAbs was 78 weeks. Furthermore, no confirmative evidence has been found to decline CAD risk by reducing hs-CRP levels alone. Therefore, although this meta-analysis indicated a non-effect of PCSK9 on hs-CRP, a large number of data suggested that PCSK9 plays a vital role in atherosclerotic inflammation. More experimental and clinical researches may be needed to fully understand the impact of PCSK9-mAbs on CRP in the future.

Limitations

First, meta-analysis is a retrospective approach and based on trial level data but not on individual level data. Second, study design, treatment duration, follow-up and baseline characteristics were different in the studies included. Some studies had a statin run-in period which would influence the final results. Third, moderate degree of heterogeneity was obvious in several comparisons. However, there was no publication bias and the results were rather

BMJ Open

consistent among different subgroups and sensitivity analyses. Fourth, some studies included in this meta-analysis did not provide adequate information about blinding of participants and personnel.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the current evidence suggests that despite intense effect on lipid-lowering, PCSK9-mAbs have no significant impact on circulating hs-CRP concentrations. Hopefully, more participants with elevated hs-CRP levels at baseline or with CAD will be recruited in large, oncoming RCTs to explore whether PCSK9-mAbs have pleiotropic effects like statins.

Acknowledgements

Not any.

Contributors

JJL contributed to conception and design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation, and critically revised the manuscript. YXC contributed to design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation and drafted the manuscript. SL contributed to acquisition, analysis, and critically revised the manuscript. HHL contributed to analysis, interpretation and critically revised the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was partially supported by the Capital Health Development Fund (201614035) and CAMS Major Collaborative Innovation Project (2016-I2M-1-011) awarded to Dr. Jian-Jun Li,

MD, PhD. The sponsors had no role in the decision to conduct the meta-analyses, data analysis, or reporting of the results.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent: Not required.

Ethics approval: This research is exempt from ethical approval.

Data sharing statement: No additional data available.

Reference

- Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3:e442.
- 2. Ross R. Atherosclerosis--an inflammatory disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340:115-26.
- Packard RR, Libby P. Inflammation in atherosclerosis: from vascular biology to biomarker discovery and risk prediction. *Clin Chem.* 2008;54:24-38.
- Ridker PM, Everett BM, Thuren T, *et al.* Antiinflammatory Therapy with Canakinumab for Atherosclerotic Disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:1119-31.
- 5. Li JJ, Fang CH. C-reactive protein is not only an inflammatory marker but also a direct cause of cardiovascular diseases. *Med Hypotheses*. 2004;62:499-506.
- Li JJ, Li S, Zhang Y, *et al.* Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin/Kexin type 9, C-Reactive Protein,
 Coronary Severity, and Outcomes in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease: A
 Prospective Observational Cohort Study. *Medicine*. 2015;94:e2426.

Page 17 of 37

BMJ Open

7.	Wang A, Liu J, Li C, et al. C umulative Exposure to High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein
	Predicts the Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017; 6: e005610.
8.	Zwaka TP, Hombach V, Torzewski J. C-reactive protein-mediated low density lipoprotein
	uptake by macrophages: implications for atherosclerosis. Circulation. 2001;103:1194-7.
9.	Zhang YX, Cliff WJ, Schoefl GI, et al. Coronary C-reactive protein distribution: its relation to
	development of atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis. 1999;145:375-9.
10.	Li JJ, Fang CH. Effects of 4 weeks of atorvastatin administration on the antiinflammatory
	cytokine interleukin-10 in patients with unstable angina. Clin Chem. 2005;51:1735-8.
11.	Li JJ, Li YS, Fang CH, et al. Effects of simvastatin within two weeks on anti-inflammatory
	cytokine interleukin 10 in patients with unstable angina. <i>Heart</i> . 2006;92:529-30.
12.	Cui CJ, Li S, Li JJ. PCSK9 and its modulation. Clin Chim Acta. 2015;440:79-86.
13.	Li S, Li JJ. PCSK9: A key factor modulating atherosclerosis. J Atheroscler Thromb.
	2015;22:221-30.
14.	Li S, Zhang Y, Xu RX, et al. Proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 as a biomarker for
	the severity of coronary artery disease. Ann Med. 2015;47:386-93.
15.	Roth EM, McKenney JM, Hanotin C, et al. Atorvastatin with or without an antibody to
	PCSK9 in primary hypercholesterolemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:1891-900.
16.	Giunzioni I, Tavori H, Covarrubias R, et al. Local effects of human PCSK9 on the
	atherosclerotic lesion. J Pathol. 2016;238:52-62.
17.	Kuhnast S, van der Hoorn JW, Pieterman EJ, et al. Alirocumab inhibits atherosclerosis,
	improves the plaque morphology, and enhances the effects of a statin. J Lipid Res.
	2014;55:2103-12.
	17

18.	Sahebkar A, Di Giosia P, Stamerra CA, et al. Effect of monoclonal antibodies to PCSK9 on
	high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels: a meta-analysis of 16 randomized controlled
	treatment arms. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2016;81:1175-90.
19.	Gencer B, Montecucco F, Nanchen D, et al. Prognostic value of PCSK9 levels in patients
	with acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:546-53.
20.	Kastelein JJ, Nissen SE, Rader DJ, et al. Safety and efficacy of LY3015014, a monoclonal
	antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9): a randomized,
	placebo-controlled Phase 2 study. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:1360-9.
21.	Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and
	meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4:1.
22.	Raal F, Scott R, Somaratne R, et al. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol-lowering effects of
	AMG 145, a monoclonal antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 serine
	protease in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: the Reduction of
	LDL-C with PCSK9 Inhibition in Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia Disorder
	(RUTHERFORD) randomized trial. Circulation. 2012;126:2408-17.
23.	Raal FJ, Stein EA, Dufour R, et al. PCSK9 inhibition with evolocumab (AMG 145) in
	heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (RUTHERFORD-2): a randomised,
	double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2015;385:331-40.

24. Stein EA, Gipe D, Bergeron J, *et al.* Effect of a monoclonal antibody to PCSK9, REGN727/SAR236553, to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia on stable statin dose with or without ezetimibe therapy: a phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012;380:29-36.

Page 19 of 37

BMJ Open

25.	Blom DJ, Hala T, Bolognese M, et al. A 52-week placebo-controlled trial of evolocumab in
	hyperlipidemia. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:1809-19.
26.	Stroes E, Colquhoun D, Sullivan D, et al. Anti-PCSK9 antibody effectively lowers cholesterol
	in patients with statin intolerance: the GAUSS-2 randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3
	clinical trial of evolocumab. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:2541-2548.
27.	Raal FJ, Honarpour N, Blom DJ, et al. Inhibition of PCSK9 with evolocumab in homozygous
	familial hypercholesterolaemia (TESLA Part B): a randomised, double-blind,
	placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2015;385:341-50.
28.	Cannon CP, Cariou B, Blom D, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in high cardiovascular
	risk patients with inadequately controlled hypercholesterolaemia on maximally tolerated
	doses of statins: the ODYSSEY COMBO II randomized controlled trial. Eur Heart J.
	2015;36:1186-94.
29.	Nicholls SJ, Puri R, Anderson T, et al. Effect of Evolocumab on Progression of Coronary
	Disease in Statin-Treated Patients: The GLAGOV Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA.
	2016;316:2373-84.
30.	Baruch A, Mosesova S, Davis JD, et al. Effects of RG7652, a Monoclonal Antibody Against
	PCSK9, on LDL-C, LDL-C Subfractions, and Inflammatory Biomarkers in Patients at High
	Risk of or With Established Coronary Heart Disease (from the Phase 2 EQUATOR Study).
	Am J Cardiol. 2017;119:1576-83.
31.	Wong BW, Meredith A, Lin D, et al. The biological role of inflammation in atherosclerosis.
	<i>Can J Cardiol</i> . 2012;28:631-41.
32.	Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, et al. Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. N Engl J Med. 1997; 336:973-9.

- 33. Rost NS, Wolf PA, Kase CS, *et al.* Plasma concentration of C-reactive protein and risk of ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack: the Framingham study. *Stroke*. 2001;32:2575-9.
- 34. Cesari M, Penninx BW, Newman AB, *et al.* Inflammatory markers and onset of cardiovascular events: results from the Health ABC study. *Circulation.* 2003;108:2317-22.
- 35. Li L, Roumeliotis N, Sawamura T, *et al.* C-reactive protein enhances LOX-1 expression in human aortic endothelial cells: relevance of LOX-1 to C-reactive protein-induced endothelial dysfunction. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:877-83.
- 36. Paffen E, DeMaat MP. C-reactive protein in atherosclerosis: A causal factor? *Cardiovasc Res*.
 2006;71:30-9.
- 37. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, *et al.* Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:2195-207.
- 38. Zhou X, He W, Huang Z, et al. Genetic deletion of low density lipoprotein receptor impairs sterol-induced mouse macrophage ABCA1 expression. A new SREBP1-dependent mechanism. J Biol Chem. 2008;283:2129-38.
- Tavori H, Giunzioni I, Predazzi IM, *et al.* Human PCSK9 promotes hepatic lipogenesis and atherosclerosis development via apoE- and LDLR-mediated mechanisms. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2016;110:268-78.
- Li S, Guo YL, Xu RX, *et al.* Association of plasma PCSK9 levels with white blood cell count and its subsets in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis*. 2014;234:441-445.
- 41. Cheng JM, Oemrawsingh RM, Garcia-Garcia HM, et al. PCSK9 in relation to coronary

BMJ Open

2		
3		plaque inflammation: Populta of the ATHEPOPEMO IVIUS study. Athenoselenesis
4		plaque initialitation. Results of the ATTEROREMO-1005 study. Atheroscierosis.
5		
6		2016;248:117-22.
7		
8	42.	Chan DC, Pang J, McQuillan BM, et al. Plasma Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin Kexin Type
9		
10		0 as a Pradictor of Caratid Athorogalarosis in Asymptomotic Adults Haart Lung
11		9 as a fredicion of Carotia Atheroscierosis in Asymptomatic Adults. Theart Lung
12		
12		<i>Circ</i> .2016;25:520-5.
15		
16	43.	Tang Z, Jiang L, Peng J, et al. PCSK9 siRNA suppresses the inflammatory response induced
17		
18		by avI DI through inhibition of NE kannap activation in THP 1 derived meanshages. Int I
19		by oxLDL unough minibition of NF-kappab activation in THP-1-derived macrophages. In J
20		
21		<i>Mol Med.</i> 2012;30:931-8.
22		
23	44.	Tang ZH, Peng J, Ren Z, et al. New role of PCSK9 in atherosclerotic inflammation promotion
24		
25		involving the TLP4/NE kompo Prothway Athenacleucia 2017:262:112.22
26		involving the TLR4/NF-kappaB pathway. Atheroscierosis. 2017,262.113-22.
27		
28	45.	Landlinger C, Pouwer MG, Juno C, et al. The AT04A vaccine against proprotein convertase
29		
30		subtilisin/kexin type 9 reduces total cholesterol vascular inflammation and atherosclerosis in
31		
32		ADOE*21 -: day OFTD arises From Harry 12017-28-2400 507
33		APOE*3Leiden.CETP mice. Eur Heart J.2017;38:2499-507.
34		
35 26	46.	Bernelot Moens SJ, Neele AE, Kroon J, et al. PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies reverse the
0C 27		
38		pro-inflammatory profile of monocytes in familial hypercholesterolaemia. Eur Heart J.
30		For any second of an end of the second of th
40		2017-20-1594-02
41		2017;38:1584-93.
42		
43	47.	Ridker PM, Rifai N, Pfeffer MA, et al. Inflammation, pravastatin, and the risk of coronary
44		
45		events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and
46		
47		
48		Recurrent Events (CARE) Investigators. Circulation. 1998;98:839-44.
49		
50	48.	Ridker PM, Rifai N, Clearfield M, et al. Measurement of C-reactive protein for the targeting
51		
52		of statin therapy in the primary prevention of acute coronary events N Engl I Med
53		or such metapy in the printing prevention of dedice coronary events. If Engl 5 meta.
54		2001 244 1050 (5
55		2001;344:1959-65.
56		
5/		21
58		
27		For neer review only - http://bmionen.hmi.com/site/about/quidelines.yhtml
00		. e. peer erten only inteps/sinjopen.only.een/site/about/guidemes.kitum

Tables

 Table 1. Study characteristics of included randomized controlled trials.

Study	Year	Phase	Inclusion criteria	Patients N	Arm	Mean hs-CRP at baseline mg/L	Mean age (years)	Male %	% LDL-C reduction	Drugs/control	Treatment duration	Jadad Score									
	п	НеЕН	167	(1)	1.09±1.37	47.6	54.5	42.7	E:350mg/PBO, Q4W	12W	5										
KUTHERFORD	2012	11	петп	107	(2)	1.07±1.24	51.8	62.5	55.2	E:420mg/PBO, Q4W	12W	5									
				Dh	(1)	1·40±1.78	56.3	81.3	67.9	A:150mg /PBO, Q2W	12W	5									
Stain FA 2012	2012	п	II.FII	77	(2)	0.60±0.82	51.3	60.0	28.9	A:150mg /PBO, Q4W	12W										
Stein EA 2012	2012	11	Hefh		(3)	0·70±1.48	52.9	56.3	31.5	A:200mg /PBO, Q4W	12W	5									
					(4)	0.70±0.59	54.3	46.7	42.5	A:300mg /PBO, Q4W	12W										
					(1)	2.00±3.70	50.7	47.3	51.5	E:420 mg/ PBO, Q4W	52W										
		п	НС	894	(2)	1.00±1.48	57.2	42.9	54.7	E:420 mg+ATV10 mg/ PBO, Q4W	52W	5									
DESCARTES	2014				(3)	1.00±1.48	57.8	52.4	46.7	E:420 mg+ATV80 mg/ PBO, Q4W	52W										
										(4)	1.00±1.48	54.2	55.6	46.8	E:420 mg+ATV80 mg+ Eze10mg / PBO, Q4W	52W					
CAUSE 2	2014	III	ш		ш	ш	ш	ИС	307	(1)	1.40±2.00	61.0	55.3	56.1	E:140 mg/ Eze, Q2W	12W	4				
GAU55-2	2014		нс	пс	307	(2)	1.80±1.78	63.0	54.9	52.6	E:420 mg/ Eze, Q4W	12W	4								
DUTUEDEODD 2	2014	2014		Haffi	220	(1)	0.92±1.03	52.6	40.0	61.3	E:140 mg /PBO,Q2W	12W	4								
KUTHERFORD-2			2014	111	негн	329	(2)	1.04±1.24	51.9	41.8	55.7	E:420 mg /PBO,Q4W	12W	4							
TESLA Part B	2014	III	HoFH	49		0.70±1.04	31.0	51.5	23.1	E:140 mg /PBO, Q4W	12W	4									
ODYSSEY	2015	TT	ЦС	720	(1)	3.58±7.78	61.7	75.2	50.6	A: 75mg /Eze, Q2W	24W	4									
COMBO II 2015 III	111	111	111	111	111	111	111	HC	HC	нс	HC	HC	720	(2)	3.58±7.78	61.7	75.2	51.8	A: 75mg /Eze, Q2W	52W	4
GLAGOV	2016	III	НС	968		1.60±1.93	59.8	72.1	34.6	E:420 mg /PBO, Q4W	78W	4									
V (1: 2016	2016	II	II							110	510	(1)	1.03±1.41	57.2	51.7	14.9	LY:20mg/PBO, Q4W	16W			
Kastelein 2016	2016			HC	519	(2)	1.34±1.11	57.1	52.3	40.5	LY:120mg/PBO, Q4W	16W	4								

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

					(3)	1.63±1.78	59.7	54.7	50.5	LY:300mg /PBO, Q4W	16W	
					(4)	1.39±1.70	59.6	58.1	14.9	LY:100mg /PBO, Q8W	16W	
					(5)	1.10±1.63	58.7	50.6	37.1	LY:300mg /PBO, Q8W	16W	
EQUATOR	2017	п		1.60	(1)	1.60±2.70	65.0	57.9	23.3	RG:400mg/PBO, Q4W	24W	
	2017	11	нс	108	(2)	2.00±5.90	64.0	51.0	44.3	RG:800mg /PBO,Q8w	24W	4

Data presented as mean±SD; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; hs-CRP, hypersensitive C reactive protein; HC, hypercholesterolemia; HeFH, heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; E, Evolocumab; A, Alirocumab; LY: LY3015014; RG, RG7652; PBO, placebo; ATV, atorvastatin; Eze, ezetimibe; W,

weeks; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q4W, every 4 weeks. N, number; SD, standard deviation.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flow diagram of selection of studies.

Figure 2. Forest plots depicting the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP.

CI=confidence interval, PCSK9-mAbs=PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies, hs-CRP= hypersensitive

C-reactive protein

Figure 3. Subgroup analyses of the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP.

CI=confidence interval. PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody. hs-CRP= hypersensitive

C-reactive protein. FH= familial hypercholesterolemia. non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia.



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30 21	
21	
⊃∠ วว	
دد ء	
25	
36	
30	
38	
30	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	

60

Study		%
ID	WMD (95% CI)	Weigh
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
GLAGOV *	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31, -0.09)	3.34
Kastelein 2016(2) -	1.10 (0.50, 1.70)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40, -0.20)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41, -0.19)	3.33
Kastelein 2016(5)	-1.20 (-1.81, -0.59)	3.34
EQUATOR(1)	0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
EQUATOR(2)	0.04 (-0.95, 1.03)	1.57
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.0
	1	
-2.5 0	2.5	

Figure 2. Forest plots depicting the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP. CI=confidence interval, PCSK9-mAbs=PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies, hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein

238x213mm (300 x 300 DPI)

	No. Arms	Patients	MD(95%CI), mg/L		l²(%)
PCSK9-mAb types					
Evolocumab	6	797	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	0
Alirocumab	11	2723	0.12 (-0.48 to 0.43)	e	0
LY3015014	5	519	-0.48 (-1.28 to 0.32)		88.6
RG7652	2	169	0.35 (-0.28 to 0.96)		0
Treatment duration					
>12W	14	3277	-0.11 (-0.45 to 0.23)		79
≤12W	11	931	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	62
Participant characteristics					
FH	9	624	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	0
Non-FH	11	3065	0.07 (-0.12 to 0.26)	-	0
Mix	5	519	-0.48(-1.28 to 0.32)		88.6
Treatment methods					
Monotherapy	9	2010	0.00 (-0.08 to 0.07)	+	0
Combination-therapy	16	2198	-0.04 (-0.17 to 0.10)	+	70.3
				-1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0 0.5 1 MD(95%CI)	L.O

Figure 3. Subgroup analyses of the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP. CI=confidence interval. PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody. hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein. FH= familial hypercholesterolemia. non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia.

256x133mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Supplementary material

Supplemental Table 1. Quality assessment of included studies using the Jadad scale

Studies	Representation of randomization	Appropriateness of method for randomization	Representation of double blinding	Appropriateness of method for double blinding	Representation of withdrawals	Total Score
RUTHERFORD	1	1	1	1	1	5
Stein EA 2012	1	1	1	1	1	5
DESCARTES	1	1	1	1	1	5
GAUSS-2	1	1	1	0	1	5
RUTHERFORD-2	1	1	1	0	1	4
TESLA Part B	1		1	0	1	4
ODYSSEY COMBO II	1	1	1	0	1	4
GLAGOV	1	1	1	0	1	4
Kastelein 2016	1	1	1	0	1	4
EQUATOR	1	1	1	0	1	4

Representation of randomization: 0, not randomized or inappropriate method of randomization; 1, the study was described as randomized.

Appropriateness of method for randomization: 0, no information about the method of randomization; 1, the method of randomization was described and it was appropriate.

Representation of double blinding: 0, no blind or inappropriate method of blinding; 1, the study was described as double blinding.

Appropriateness of method for double blinding: 0, no information about the method of double blinding; 1, the method of double blinding was described and it was appropriate.

Withdrawals and dropouts:0, not describe the follow-up; 1, a description of withdrawals and dropouts.



Study			%
ID		WMD (95% CI)	Weight
-			
Evolocumab	I		
RUTHERFORD(1)		0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)		0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
GAUSS-2(1)		0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)		-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)		0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B		0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
DESCARTES(1)		0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)		0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)		0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	4	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
GLAGOV		-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.949)	•	-0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	65.36
	Ť		
Alirocumab	1		
Stein EA 2012(1)		-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)		0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)		0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein FA 2012(4)		0 10 (-0 48 0 68)	3.52
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)		-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)		0.86 (-0.15 1.87)	1.51
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.747)		0 12 (-0 18 0 43)	13.84
LY3015014			
Kastelein 2018(1)		-0.70 (-1.31 -0.09)	3 34
Kastelein 2018(2)		1 10 (0 50 1 70)	3 41
Kastelein 2018(3)		-0.80 (-1.40 -0.20)	3.41
Kastelein 2018(4)		-0.80 (-1.41 -0.19)	2 22
Kastelein 2018(5)		-1 20 (-1 81 -0 59)	3 34
Subtotal (I-squared = 88.6% n = 0.000)		-0.48 (-1.28, 0.32)	16.83
outroitar (risquarea losteria, p. ettera)		0.10(1.20, 0.02)	10.00
RG7852	9		
FOUATOR(1)		0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
EQUATOR(2)		0.04 (-0.95 1.03)	1.57
Subtotal (Leguared = 0.0% n = 0.428)		0.35 (-0.25 0.95)	3.96
ouororai (i-squareu = 0.076, p = 0.430)		0.00 (-0.20, 0.00)	0.00
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, n = 0.000)		-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
o retain (insquared = 07.4%, p = 0.000)	Y	-0.01 (-0.11, 0.10)	
-2.5	0	2.5	

WMD= weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, PCSK9-mAb= proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein

Supplementary Figure 3. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by treatment durations.

Study D	WMD (95% CI)	% Weigh
\$12W		
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1) *	0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	- 0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
GAUSS-2(1)		2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.884)	0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	58.83
12W		
ESCARTES(1)	0.00(-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
PESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5 20
	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1 38
	• 0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.50
	-0.10(-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
(astelein 2016(1)	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	3.34
(astelein 2016(2)	1 10 (0 50 1 70)	3.41
(astalain 2016/2)		3.41
(astelein 2016(4)	-0.00 (-1.40, -0.20)	3 33
(astelein 2016/5)	1 20 (1 81 0 50)	3.33
	-1.20 (-1.01, -0.35)	2.24
		2.59
Subtotal (Leguared = 73.6% p = 0.000)	-0.11 (-0.45, 0.23)	41 17
Santoran (Poquared = 10.070, p = 0.000)	-0.11 (-0.45, 0.25)	41.17
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.0

WMD=weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive

protein

Supplementary Figure 4. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by participant characteristics

Study		%
ID	WMD (95% CI)	Weight
FH		
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	 -0.08 (-0.19, 0.03) 	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.828)	-0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	52.44
non-FH		
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
DESCARTES(1) -	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
GLAGOV	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
EQUATOR(1)	0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
FOUATOR(2)	0.04(-0.95, 1.03)	1.57
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.892)	0.07 (-0.32, 1.33)	30 73
eusiciai (isquarea elevit, p. elevez)		
MIX	9	
Kastelein 2018(1)	-0.70 (-1.31 -0.09)	3 34
Kastelein 2018(2)		3.41
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40 -0.20)	3.41
Kastelein 2018(4)		3 33
Kastelein 2018(5)		3.34
Subtotal (Lequared = 22.8% p = 0.000)		18.83
oubiotal (Isqualed - 66.0%, p - 0.000)		10.00
Overall (I-squared = 57.4% p = 0.000)	.0.04 (.0.17.0.10)	100.00
overall (inquared = or.end, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
I		
-2.5	0 2.5	

WMD= weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein, FH=familial hypercholesterolemia, non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia



Supplementary Figure 5. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by of treatment methods.

WMD=weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive

protein




Supplementary Figure 8. Meta-regression of baseline age (A), sex (B) and percent change of



LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol





PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
TITLE			
8 Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	1
1 Structured summary 12 13	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	2
16 Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	4-5
17 Objectives 18	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	5
20 METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	5-6
25 24 Eligibility criteria 25	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	6
²⁶ Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	6
29 29 Search 30	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	6
3 Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	6
33 34 35	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	6-7
36 Data items 37	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	6
³⁸ Risk of bias in individual 40 studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	6-7
4 Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	7-8
42 Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I ²) for each meta-analysis.	7-8
45 46		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Page 37 of 37

BMJ Open



PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Page	1	of	2
I aye		UI.	~

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	7-8
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	7-8
RESULTS			
4 Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	8-9
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	8-9
P Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	9
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	9-10,22
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	10
4 Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	10-11
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	10
DISCUSSION	1		
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	10
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	14
A Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	14
FUNDING			
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	15
49 H0 From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlafi 11 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097 H2	f J, Altm	an DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med	6(7): e1000097.

Page 2 of 2

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Impact of PCSK9-monoclonal antibodies on circulating hs-CRP levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-022348.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-May-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Cao, Ye-Xuan; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College Li, Sha; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Division of Dyslipidemia Liu, Hui-Hui; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Division of Dyslipidemia Li, Jian-Jun; Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College
Primary Subject Heading :	Cardiovascular medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Pharmacology and therapeutics
Keywords:	PCSK9, monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP, meta-analysis

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Revised bmjopen-2018-022348

2018-05-22

Title: Impact of PCSK9-monoclonal antibodies on circulating hs-CRP levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Running Title: PCSK9-mAb and hs-CRP

Authors: Ye-Xuan Cao, MD^{*}, Sha Li, MD, PhD^{*}, Hui-Hui Liu, MD^{*}, Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD^{*}

Affiliations: *Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, BeiLiShi Road 167, Beijing 100037, China.

Correspondence: Professor Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD, FESC, FACC. Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, BeiLiShi Road 167, Beijing 100037, China. Tel: 86+10+88396077; Fax: 86+10+88396584. E-mail: <u>lijianjun938@126.com</u>.

Total word count: 2633

Number of tables/figures: tables 1; figures 3

ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the potential effect of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody (PCSK9-mAb) on high-sensitivity C-reactive (hs-CRP) concentrations.

Design A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

Data sources PubMed, MEDLINE, The Cochrane Library databases, ClinicalTrials.gov and recent conferences were searched from inception to May 2018.

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies All randomized controlled trials that reported changes of hs-CRP were included.

Results Ten studies involving 4198 participants were identified. PCSK9-mAbs showed a slight efficacy in reducing hs-CRP (-0.04mg/L, 95%CI:-0.17 to 0.01) but no statistically different. The results did not altered when subgroup analyses were performed including PCSK9-mAb types (Alirocumab:0.12mg/L, 95% CI:-0.18 to 0.43; Evolocumab:0.00 mg/L, 95% CI:-0.07 to 0.07; LY3015014:-0.48mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32; RG7652:0.35mg/L, 95% CI:-0.26 to 0.96), treatment duration (\leq 12w:0.00mg/L, 95% CI:-0.07 to 0.07; >12w: -0.11mg/L, 95% CI:-0.45 to -0.23), participant characteristics (familial hypercholesterolemia: 0.00mg/L, 95% CI:-0.17 to 0.07; non-familial hypercholesterolemia:0.07mg/L, 95% CI:-0.12 to 0.26; mix:-0.48mg/L, 95%CI:-1.28 to 0.32) and treatment methods (monotherapy: 0.00mg/L, -0.08 to 0.07; combination-therapy: -0.08mg/L, -0.37 to 0.21). Meta-regression analyses suggested no significant linear correlation between baseline age (p=0.673), sex (p=0.645), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction (p=0.339).

Conclusions Our updated meta-analysis suggested that PCSK9-mAbs had no significant impact on circulating hs-CRP levels irrespective of PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment duration or methods.

Keywords: PCSK9; monoclonal antibody; hs-CRP; meta-analysis

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
0	
י 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
27 21	
34	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45 46	
40 17	
47 48	
49	
50	
51	

58 59

60

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, which is conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement.
- We used a broad search strategy and identified 10 studies that reported the changes of • high-sensitivity C-reactive protein when proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 ις .neterogeneity and monoclonal antibody was applied.
- Studies with moderate heterogeneity and lack of individual level data.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the greatest burden of global health, which is mainly characterized by atherosclerosis¹. Atherosclerosis is a chronic and progressive inflammatory disease, including endothelial dysfunction, lipid accumulation in the arterial wall and leukocyte infiltration, which leads to luminal stenosis, plaque rupture and acute coronary syndrome $(ACS)^2$. Apart from well-established lipid theory, inflammation also plays an important role in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis³. Recently, the Canakinumab Antiinflammatory Thrombosis Outcome Study (CANTOS) reported that anti-inflammatory therapy by canakinumab, a therapeutic monoclonal antibody targeting interleukin-1 β , significantly reduced the primary cardiovascular end points⁴. This study attracted attention to the inflammation intervention in cardiovascular medicine again.

C-reactive protein (CRP), especially high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP), is the most intensively investigated inflammatory biomarker in cardiovascular field⁵. Increasing studies have confirmed that hs-CRP is a predictor for the progression of atherosclerotic disease and future major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) ^{6,7}. Moreover, previous studies also indicated that hs-CRP played a direct role in the development of atherosclerosis^{8,9}. Therefore, reduction of inflammatory markers such as hs-CRP may be a strategy for decreasing MACE^{10,11}.

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) is known to target low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) for degradation resulting in elevated plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels¹²⁻¹⁴. Recently, it has been reported that PCSK9 monoclonal antibody (PCSK9-mAb), as a novel lipid-lowering drug, can reduce LDL-C by a mean of 70% accompanied with reduction of MACE¹⁵. Although the relation of PCSK9 to LDL-C has been established, its role in inflammation has not been fully understood. Several experimental studies found that PCSK9 could promote the progression of atherosclerosis by

BMJ Open

enhancing inflammatory reaction¹⁶. On the other hand, PCSK9 deficiency could alleviate the inflammation reaction¹⁷. Hence, whether PCSK9-mAb can reduce inflammatory marker is clinically of great interest since it was used to treat cardiovascular diseases. A meta-analysis covering 7 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) studies published two years ago suggested that PCSK9-mAbs had no effect on serum hs-CRP¹⁸. However, this meta-analysis may be limited by insufficient subgroup analyses, sample size, and lack of newly published data^{19,20}. Hence, we performed this meta-analysis including all RCTs published till May 2018 to further explore the efficacy of PCSK9-mAbs on circulating hs-CRP levels.

Methods

Patient and public involvement statement

Patients and the public were not involved.

Literature search

The present study was designed according to the guidelines of the 2009 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISMA) statement²¹. To identify all RCTs assessing the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on circulating hs-CRP levels, we comprehensively searched PubMed, MEDLINE, and the Cochrane Library database and ClinicalTrials.gov up until May 2018. The search terms we used included the followings: (AMG145 or Evolocumab or REGN727 or SAR236553 or Alirocumab or RN316 or bococizumab or RG7652 or LY3015014 or PCSK9 antibody or anti-PCSK9) AND (randomized controlled trial OR randomized OR randomly). Meanwhile, manual search was performed for relevant studies including references lists, relevant review articles and commentaries. No language restriction was used.

Study selection

Original studies met the following criteria would be included: the design was phase 2 or phase 3 double-blind RCTs with longer than 8 weeks treatment duration; human participants were randomly assigned to PCSK9-mAbs group versus control group with or without other lipid-lowering therapy; outcomes included percentage changes of hs-CRP from baseline. Studies were excluded if they were duplicate publications, review articles, non-human studies, observational studies, and lack of adequate information on outcomes or lacking control group. Two investigators (YC and SL) independently screened and selected the eligible studies. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third investigator.

Data Extraction

A standardized extraction form was used to extract the following items by two investigators (YC and HL) independently: trial name/first author, year of publication, type of intervention, follow-up period, treatment duration, number of patients, participant characteristics, background lipid-lowering therapy, types and doses of PCSK9-mAbs, LDL and hs-CRP levels at baseline and changes. We included the final reported follow-up point if a trial contains several time points. If necessary, further information was required from correspondence author.

Quality Assessment

We used Cochrane Collaboration's tool and Jadad score to assess the data and the methodological quality of included RCTs. For Cochrane Collaboration's tool, the following items were performed: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective

reporting (reporting bias), and other sources of bias. The judgments were classified as 'low risk', 'high risk', and 'unclear risk' of bias. The 5-point Jadad score included the following items: basis of randomization (0 to 2 points), double blinding (0 to 2 points), and withdrawals /dropouts (0 to 1 points). Studies with a score \geq 3 points are considered to be high quality. Two investigators (YC and HL) independently assessed the quality of each study. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third investigator.

Data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis

All analyses were conducted according to the intention-to-treat principles. For all efficacy outcomes, changes in hs-CRP concentrations were expressed as weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). All the data were standardized and expressed by mg/mL. Standard deviation could be calculated from CI, interquartile range or standard error according to formulas in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions if not reported.

Heterogeneity was assessed by the Cochran Q test and the I² statistic. We considered I²<25% as representing low heterogeneity and I²>75% as representing at high heterogeneity. Outcomes were calculated by fixed-effects models under no or low to moderate inconsistency (I²<50%); otherwise, the data was pooled based on random-effects models. Subgroups were applied to reduce the heterogeneity if I² \geq 50%, such as PCSK9-mAb types, treatment duration, and participant characteristics. In order to explore the resource of heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting studies in turn to evaluate the consistency of the results. Meta-regression analyses were performed to evaluate the contribution of participant characteristics and reductions in LDL-C concentrations. Publication bias was assessed with a funnel plot and Egger's test.

All analyses were conducted with Review Manager Version 5.3 (Copenhagen: The

Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Denmark) and Stata 14.0 (Stata Statistical Software: College Station, TX: Stata Corp LP). P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Study selection and Characteristics

The initial search identified 575 articles. After excluding duplicate publications and screening the titles and abstracts, 430 were excluded and 145 studies were retrieved for full-text identification. We further excluded 135 studies, of which 58 were pooled or meta-analysis, 2 studies were not RCTs and 13 was phase 1 trials, 16 were open label trails and 42 without adequate information. Finally, ten studies were included in this meta-analysis^{20,22-30}. Figure 1 shows flow diagram of selection process.

These ten studies were published between 2012 and 2017 from different countries with low risk of bias, of which 5 were phase 2 studies and 5 were phase 3 studies (Table 1). A total of 4198 participants were included, comprising 2728 individuals in the PCSK9-mAb group and 1470 in the control group. Alirocumab (SAR236553/REGN727) was used in 4 arms and 11 arms applied Evolocumab (AMG 145). Five arms managed LY3015014 and 2 arms used RG7652. Four trials included patients with familial hypercholesterolemia (FH), of which 3 were heterozygous FH (HeFH) and 1 was homozygous FH (HoFH). Most of the treatment duration ranged from 12 to 24 weeks and the longest treatment duration was 78 weeks. Apart from DESCARTES trial which co-administered with atorvastatin, another 9 studies used PCSK9-mAb as monotherapy. Baseline characteristics including circulating hs-CRP levels were similar between PCSK9-mAbs and control groups within each study. The characteristics of these trials and participants are summarized in Table 1. All these studies had a relatively high quality evaluated by the Jadad score and low risk of bias (online supplementary Table 1

BMJ Open

and online supplementary Figure 1, 3 scores=5, 6 scores=4).

Efficacy outcomes of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP

A total of 4198 participants were included in the analysis of efficacy of PCSK9-mAbs on plasma hs-CRP concentrations before and after treatment. When data were pooled, PCSK9-mAbs showed a slight efficacy in reducing hs-CRP (WMD: -0.04mg/L, 95%CI: -0.17 to 0.01), while no statistical difference was found compared with control treatment (Figure 2). There was a moderate heterogeneity between each study (I^2 =57.4%, P=0.0001), so the random-effect model was selected.

To assess the potential discrepancy, we applied the subgroup analyses based on the characteristics of trials and participants (Figure 3 and online supplementary Figures 2-5). Although the efficacy of LY3015014 was a mild higher (-0.48 mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32), there was no difference between these four antibodies (Alirocumab: 0.12 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.18 to 0.43; Evolocumab: 0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07; RG7652: 0.35 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.26 to 0.96). When studies were classified by treatment duration, the hs-CRP reduction showed no difference in less than 12-week duration group (0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07) and above 12-week duration group (-0.11mg/L, 95% CI: -0.45 to -0.23). There was no significant reduction in circulating hs-CRP with use of PCSK9 antibodies compared with control treatment when categorized to participant characteristics (FH: 0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.32). The analysis stratified by treatment method also supported the results that no differential effect of PCSK9-mAb therapy on plasma hs-CRP concentrations was observed (monotherapy: 0.00 mg/L, 95%CI: -0.08 to 0.07 vs combination-therapy: -0.08 mg/L, 95%CI: -0.37 to 0.21).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

The sensitivity analysis for all outcomes was conducted by gradually removing each study. However, the results did not change meaningfully (online supplementary Figure 6). Neither funnel plots (online supplementary Figure 7) nor Egger's regression test (p=0.913) showed publication bias.

Meta-regression analyses

We used meta-regression analyses to assess the relationship between changes in hs-CRP and baseline age, sex, and average LDL changes (online supplementary Figure 8). No statistically significant relationship between baseline age (p=0.673), male sex (p=0.645), and hs-CRP changes were observed. Likewise, LDL-C lowering effects by PCSK9-mAb therapy had no impact on hs-CRP lowering (p=0.339, online supplementary Figure 8).

Discussion

The results of this updated, comprehensive meta-analysis, based on 10 RCTs encompassing 4198 participants, suggested that short-term PCSK9-mAb therapy had no impact on circulating hs-CRP concentrations. In the subgroup analyses, no difference was found between PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment duration or methods.

Atherosclerosis, a chronic progressive disorder, is characterized by lipid accumulation and chronic inflammation in the arterial wall². Although previous data indicated a positive effects of anti-inflammatory drugs on atherosclerosis in animal studies but no positive data was available in human studies³¹. Fortunately, recent evidence from CANTOS found that canakinumab significantly reduced hs-CRP levels and MACE after follow-up of 3.7 years which may support the inflammatory hypothesis of atherosclerosis⁴. Moreover, ongoing Cardiovascular Inflammation Reduction Trial (CIRT) was also designed to directly test the

BMJ Open

inflammatory hypothesis of atherosclerosis by evaluating the effect of methotrexate on adverse cardiovascular outcomes without substantive impact on lipids³². Hence, focusing on inflammation in the development of atherosclerosis may be an unsolved issue and great of interest clinically.

In fact, increasing studies indicated that hs-CRP could independently predict MACE⁶. Framingham study found that men and women in the highest quartile of CRP respectively had twice and three-times the risk of stroke compared with those in the lowest ones after more than 10-years follow-up³³. The Northern Manhattan Study reported that >3 mg/L CRP was associated with a 1.7-fold increase in cardiovascular outcomes and a 1.55-fold increase in mortality³⁴. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that hs-CRP also plays a direct and vital role in the development of atherosclerosis. Zwaka et al⁸ found that CRP enhanced the transformation from macrophages to foam cells by increasing the uptake of LDL. CRP was also reported to impair vasodilatation, inhibit the synthesis of nitric oxide synthase, and facilitate the adhesion of monocyte^{35,36}. Based on these evidence, reduction of hs-CRP may be associated with a decrease in MACE. Interestingly, the JUPITER study applied rosuvastatin on individuals with LDL-C levels below 130 mg/dL and hs-CRP levels ≥ 2 mg/L, and suggested a significant reduction in all vascular events³⁷. Although a fact that statins lower LDL-C and proportionately reduce MACE is widely accepted, the hs-CRP reduction by statin administration is also an attractive phenomenon, called as pleiotropic effect of statin. That is the reason why we chose hs-CRP as an inflammatory biomarker to identify whether PCSK9-mAb has an effect on inflammatory status.

Although the relationship between PCSK9 and LDL-C was well-established, more and more evidence demonstrated its function beyond lipids. In 2010, microarray gene expression analysis suggested that PCSK9 affected not only cholesterol metabolism, but also inflammation³⁸. Experimental studies indicated that PCSK9 could participate in vascular and

BMJ Open

systemic inflammation¹⁶. In transgenic mice expressing human PCSK9 gene, Tavori et al³⁹ observed that atherosclerosis lesion size and local Ly6Chi monocytes, the precursors of pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages, significantly increased. Clinical studies further supported this hypothesis. Our previous study found that serum PCSK9 concentrations were independently associated with white blood cell count in patients with stable coronary artery disease, indicating PCSK9 might be involved in the inflammation process⁴⁰. ATHEROREMO-IVUS study reported a positive linearly association between PCSK9 levels and coronary plaque inflammation including amount of necrotic core tissue and plaque volume⁴¹. On the other hand, data also showed that PCSK9 inhibition could exert an anti-inflammatory effect. Tang et al⁴² reported that PCSK9 small interfering RNA reduced the expression of pro-inflammatory genes through nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) pathway. In apoE-/- mice, PCSK9 silencing limited the development of atherosclerosis and decreased the number of macrophages via TLR4/NF-kB signaling pathway⁴³. Besides, AT04A anti-PCSK9 vaccine also got the same results that anti-PCSK9 therapy could reduce vascular inflammation⁴⁴. Recently, Bernelot et al⁴⁵ found that after 24 weeks of treatment with PCSK9-mAbs, the migratory capacity of monocytes and inflammatory responsiveness reduced significantly while anti-inflammatory cytokine levels increased in FH patients. Therefore, we hypothesized that PCSK9-mAbs treatment could reduce hs-CRP in randomized clinical studies. Unfortunately, in our meta-analysis the results showed that PCSK9-mAbs therapy had no effect on decreasing hs-CRP in both FH participants and non-FH individuals.

To explore the potential reasons why PCSK9-mAbs therapy was not benefit from inflammatory marker, named as the reduction of circulating hs-CRP levels, we further performed subgroup analyses. Firstly, we did not observe an impact of PCSK9-mAbs types on hs-CRP, which may exclude the influence of PCSK9-mAbs itself on the inflammatory marker in our meta-analysis. Besides, the same results were also observed in combination of

BMJ Open

statins and PCSK9-mAbs group, suggesting that the effects of PCSK9-mAbs therapy on hs-CRP is not linked with treatment methods. It is notable that the participants in JUPITER trial had high levels of hs-CRP at baseline, while in PCSK9-mAb therapy, initial hs-CRP levels were at normal range in recruited individuals. Finally, we did not find a positive effects of PCSK9-mAbs therapeutic duration on hs-CRP in this updated analysis. Taken together, we may conclude that although PCSK9-mAbs had a powerful ability in lowering LDL-C, they had no impact on circulating hs-CRP concentration despite of PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment duration or methods.

Limitations

There were several limitations in our meta-analysis. Firstly, our results were based on study data but not individual data as in most meta-analyses. Secondly, although our meta-analysis is an updated one, it is still limited by study numbers, sample size, and therapy duration. Moreover, moderate degree of heterogeneity was observed in several comparisons. However, there was no publication bias and the results were rather consistent among different subgroups. Sensitivity analysis suggested that the pooled WMD were robust. Finally, some studies included in this meta-analysis did not provide adequate information about blinding of participants and personnel.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the current updated evidence suggested that PCSK9-mAb, a novel powerful lipid-lowering drug, had no significant impact on circulating hs-CRP concentrations, whose effect did not influenced by PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment duration or methods. Long-term observation may be needed.

Acknowledgements

Not any.

Contributors

JJL contributed to conception and design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation, and critically revised the manuscript. YXC contributed to design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation and drafted the manuscript. SL contributed to acquisition, analysis, and critically revised the manuscript. HHL contributed to analysis, interpretation and critically revised the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was partially supported by the Capital Health Development Fund (201614035) and CAMS Major Collaborative Innovation Project (2016-I2M-1-011) awarded to Dr. Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD. The sponsors had no role in the decision to conduct the meta-analyses, data analysis, or reporting of the results.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent: Not required.

Ethics approval: This research is exempt from ethical approval.

Data sharing statement: No additional data available.

BMJ Open

2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
17	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
25	
24	
25	
26	
27	
2/	
28	
29	
30	
21	
51	
32	
33	
34	
25	
35	
36	
37	
28	
20	
39	
40	
41	
47	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
51	
54	
55	
56	
57	
50	
20	
59	

60

Reference

- Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3:e442.
- 2. Ross R. Atherosclerosis--an inflammatory disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340:115-26.
- Packard RR, Libby P. Inflammation in atherosclerosis: from vascular biology to biomarker discovery and risk prediction. *Clin Chem.* 2008;54:24-38.
- 4. Ridker PM, Everett BM, Thuren T, *et al.* Antiinflammatory Therapy with Canakinumab for Atherosclerotic Disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:1119-31.
- 5. Li JJ, Fang CH. C-reactive protein is not only an inflammatory marker but also a direct cause of cardiovascular diseases. *Med Hypotheses*. 2004;62:499-506.
- Li JJ, Li S, Zhang Y, *et al.* Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin/Kexin type 9, C-Reactive Protein, Coronary Severity, and Outcomes in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery Disease: A Prospective Observational Cohort Study. *Medicine*. 2015;94:e2426.
- Wang A, Liu J, Li C, *et al.* C umulative Exposure to High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein Predicts the Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017; 6: e005610.
- Zwaka TP, Hombach V, Torzewski J. C-reactive protein-mediated low density lipoprotein uptake by macrophages: implications for atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2001;103:1194-7.
- 9. Zhang YX, Cliff WJ, Schoefl GI, *et al.* Coronary C-reactive protein distribution: its relation to development of atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis*. 1999;145:375-9.
- Li JJ, Fang CH. Effects of 4 weeks of atorvastatin administration on the antiinflammatory cytokine interleukin-10 in patients with unstable angina. *Clin Chem.* 2005;51:1735-8.
- 11. Li JJ, Li YS, Fang CH, et al. Effects of simvastatin within two weeks on

anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin 10 in patients with unstable angina. *Heart*. 2006;92:529-30.

12. Cui CJ, Li S, Li JJ. PCSK9 and its modulation. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2015;440:79-86.

- Li S, Li JJ. PCSK9: A key factor modulating atherosclerosis. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2015;22:221-30.
- 14. Li S, Zhang Y, Xu RX, *et al.* Proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 as a biomarker for the severity of coronary artery disease. *Ann Med.* 2015;47:386-93.
- 15. Roth EM, McKenney JM, Hanotin C, *et al.* Atorvastatin with or without an antibody to PCSK9 in primary hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367:1891-900.
- 16. Giunzioni I, Tavori H, Covarrubias R, *et al.* Local effects of human PCSK9 on the atherosclerotic lesion. *J Pathol.* 2016;238:52-62.
- Kuhnast S, van der Hoorn JW, Pieterman EJ, *et al.* Alirocumab inhibits atherosclerosis, improves the plaque morphology, and enhances the effects of a statin. *J Lipid Res.* 2014;55:2103-12.
- Sahebkar A, Di Giosia P, Stamerra CA, *et al.* Effect of monoclonal antibodies to PCSK9 on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels: a meta-analysis of 16 randomized controlled treatment arms. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2016;81:1175-90.
- 19. Gencer B, Montecucco F, Nanchen D, *et al.* Prognostic value of PCSK9 levels in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:546-53.
- 20. Kastelein JJ, Nissen SE, Rader DJ, *et al.* Safety and efficacy of LY3015014, a monoclonal antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9): a randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 study. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:1360-9.
- 21. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev.* 2015;4:1.
- 22. Raal F, Scott R, Somaratne R, et al. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol-lowering

BMJ Open

1		
2 3		eff
4 5		tyr
6		tyf
7 8		the
9 10		Ну
11		20
12 13		20
14 15	23.	Ra
16		het
17 18		do
19		uo
20 21	24.	Ste
22 23		RE
24		he
25 26		-
27 28		eze
29	25.	Bl
30 31		ev
32	26	G.
33 34	26.	Sti
35 36		ch
37		pla
38 39		P •
40 41		20
41 42	27.	Ra
43 44		ho
45		
46 47		do
48 49	28.	Ca
50		ca
51 52		
53		ma
54 55		co
56 57		
58		
59 60		

effects of AMG 145, a monoclonal antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 serine protease in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: the Reduction of LDL-C with PCSK9 Inhibition in Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia Disorder (RUTHERFORD) randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2408-17.

- Raal FJ, Stein EA, Dufour R, *et al.* PCSK9 inhibition with evolocumab (AMG 145) in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (RUTHERFORD-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2015;385:331-40.
- 24. Stein EA, Gipe D, Bergeron J, *et al.* Effect of a monoclonal antibody to PCSK9, REGN727/SAR236553, to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia on stable statin dose with or without ezetimibe therapy: a phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012;380:29-36.
- 25. Blom DJ, Hala T, Bolognese M, et al. A 52-week placebo-controlled trial of evolocumab in hyperlipidemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370:1809-19.
- 26. Stroes E, Colquhoun D, Sullivan D, et al. Anti-PCSK9 antibody effectively lowers cholesterol in patients with statin intolerance: the GAUSS-2 randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical trial of evolocumab. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63:2541-2548.
- Raal FJ, Honarpour N, Blom DJ, *et al.* Inhibition of PCSK9 with evolocumab in homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (TESLA Part B): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2015;385:341-50.
- 28. Cannon CP, Cariou B, Blom D, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in high cardiovascular risk patients with inadequately controlled hypercholesterolaemia on maximally tolerated doses of statins: the ODYSSEY COMBO II randomized controlled trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36:1186-94.

- 29. Nicholls SJ, Puri R, Anderson T, *et al.* Effect of Evolocumab on Progression of Coronary Disease in Statin-Treated Patients: The GLAGOV Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2016;316:2373-84.
- Baruch A, Mosesova S, Davis JD, *et al.* Effects of RG7652, a Monoclonal Antibody Against PCSK9, on LDL-C, LDL-C Subfractions, and Inflammatory Biomarkers in Patients at High Risk of or With Established Coronary Heart Disease (from the Phase 2 EQUATOR Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2017;119:1576-83.
- 31. Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, *et al.* Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. *N Engl J Med.* 1997; 336:973-9.
- 32. Everett BM, Pradhan AD, Solomon DH, *et al.* Rationale and design of the Cardiovascular Inflammation Reduction Trial: a test of the inflammatory hypothesis of atherothrombosis. *Am Heart J.* 2013;166:199-207.
- Rost NS, Wolf PA, Kase CS, *et al.* Plasma concentration of C-reactive protein and risk of ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack: the Framingham study. *Stroke*. 2001;32:2575-9.
- Elkind MS, Luna JM, Moon YP, *et al.* High-sensitivity C-reactive protein predicts mortality but not stroke: the Northern Manhattan Study. *Neurology*.2009;73;1300-7.
- 35. Li L, Roumeliotis N, Sawamura T, *et al.* C-reactive protein enhances LOX-1 expression in human aortic endothelial cells: relevance of LOX-1 to C-reactive protein-induced endothelial dysfunction. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:877-83.
- Paffen E, DeMaat MP. C-reactive protein in atherosclerosis: A causal factor? Cardiovasc Res. 2006;71:30-9.
- 37. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, *et al.* Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:2195-207.
- 38. Lan H, Pang L, Smith MM, et al. Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9

BMJ Open

(PCSK9) affects gene expression pathways beyond cholesterol metabolism in liver cells. *Cell Physiol. 2010.* 224(1):273-81.

- 39. Tavori H, Giunzioni I, Predazzi IM, *et al.* Human PCSK9 promotes hepatic lipogenesis and atherosclerosis development via apoE- and LDLR-mediated mechanisms. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2016;110:268-78.
- 40. Li S, Guo YL, Xu RX, *et al.* Association of plasma PCSK9 levels with white blood cell count and its subsets in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis.* 2014;234:441-445.
- Cheng JM, Oemrawsingh RM, Garcia-Garcia HM, et al. PCSK9 in relation to coronary plaque inflammation: Results of the ATHEROREMO-IVUS study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2016;248:117-22.
- 42. Tang Z, Jiang L, Peng J, *et al.* PCSK9 siRNA suppresses the inflammatory response induced by oxLDL through inhibition of NF-kappaB activation in THP-1-derived macrophages. *Int J Mol Med.* 2012;30:931-8.
- 43. Tang ZH, Peng J, Ren Z, *et al.* New role of PCSK9 in atherosclerotic inflammation promotion involving the TLR4/NF-kappaB pathway. *Atherosclerosis.* 2017;262:113-22.
- 44. Landlinger C, Pouwer MG, Juno C, *et al.* The AT04A vaccine against proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 reduces total cholesterol, vascular inflammation, and atherosclerosis in APOE*3Leiden.CETP mice. *Eur Heart J.*2017;38:2499-507.
- 45. Bernelot Moens SJ, Neele AE, Kroon J, *et al.* PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies reverse the pro-inflammatory profile of monocytes in familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:1584-93.

BMJ Open

Tables

 Table 1. Study characteristics of included randomized controlled trials.

Study	Year	Phase	Inclusion criteria	Patients N	Arm	Mean hs-CRP at baseline mg/L	Mean age (years)	Male %	% LDL-C reduction	Drugs/control	Treatment duration	Jadad Score					
	2012	п	Heen	167	(1)	1.09±1.37	47.6	54.5	42.7	E:350mg/PBO, Q4W	1 2 W	5					
KUTHERFORD	2012	11	пегп	107	(2)	1.07±1.24	51.8	62.5	55.2	E:420mg/PBO, Q4W	12 W	5					
					(1)	1·40±1.78	56.3	81.3	67.9	A:150mg /PBO, Q2W							
Stain 2012^{24}	2012	п	Heen		(2)	0.60±0.82	51.3	60.0	28.9	A:150mg /PBO, Q4W	12W	5					
Stein 2012	2012	11	негн	//	(3)	0·70±1.48	52.9	56.3	31.5	A:200mg /PBO, Q4W	12 W	3					
					(4)	0.70±0.59	54.3	46.7	42.5	A:300mg /PBO, Q4W							
				894	(1)	2.00±3.70	50.7	47.3	51.5	E:420 mg/ PBO, Q4W							
					(2)	1.00±1.48	57.2	42.9	54.7	E:420 mg+ATV10 mg/ PBO, Q4W							
DESCARTES ²³	2014	II	НС		894	(3)	1.00±1.48	57.8	52.4	46.7	E:420 mg+ATV80 mg/ PBO, Q4W	52W	5				
					(4)	1.00±1.48	54.2	55.6	46.8	E:420 mg+ATV80 mg+ Eze10mg / PBO, Q4W	-						
	2014	ш	ша	ШС	ЦС	207	(1)	1.40±2.00	61.0	55.3	56.1	E:140 mg/ Eze, Q2W	10.00	4			
GAU55-2	2014	111	HC	307	(2)	1.80±1.78	63.0	54.9	52.6	E:420 mg/ Eze, Q4W	12 W	4					
DUTUEDEODD 2 ²³	2014	ш	Haffi	220	(1)	0.92±1.03	52.6	40.0	61.3	E:140 mg /PBO,Q2W	10.00	4					
KUTHERFURD-2	2014	111	111	111	пегн	пегн	329	329	329	(2)	1.04±1.24	51.9	41.8	55.7	E:420 mg /PBO,Q4W	- 12W	4
TESLA Part B ²⁷	2014	III	HoFH	49		0.70±1.04	31.0	51.5	23.1	E:140 mg /PBO, Q4W	12W	4					
ODYSSEY	2015	ш	UC	720	(1)	3.58±7.78	61.7	75.2	50.6	A: 75mg /Eze, Q2W	24W	4					
COMBO II ²⁸	2013	111	пс	/20	(2)	3.58±7.78	61.7	75.2	51.8	A: 75mg /Eze, Q2W	52W	4					
GLAGOV ²⁹	2016	III	НС	968		1.60±1.93	59.8	72.1	34.6	E:420 mg /PBO, Q4W	78W	4					

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

2 3 4	
6 7 8	
9 10 11	
12 13 14 15	Dat
16 17	hon
17	N, r
19 20	
21	
22	
24 25	
26	
27 28	
29 30	
31	
32 33	
34 25	
35 36	
37 38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43 44	
45 46	
40 47	

								-	-						
						(1)	1.03±1.41	57.2	51.7	14.9	LY:20mg/PBO, Q4W				
			ІІ НС			(2)	1.34±1.11	57.1	52.3	40.5	LY:120mg/PBO, Q4W				
	Kastelein 2016 ²⁰ 2016	Π		II	Π	HC	519	(3)	1.63±1.78	59.7	54.7	50.5	LY:300mg /PBO, Q4W	16W ·	4
						(4)	1.39±1.70	59.6	58.1	14.9	LY:100mg /PBO, Q8W				
						(5)	1.10±1.63	58.7	50.6	37.1	LY:300mg /PBO, Q8W				
		2017	п	UC	169	(1)	1.60±2.70	65.0	57.9	23.3	RG:400mg/PBO, Q4W	24W	4		
	EQUATOR 2017	2017	2017 II HC		108	(2)	2.00±5.90	64.0	51.0	44.3	RG:800mg /PBO,Q8W	- 24 W	4		

persens. .15014; RG, RG765_, a presented as mean±SD; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; hs-CRP, hypersensitive C reactive protein; HC, hypercholesterolemia; HeFH, heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; HoFH, nozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; E, Evolocumab; A, Alirocumab; LY: LY3015014; RG, RG7652; PBO, placebo; ATV, atorvastatin; Eze, ezetimibe; W, weeks; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q4W, every 4 weeks.

number; SD, standard deviation.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flow diagram of selection of studies.

Figure 2. Forest plots depicting the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP.

CI=confidence interval, PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein

Figure 3. Subgroup analyses of the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP.

CI=confidence interval. PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody. hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein.

FH= familial hypercholesterolemia. non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia.



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
/ 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1/ 10	
10	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30 21	
22	
32	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
45 11	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55 56	
50 57	
58	

60

		%
ID	WMD (95% CI)	Weigh
RUTHERFORD(1) -	• 0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	• 0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B -	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2) -	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
GLAGOV	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31, -0.09)	3.34
Kastelein 2016(2)	1.10 (0.50, 1.70)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40, -0.20)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41, -0.19)	3.33
Kastelein 2016(5)	-1.20 (-1.81, -0.59)	3.34
EQUATOR(1) -	0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
EQUATOR(2)	0.04 (-0.95, 1.03)	1.57
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
1	l	

Figure 2. Forest plots depicting the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP. CI=confidence interval, PCSK9-mAbs=PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies, hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein

238x213mm (300 x 300 DPI)

	No. Arms	Patients	MD(95%CI), mg/L		l ² (%)
PCSK9-mAb types					
Evolocumab	6	797	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	0
Alirocumab	11	2723	0.12 (-0.48 to 0.43)	e	0
LY3015014	5	519	-0.48 (-1.28 to 0.32)		88.6
RG7652	2	169	0.35 (-0.28 to 0.96)		- 0
Treatment duration					
>12W	14	3277	-0.11 (-0.45 to 0.23)		79
≤12W	11	931	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	62
Participant characteristics					
FH	9	624	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	0
Non-FH	11	3065	0.07 (-0.12 to 0.26)	-	0
Mix	5	519	-0.48(-1.28 to 0.32)		88.6
Treatment methods					
Monotherapy	9	2010	0.00 (-0.08 to 0.07)	+	0
Combination-therapy	16	2198	-0.04 (-0.17 to 0.10)	+	70.3
				-1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0 0.5 1 MD(95%CI)	1.0

Figure 3. Subgroup analyses of the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP. CI=confidence interval. PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody. hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein. FH= familial hypercholesterolemia. non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia.

256x133mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Supplementary material

Supplemental Table 1. Quality assessment of included studies using the Jadad scale

Studies	Representation of randomization	Appropriateness of method for randomization	Representation of double blinding	Appropriateness of method for double blinding	Representation of withdrawals	Total Score
RUTHERFORD	1	1	1	1	1	5
Stein EA 2012	1	1	1	1	1	5
DESCARTES	1	1	1	1	1	5
GAUSS-2	1	1	1	0	1	5
RUTHERFORD-2	1	1	1	0	1	4
TESLA Part B	1		1	0	1	4
ODYSSEY COMBO II	1	1	1	0	1	4
GLAGOV	1	1	1	0	1	4
Kastelein 2016	1	1	1	0	1	4
EQUATOR	1	1		0	1	4

Representation of randomization: 0, not randomized or inappropriate method of randomization; 1, the study was described as randomized.

Appropriateness of method for randomization: 0, no information about the method of randomization; 1, the method of randomization was described and it was appropriate.

Representation of double blinding: 0, no blind or inappropriate method of blinding; 1, the study was described as double blinding.

Appropriateness of method for double blinding: 0, no information about the method of double blinding; 1, the method of double blinding was described and it was appropriate.

Withdrawals and dropouts:0, not describe the follow-up; 1, a description of withdrawals and dropouts.



Study			%
ID		WMD (95% CI)	Weight
-			
Evolocumab	I		
RUTHERFORD(1)		0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)		0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
GAUSS-2(1)		0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)		-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)		-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)		0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B		0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
DESCARTES(1)		0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	_	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)		0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)		0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
GLAGOV		-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.949)	4	-0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	65.36
	Ĩ		
Alirocumab			
Stein EA 2012(1)		-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.38
Stein EA 2012(2)		0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	<u>_</u>	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein FA 2012(4)		0 10 (-0 48 0 68)	3.52
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)		-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	<u> </u>	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.747)		0.12 (-0.18, 0.43)	13.84
		,	
LY3015014			
Kastelein 2018(1)	i	-0 70 (-1 31 -0 09)	3 34
Kastelein 2016(2)		1.10 (0.50, 1.70)	3.41
Kastelein 2018(3)		-0.80 (-1.40 -0.20)	3 41
Kastelein 2016(4)		-0.80 (-1.41 -0.19)	3.33
Kastelein 2018(5)		-1 20 (-1 81 -0 59)	3 34
Subtotal (I-squared = 88.6% n = 0.000)		-0.48 (-1.28, 0.32)	16.83
RG7852	!		
EQUATOR(1)		0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
EQUATOR(2)		0.04 (-0.95, 1.03)	1.57
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, n = 0.438)		0.35 (-0.25, 0.95)	3.96
		0.00 (-0.20, 0.00)	0.00
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	Å	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
ereal (requires or ris, p = 0.000)	Y	0.01 (0.11, 0.10)	
		ſ	
-2.5	0	2.5	

WMD= weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, PCSK9-mAb= proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein

Supplementary Figure 3. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by treatment durations.

Study		%
ם	WMD (95% CI)	Weight
	0.02 (0.25 0.20)	7 20
	0.02 (-0.23, 0.23)	0.42
Stoin EA 2012(1)	0.00(-0.00, 0.22)	1.96
Stein EA 2012(1)	0.20 (-1.27, 0.07)	2.60
Stein EA 2012(2)	- 0.20 (-0.57, 0.57)	2.00
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
	- 0.30 (0.43, 1.03)	2.54
SAUSS-2(1)	-0.10(-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
	0.02 (0.21, 0.26)	7.87
	0.02 (-0.21, 0.20)	7.16
Subtotal (Leguared = 0.0% n = 0.884)	0.00 (-0.20, 0.30)	58.83
>12W	0.00 (1.33, 1.33)	0.03
	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	5.62
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (0.42, 0.42)	5.02
VESCARTES(J)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	4.82
	0.02 (1.08 1.04)	4.02
		1.50
	-0.10(-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
(astelein 2016(1)	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	3.34
(astelein 2016(2)	1 10 (0 50 1 70)	3 41
(astelein 2016(2)		3.41
(astelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41 -0.19)	3 33
(astelein 2016(5)	-1.20 (-1.41, -0.13)	3 34
	0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2 39
	- 0.04 (-0.95, 1.03)	1.57
Subtotal (Lsquared = 73.6% p = 0.000)	-0 11 (-0 45 0 23)	41 17
	0.11 (0.10, 0.20)	
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
	I	
-2.5 0	2.5	

WMD=weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive

protein

Supplementary Figure 4. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by participant characteristics

Study		96
ID	WMD (95% CI)	Weight
FH		
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87) 1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03) 9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.826)	-0.00 (-0.07, 0.07) 52.44
,		,
non-FH		
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44	3.85
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04) 1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
GLAGOV -	-0 10 (-1 44 1 24	0.91
EQUATOR(1)	0.54(-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
EQUATOR(2)	0.04(-0.95,1.03)	1.57
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% p = 0.892)	0.07 (-0.12, 0.26)	30.73
cabical (required close) p close)		00.70
MIX		
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31 -0.09	0 3 34
Kastelein 2016(2)		3 41
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40 -0.20	0.341
Kestelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (1.14) -0.10	3 3 3 3
Kastelein 2018(5)		3 3 34
Subtotal (I-squared = 88.6% p = 0.000)		16.83
outrolar (insquared = 00.070, p = 0.000)	-0.46 (-1.26, 0.32	/ 10.00
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10) 100.00
events (required = or this, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (0.17, 0.10	, 100.00
1		
-2.5	0 2.5	

WMD= weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein, FH=familial hypercholesterolemia, non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia



Supplementary Figure 5. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by of treatment methods.

WMD=weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive

protein






LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol

BMJ Open



PRISMA 2009 Checklist

2		
3		
4		
5		
c		
0		
7		
8		
0		
9		
10		
11		
1-		
14		
13		
14		
14		
16		
17		
18		
10		
19		
20		
21		
2		
22		
2:		
24	 -	
24		
2		
20		
27		
28		
20		
23		
30		
31		
32		
22	-	
53		
34		
35		
34		
50		
37		
38		
30		
10		
40		
41		
42		
4		
-T.	-	
44		
45	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	
46		
4-		
-4/		

Page 35 of 35

PRISMA 2009 Checklist

4	1		
5			
6			
0			
7			
8			
0			
9	9		
10			
10			
11	1		
1-			
14	4		
13	3		
1/			
14			
15	15		
14			
10	ιΨ		
17	17		
10			
10			
19	19		
20			
20			
21	21		
27)n		
~ ~ ~			
23	23		
24			
2			
25	25		
26	26		
~	- 4		
27	2 /		
28	28		
20			
25	29		
30	30		
21			
5			
32	32		
22			
53			
34	34		
24	۶¢		
5.			
36	30		
37	37		
27			
35	5 6		
30	39 <u> </u>		
	10		
4(ŧU		
41	11		
4-	17		
44	tZ		
43	13		
	14		
44	!"		
45	15 For peer re	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	
14	16		
40	tU		
47	17		

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Impact of PCSK9-monoclonal antibodies on circulating hs-CRP levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-022348.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Aug-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Cao, Ye-Xuan; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College Li, Sha; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Division of Dyslipidemia Liu, Hui-Hui; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Division of Dyslipidemia Liu, Hui-Hui; State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Division of Dyslipidemia Li, Jian-Jun; Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College
Primary Subject Heading :	Cardiovascular medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Pharmacology and therapeutics
Keywords:	PCSK9, monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP, meta-analysis

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Revised-bmjopen-2018-022348.R2

Title: Impact of PCSK9-monoclonal antibodies on circulating hs-CRP levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Running Title: PCSK9-mAb and hs-CRP

Authors: Ye-Xuan Cao, MD^{*}, Sha Li, MD, PhD^{*}, Hui-Hui Liu, MD^{*}, Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD^{*}

Affiliations: *Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, BeiLiShi Road 167, Beijing 100037, China.

Correspondence: Professor Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD, FESC, FACC. Division of Dyslipidemia, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, BeiLiShi Road 167, Beijing 100037, China. Tel: 86+10+88396077; Fax: 86+10+88396584. E-mail: lijianjun938@126.com.

Total word count: 2673

Number of tables/figures: tables 1; figures 3

ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the potential effects of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody (PCSK9-mAb) on high-sensitivity C-reactive (hs-CRP) concentrations.

Design A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

Data sources PubMed, MEDLINE, The Cochrane Library databases, ClinicalTrials.gov and recent conferences were searched from inception to May 2018.

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies All randomized controlled trials that reported changes of hs-CRP were included.

Results Ten studies involving 4198 participants were identified. PCSK9-mAbs showed a slight efficacy in reducing hs-CRP (-0.04mg/L, 95%CI:-0.17 to 0.01) which was not statistically different. The results did not altered when subgroup analyses were performed including PCSK9-mAb types (Alirocumab:0.12mg/L, 95% CI:-0.18 to 0.43: Evolocumab:0.00 mg/L, 95% CI:-0.07 to 0.07; LY3015014:-0.48mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32; RG7652:0.35mg/L, 95% CI:-0.26 to 0.96), treatment duration (≤12w:0.00mg/L, 95% CI:-0.07 to 0.07; >12w: -0.11mg/L, 95% CI:-0.45 to -0.23), participant characteristics (familial hypercholesterolemia: 0.00mg/L, 95% CI:-0.07 to 0.07; non-familial hypercholesterolemia: 0.07mg/L, 95% CI:-0.12 to 0.26; mix:-0.48mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32) and treatment methods (monotherapy: 0.00mg/L, -0.08 to 0.07; combination-therapy: -0.08 mg/L, -0.37 to 0.21). Meta-regression analyses suggested no significant linear correlation between baseline age (p=0.673), sex (p=0.645), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction (p=0.339).

Conclusions Our updated meta-analysis suggested that PCSK9-mAbs had no significant impact on circulating hs-CRP levels irrespective of PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment duration or methods.

BMJ Open

Keywords: PCSK9; monoclonal antibody; hs-CRP; meta-analysis

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis that gives an overview of the effect of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody (PCSK9-mAb) on inflammation.
- An extensive systematic literature search identified all available randomized controlled trials that reported the changes of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein using PCSK9-mAb.
- Studies with moderate heterogeneity and lack of individual level data may limit the quality of evidence for this meta-analysis.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the greatest burden of global health, which is mainly characterized by atherosclerosis¹. Atherosclerosis is a chronic and progressive inflammatory disease, including endothelial dysfunction, lipid accumulation in the arterial wall and leukocyte infiltration, which leads to luminal stenosis, plaque rupture and acute coronary syndrome $(ACS)^2$. Apart from well-established lipid theory, inflammation also plays an important role in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis³. Recently, the Canakinumab Antiinflammatory Thrombosis Outcome Study (CANTOS) reported that anti-inflammatory therapy by canakinumab, a therapeutic monoclonal antibody targeting interleukin-1 β , significantly reduced the primary cardiovascular end points⁴. This study attracted attention to the inflammation intervention in cardiovascular medicine again.

C-reactive protein (CRP), especially high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP), is the most intensively investigated inflammatory biomarker in cardiovascular field⁵. Increasing studies have confirmed that hs-CRP is a predictor for the progression of atherosclerotic disease and future major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE)^{6,7}. Moreover, previous studies also indicated that hs-CRP played a direct role in the progression of atherosclerosis^{8,9}. Therefore, reduction of inflammatory markers such as hs-CRP may be a strategy for decreasing MACE^{10,11}.

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) is known to target low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) for degradation resulting in elevated plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels¹²⁻¹⁴. Recently, it has been reported that PCSK9 monoclonal antibody (PCSK9-mAb), as a novel lipid-lowering drug, can reduce LDL-C by a mean of 70% accompanied with reduction of MACE¹⁵. Although the relation of PCSK9 to LDL-C has been established, its role in inflammation has not been fully understood. Several

BMJ Open

experimental studies found that PCSK9 could promote the progression of atherosclerosis by enhancing inflammatory reaction¹⁶. On the other hand, PCSK9 deficiency could alleviate the inflammation reaction¹⁷. Hence, whether PCSK9-mAb can reduce inflammatory marker is clinically of great interest since it was used to treat cardiovascular diseases. A meta-analysis covering 7 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) studies published two years ago suggested that PCSK9-mAbs had no effect on serum hs-CRP¹⁸. However, this meta-analysis may be limited by insufficient subgroup analyses, sample size, and lack of newly published data^{19, 20}. Hence, we performed this meta-analysis including all RCTs published till May 2018 to further explore the efficacy of PCSK9-mAbs on circulating hs-CRP levels.

Methods

Literature search

The present study is reported according to the guidelines of the 2009 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISMA) statement²¹. To identify all RCTs assessing the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on circulating hs-CRP levels, we comprehensively searched PubMed, MEDLINE, and the Cochrane Library database and ClinicalTrials.gov up until May 2018. The search terms we used included the followings: (AMG145 or Evolocumab or REGN727 or SAR236553 or Alirocumab or RN316 or bococizumab or RG7652 or LY3015014 or PCSK9 antibody or anti-PCSK9) AND (randomized controlled trial OR randomized OR randomly). Meanwhile, manual search was performed for relevant studies including references lists, relevant review articles and commentaries (see online supplementary Appendix 1). No language restriction was used.

Study selection

Original studies met the following criteria would be included: the design was phase 2 or

BMJ Open

phase 3 double-blind RCTs with longer than 8 weeks treatment duration; human participants were randomly assigned to PCSK9-mAbs group versus control group with or without other lipid-lowering therapy; outcomes included percentage changes of hs-CRP from baseline. Studies were excluded if they were duplicate publications, review articles, non-human studies, observational studies, and lack of adequate information on outcomes or lacking control group. Two investigators (YC and SL) independently screened and selected the eligible studies. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third investigator.

Data Extraction

A standardized extraction form was used to extract the following items by two investigators (YC and HL) independently: trial name/first author, year of publication, type of intervention, follow-up period, treatment duration, number of patients, participant characteristics, background lipid-lowering therapy, types and doses of PCSK9-mAbs, LDL-C and hs-CRP levels at baseline and changes. We included the final reported follow-up point if a trial contains several time points. If necessary, further information was required from correspondence author.

Quality Assessment

We used Cochrane Collaboration's tool and Jadad score to assess the data and the methodological quality of included RCTs. For Cochrane Collaboration's tool, the following items were performed: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective reporting (reporting bias), and other sources of bias. The judgments were classified as 'low risk', 'high risk', and 'unclear risk' of bias. The 5-point Jadad score included the following

BMJ Open

items: basis of randomization (0 to 2 points), double blinding (0 to 2 points), and withdrawals /dropouts (0 to 1 points). Studies with a score \geq 3 points are considered to be high quality. Two investigators (YC and HL) independently assessed the quality of each study. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third investigator.

Data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis

All analyses were conducted according to the intention-to-treat principles. For all efficacy outcomes, changes in hs-CRP concentrations were expressed as weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). All the data were standardized and expressed by mg/mL. Standard deviation could be calculated from CI, interquartile range or standard error according to formulas in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions if not reported.

Heterogeneity was assessed by the Cochran Q test and the I^2 statistic. We considered $I^2 < 25\%$ as representing low heterogeneity and $I^2 > 75\%$ as representing at high heterogeneity. Outcomes were calculated by fixed-effects models under no or low to moderate inconsistency $(I^2 < 50\%)$; otherwise, the data was pooled based on random-effects models. Subgroups were applied to reduce the heterogeneity if $I^2 \ge 50\%$, such as PCSK9-mAb types, treatment duration, and participant characteristics. In order to explore the resource of heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting studies in turn to evaluate the consistency of the results. Meta-regression analyses were performed to evaluate the contribution of participant characteristics and reductions in LDL-C concentrations. Publication bias was assessed with a funnel plot and Egger's test.

All analyses were conducted with Review Manager Version 5.3 (Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Denmark) and Stata 14.0 (Stata Statistical Software: College Station, TX: Stata Corp LP). P value <0.05 was considered to be

statistically significant.

Patient and public involvement statement

Participants and the public sector were not directly involved in the design and conduct of this study.

Results

Study selection and Characteristics

The initial search identified 575 articles. After excluding duplicate publications and screening the titles and abstracts, 430 were excluded and 145 studies were retrieved for full-text identification. We further excluded 135 studies, of which 58 were pooled or meta-analysis, 2 studies were not RCTs and 13 was phase 1 trials, 16 were open label trails and 42 without adequate information. Finally, ten studies were included in this meta-analysis^{20,22-30}. Figure 1 shows flow diagram of selection process.

These ten studies were published between 2012 and 2017 from different countries with low risk of bias, of which 5 were phase 2 studies and 5 were phase 3 studies (Table 1). A total of 4198 participants were included, comprising 2728 individuals in the PCSK9-mAb group and 1470 in the control group. Alirocumab (SAR236553/REGN727) was used in 4 arms and 11 arms applied Evolocumab (AMG 145). Five arms managed LY3015014 and 2 arms used RG7652. Four trials included patients with familial hypercholesterolemia (FH), of which 3 were heterozygous FH (HeFH) and 1 was homozygous FH (HoFH). Most of the treatment duration ranged from 12 to 24 weeks and the longest treatment duration was 78 weeks. Apart from DESCARTES trial which co-administered with atorvastatin, another 9 studies used PCSK9-mAb as monotherapy. Baseline characteristics including circulating hs-CRP levels were similar between PCSK9-mAbs and control groups within each study. The characteristics

Page 9 of 36

BMJ Open

of these trials and participants are summarized in Table 1. All these studies had a relatively high quality evaluated by the Jadad score and low risk of bias (online supplementary Table 1 and online supplementary Figure 1, 3 scores=5, 6 scores=4).

Efficacy outcomes of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP

A total of 4198 participants were included in the analysis of efficacy of PCSK9-mAbs on plasma hs-CRP concentrations before and after treatment. When data were pooled, PCSK9-mAbs showed a slight efficacy in reducing hs-CRP (WMD: -0.04mg/L, 95%CI: -0.17 to 0.01), while no statistical difference was found compared with control treatment (Figure 2). There was a moderate heterogeneity between each study (l^2 =57.4%, p=0.0001), so the random-effect model was selected.

To assess the potential discrepancy, we applied the subgroup analyses based on the characteristics of trials and participants (Figure 3 and online supplementary Figures 2-5). Although the efficacy of LY3015014 was a mild higher (-0.48 mg/L, 95% CI:-1.28 to 0.32), there was no difference between these four antibodies (Alirocumab: 0.12 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.18 to 0.43; Evolocumab: 0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07; RG7652: 0.35 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.26 to 0.96). When studies were classified by treatment duration, the hs-CRP reduction showed no difference in less than 12-week duration group (0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07) and above 12-week duration group (-0.11mg/L, 95% CI: -0.45 to -0.23). There was no significant reduction in circulating hs-CRP with use of PCSK9 antibodies compared with control treatment when categorized to participant characteristics (FH: 0.00 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.07 to 0.07; non-FH: 0.07 mg/L, 95% CI: -0.12 to 0.26; mix: -0.48 mg/L, 95% CI: -1.28 to 0.32). The analysis stratified by treatment method also supported the results that no differential effect of PCSK9-mAb therapy on plasma hs-CRP concentrations was observed (monotherapy: 0.00 mg/L, 95%CI: -0.08 to 0.07 vs combination-therapy: -0.08 mg/L, 95%CI:

-0.37 to 0.21).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

The sensitivity analysis for all outcomes was conducted by gradually removing each study. However, the results did not change meaningfully (online supplementary Figure 6). Neither funnel plots (online supplementary Figure 7) nor Egger's regression test (p=0.913) showed publication bias.

Meta-regression analyses

We used meta-regression analyses to assess the relationship between changes in hs-CRP and baseline age, sex, and average LDL-C changes (online supplementary Figure 8). No statistically significant relationship between baseline age (p=0.673), male sex (p=0.645), and hs-CRP changes were observed. Likewise, LDL-C lowering effects by PCSK9-mAb therapy had no impact on hs-CRP lowering (p=0.339, online supplementary Figure 8).

Discussion

The results of this updated, comprehensive meta-analysis, based on 10 RCTs encompassing 4198 participants, suggested that short-term PCSK9-mAb therapy had no impact on circulating hs-CRP concentrations. In the subgroup analyses, no difference was found between PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment duration or methods.

Atherosclerosis, a chronic progressive disorder, is characterized by lipid accumulation and chronic inflammation in the arterial wall². Although previous data indicated a positive effects of anti-inflammatory drugs on atherosclerosis in animal studies but no positive data was available in human studies³¹. Fortunately, recent evidence from CANTOS found that canakinumab significantly reduced hs-CRP levels and MACE after follow-up of 3.7 years

BMJ Open

which may support the inflammatory hypothesis of atherosclerosis⁴. Moreover, ongoing Cardiovascular Inflammation Reduction Trial (CIRT) was also designed to directly test the inflammatory hypothesis of atherosclerosis by evaluating the effect of methotrexate on adverse cardiovascular outcomes without substantive impact on lipids³². Hence, focusing on inflammation in the development of atherosclerosis may be an unsolved issue and great of interest clinically.

In fact, increasing studies indicated that hs-CRP could independently predict MACE⁶. Framingham study found that men and women in the highest quartile of CRP respectively had twice and three-times the risk of stroke compared with those in the lowest ones after more than 10-years follow-up³³. The Northern Manhattan Study reported that >3 mg/L CRP was associated with a 1.7-fold increase in cardiovascular outcomes and a 1.55-fold increase in mortality³⁴. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that hs-CRP also plays a direct and vital role in the development of atherosclerosis. Zwaka et al⁸ found that CRP enhanced the transformation from macrophages to foam cells by increasing the uptake of LDL-C. CRP was also reported to impair vasodilatation, inhibit the synthesis of nitric oxide synthase, and facilitate the adhesion of monocyte^{35,36}. Based on these evidence, reduction of hs-CRP may be associated with a decrease in MACE. Interestingly, the JUPITER study applied rosuvastatin on individuals with LDL-C levels below 130 mg/dL and hs-CRP levels ≥ 2 mg/L, and suggested a significant reduction in all vascular events³⁷. Although a fact that statins lower LDL-C and proportionately reduce MACE is widely accepted, the hs-CRP reduction by statin administration is also an attractive phenomenon, called as pleiotropic effect of statin. That is the reason why we chose hs-CRP as an inflammatory biomarker to identify whether PCSK9-mAb has an effect on inflammatory status.

Although the relationship between PCSK9 and LDL-C was well-established, more and more evidence demonstrated its function beyond lipids. In 2010, microarray gene expression

BMJ Open

analysis suggested that PCSK9 affected not only cholesterol metabolism, but also inflammation³⁸. Experimental studies indicated that PCSK9 could participate in vascular and systemic inflammation¹⁶. In transgenic mice expressing human PCSK9 gene, Tavori et al³⁹ observed that atherosclerosis lesion size and local Lv6C^{hi} monocytes, the precursors of pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages, significantly increased. Clinical studies further supported this hypothesis. Our previous study found that serum PCSK9 concentrations were independently associated with white blood cell count in patients with stable coronary artery disease, indicating PCSK9 might be involved in the inflammation process⁴⁰. ATHEROREMO-IVUS study reported a positive linearly association between PCSK9 levels and coronary plaque inflammation including amount of necrotic core tissue and plaque volume⁴¹. On the other hand, data also showed that PCSK9 inhibition could exert an anti-inflammatory effect. Tang et al⁴² reported that PCSK9 small interfering RNA reduced the expression of pro-inflammatory genes through nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) pathway. In apoE-/- mice, PCSK9 silencing limited the development of atherosclerosis and decreased the number of macrophages via TLR4/NF-KB signaling pathway⁴³. Besides, AT04A anti-PCSK9 vaccine also got the same results that anti-PCSK9 therapy could reduce vascular inflammation⁴⁴. Recently, Bernelot et al⁴⁵ found that after 24 weeks of treatment with PCSK9-mAbs, the migratory capacity of monocytes and inflammatory responsiveness reduced significantly while anti-inflammatory cytokine levels increased in FH patients. Therefore, we hypothesized that PCSK9-mAbs treatment could reduce hs-CRP in randomized clinical studies. Unfortunately, in our meta-analysis the results showed that PCSK9-mAbs therapy had no effect on decreasing hs-CRP in both FH participants and non-FH individuals.

To explore the potential reasons why PCSK9-mAbs therapy was not benefit from inflammatory marker, named as the reduction of circulating hs-CRP levels, we further performed subgroup analyses. Firstly, we did not observe an impact of PCSK9-mAbs types

BMJ Open

on hs-CRP, which may exclude the influence of PCSK9-mAbs itself on the inflammatory marker in our meta-analysis. Besides, the same results were also observed in combination of statins and PCSK9-mAbs group, suggesting that the effects of PCSK9-mAbs therapy on hs-CRP is not linked with treatment methods. It is notable that the participants in JUPITER trial had high levels of hs-CRP at baseline, while in PCSK9-mAb therapy, initial hs-CRP levels were at normal range in recruited individuals. Finally, we did not find a positive effects of PCSK9-mAbs therapeutic duration on hs-CRP in this updated analysis. Taken together, we may conclude that although PCSK9-mAbs had a powerful ability in lowering LDL-C, they had no impact on circulating hs-CRP concentration despite of PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment duration or methods.

Limitations

There were several limitations in our meta-analysis. Firstly, our results were based on study data but not individual data as in most meta-analyses. Secondly, although our meta-analysis is an updated one, it is still limited by study numbers, sample size, and therapy duration. Moreover, moderate degree of heterogeneity was observed in several comparisons. However, there was no publication bias and the results were rather consistent among different subgroups. Sensitivity analysis suggested that the pooled WMD were robust. Finally, some studies included in this meta-analysis did not provide adequate information about blinding of participants and personnel.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the current updated evidence suggested that PCSK9-mAb, a novel powerful lipid-lowering drug, had no significant impact on circulating hs-CRP concentrations, whose effect did not influenced by PCSK9-mAb types, participant characteristics, and treatment

duration or methods. Long-term observation may be needed.

Acknowledgements

Not any.

Contributors

JJL contributed to conception and design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation, and critically revised the manuscript. YXC contributed to design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation and drafted the manuscript. SL contributed to acquisition, analysis, and critically revised the manuscript. HHL contributed to analysis, interpretation and critically revised the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was partially supported by the Capital Health Development Fund (201614035) and CAMS Major Collaborative Innovation Project (2016-I2M-1-011) awarded to Dr. Jian-Jun Li, MD, PhD. The sponsors had no role in the decision to conduct the meta-analyses, data analysis, or reporting of the results.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent: Not required.

Ethics approval: This research is exempt from ethical approval.

Data sharing statement: No additional data available.

BMJ Open

Reference

1.	Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from
	2002 to 2030. PLoS Med. 2006;3:e442.
2.	Ross R. Atherosclerosisan inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med. 1999;340:115-26.
3.	Packard RR, Libby P. Inflammation in atherosclerosis: from vascular biology to
	biomarker discovery and risk prediction. Clin Chem. 2008;54:24-38.
4.	Ridker PM, Everett BM, Thuren T, et al. Antiinflammatory Therapy with
	Canakinumab for Atherosclerotic Disease. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:1119-31.
5.	Li JJ, Fang CH. C-reactive protein is not only an inflammatory marker but also a
	direct cause of cardiovascular diseases. Med Hypotheses. 2004;62:499-506.
6.	Li JJ, Li S, Zhang Y, et al. Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin/Kexin type 9, C-Reactive
	Protein, Coronary Severity, and Outcomes in Patients With Stable Coronary Artery
	Disease: A Prospective Observational Cohort Study. Medicine. 2015;94:e2426.
7.	Wang A, Liu J, Li C, et al. C umulative Exposure to High-Sensitivity C-Reactive
	Protein Predicts the Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017; 6:
	e005610.
8.	Zwaka TP, Hombach V, Torzewski J. C-reactive protein-mediated low density
	lipoprotein uptake by macrophages: implications for atherosclerosis. Circulation.
	2001;103:1194-7.

- 9. Zhang YX, Cliff WJ, Schoefl GI, *et al.* Coronary C-reactive protein distribution: its relation to development of atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis*. 1999;145:375-9.
- Li JJ, Fang CH. Effects of 4 weeks of atorvastatin administration on the antiinflammatory cytokine interleukin-10 in patients with unstable angina. *Clin Chem.* 2005;51:1735-8.

11.	Li JJ, Li YS, Fang CH, et al. Effects of simvastatin within two weeks on
	anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin 10 in patients with unstable angina. Heart.
	2006;92:529-30.
12.	Cui CJ, Li S, Li JJ. PCSK9 and its modulation. Clin Chim Acta. 2015;440:79-86.
13.	Li S, Li JJ. PCSK9: A key factor modulating atherosclerosis. J Atheroscler Thromb.
	2015;22:221-30.
14.	Li S, Zhang Y, Xu RX, et al. Proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 as a
	biomarker for the severity of coronary artery disease. Ann Med. 2015;47:386-93.
15.	Roth EM, McKenney JM, Hanotin C, et al. Atorvastatin with or without an antibody
	to PCSK9 in primary hypercholesterolemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:1891-900.
16.	Giunzioni I, Tavori H, Covarrubias R, et al. Local effects of human PCSK9 on the
	atherosclerotic lesion. J Pathol. 2016;238:52-62.
17.	Kuhnast S, van der Hoorn JW, Pieterman EJ, et al. Alirocumab inhibits
	atherosclerosis, improves the plaque morphology, and enhances the effects of a statin.
	J Lipid Res. 2014;55:2103-12.
18.	Sahebkar A, Di Giosia P, Stamerra CA, et al. Effect of monoclonal antibodies to
	PCSK9 on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels: a meta-analysis of 16
	randomized controlled treatment arms. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2016;81:1175-90.
19.	Gencer B, Montecucco F, Nanchen D, et al. Prognostic value of PCSK9 levels in
	patients with acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:546-53.
20.	Kastelein JJ, Nissen SE, Rader DJ, et al. Safety and efficacy of LY3015014, a
	monoclonal antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9): a
	randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 study. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:1360-9.
21.	Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic
	review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4:1.
	16

BMJ Open

- 22. Raal F, Scott R, Somaratne R, *et al.* Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol-lowering effects of AMG 145, a monoclonal antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 serine protease in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: the Reduction of LDL-C with PCSK9 Inhibition in Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia Disorder (RUTHERFORD) randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2408-17.
 - Raal FJ, Stein EA, Dufour R, *et al.* PCSK9 inhibition with evolocumab (AMG 145) in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (RUTHERFORD-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2015;385:331-40.
 - 24. Stein EA, Gipe D, Bergeron J, *et al.* Effect of a monoclonal antibody to PCSK9, REGN727/SAR236553, to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia on stable statin dose with or without ezetimibe therapy: a phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012;380:29-36.
 - 25. Blom DJ, Hala T, Bolognese M, *et al.* A 52-week placebo-controlled trial of evolocumab in hyperlipidemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370:1809-19.
 - 26. Stroes E, Colquhoun D, Sullivan D, et al. Anti-PCSK9 antibody effectively lowers cholesterol in patients with statin intolerance: the GAUSS-2 randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical trial of evolocumab. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:2541-2548.
 - Raal FJ, Honarpour N, Blom DJ, *et al.* Inhibition of PCSK9 with evolocumab in homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (TESLA Part B): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2015;385:341-50.
 - 28. Cannon CP, Cariou B, Blom D, et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab in high cardiovascular risk patients with inadequately controlled hypercholesterolaemia on maximally tolerated doses of statins: the ODYSSEY COMBO II randomized

controlled trial. Eur Heart J. 2015;36:1186-94.

- Nicholls SJ, Puri R, Anderson T, *et al.* Effect of Evolocumab on Progression of Coronary Disease in Statin-Treated Patients: The GLAGOV Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2016;316:2373-84.
- Baruch A, Mosesova S, Davis JD, *et al.* Effects of RG7652, a Monoclonal Antibody Against PCSK9, on LDL-C, LDL-C Subfractions, and Inflammatory Biomarkers in Patients at High Risk of or With Established Coronary Heart Disease (from the Phase 2 EQUATOR Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2017;119:1576-83.
- 31. Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, *et al.* Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. *N Engl J Med.* 1997; 336:973-9.
- 32. Everett BM, Pradhan AD, Solomon DH, *et al.* Rationale and design of the Cardiovascular Inflammation Reduction Trial: a test of the inflammatory hypothesis of atherothrombosis. *Am Heart J.* 2013;166:199-207.
- Rost NS, Wolf PA, Kase CS, *et al.* Plasma concentration of C-reactive protein and risk of ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack: the Framingham study. *Stroke*. 2001;32:2575-9.
- 34. Elkind MS, Luna JM, Moon YP, *et al.* High-sensitivity C-reactive protein predicts mortality but not stroke: the Northern Manhattan Study. *Neurology*.2009;73;1300-7.
- 35. Li L, Roumeliotis N, Sawamura T, *et al.* C-reactive protein enhances LOX-1 expression in human aortic endothelial cells: relevance of LOX-1 to C-reactive protein-induced endothelial dysfunction. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:877-83.
- Paffen E, DeMaat MP. C-reactive protein in atherosclerosis: A causal factor? Cardiovasc Res. 2006;71:30-9.
- 37. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, *et al.* Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:2195-207.

BMJ Open

1		
2 3	38.	Lan H, Pang L, Smith MM, et al. Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9
4 5		(PCSK9) affects gene expression pathways beyond cholesterol metabolism in liver
6 7		cells. Cell Physiol. 2010. 224(1):273-81.
8 9	30	Tavori H. Giunzioni I. Predazzi IM. <i>et. al.</i> Human PCSKO promotes henatic
10 11	59.	Tavon II, Olunzioni I, Medazzi IIVI, et al. Muman PCSK9 promotes nepatie
12		lipogenesis and atherosclerosis development via apoE- and LDLR-mediated
13		mechanisms. Cardiovasc Res. 2016;110:268-78.
15 16	40.	Li S, Guo YL, Xu RX, et al. Association of plasma PCSK9 levels with white blood
17 18		cell count and its subsets in patients with stable coronary artery disease
19		
20		Atheroscierosis. 2014;234:441-445.
22 23	41.	Cheng JM, Oemrawsingh RM, Garcia-Garcia HM, et al. PCSK9 in relation to
24 25		coronary plaque inflammation: Results of the ATHEROREMO-IVUS study.
26		Atherosclerosis 2016:248:117-22
27 28	10	
29 30	42.	Tang Z, Jiang L, Peng J, et al. PCSK9 siRNA suppresses the inflammatory response
31 32		induced by oxLDL through inhibition of NF-kappaB activation in THP-1-derived
33		macrophages. Int J Mol Med. 2012;30:931-8.
34 35	43.	Tang ZH, Peng J, Ren Z, et al. New role of PCSK9 in atherosclerotic inflammation
36 37		
38		promotion involving the ILR4/NF-kappaB pathway. Atherosclerosis.
39 40		2017;262:113-22.
41 42	44.	Landlinger C, Pouwer MG, Juno C, et al. The AT04A vaccine against proprotein
43		convertese subtilisin/keyin tune 0 reduces total cholecteral vascular inflammation
44 45		convertase subtrisin/kexin type 9 reduces total cholesterol, vascular inflammation,
46 47		and atherosclerosis in APOE*3Leiden.CETP mice. <i>Eur Heart J</i> .2017;38:2499-507.
48 49	45.	Bernelot Moens SJ, Neele AE, Kroon J, et al. PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies reverse
50		the pro-inflammatory profile of monocytes in familial hypercholesterolaemia. Eur
51		<i>L and L</i> 2017 , 29, 1594, 02
53 54		<i>Hearl J.</i> 2017,38.1384-95.
55		
56 57		19
58 59		19
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Tables

Table 1. Study characteristics of included randomized controlled trials.

Study	Year	Phase	Inclusion criteria	Patients N	Arm	Mean age (years)	Male %	Mean hs-CRP at baseline mg/L	hs-CRP reduction (mg/L)	% LDL-C reduction	Drugs/control	Treatment duration	Jadad Score										
PUTHEREORD ²²	2012	П	Heen	Heen	Heen	Hefh	Hefh	167	(1)	47.6	54.5	1.09±1.37	-0.06 ± 0.94	42.7	E:350mg/PBO, Q4W	12W	5						
KUTHERFORD	2012	11	110111	107	(2)	51.8	62.5	1.07±1.24	0.00 ± 0.30	55.2	E:420mg/PBO, Q4W	12.00	5										
					(1)	56.3	81.3	1·40±1.78	-0.40 ± 2.04	67.9	A:150mg /PBO, Q2W												
Stain 2012 ²⁴	2012	п	UAFU	77	(2)	51.3	60.0	0.60±0.82	-0.20 ± 0.81	28.9	A:150mg /PBO, Q4W	12W	5										
Stelli 2012	2012	11	110111	77	(3)	52.9	56.3	0·70±1.48	0.00 ± 1.29	31.5	A:200mg /PBO, Q4W	12.00	5										
					(4)	54.3	46.7	0.70±0.59	-0.10 ± 0.84	42.5	A:300mg /PBO, Q4W												
		Π													(1)	50.7	47.3	2.00±3.70	0.00 ± 2.57	51.5	E:420 mg/ PBO, Q4W		
25					(2)	57.2	42.9	1.00±1.48	0.00 ± 1.48	54.7	E:420 mg+ATV10 mg/ PBO, Q4W												
DESCARTES ²³	2014		11	11	11	11	11	НС	HC 894	(3)	57.8	52.4	1.00±1.48	0.00 ± 1.48	46.7	E:420 mg+ATV80 mg/ PBO, Q4W	52W	5					
						(4)	54.2	55.6	1.00±1.48	0.00 ± 1.48	46.8	E:420 mg+ATV80 mg+ Eze10mg / PBO, Q4W											
CAUSE 2^{26}	2014	2014	TIT	111	ЦС	307	(1)	61.0	55.3	1.40±2.00	0.30 ± 2.67	56.1	E:140 mg/ Eze, Q2W	12W 4	4								
GAU55-2		111	HU	307	(2)	63.0	54.9	1.80±1.78	-0.30 ± 1.78	52.6	E:420 mg/ Eze, Q4W	12W 4	4										
PUTUEPEOPD 2 ²³	2014	2014	2014	2014	2014	III	Heen	220	(1)	52.6	40.0	0.92±1.03	-0.05 ± 0.39	61.3	E:140 mg/PBO,Q2W	1011/	4						
KUTHERFORD-2					111		111	пегп	529	(2)	51.9	41.8	1.04±1.24	0.03 ± 0.73	55.7	E:420 mg/PBO,Q4W	12W	4					
TESLA Part B ²⁷	2014	III	HoFH	49		31.0	51.5	0.70±1.04	-0.02 ± 0.52	23.1	E:140 mg/PBO, Q4W	12W	4										
ODYSSEY	2015	III		ш	ИС	720	(1)	61.7	75.2	3.58±7.78	-0.39 ± 6.95	50.6	A: 75mg /Eze, Q2W	24W	4								
COMBO II ²⁸	2015		HU	HC	HC	ic /20	(2)	61.7	75.2	3.58±7.78	-0.07 ± 8.57	51.8	A: 75mg /Eze, Q2W	52W	4								
GLAGOV ²⁹	2016	III	НС	968		59.8	72.1	1.60±1.93	-0.40 ± 10.67	60.8	E:420 mg /PBO, Q4W	78W	4										

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

2														
3						(1)	57.2	51.7	1.03±1.41	-0.20 ± 2.07	14.9	LY:20mg/PBO, Q4W		
4						(2)	57.1	52.3	1.34±1.11	1.60 ± 2.00	40.5	LY:120mg/PBO, Q4W		
5 6	Kastelein 2016 ²⁰	2016	II	HC	519	(3)	59.7	54.7	1.63±1.78	-0.30 ± 2.00	50.5	LY:300mg /PBO, Q4W	16W	4
7						(4)	59.6	58.1	1.39±1.70	-0.30 ± 2.07	14.9	LY:100mg /PBO, Q8W		
8 9						(5)	58.7	50.6	1.10±1.63	-0.70 ± 2.07	37.1	LY:300mg /PBO, Q8W		
10	FOUATOR ³⁰	2017	п	нс	168	(1)	65.0	57.9	1.60±2.70	0.34 ± 1.93	23.3	RG:400mg/PBO, Q4W	- 24W	1
11	LQUATOR		11		100	(2)	64.0	51.0	2.00±5.90	-0.16 ± 2.92	44.3	RG:800mg /PBO,Q8W		T
12														

Data presented as mean±SD; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; hs-CRP, hypersensitive C reactive protein; HC, hypercholesterolemia; HeFH, heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; HoFH, homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia; E, Evolocumab; A, Alirocumab; LY: LY3015014; RG, RG7652; PBO, placebo; ATV, atorvastatin; Eze, ezetimibe; W, weeks; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q4W, every 4 weeks.

N, number; SD, standard deviation.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flow diagram of selection of studies.

Figure 2. Forest plots depicting the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP.

CI=confidence interval, PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein

Figure 3. Subgroup analyses of the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP.

CI=confidence interval. PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody. hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein.

FH= familial hypercholesterolemia. non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia.



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
/ 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33 24	
34	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
40	
47 48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	

60

Study	WMD (05% CI)	% Woigh
	WMD (3570 CI)	Weigh
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
GLAGOV *	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31, -0.09)	3.34
Kastelein 2016(2)	1.10 (0.50, 1.70)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40, -0.20)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41, -0.19)	3.33
Kastelein 2016(5)	-1.20 (-1.81, -0.59)	3.34
EQUATOR(1)	0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
EQUATOR(2)	0.04 (-0.95, 1.03)	1.57
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.0

Figure 2. Forest plots depicting the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP. CI=confidence interval, PCSK9-mAbs=PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies, hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein

238x213mm (300 x 300 DPI)

	No. Arms	Patients	MD(95%CI), mg/L		l ² (%)
PCSK9-mAb types					
Evolocumab	6	797	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	0
Alirocumab	11	2723	0.12 (-0.48 to 0.43)		0
LY3015014	5	519	-0.48 (-1.28 to 0.32)		88.6
RG7652	2	169	0.35 (-0.28 to 0.96)		- 0
Treatment duration					
>12W	14	3277	-0.11 (-0.45 to 0.23)		79
≤12W	11	931	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	62
Participant characteristics					
FH	9	624	0.00 (-0.07 to 0.07)	+	0
Non-FH	11	3065	0.07 (-0.12 to 0.26)		0
Mix	5	519	-0.48(-1.28 to 0.32)		88.6
Treatment methods					
Monotherapy	9	2010	0.00 (-0.08 to 0.07)	+	0
Combination-therapy	16	2198	-0.04 (-0.17 to 0.10)	+	70.3
				-1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0 0.5 1 MD(95%CI)	L.O

Figure 3. Subgroup analyses of the effect of PCSK9-mAbs on hs-CRP. CI=confidence interval. PCSK9-mAb=PCSK9 monoclonal antibody. hs-CRP= hypersensitive C-reactive protein. FH= familial hypercholesterolemia. non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia.

256x133mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Supplementary material

Appendix 1. The search string

Medline via Ovid

1.exp AMG 145/
 2.exp Evolocumab/

3.exp REGN727/

4.exp SAR236553/

5.exp Alirocumab/

6.exp RN316/

7.exp Bococizumab/

8.exp RG7652/ 9.exp LY3015014/

10.exp ALN-PCSS/

11.exp PCSK9 antibodies/

12.exp anti-PCSK9/

13.exp Clinical Trial/

14.exp Randomized Controlled Trial/

15.exp Controlled Clinical Trial/

16.exp Random Allocation/

17.1 OR 2 OR 3 OR 4 OR 5 OR 6 OR7 OR 8 OR 9 OR 10 OR 11 OR 12

18.13 OR 14 OR 15 OR 16

19.17 AND 18

20. limit 19 to (humans)

Pubmed

"amg145"[Title/Abstract]) OR "Evolocumab"[Title/Abstract]) OR "REGN727"[Title/Abstract]) OR "SAR236553"[Title/Abstract]) OR "Alirocumab"[Title/Abstract]) OR "RN316"[Title/ Abstract]) OR "bococizumab"[Title/Abstract]) OR "RG7652"[Title/Abstract]) OR "LY3015014" [Title/Abstract]) OR "ALN-PCSSC"[Title/Abstract]) OR "PCSK9 antibodies"[Title/Abstract]) OR "anti-PCSK9"[Title/Abstract]) AND randomized controlled trial[Publication Type]) AND "humans"[MeSH Terms]

BMJ Open

Studies	Representation of randomization	Appropriateness of method for randomization	Representation of double blinding	Appropriateness of method for double blinding	Representation of withdrawals	Total Score
RUTHERFORD	1	1	1	1	1	5
Stein EA 2012	1	1	1	1	1	5
DESCARTES	1	1	1	1	1	5
GAUSS-2	1	1	1	0	1	5
RUTHERFORD-2	1	1	1	0	1	4
TESLA Part B	1		1	0	1	4
ODYSSEY COMBO II	1	1	1	0	1	4
GLAGOV	1	1	1	0	1	4
Kastelein 2016	1	1	1	0	1	4
EQUATOR	1	1	1	0	1	4

Supplemental Table 1. Quality assessment of included studies using the Jadad scale

Representation of randomization:0, not randomized or inappropriate method of randomization; 1, the study was described as randomized.

Appropriateness of method for randomization: 0, no information about the method of randomization; 1, the method of randomization was described and it was appropriate.

Representation of double blinding: 0, no blind or inappropriate method of blinding; 1, the study was described as double blinding.

Appropriateness of method for double blinding: 0, no information about the method of double blinding; 1, the method of double blinding was described and it was appropriate.

Withdrawals and dropouts:0, not describe the follow-up; 1, a description of withdrawals and dropouts.

BMJ Open



Supplementary Figure 1. Evaluation of risk of bias in the studies.

Supplementary Figure 2. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by PCSK9-mAb types.

Study		%
ID	WMD (95% CI)	Weight
Evolocumab	l	
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)		9.42
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(7)	-0.10 (-0.84, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHEREORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9 74
RUTHEREORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.28)	7.87
TESI & Part P	0.02 (0.21, 0.23)	7.18
	0.08 (-0.20, 0.30)	0.02
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	5.60
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.36, 0.36)	5.02
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	0.20
DESCARTES(4)		4.82
GLAGOV	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.949)	45 -0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	00.30
Alirocumab		
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	• 0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
DDYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
DDYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.747)	0.12 (-0.18, 0.43)	13.84
LY3015014		
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31, -0.09)	3.34
Kastelein 2016(2)	1.10 (0.50, 1.70)	3.41
(astelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40, -0.20)	3.41
(astelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41, -0.19)	3.33
(astelein 2016(5)	-1.20 (-1.81, -0.59)	3.34
Subtotal (I-squared = 88.6%, p = 0.000)		16.83
367852		
EQUATOR(1)	0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
OUATOR(2)	0.04 (-0.95, 1.03)	1.57
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.438)		3.96
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	<1>-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
(. adamaa . e		
-2.5	0 2.5	

WMD= weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, PCSK9-mAb= proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin

type 9 monoclonal antibody, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein

Supplementary Figure 3. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by treatment durations.

Study		%
D	WMD (95% CI)	Weight
≤12W		
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	- 0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
GAUSS-2(1)	- 0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.16
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.884)	0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	58.83
>12W		
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
GLAGOV	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31, -0.09)	3.34
Kastelein 2016(2)	1.10 (0.50, 1.70)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40 -0.20)	3 4 1
Kastelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41 -0.19)	3 33
Kastelein 2016(5)	-1 20 (-1 81 -0 59)	3 34
FOULATOR(1)	0.54 (-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
FOILATOR(2)	- 0.04 (-0.95, 1.00)	1.57
Subtotal (Lsquared = 73.6% p = 0.000)	-0 11 (-0 45 0 23)	41 17
Subtotal (Folgaliou = 15.070, p = 0.000)	-0.11 (-0.43, 0.23)	41.17
Overall (Lsquared = 57.4% n = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
overall (required = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
-2.5 0	2.5	

WMD=weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein

Supplementary Figure 4. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by participant characteristics

Study		%
ID	WMD (95% CI)	Weigh
		-
FH		
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.30
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.42
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.60
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.52
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.74
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.87
TESLA Part B		7.16
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.826)	-0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	52.44
non-FH		
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.54
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.38, 0.38)	5.62
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.20
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.38
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
GLAGOV -	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
EQUATOR(1)	0.54(-0.23, 1.30)	2.39
EQUATOR(2)	0.04(-0.95,1.03)	1.57
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.892)	0.07 (-0.12, 0.26)	30.73
MIX		
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31, -0.09)	3.34
Kastelein 2016(2)	<u> </u>	3.41
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40, -0.20)	3.41
Kastelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41, -0.19)	3.33
Kastelein 2016(5)	-1.20 (-1.81, -0.59)	3.34
Subtotal (I-squared = 88.6%, p = 0.000)	-== -0.48 (-1.28, 0.32)	16.83
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.0

WMD= weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein,

FH=familial hypercholesterolemia, non-FH= non-familial hypercholesterolemia



Study		%
D	WMD (95% CI)	Weight
Monotherapy		
RUTHERFORD(1)	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	7.31
RUTHERFORD(2)	0.08 (-0.06, 0.22)	9.43
GAUSS-2(1)	0.30 (-0.43, 1.03)	2.55
GAUSS-2(2)	-0.10 (-0.64, 0.44)	3.85
RUTHERFORD-2(1)	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.03)	9.76
RUTHERFORD-2(2)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	7.88
TESLA Part B	0.08 (-0.20, 0.36)	7.17
DESCARTES(1)	0.00 (-1.33, 1.33)	0.93
GLAGOV	-0.10 (-1.44, 1.24)	0.91
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.799)	-0.00 (-0.08, 0.07)	49.80
Combination therapy		
Stein EA 2012(1)	-0.20 (-1.27, 0.87)	1.36
Stein EA 2012(2)	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)	3.61
Stein EA 2012(3)	0.20 (-0.55, 0.95)	2.47
Stein EA 2012(4)	0.10 (-0.48, 0.68)	3.53
DESCARTES(2)	0.00 (-0.39, 0.39)	5.56
DESCARTES(3)	0.00 (-0.42, 0.42)	5.21
DESCARTES(4)	0.00 (-0.45, 0.45)	4.82
ODYSSEY COMBO II(1)	-0.02 (-1.08, 1.04)	1.39
ODYSSEY COMBO II(2)	0.86 (-0.15, 1.87)	1.51
Kastelein 2016(1)	-0.70 (-1.31, -0.09)	3.35
Kastelein 2016(2)	1.10 (0.50, 1.70)	3.42
Kastelein 2016(3)	-0.80 (-1.40, -0.20)	3.42
Kastelein 2016(4)	-0.80 (-1.41, -0.19)	3.33
Kastelein 2016(5)	-1.20 (-1.81, -0.59)	3.35
EQUATOR(1)	0.54 (-0.24, 1.31)	2.35
EQUATOR(2)	0.04 (-0.96, 1.04)	1.54
Subtotal (I-squared = 70.3%, p = 0.000)	-0.08 (-0.37, 0.21)	50.20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Overall (I-squared = 57.4%, p = 0.000)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)	100.00
-2.5 0	2.5	

Supplementary Figure 5. Pooled analysis for hs-CRP stratified by of treatment methods.

WMD=weighted mean difference, CI = confidence interval, hs-CRP=hypersensitive C-reactive protein




LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol

 Page 35 of 36

_		

~		
3		
4		
5		
6		
/		
0		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
10		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32	-	
33		
34		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
44 ⊿1		
44	-	
45	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	
46		
47		

BMJ Open



PRISMA 2009 Checklist

4 i					
, l					
С					
6					
7					
ò					
0					
9					
10					
11		_			
11					
14					
13					
14					
14					
16					
17					
18					
10					
13					
20					
21					
21					
2					
23					
24					
25					
26					
2					
2/					
28					
29					
30					
21					
2					
32					
33					
34					
2 1					
2					
30					
37					
38					
30					
10					
40					
41					
42		-			
43					
1.					
44		For poor rovious only, http://hmiopoon.hmi.com/cite/phost/cysidelines.yhtml			
45		For peer review only - http://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidelines.xntml			
46					
47					