

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Uncovering cynicism in medical training: A qualitative analysis of medical online discussion forums

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-022883
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Mar-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Peng, Jenny ; University of Ottawa Faculty of Medicine Clarkin, Chantalle; Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute Doja, Asif; Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Pediatrics
Keywords:	MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH, World Wide Web technology < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS
<p>Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online.</p>	
<p>Medical Discussion Forum(1).nvpX</p>	

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4 **Uncovering cynicism in medical training: A qualitative analysis of medical online**
5
6 **discussion forums**
7

8 **Jenny Peng BHSc¹, Chantalle Clarkin RN, PhD², A. Doja MEd, MD^{1,3}**
9

- 10
11 1. Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, 451 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8M5
12
13 2. Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, 401 Smyth Rd, Ottawa,
14
15 ON, K1H 8L1
16
17 3. Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, 401 Smyth Rd,
18
19 Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L1
20
21

22 **Corresponding author:** Asif Doja, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of
23
24 Eastern Ontario, 401 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L1, ph: (613) 737-7600, fax: (613)
25
26 738-4297, adoja@cheo.on.ca
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: The development of cynicism in medical trainees is a significant concern for medical educators. Our goal was to utilize online medical student discussion groups to provide insight into how cynicism in medicine is perceived, the consequences of cynicism on medical trainee development, and potential links between the hidden curriculum and cynicism.

DESIGN: Qualitative analysis.

SETTING: Analysis of postings in 2 medical student discussion groups: Premed101 (Canadian) and Student Doctor Network (American).

METHODS: 511 posts from 7 discussion topics were analyzed using NVivo 11. Inductive content analysis was used to develop a data-driven coding scheme that evolved throughout analysis. Measures were taken to ensure the trustworthiness of findings, including duplicate independent coding of a subsample of posts and the maintenance of an audit trail.

RESULTS: Medical students, residents, and staff participating in the discussion forums engaged in discourse about cynicism and highlighted themes of the hidden curriculum resulting in cynicism. These included the progression of cynicism over the course of medical training as a coping mechanism; the development of challenging work environments due to factors such as limited support, hierarchal demands, and long work hours; and the challenge of initiating change due to the tolerance of unprofessionalism and the highly stressful nature of medicine.

CONCLUSION: Our study of North American medical discussion posts demonstrates that cynicism develops progressively and is compounded by conflicts between the hidden and

1
2
3
4 formal curriculum. Online discussion groups have the potential to provide unique insight
5
6 into the culture of medical training and the hidden curriculum among academic institutions.
7
8
9

10 11 **ARTICLE SUMMARY**

12 13 **Strengths and limitations of this study:**

- 14
15 • Strength: online discussion forums allow for inclusion and analysis of anonymous
16
17 feedback provided by medical trainees
- 18
19 • Strength: online forums allow for dynamic interactions and participation among
20
21 individuals from several training sites rather than a single academic institution
- 22
23 • Limitation: results may represent a subset of individuals who post on online
24
25 discussion forums
26
27
28

29 30 **INTRODUCTION:**

31
32 Cynicism, defined as a decline in empathy and emotional neutralization during
33
34 medical training, is a continued concern for medical educators. ^{1,2} One hypothesis to the
35
36 development of cynicism among trainees is perceived conflict between the formal and hidden
37
38 curriculum, with the hidden curriculum defined as “a set of influences that function at the level of
39
40 organizational structure and culture” that impact the perception of medicine and decision making by
41
42 medical trainees.^{2,3} Conflicts between the formal and hidden curriculum likely occur when
43
44 trainees enter the clinical setting and realize the values of patient-centered care are often
45
46 challenged by the demanding, time-pressured realities of medicine.^{4,5}
47
48
49

50
51 There remains some debate in the literature on whether empathy wanes and
52
53 cynicism escalates as one progresses in training.^{6,7,8,9,10} Studies examining the underlying
54
55 factors that contribute towards increasing cynicism and declining empathy are mostly
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 qualitative in nature and primarily sample students and residents from a single academic
5
6 institution.^{2,11,12,13,14,15,16}
7

8
9 Online discussion forums are widely popular and have been leveraged to provided
10
11 insight into a variety of topics in medical education.^{17,18,19} For medical trainees, online
12
13 discussion forums such as Premed101 and Student Doctor Network are used to contribute
14
15 questions, advice, and opinions regarding issues in medicine, the training process, and
16
17 education. This allows for dynamic sharing of information at various levels of medical
18
19 training in a safe digital space that can be widely disseminated across institutions and
20
21 archived for further participation at multiple time points. Contributors to these online
22
23 forums can remain anonymous, which promotes honest, open discussions. These digital
24
25 forums can serve as unique resources to better understand trainee cynicism and the hidden
26
27 curriculum.
28
29
30

31
32 Our goal was to perform a qualitative content analysis of online medical discussion
33
34 forums (Student Doctor Network and Premed101) to explore: trainees' perceptions of
35
36 cynicism, when cynicism occurs, whether cynicism is progressive through medical training,
37
38 and the factors that enable and constrain the development of cynicism. We also sought to
39
40 examine the impact of the hidden curriculum on the development of cynicism.
41
42
43
44

45 **METHODS**

46
47
48 Online discussion boards are categorized by topics known as threads, which feature
49
50 questions, observations or conversations points. Online forums have provided users with
51
52 more 'democratic' landscapes where they can share spontaneous narratives. These
53
54 narratives represent a rich collection of emotional discourse, which are ideally suited for
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 qualitative analysis, because this is where the tension between the lived experiences of
5
6 medicine, healthcare, hidden curriculum, learning, expectations, behaviours and their
7
8 interpretations exist. Commenters may reply to the original thread and to each other in text
9
10 format on these discussion boards, which result in records that can be downloaded. We
11
12 examined original postings and response posts from Premed 101
13
14 (<http://forums.premed101.com/>), a Canadian website, and Student Doctor Network(SDN)
15
16 (<http://www.studentdoctor.net/>), an American website, specifically looking for threads
17
18 pertinent to the development of cynicism. These two forums are the most widely used
19
20 discussion forums among medical professionals in their respective countries, which was
21
22 why they were chosen for analysis in this study. In order to identify threads for analysis,
23
24 we used a purposeful sampling strategy, and a study member (JZP) completed a
25
26 preliminary scan of discussion forum content and posts from 2010 to 2016 for relevance to
27
28 the study topic. Based on the initial scan and most common terms noted in relevant threads,
29
30 a keyword search was then conducted to locate additional threads and posts using the
31
32 following search terms: ‘cynicism’, ‘empathy’, ‘mental health’, and ‘medical school stress.’
33
34 Once the preliminary review was complete, the research team met to discuss forum content
35
36 and select threads for inclusion based on relevance to the topic. The research team was
37
38 comprised of a male physician with a Master’s in Education with experience conducting
39
40 qualitative research (AD), a female medical student with qualitative training (JZP), and a
41
42 female registered nurse with doctoral-level education and training in qualitative
43
44 methodology (CC). Following this initial selection, threads were examined in a stepwise
45
46 fashion with the understanding that the final sample size would be determined by the
47
48 analytical requirement of data saturation and that additional threads would be reviewed as
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 required.²⁰ We progressed gradually through analysis until no new ideas could be derived
5
6 from the review of successive data and the collection of new data did not offer further
7
8 insight on the topic.²¹ At this point, theoretical sufficiency was reached and data collection
9
10 was complete.²² Data was imported into NVivo11 (QSR International) to facilitate data
11
12 management.
13
14

15
16 We used a qualitative approach to perform inductive content analysis to identify the
17
18 key themes in the discussion threads. During analysis, data was examined repeatedly in
19
20 order to discern patterns and themes.²³ The process of coding the data and recognizing the
21
22 overarching themes and subthemes involved three main stages: open coding, axial coding,
23
24 and selective coding.^{24,25} First, two researchers trained in qualitative methods (JZP and CC)
25
26 reviewed the data independently, line-by-line, to identify patterns and generate a set of
27
28 preliminary codes.²⁶ The researcher team then met to discuss initial impressions of the data
29
30 and the preliminary codes. Second, during the axial coding phase the code set was revised,
31
32 refined, and regrouped into themes, highlighting areas of similarity and differences. Finally,
33
34 during selective coding, a general description of the research topic was formulated and the
35
36 central phenomenon was constructed from the data. Codes were re-organized around
37
38 unified themes. At this final stage, the team met to discuss themes, review the selected
39
40 quotes, and establish concurrence. JZP and CC also searched threads for positive deviants
41
42 that challenged existing themes. The trustworthiness of our findings was enhanced through
43
44 the use of multiple independent coders, and team consensus building discussions at all three
45
46 phases of coding. We conceptualized the varied perspectives of the research team members
47
48 as an essential component of the interpretive process. The research team also maintained a
49
50 detailed audit-trail of all coding and data-related decision making.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ethical Considerations

The Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Ethics Board approved this research protocol prior to study commencement. While individual-level informed consent was not required for the analysis of aggregate data, we elicited permission from individual commenters prior to including direct quotations of comments or posting in the discussion threads. These select commenters were contacted privately via direct message to seek permission to include their anonymized quote in study publications and materials, and all commenters were given the opportunity to review their quote prior to its inclusion.

Patient Involvement

Patients were not involved in this research. Results will be disseminated to participants by posting a link to the published articles in both Premed101 and Student Doctor Network.

RESULTS

A total of 511 posts from 7 discussion threads in Premed 101 and SDN were included in analysis (See Table 1). A total of 205 posters participated in these discussion forums. Commenters self-identified as medical students, residents, and faculty staff, although not all commenters stated their level of training. Two posters were from other career backgrounds such as pharmacy and dentistry. We constructed three overarching themes and six sub-themes in our analysis. (See Table 2)

1. The challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding nature of medicine

A common discussion topic in the online forums was the challenging nature of medicine and medical training. The following sub-themes were recognized: *the progression of cynicism over time in medical training; reinforcement of hierarchy in medicine that creates a challenging work environment; and the pressure to work long hours and high*

1
2
3
4 *demands in medicine*. Interestingly, there was widespread consensus that empathy declined
5
6 and cynicism increased during the medical training process and no positive deviants were
7
8 noted.
9

10 11 *1A. The progression of cynicism over time in medical training*

12
13 There was consensus that the loss of idealism in medicine and feelings of cynicism
14
15 occurred during the course of training from student to physician. Discussion posts
16
17 emphasized that this occurs when patients are seen as a diagnosis rather than as people and
18
19 as trainees become clinicians who prioritize efficient practice.
20
21

22
23 Although trainees are exposed to the physical and mental struggles faced by patients
24
25 on a daily basis, the discussion groups revealed that this aspect of the clinical environment
26
27 seemed to contribute less in creating cynicism. Rather than long work hours and a heavy
28
29 workload, trainees expressed that perception of their low rank, worthlessness, and
30
31 disrespect from mentors led to emotional neutralization. Consequently, they highlighted
32
33 that time points in which cynicism predominates are transitions from pre-clerkship to
34
35 clerkship and beyond. Cynicism was portrayed as a “staircase” that the trainee climbs
36
37 during their career path rather than sporadic changes in attitude from individual clinical
38
39 encounters. As students become more exposed to “real doctoring” in the clinical setting,
40
41 cynicism may be a coping mechanism designed to protect oneself from hardships that one
42
43 observes and experiences during medical training.
44
45
46
47

48 *1B. Reinforcement of hierarchy in medicine that creates a challenging work environment*

49
50 Medical students and clerks were in agreement that some of the greatest challenges
51
52 they faced stemmed from the learning environment in the clinical context rather than the
53
54 formal requirements of content and curriculum. Professional training was described as
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 being cut throat and competitive, hindered by administrative policies, long hours, and the
5
6 need to constantly maintain high level performance. Posters addressed the work culture
7
8 challenges of residency that contributed to an overarching sense of not being respected or
9
10 valued, including having limited control over scheduling, exposure to challenging
11
12 colleagues and situations, and a general lack of recognition and support. It was believed
13
14 that long work hours were a symptom of larger cultural problems in medical education
15
16 rather than the root cause of the negative experiences.
17
18

19
20 “That said, work hours are a symptom of the main problem: the attitude in medicine
21
22 that treats residents (and to a lesser extent staff physicians and medical students)
23
24 like they somehow don't have the same human needs as everyone else. Long work
25
26 hours, lack of schedule control, lack of appreciation, and all the other forms of
27
28 disrespect you list.” (Thread 7)

29 *1C. Pressure to work long hours and high demands for efficiency*

30
31 Sleep deprivation was repeatedly noted among students and residents as a major
32
33 reason for feeling unhappy during training. Trainees shared a sample of their schedule,
34
35 where working in the hospital often meant staying for long hours and subsequently arriving
36
37 at early times for the following day.

38
39 “Vampirish and inhumane hours, 12-15 hour days or staying up all night and then
40
41 (if you're lucky) sleeping a few restless hours during the day only to then go do it
42
43 the next day/night, 6 or 7 days a week, for 3-7 years.” (Thread 1)

44
45 The reported consequence of devoting the majority of one's clinical hours to
46
47 meeting the demands of medical training included the breakdown of interpersonal
48
49 relationships outside of the hospital. Trainees felt isolated, as they felt it challenging to
50
51 have social interactions when work hour demands are rigorous. Students, residents and staff
52
53 described this barrier as a cause of personal grief and feelings of isolation, which may
54
55 contribute towards cynicism in all stages of medical training.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 “Above all, the breakdown of old relationships and the inability to form new ones...
5 I don't think age takes the sting off of any of these.” (Thread 1)

6 Another conflict shared by trainees pertained to wanting to meet staff demands

7
8
9 while simultaneously balancing the amount of time spent directly with patients. For
10
11 example, in order to get work done in time for rounds, some patient needs were bypassed.

12
13 Several trainees expressed that the desire for efficiency and pleasing staff resulted in the
14
15 objectification of patients and eventual loss of compassion.
16

17
18 Posts have attributed this desire for efficiency as a healthcare system issue that aims
19
20 to quickly move patients in and out of hospitals. Commenters emphasized that doctors start
21
22 out as genuine, but become jaded after trying to keep up with the highly demanding system
23
24 for long periods of time.
25

26
27 “...we all want to be "good doctors", do the right thing. The sad thing is that after a
28
29 year or two of 1/4 call, where your worth as a person is determined by how quickly
30
31 and efficiently you can keep the system moving, all of that goes out the window. It
32
33 is a broken system that makes broken doctors.” (Thread 7)

34 **2. The challenge of safeguarding well-being**

35
36 The desire to maintain a sense of balance and well-being, professionally and
37
38 personally, was a topic of discussion in the online boards. The following sub-themes were
39
40 recognized: *the lack of support as a major stressor*, and *the consequences of cynicism on*
41
42 *physician well-being and patient care*.
43

44 **2A. Lack of support as a major stressor**

45
46
47 Overall, residents described feeling undervalued for their work in hospitals. In some
48
49 instances, residents pointed out that their pay did not correspond to the high stress
50
51 environment that they were constantly working in, as well as the long, unpredictable hours.
52
53 These sentiments were expressed in both the Canadian and American contexts on the online
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 discussion forums. The sense of inadequate support also seemed to stem from a sense of
5
6 impaired autonomy over work and personal life schedules, constantly having to relocate
7
8 and adapt to working in unfamiliar and new environments, and general isolation due to
9
10 work commitment. Posts repeatedly highlighted how aspects of residency training
11
12 neglected “basic human needs” and failed to consider trainees’ personal wellbeing due to
13
14 prioritizing work needs.
15
16

17
18 “After all, surgical have the same requirements for a healthy lifestyle as other
19 residents, despite the occasional assertion to the contrary. Surgeons still need to
20 sleep, eat, exercise, socialize and spend time with their families, just like everyone
21 else.” (Thread 7)
22

23
24 Several medical students discussed lacking support in terms of the discordance
25
26 between meeting their attending’s demands and their syllabus expectations. Students
27
28 repeatedly emphasized their “low status on the totem pole” in the clinical environment, and
29
30 felt that this lowly status instilled a hesitance to advocate for change due to fear of poor
31
32 evaluations or seeming unprofessional if reported to the clerkship director. Students also
33
34 emphasized that advocating for improvement seemed futile as they cannot resign from the
35
36 job of a medical student if they are truly unhappy during a placement, and would rather
37
38 tolerate mistreatment than risk poor judgment from preceptors.
39
40

41 42 *2B. Consequences of cynicism on physician well-being and patient care* 43

44
45 A common theme across discussion boards was the consequences of progressive
46
47 cynicism throughout medical training, which likely had a negative impact on physician
48
49 career satisfaction, the quality of patient care, and the quality of mentorship for future
50
51 generations. Many also highlighted the intergenerational transmission of norms and how
52
53 unhappy doctors tend to produce more unhappy doctors.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Medical trainee mental health was raised in a number of discussion threads related
5
6 to career satisfaction. Commenters noted the high rate of poor mental health documented
7
8 among medical students and residents compared to other professions. However, several
9
10 students and residents were hesitant to seek help because they feared that this would be
11
12 perceived as a sign of “weakness” in a field where professionalism and perseverance are
13
14 highly valued. Overall, commenters felt that contributing factors to poor mental health
15
16 should be addressed rather than criticizing individuals who suffer in order to promote
17
18 changes in attitude in the medical profession.
19
20
21

22
23 Acknowledging cynicism in medical school also prompted individuals in the
24
25 discussion groups to recognize the implications of mentorship. They advocated for the need
26
27 for more focus on the consequences of cynicism in medicine. Posts praised the existence of
28
29 forums that discussed the nature of cynicism in medicine, acknowledging that open
30
31 dialogue and information sharing can support change, such as creating more informed pre-
32
33 med students, promoting mental health programs in medical schools and residency, and
34
35 working towards improving work environments rather than perpetuating shame in
36
37 medicine.
38
39
40

41 “Even at your (our) early stage of training, your actions and attitudes help to shape
42
43 the culture in medicine. Please, help move it in the right direction, away from
44
45 blaming the victim and towards fixing the problems that caused the harm in the first
46
47 place.” (Thread 6)

48 **3. The culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviours throughout training and across** 49 **generations**

50
51 Commenters felt that unprofessional work environments in medicine were slow to
52
53 change due to the stigma among students and residents that feeling overwhelmed is an
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 indication of being inadequate. Unprofessional behavior in this context typically referred to
5
6 a lack of respect that trainees received from supervisors and trying to meet high demands in
7
8 medicine that may compromise good patient care. Consequently, trainees are afraid to seek
9
10 help or admit to being overworked in an environment where individuals are typically very
11
12 high-achievers and set high expectations for themselves and their colleagues. The
13
14 perpetuation of stressful work environments may be due to transmission of norms, namely
15
16 preceptors normalizing the challenges they experienced in their formative years and then
17
18 maintaining similar conditions for their trainees.
19
20
21

22
23 “I've seen so many residents embrace the attitude in this weird form of quasi-
24 Stockholm Syndrome, where they downplay, excuse, or even support the negative
25 aspects of being a resident all while their quality of life suffers. I understand it as a
26 coping mechanism, but it keeps that attitude alive for the next generation of
27 residents.” (Thread 7)
28

29
30 “A culture in medicine that reflexively defends the sucky parts of medicine as
31 necessary or desirable isn't a culture that's likely to promote change.” (Thread 7)
32

33 Students and trainees acknowledged that the harsh work environment and lack of respect
34
35 posed a greater challenge than solely long work hours. However, the culture to prevail and
36
37 achieve in medicine was again brought up as the reason for continued silence.
38

39
40 “It is the med-school culture. There is little support. Students just don't admit how
41 hard it is. There is an unsaid stigma that feeling stressed/overwhelmed/exhausted/or
42 hurt makes one "weak" in medical school.” (Thread 5)
43

44 Ultimately, the consequence of defending a culture that pushes for high efficiency and
45
46 achievements at the expense of the individual's wellbeing was viewed as a barrier to
47
48 progress in medical education.
49

50
51 “It is still so difficult for people in medicine to open up about their struggles. When
52 these disclosures are met with criticism, it encourages everyone else who may be
53 unhappy with their situation to continue to suffer in silence.” (Thread 6)
54
55
56
57
58
59

DISCUSSION

Our analysis of discussion posts exploring cynicism by Canadian and American medical students, residents, and faculty members emphasized three key findings: 1) the challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding nature of medicine; 2) the need to safeguard well-being; and 3) the culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviors.

Results from previous studies have not made a definitive conclusion on whether empathy declines as medical students enter their clinical year of training. While some studies note that the first drastic decline in empathy and loss of idealization occurs in third year of medical school, others have suggested that changes in empathy levels do not differ significantly as medical students and residents progress in their training.^{16,27,28,29,30,31} Our analysis of online discussion forums supports the notion that the loss of empathy and development of cynicism are progressive in nature and evolve largely during the transition from pre-clerkship to clerkship. This may occur because clerkship students are faced with similar clinical challenges and ethical dilemmas as the rest of the medical team, but have a minimal authoritative role. Being in this position makes students more vulnerable to influences by their mentors, and students may feel conflicted when their personal values of “good doctoring” do not align with preceptors’ practices of “real doctoring.” Our results align with other studies demonstrating that the hierarchal nature of medicine and poor role modeling can create unprofessional work environments and increased stress on trainees. This unprofessionalism may be more distressing than frequent exposure to traumatic clinical cases.^{8,32,33}

Testerman et al. have proposed two models for the development of cynicism: 1) the intergenerational model, where a student’s cynicism occurs progressively as a coping

1
2
3
4 mechanism to mistreatment by cynical residents and staff, and 2) the professional identity
5 model that suggests cynicism among trainees declines as individuals attain a higher
6 authoritative position and become more confident in dealing with the contradicting values
7 of the formal and hidden curriculum.¹² Testerman et al. supported the professional identity
8 model because they noted a decline in cynicism among staff who achieved a “professional
9 identity,” as compared to residents and students. Results from our study, however, seem to
10 favor the intergenerational model, as residents describe being more cynical during
11 residency when compared to medical school, and attribute this progression to a “staircase”
12 that one climbs throughout training. This conceptualization of the development and
13 progression of cynicism was also noted in a study by Griffith et al, as within the first 5
14 months of postgraduate training, residents perceived their patients with less idealistic
15 values.³⁴

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 Research has linked elements of the hidden curriculum to the development of
33 cynicism. In a study of internal medicine residents, Billings ME et al. demonstrated that the
34 hidden curriculum, and specifically unprofessional behavior from colleagues, nurses, and
35 patients, correlated with residents’ level of depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, and
36 level of cynicism.³⁵ Similar to our findings, residents from this study also attributed
37 belittlement from staff, lack of control over scheduling, loss of autonomy in the clinical
38 setting, and poor work relationships as factors that led to burnout. Increasing cynicism
39 among residents parallels the pattern of increasing cynicism among medical students; both
40 medical students and residents start medical school and internship with higher empathy and
41 lower emotional distress, but experience a decline in empathy overtime.^{7,27} Our findings
42 suggest that there may be a “double hit” scenario, where trainees are most vulnerable to
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 increased cynicism when transitioning to clerkship, and then again when transitioning to
5
6 residency. It has been posited that this may be a protective mechanism at times of
7
8 transition.^{14,15}
9

10
11 Emotional neutralization, a consequence of cynicism, carries a negative connotation
12
13 during the early medical training process. That said, practicing physicians view emotional
14
15 neutralization as a coping mechanism to sustain the various clinical, hierarchal, and system
16
17 challenges that one faces in medicine.³⁶ Our findings support that cynicism occurs when
18
19 trainees cope to safeguard their personal wellbeing in a highly demanding work
20
21 environment. The impact of cynicism among physicians is substantial; consequences
22
23 include a decline in professionalism, burnout, and a loss of empathy that can ultimately
24
25 jeopardize patient care.^{1,35,37} In order to mitigate these consequences, an understanding of
26
27 how and why cynicism develops is key. Online forums provide a holistic view into this
28
29 topic by presenting diverse perspectives from geographically dispersed individuals, and
30
31 across the spectrum of training and practice.
32
33
34
35

36
37 As emphasized in previous studies, our findings also support the importance of role
38
39 modeling and mentorship in addressing cynicism and the hidden curriculum.^{38,39,40,41,42}
40
41 Students and residents seek inspiration from mentors and experience more idealism when
42
43 they identify positive role models.^{7,43} On the other hand, the lack of positive role models,
44
45 such as being taught by cynical residents and staff, facilitated the development of cynicism
46
47 and a decline in empathy among medical students.^{1,35,44} Results from our study support the
48
49 notion that mentorship and positive role modeling should be made available throughout
50
51 medical training, such that professional attitudes and support can be passed on from staff to
52
53 trainees. Mentorship structures should be reinforced during the transition period from pre-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 clerkship to clerkship, and from medical school to residency, as these seem to be key
5
6 moments when there is a potential increase in cynicism and decline in empathy.
7

8
9 A major strength of this study design is that online discussion forums allow for a
10
11 greater understanding of the hidden curriculum at an international level due to the ease of
12
13 access to forums by users from Canada and the USA. That said, given the we were unable
14
15 to isolate the geographical location of posters, this study does not allow for a detailed
16
17 commentary on potential areas of congruence or divergence between nations. Given that
18
19 our findings reflect many viewpoints from varying locations, levels of training, and
20
21 specialties, our results may have greater external validity compared to previous studies that
22
23 explored the perspectives of trainees from a single academic institution.^{2,11,14,15} The
24
25 capacity for user anonymity on forums promotes honest, dynamic interactions between
26
27 individuals with lower risk of consequence. These forums create a democratic space for
28
29 sharing emotionally powerful experiences that highlight the tension between the realities of
30
31 medicine as influenced by the hidden curriculum and personal expectations of good
32
33 doctoring. Online discussion forums can also minimize social desirability response bias,
34
35 which may be present in other qualitative methods that involve face-to-face interaction with
36
37 peers and colleagues, such as focus groups involving staff, residents, and medical students.
38
39 In a discussion forum, the hierarchal nature of medicine is minimized such that the pressure
40
41 to respond in a manner perceived as acceptable or one that aligns with the dominant
42
43 discourse are lessened.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Our study has some notable limitations. Contributors to discussion forums may be
51
52 biased towards individuals who use forums to discuss their concerns and provide support
53
54 for others on the site. Discussion posters in this study may comprise of individuals that feel
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 more vulnerable and are reaching out anonymously for this reason, and they may in fact be
5
6 more cynical than the general medical community. Although commenters on the discussion
7
8 boards did not identify their country of origin, we assume that most commenters are
9
10 residents of either the United States or Canada, reflecting North American medical practice.
11
12 Additionally, our purposeful sampling and selection strategy of threads for inclusion in
13
14 analysis could have introduced bias. For example, by selecting threads that explicitly
15
16 examined cynicism, we may have inadvertently excluded threads containing divergent or
17
18 opposing views.
19
20
21

22 **CONCLUSION**

23
24
25 Online discussion groups have the potential to provide unique insight into the
26
27 culture of medical training. Our findings highlight that exposure to the differing values of
28
29 the formal and hidden curriculum seems to impact cynicism in trainees at all stages of
30
31 learning, and particularly at transition points. Interventions that can help reduce cynicism
32
33 could focus on decreasing the gap between the formal and hidden curriculum that is passed
34
35 on through stages of medical training. Examples of such interventions include mentorship
36
37 and positive role modeling, especially at transition periods from pre-clerkship to clerkship
38
39 and from medical school to residency. Future studies could explore perceptions and
40
41 attitudes among trainees at key transition points to further examine how cynicism evolves
42
43 between various stages of training.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *Contributors:* JP contributed to the acquisition, data analysis, interpretation and drafting of
51
52 the project. CC contributed to the design, data analysis and interpretation of the work, and
53
54 revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. AD contributed to the design, data
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 analysis and interpretation of the work, and revised the manuscript for important
5
6 intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript for publication.
7

8
9 *Acknowledgements:* None
10

11 *Funding:* This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
12 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors
13

14
15 *Conflicts of Interest:* None
16

17 *Ethical Approval:* This study was approved by the Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario
18 Research Institute Research Ethics Board (ref 16/31X).
19

20
21 *Data sharing:* Raw data has been uploaded as a supplementary file
22

23 **REFERENCES:**

- 24
25 1. Brazeau CM, Schroeder R, Rovi S, Boyd L. Relationships between medical student
26 burnout, empathy, and professionalism climate. *Acad Med* 2010; 85: S33-S36.
27
- 28
29 2. Lempp H, Seale C. The hidden curriculum in undergraduate medical education:
30 qualitative study of medical students' perceptions of teaching. *BMJ* 2004; 329: 770-3.
31
32
- 33
34 3. Hafferty FW. Beyond curriculum reform: Confronting medicine's hidden
35 curriculum. *Acad Med* 1998; 73: 403-407.
36
37
- 38
39 4. White CB, Kumagai AK, Ross PT, Fantone JC. A qualitative exploration of how the
40 conflict between the formal and informal curriculum influences student values and
41 behaviors. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 597-603.
42
43
- 44
45 5. West CP, Shanafelt TD. The influence of personal and environmental factors on
46 professionalism in medical education. *BMC Medical Education* 2007; 7:29.
47
48
- 49
50 6. Hojat M, Vergare M, Maxwell K, Brainard G, Herrine S, Isenberg G, Veloski J,
51 Gonnella J. The devil is the third year: A longitudinal study of erosion of empathy in
52 medical school. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 1182-1191.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 7. Neumann M, Edelhauser F, Tauschel D, Fischer MR, Wirtz M, Woopen C et al.
5
6 Empathy decline and its reasons: a systematic review of studies with medical students
7
8 and residents. *Acad Med* 2011; 86: 996-1009.
9
- 10
11 8. Newton BW, Barber L, Clardy J, Cleveland E, O'Sullivan P. Is there hardening of the
12
13 heart during medical school? *Acad Med* 2008; 83: 244-249.
14
- 15
16 9. Singh S. Empathy: Lost or Found in Medical Education? *MedGenMed* 2005; 7: 74.
17
- 18
19 10. Chen DR, Pahilan ME, Orlander JD. Comparing a self-administered measure of
20
21 empathy with observed behavior among medical students. *Journal of General*
22
23 *Internal Medicine* 2010; 25: 200-202.
24
- 25
26 11. Gaufberg E, Batalden M, Sands R, Bell S. The hidden curriculum: What can we learn
27
28 from third-year medical student narrative reflections? *Acad Med* 2010; 85: 1709 –
29
30 1716.
31
- 32
33 12. Testerman JK, Morton KR, Loo LK, Worthley JS, Lambertson HH. The natural
34
35 history of cynicism in physicians. *Acad Med* 1996; 71: S43-S45.
36
- 37
38 13. Hojat M, Mangione S, Nasca TJ, Rattner S, Erdmann JB, Gonnella JS et al. An
39
40 empirical study of decline in empathy in medical school. *Med Educ* 2004; 38: 934-41.
41
- 42
43 14. Bellini LM, Baime M, Shea JA. Variation of mood and empathy during internship.
44
45 *JAMA* 2002; 287: 3143-3146.
46
- 47
48 15. Bellini LM, Shea JA. Mood change and empathy decline persist during three years of
49
50 Internal Medicine Training. *Acad Med* 2005; 80: 164-167.
51
- 52
53 16. Stratta EC, Riding DM, Baker P. Ethical erosion in newly qualified doctors:
54
55 perceptions of empathy decline. *Int J Med Educ* 2016; 7: 286 – 92.
56
57
58
59
60

17. Sherbino J, Joshi N, Lin M. JGME-ALiEM Hot topics in medical education online journal club: An analysis of a virtual discussion about resident teachers. *J Grad Med Educ* 2015; 7: 437-44.
18. Makoul G, Zick AB, Aakhus M, Neely KJ, Roemer PE. Using an online forum to encourage reflection about difficult conversations in medicine. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010; 79: 83-6.
19. Barnett S, Jones SC, Caton T, Iverson D, Bennett S, Robinson L. Implementing a virtual community of practice for family physician training: a mixed-methods case study. *J Med Internet Res* 2014; 16: e83.
20. Fusch PI, Ness LR. Are we there yet? Data saturation in qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report* 2015; 20: 1408.
21. Bradley EH, Curry LA, Devers KJ. Qualitative data analysis for health services research: developing taxonomy, themes, and theory. *Health services research* 2007; 42:1758-1772.
22. Varpio L, Ajjawi R, Monrouxe LV, O'brien BC, Rees CE. Shedding the cobra effect: problematising thematic emergence, triangulation, saturation and member checking. *Med Educ* 2017; 51: 40-50.
23. Strauss A, Corbin J. Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques. Newbury Park: SAGE Publications 1990.
24. Bohm A. Theoretical coding: Text analysis in Grounded Theory. (In U Flick, E Kardorff, I Steinke (Eds.), A companion to qualitative research (p 270 – 275). London: SAGE Publications 2004.

- 1
2
3
4 25. Gooden RJ, Windfield HR. Breast and prostate cancer online discussion boards: a
5
6 thematic analysis of gender differences and similarities. *Journal of Health*
7
8 *Psychology* 2007; 12: 103-114.
9
- 10
11 26. Elo S, Kyngas H. The qualitative content analysis process. *J Adv Nurs* 2008; 62: 107-
12
13 115.
14
- 15
16 27. Ludwig AB, Burton W, Weingarten J, Milan F, Myers DC, Kligler B. Depression and
17
18 stress amongst undergraduate medical students. *BMC Med Educ* 2015; 15: 141.
19
- 20
21 28. Roff S. Reconsidering the “decline” of medical student empathy as reported in
22
23 studies using the Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy-Student version (JSPE-S).
24
25 *Med Teach* 2015; 37: 1-4.
26
- 27
28 29. Bratek A, Buiska W, Bonk M, Seweryn M, Krysta K. Empathy among physicians,
29
30 medical students, and candidates. *Psychiatr Danub* 2015; 27: S48-52.
31
- 32
33 30. Shashikumar R, Chaudhary R, Ryali VS, Bhat PS, Srivastava K, Prakash J, Basannar
34
35 D. Cross sectional assessment of empathy among undergraduates from a medical
36
37 college. *Med J Armed Forces India* 2014; 70: 179- 85.
38
- 39
40 31. Hegazi I, Wilson I. Maintaining empathy in medical school: it is possible. *Med teach*
41
42 2013; 35: 1002-8.
43
- 44
45 32. Haglund M, aan het Rot M, Cooper NS, et al. Resilience in the third year of medical
46
47 school: A prospective study of the associations between stressful events occurring
48
49 during clinical rotations and student well-being. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 258-268.
50
- 51
52 33. Dornan T, Pearson E, Carson P, Helmich E, Bundy C. Emotions and identity in the
53
54 figured world of becoming a doctor. *Med Educ* 2015; 49: 174-85.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 34. Griffith CH, Wilson JF. The loss of idealism throughout internship. *Eval Health Prof*
5
6 2003; 26: 415-426.
7
- 8
9 35. Billings ME, Lazarus ME, Wenrich M, Curtis JR, Engelberg RA. The effect of the
10
11 hidden curriculum on resident burnout and cynicism. *J Grad Med Educ* 2011; 3: 503-
12
13 10.
14
- 15
16 36. Doja A, Bould DM, Clarkin C, Eady K, Sutherland S, Writer H. The hidden and
17
18 informal curriculum across the continuum of training: A cross-sectional qualitative
19
20 study. *Med Teach* 2016; 38: 410-8.
21
- 22
23 37. Prins JT, Gazendam Donofrio SM, Tubben BJ, van der Heijden FM, van de Wiel
24
25 HB, Hoekstra-Weebers JE. Burnout in medical residents: a review. *Med Educ* 2007;
26
27 41: 788-800.
28
- 29
30 38. McKenna AM, Straus SE. Charting a professional course: A review of mentorship
31
32 in medicine. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2011; 8: 109-112.
33
- 34
35 39. Straus SE, Johnson MO, Marquez C, Feldman MD. Characteristics of successful
36
37 and failed mentoring relationships: A qualitative study across two academic health
38
39 centers. *Acad Med* 2013; 88: 82-89.
40
- 41
42 40. Stamm M, Buddeberg-Fischer B. The impact of mentoring during postgraduate
43
44 training on doctors' career success. *Med Educ* 2011; 45: 488-96.
45
- 46
47 41. Rose GL, Rukstalis MR, Schuckit MA. Informal mentoring between faculty and
48
49 medical students. *Acad Med* 2005; 80: 344-8.
50
- 51
52 42. Howe A. Professional development in undergraduate medical curricula – the key to
53
54 the door of a new culture? *Med Educ* 2002; 36: 353-9.
55
56
57
58
59
60

43. Kay J. Traumatic deidealization and the future of medicine. *JAMA* 1990; 263: 572-573.

44. Greganti MA. Where are the clinical role models? *Arch Intern Med* 1990; 150: 259-261.

Table 1: Summary of discussion threads analyzed, discussion forums and country of origin for each thread and the number of posts examined.

Thread Name	Discussion forum and Country of Origin	Number of posts	Number of posters
1. Excessive and unnecessary stress on med students	Student Doctor Network – United States	269	
2. How does med school change a person	Student Doctor Network – United States	22	
3. My theory on why med students show decline in empathy	Student Doctor Network – United States	46	
4. Why the cynicism	Student Doctor Network – United States	54	
5. Mental health in medical school	Student Doctor Network – United States	29	
6. What they don't tell you before getting into medicine	Premed101 – Canada	42	
7. Is it possible to finish med school without becoming too salty or cynical?	Premed101 – Canada	49	

Table 2 Themes and subthemes from discussion group analysis

Themes of the Hidden Curriculum	Pertinent subthemes
Challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding nature of medicine	<i>The progression of cynicism over time</i> <i>The reinforcement of hierarchy that creates an unpleasant work environment</i> <i>The pressure to work long hours and high demands for efficiency</i>
Challenges of safeguarding well-being	<i>Lack of support as a major stressor</i> <i>Consequences of cynicism on physician well-being and patient care</i>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviors throughout training and across generations	
--	--

For peer review only

COREQ (CONsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
<i>Personal characteristics</i>			
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	
<i>Relationship with participants</i>			
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	
Participant knowledge of the interviewer	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	
Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	
Domain 2: Study design			
<i>Theoretical framework</i>			
Methodological orientation and Theory	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	
<i>Participant selection</i>			
Sampling	10	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	
Method of approach	11	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	
<i>Setting</i>			
Setting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	
Presence of non-participants	15	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	
Description of sample	16	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	
<i>Data collection</i>			
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	
Audio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	
Duration	21	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	
Transcripts returned	23	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
		correction?	
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
<i>Data analysis</i>			
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	
Description of the coding tree	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	
<i>Reporting</i>			
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.

BMJ Open

Uncovering cynicism in medical training: A qualitative analysis of medical online discussion forums

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-022883.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-May-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Peng, Jenny ; University of Ottawa Faculty of Medicine Clarkin, Chantalle; Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute Doja, Asif; Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Pediatrics
Primary Subject Heading:	Medical education and training
Secondary Subject Heading:	Qualitative research
Keywords:	MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH, World Wide Web technology < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4 **Uncovering cynicism in medical training: A qualitative analysis of medical online**
5 **discussion forums**
6
7

8 **Jenny Peng MD¹, Chantalle Clarkin RN, PhD², A. Doja MEd, MD^{1,3}**
9

- 10
11 1. Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, 451 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8M5
12
13 2. Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, 401 Smyth Rd, Ottawa,
14
15 ON, K1H 8L1
16
17 3. Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, 401 Smyth Rd,
18
19 Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L1
20
21

22 **Corresponding author:** Asif Doja, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of
23
24 Eastern Ontario, 401 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L1, ph: (613) 737-7600, fax: (613)
25
26 738-4297, adoja@cheo.on.ca
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The development of cynicism in medicine, defined as a decline in empathy and emotional neutralization during medical training, is a significant concern for medical educators. Online student discussion groups may allow insight into how cynicism in medicine is perceived, the consequences of cynicism on medical trainee development, and potential links between the hidden curriculum and cynicism.

METHODS: 511 posts from 7 discussion topics in Premed101 (Canadian) and Student Doctor Network (American) forums were analyzed using NVivo 11. Inductive content analysis was used to develop a data-driven coding scheme that evolved throughout analysis. Measures were taken to ensure the trustworthiness of findings, including duplicate independent coding of a subsample of posts and the maintenance of an audit trail.

RESULTS: Medical students, residents, and staff participating in the discussion forums engaged in discourse about cynicism and highlighted themes of the hidden curriculum resulting in cynicism. These included the progression of cynicism over the course of medical training as a coping mechanism; the development of challenging work environments due to factors such as limited support, hierarchical demands, and long work hours; and the challenge of initiating change due to the tolerance of unprofessionalism and the highly stressful nature of medicine.

CONCLUSION: Our study of North American medical discussion posts demonstrates that cynicism develops progressively and is compounded by conflicts between the hidden and formal curriculum. Online discussion groups have the potential to provide unique insight into the culture of medical training and the hidden curriculum among academic institutions.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- Strength: online discussion forums allow for inclusion and analysis of anonymous feedback provided by medical trainees
- Strength: online forums allow for dynamic interactions and participation among individuals from several training sites rather than a single academic institution
- Limitation: results may represent a subset of individuals who post on online discussion forums

INTRODUCTION:

Cynicism, defined as a decline in empathy and emotional neutralization during medical training, is a continued concern for medical educators.^{1,2} One hypothesis to the development of cynicism among trainees is perceived conflict between the formal and hidden curriculum, with the hidden curriculum defined as “a set of influences that function at the level of organizational structure and culture” that impact the perception of medicine and decision making by medical trainees.^{2,3} Conflicts between the formal and hidden curriculum likely occur when trainees enter the clinical setting and realize the values of patient-centered care are often challenged by the demanding, time-pressured realities of medicine.^{4,5}

There remains some debate in the literature on whether empathy wanes and cynicism escalates as one progresses in training.^{6,7,8,9,10} Studies examining the underlying factors that contribute towards increasing cynicism and declining empathy are mostly qualitative in nature and primarily sample students and residents from a single academic institution.^{2,11,12,13,14,15,16}

1
2
3
4 Online discussion forums are widely popular and have been leveraged to provided
5 insight into a variety of topics in medical education.^{17,18,19} For medical trainees, online
6 discussion forums such as Premed101 and Student Doctor Network are used to contribute
7 questions, advice, and opinions regarding issues in medicine (e.g. the residency matching
8 process, perceived competitiveness of specialties), the training process, and education.^{20,21}
9 This allows for dynamic sharing of information at various levels of medical training in a
10 safe digital space that can be widely disseminated across institutions and archived for
11 further participation at multiple time points. These digital forums can serve as unique
12 resources to better understand trainee cynicism and the hidden curriculum.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 Our goal was to perform a qualitative content analysis of online medical discussion
26 forums (Student Doctor Network and Premed101) to explore: trainees' perceptions of
27 cynicism, when cynicism occurs, whether cynicism is progressive through medical training,
28 and the factors that enable and constrain the development of cynicism. We also sought to
29 examine the impact of the hidden curriculum on the development of cynicism.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **METHODS**

40
41 Online discussion boards are categorized by topics known as threads, which feature
42 questions, observations or conversations points. Online forums have provided users with
43 more 'democratic' landscapes where they can share spontaneous narratives. These
44 narratives represent a rich collection of emotional discourse, which are ideally suited for
45 qualitative analysis, because this is where the tension between the lived experiences of
46 medicine, healthcare, hidden curriculum, learning, expectations, behaviours and their
47 interpretations exist. Commenters may reply to the original thread and to each other in text
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 format on these discussion boards, which result in records that can be downloaded. We
5
6 examined original postings and response posts from Premed 101
7
8 (<http://forums.premed101.com/>), a Canadian website, and Student Doctor Network(SDN)
9
10 (<http://www.studentdoctor.net/>), an American website, specifically looking for threads
11
12 pertinent to the development of cynicism. These two forums are the most widely used
13
14 discussion forums among medical professionals in their respective countries, which was
15
16 why they were chosen for analysis in this study. In order to identify threads for analysis,
17
18 we used a purposeful sampling strategy, and a study member (JZP) completed a
19
20 preliminary scan of discussion forum content and posts from 2010 to 2016 for relevance to
21
22 the study topic. Based on the initial scan and most common terms noted in relevant threads,
23
24 a keyword search was then conducted to locate additional threads and posts using the
25
26 following search terms: ‘cynicism’, ‘empathy’, ‘mental health’, and ‘medical school stress.’
27
28 Once the preliminary review was complete, the team met to discuss forum content and
29
30 select threads for inclusion based on relevance to the topic. Following this initial selection,
31
32 threads were examined in a stepwise fashion with the understanding that the final sample
33
34 size would be determined by the analytical requirement of data saturation and that
35
36 additional threads would be reviewed as required.²² We progressed gradually through
37
38 analysis until no new ideas could be derived from the review of successive data and the
39
40 collection of new data did not offer further insight on the topic.²³ At this point, theoretical
41
42 sufficiency was reached and data collection was complete.²⁴ Data was imported into
43
44 NVivo11 (QSR International) to facilitate data management.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 We used a qualitative approach to perform inductive content analysis to identify the
53
54 key themes in the discussion threads. During analysis, data was examined repeatedly in
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 order to discern patterns and themes.^{25,26} The process of coding the data and recognizing the
5
6 overarching themes and subthemes involved three main stages: open coding, axial coding,
7
8 and selective coding.^{27,28} First, two researchers trained in qualitative methods (JZP and CC)
9
10 reviewed the data independently, line-by-line, to identify patterns and generate a set of
11
12 preliminary codes.²⁶ The researcher team (JZP, CC and AD) then met to discuss initial
13
14 impressions of the data and the preliminary codes. Second, during the axial coding phase
15
16 the code set was revised, refined, and regrouped into themes, highlighting areas of
17
18 similarity and differences. The research team (JZP, CC and AD) assembled during this
19
20 phase of analysis to review and discuss the axial coding. Finally, during selective coding, a
21
22 general description of the research topic was formulated and the central phenomenon was
23
24 constructed from the data. Codes were re-organized around unified themes. At this final
25
26 stage, the research team (JZP, CC and AD) met to discuss themes, review the selected
27
28 quotes, and establish concurrence. JZP and CC also searched threads for positive deviants
29
30 that challenged existing themes. The trustworthiness of our findings was enhanced through
31
32 the use of multiple independent coders, and team consensus building discussions at all three
33
34 phases of coding. We conceptualized the varied perspectives of the research team members
35
36 as an essential component of the interpretive process. The research team also maintained a
37
38 detailed audit-trail of all coding and data-related decision making.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 *Ethical Considerations*

46
47
48 The Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Ethics Board approved this
49
50 research protocol prior to study commencement. While individual-level informed consent
51
52 was not required for the analysis of aggregate data, we elicited permission from individual
53
54 commenters prior to including direct quotations of comments or posting in the discussion
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 threads. These select commenters were contacted privately via direct message to seek
5
6 permission to include their anonymized quote in study publications and materials, and all
7
8 commenters were given the opportunity to review their quote prior to its inclusion.
9

10 *Patient and Public Involvement*

11
12 Patients and the public were not involved in the design or conduct of the study; however a
13
14 link to this article will be posted to both the Premed 101 and SDN discussion boards upon
15
16 publication.
17
18

19 **RESULTS**

20
21 A total of 511 posts from 7 discussion threads in Premed 101 and SDN were
22
23 included in analysis (See Table 1). A total of 205 posters participated in these discussion
24
25 forums. Commenters self-identified as medical students, residents, and faculty staff,
26
27 although not all commenters stated their level of training. Two posters were from other
28
29 career backgrounds such as pharmacy and dentistry. We constructed three overarching
30
31 themes and six sub-themes in our analysis. (See Table 2)
32
33

34 **1. The challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding nature of medicine**

35
36 A common discussion topic in the online forums was the challenging nature of
37
38 medicine and medical training. The following sub-themes were recognized: *the progression*
39
40 *of cynicism over time in medical training; reinforcement of hierarchy in medicine that*
41
42 *creates a challenging work environment; and the pressure to work long hours and high*
43
44 *demands in medicine.* Interestingly, there was widespread consensus that empathy declined
45
46 and cynicism increased during the medical training process and no positive deviants were
47
48 noted.
49
50

51 *1A. The progression of cynicism over time in medical training*

1
2
3
4 There was consensus that the loss of idealism in medicine and feelings of cynicism
5
6 occurred during the course of training from student to physician. Discussion posts
7
8 emphasized that this occurs when patients are seen as a diagnosis rather than as people and
9
10 as trainees become clinicians who prioritize efficient practice.
11
12

13 Although trainees are exposed to the physical and mental struggles faced by patients
14
15 on a daily basis, the discussion groups revealed that this aspect of the clinical environment
16
17 seemed to contribute less in creating cynicism. Rather than long work hours and a heavy
18
19 workload, trainees expressed that perception of their low rank, worthlessness, and
20
21 disrespect from mentors led to emotional neutralization. Consequently, they highlighted
22
23 that time points in which cynicism predominates are transitions from pre-clerkship to
24
25 clerkship and beyond. Cynicism was portrayed as a “staircase” that the trainee climbs
26
27 during their career path rather than sporadic changes in attitude from individual clinical
28
29 encounters. As students become more exposed to “real doctoring” in the clinical setting,
30
31 cynicism may be a coping mechanism designed to protect oneself from hardships that one
32
33 observes and experiences during medical training.
34
35
36
37

38
39 “I personally know folks that are more jaded and clinical thinking now. People are
40
41 less than people.... more a diagnosis.” (Thread 2)

42
43 “I think there is a major shift in cynicism throughout medical school.... There’s an
44
45 even bigger increase in cynicism as you go through clerkship. Hours are long, call is
46
47 frequent, and you are always the low-person on the totem pole rotating into an
48
49 unfamiliar specialty/ward. You also start to really experience the widespread
50
51 dysfunction in medicine, and finally get lots of 1 on 1 time with bitter
52
53 interns/residents/staff. You will probably get treated like crap by a higher-up at least
54
55 once, if not frequently.” (Thread 7)
56
57

58 59 *1B. Reinforcement of hierarchy in medicine that creates a challenging work environment* 60

1
2
3
4 Medical students and clerks were in agreement that some of the greatest challenges
5 they faced stemmed from the learning environment in the clinical context rather than the
6 formal requirements of content and curriculum. Professional training was described as
7 being cut throat and competitive, hindered by administrative policies, long hours, and the
8 need to constantly maintain high level performance. Posters addressed the work culture
9 challenges of residency that contributed to an overarching sense of not being respected or
10 valued, including having limited control over scheduling, exposure to challenging
11 colleagues and situations, and a general lack of recognition and support. It was believed
12 that long work hours were a symptom of larger cultural problems in medical education
13 rather than the root cause of the negative experiences.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 “That said, work hours are a symptom of the main problem: the attitude in medicine
28 that treats residents (and to a lesser extent staff physicians and medical students)
29 like they somehow don't have the same human needs as everyone else. Long work
30 hours, lack of schedule control, lack of appreciation, and all the other forms of
31 disrespect you list.” (Thread 7)
32
33

34 “Except what most people don't realize is the insane amount of unnecessary
35 bureaucracy, unprofessional behaviour by superiors that goes unchecked, and
36 sometimes toxic culture of the "Dedicate it all and to nothing else, or you are a
37 "slacker"/"loser"" type etc.” (Thread 6)
38
39

40 *1C. Pressure to work long hours and high demands for efficiency*

41

42 Sleep deprivation was repeatedly noted among students and residents as a major
43 reason for feeling unhappy during training. Trainees shared a sample of their schedule,
44 where working in the hospital often meant staying for long hours and subsequently arriving
45 at early times for the following day.
46
47
48
49
50

51 “Vampirish and inhumane hours, 12-15 hour days or staying up all night and then
52 (if you're lucky) sleeping a few restless hours during the day only to then go do it
53 the next day/night, 6 or 7 days a week, for 3-7 years.” (Thread 1)
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 The reported consequence of devoting the majority of one's clinical hours to
6 meeting the demands of medical training included the breakdown of interpersonal
7 relationships outside of the hospital. Trainees felt isolated, as they felt it challenging to
8 have social interactions when work hour demands are rigorous. Students, residents and staff
9 described this barrier as a cause of personal grief and feelings of isolation, which may
10 contribute towards cynicism in all stages of medical training.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 "Above all, the breakdown of old relationships and the inability to form new ones...
20 I don't think age takes the sting off of any of these." (Thread 1)
21

22 Another conflict shared by trainees pertained to wanting to meet staff demands
23 while simultaneously balancing the amount of time spent directly with patients. For
24 example, in order to get work done in time for rounds, some patient needs were bypassed.
25 Several trainees expressed that the desire for efficiency and pleasing staff resulted in the
26 objectification of patients and eventual loss of compassion.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Posts have attributed this desire for efficiency as a healthcare system issue that aims
35 to quickly move patients in and out of hospitals. Commenters emphasized that doctors start
36 out as genuine, but become jaded after trying to keep up with the highly demanding system
37 for long periods of time.
38
39
40
41
42

43 "...we all want to be "good doctors", do the right thing. The sad thing is that after a
44 year or two of 1/4 call, where your worth as a person is determined by how quickly
45 and efficiently you can keep the system moving, all of that goes out the window. It
46 is a broken system that makes broken doctors." (Thread 7)
47
48

49 "It's definitely happened to me as a clerk and in the first few months of residency,
50 especially on off-service rotations, but on-service too, where I just haven't had the
51 time or the energy to do things for patients that I really would like to be able to do."
52 (Thread 7)
53
54

55 2. The challenge of safeguarding well-being 56 57 58 59 60

1
2
3
4 The desire to maintain a sense of balance and well-being, professionally and
5 personally, was a topic of discussion in the online boards. The following sub-themes were
6 recognized: *the lack of support as a major stressor*, and *the consequences of cynicism on*
7 *physician well-being and patient care*.
8
9
10
11
12

13 2A. Lack of support as a major stressor

14
15 Overall, residents described feeling undervalued for their work in hospitals. In some
16 instances, residents pointed out that their pay did not correspond to the high stress
17 environment that they were constantly working in, as well as the long, unpredictable hours.
18 These sentiments were expressed in both the Canadian and American contexts on the online
19 discussion forums. The sense of inadequate support also seemed to stem from a sense of
20 impaired autonomy over work and personal life schedules, constantly having to relocate
21 and adapt to working in unfamiliar and new environments, and general isolation due to
22 work commitment. Posts repeatedly highlighted how aspects of residency training
23 neglected “basic human needs” and failed to consider trainees’ personal wellbeing due to
24 prioritizing work needs.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 “After all, surgical have the same requirements for a healthy lifestyle as other
39 residents, despite the occasional assertion to the contrary. Surgeons still need to
40 sleep, eat, exercise, socialize and spend time with their families, just like everyone
41 else.” (Thread 7)
42
43

44 Several medical students discussed lacking support in terms of the discordance
45 between meeting their attending’s demands and their syllabus expectations. Students
46 repeatedly emphasized their “low status on the totem pole” in the clinical environment, and
47 felt that this lowly status instilled a hesitance to advocate for change due to fear of poor
48 evaluations or seeming unprofessional if reported to the clerkship director. Students also
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 emphasized that advocating for improvement seemed futile as they cannot resign from the
5
6 job of a medical student if they are truly unhappy during a placement, and would rather
7
8 tolerate mistreatment than risk poor judgment from preceptors.
9

10 11 12 13 *2B. Consequences of cynicism on physician well-being and patient care*

14
15
16 A common theme across discussion boards was the consequences of progressive
17
18 cynicism throughout medical training, which likely had a negative impact on physician
19
20 career satisfaction, the quality of patient care, and the quality of mentorship for future
21
22 generations. Many also highlighted the intergenerational transmission of norms and how
23
24 unhappy doctors tend to produce more unhappy doctors.
25

26
27 Medical trainee mental health was raised in a number of discussion threads related
28
29 to career satisfaction. Commenters noted the high rate of poor mental health documented
30
31 among medical students and residents compared to other professions. However, several
32
33 students and residents were hesitant to seek help because they feared that this would be
34
35 perceived as a sign of “weakness” in a field where professionalism and perseverance are
36
37 highly valued. Overall, commenters felt that contributing factors to poor mental health
38
39 should be addressed rather than criticizing individuals who suffer in order to promote
40
41 changes in attitude in the medical profession.
42
43
44

45
46 Acknowledging cynicism in medical school also prompted individuals in the
47
48 discussion groups to recognize the implications of mentorship. They advocated for the need
49
50 for more focus on the consequences of cynicism in medicine. Posts praised the existence of
51
52 forums that discussed the nature of cynicism in medicine, acknowledging that open
53
54 dialogue and information sharing can support change, such as creating more informed pre-
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 med students, promoting mental health programs in medical schools and residency, and
5
6 working towards improving work environments rather than perpetuating shame in
7
8
9 medicine.

10
11 “Even at your (our) early stage of training, your actions and attitudes help to shape
12 the culture in medicine. Please, help move it in the right direction, away from
13 blaming the victim and towards fixing the problems that caused the harm in the first
14 place.” (Thread 6)

15
16
17 **3. The culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviours throughout training and across**
18
19 **generations**

20
21 Commenters felt that unprofessional work environments in medicine were slow to
22
23 change due to the stigma among students and residents that feeling overwhelmed is an
24
25 indication of being inadequate. Unprofessional behavior in this context typically referred to
26
27 a lack of respect that trainees received from supervisors and trying to meet high demands in
28
29 medicine that may compromise good patient care. Consequently, trainees are afraid to seek
30
31 help or admit to being overworked in an environment where individuals are typically very
32
33 high-achievers and set high expectations for themselves and their colleagues. The
34
35 perpetuation of stressful work environments may be due to transmission of norms, namely
36
37 preceptors normalizing the challenges they experienced in their formative years and then
38
39 maintaining similar conditions for their trainees.
40
41
42
43

44
45 “I’ve seen so many residents embrace the attitude in this weird form of quasi-
46 Stockholm Syndrome, where they downplay, excuse, or even support the negative
47 aspects of being a resident all while their quality of life suffers. I understand it as a
48 coping mechanism, but it keeps that attitude alive for the next generation of
49 residents.” (Thread 7)

50
51 “A culture in medicine that reflexively defends the sucky parts of medicine as
52 necessary or desirable isn’t a culture that’s likely to promote change.” (Thread 7)

1
2
3
4 Students and trainees acknowledged that the harsh work environment and lack of respect
5
6 posed a greater challenge than solely long work hours. However, the culture to prevail and
7
8 achieve in medicine was again brought up as the reason for continued silence.
9

10
11 “It is the med-school culture. There is little support. Students just don't admit how
12 hard it is. There is an unsaid stigma that feeling stressed/overwhelmed/exhausted/or
13 hurt makes one "weak" in medical school.” (Thread 5)
14

15
16 Ultimately, the consequence of defending a culture that pushes for high efficiency and
17
18 achievements at the expense of the individual's wellbeing was viewed as a barrier to
19
20 progress in medical education.
21

22
23 “It is still so difficult for people in medicine to open up about their struggles. When
24 these disclosures are met with criticism, it encourages everyone else who may be
25 unhappy with their situation to continue to suffer in silence.” (Thread 6)
26
27

28 **DISCUSSION**

29
30 Our analysis of discussion posts exploring cynicism by Canadian and American
31
32 medical students, residents, and faculty members emphasized three key findings: 1) the
33
34 challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding nature of medicine; 2) the need to
35
36 safeguard well-being; and 3) the culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviors.
37
38

39
40 Results from previous studies have not made a definitive conclusion on whether
41
42 empathy declines as medical students enter their clinical year of training. While some
43
44 studies note that the first drastic decline in empathy and loss of idealization occurs in third
45
46 year of medical school, others have suggested that changes in empathy levels do not differ
47
48 significantly as medical students and residents progress in their training.^{16,29,30,31,32,33} Our
49
50 analysis of online discussion forums supports the notion that the loss of empathy and
51
52 development of cynicism are progressive in nature and evolve largely during the transition
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 from pre-clerkship to clerkship. This may occur because clerkship students are faced with
5 similar clinical challenges and ethical dilemmas as the rest of the medical team, but have a
6 minimal authoritative role. Being in this position makes students more vulnerable to
7 influences by their mentors, and students may feel conflicted when their personal values of
8 “good doctoring” do not align with preceptors’ practices of “real doctoring.” Our results
9 align with other studies demonstrating that the hierarchal nature of medicine and poor role
10 modeling can create unprofessional work environments and increased stress on trainees.
11 This unprofessionalism may be more distressing than frequent exposure to traumatic
12 clinical cases.^{8,34,35}

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Testerman et al. have proposed two models for the development of cynicism: 1) the
26 intergenerational model, where a student’s cynicism occurs progressively as a coping
27 mechanism to mistreatment by cynical residents and staff, and 2) the professional identity
28 model that suggests cynicism among trainees declines as individuals attain a higher
29 authoritative position and become more confident in dealing with the contradicting values
30 of the formal and hidden curriculum.¹² Testerman et al. supported the professional identity
31 model because they noted a decline in cynicism among staff who achieved a “professional
32 identity,” as compared to residents and students. Results from our study, however, seem to
33 favor the intergenerational model, as residents describe being more cynical during
34 residency when compared to medical school, and attribute this progression to a “staircase”
35 that one climbs throughout training. This conceptualization of the development and
36 progression of cynicism was also noted in a study by Griffith et al, as within the first 5
37 months of postgraduate training, residents perceived their patients with less idealistic
38 values.³⁶

1
2
3
4 Research has linked elements of the hidden curriculum to the development of
5
6 cynicism. In a study of internal medicine residents, Billings ME et al. demonstrated that the
7
8 hidden curriculum, and specifically unprofessional behavior from colleagues, nurses, and
9
10 patients, correlated with residents' level of depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, and
11
12 level of cynicism.³⁷ Similar to our findings, residents from this study also attributed
13
14 belittlement from staff, lack of control over scheduling, loss of autonomy in the clinical
15
16 setting, and poor work relationships as factors that led to burnout. Increasing cynicism
17
18 among residents parallels the pattern of increasing cynicism among medical students; both
19
20 medical students and residents start medical school and internship with higher empathy and
21
22 lower emotional distress, but experience a decline in empathy overtime.^{7,29} Our findings
23
24 suggest that there may be a “double hit” scenario, where trainees are most vulnerable to
25
26 increased cynicism when transitioning to clerkship, and then again when transitioning to
27
28 residency. It has been posited that this may be a protective mechanism at times of
29
30 transition.^{14,15}

31
32
33
34
35
36 Emotional neutralization, a consequence of cynicism, carries a negative connotation
37
38 during the early medical training process. That said, practicing physicians view emotional
39
40 neutralization as a coping mechanism to sustain the various clinical, hierarchal, and system
41
42 challenges that one faces in medicine.³⁸ Our findings support that cynicism occurs when
43
44 trainees cope to safeguard their personal wellbeing in a highly demanding work
45
46 environment. The impact of cynicism among physicians is substantial; consequences
47
48 include a decline in professionalism, burnout, and a loss of empathy that can ultimately
49
50 jeopardize patient care.^{1,37,39} In order to mitigate these consequences, an understanding of
51
52 how and why cynicism develops is key. Online forums provide a holistic view into this
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 topic by presenting diverse perspectives from geographically dispersed individuals, and
5
6 across the spectrum of training and practice.
7

8
9 As emphasized in previous studies, our findings also support the importance of role
10 modeling and mentorship in addressing cynicism and the hidden curriculum.^{40,41,42,43,44}
11
12 Students and residents seek inspiration from mentors and experience more idealism when
13 they identify positive role models.^{7,45} On the other hand, the lack of positive role models,
14
15 such as being taught by cynical residents and staff, facilitated the development of cynicism
16 and a decline in empathy among medical students.^{1,37,46} Results from our study support the
17
18 notion that mentorship and positive role modeling should be made available throughout
19
20 medical training, such that professional attitudes and support can be passed on from staff to
21
22 trainees. Mentorship structures should be reinforced during the transition period from pre-
23
24 clerkship to clerkship, and from medical school to residency, as these seem to be key
25
26 moments when there is a potential increase in cynicism and decline in empathy. While the
27
28 concept of mentorship in reducing cynicism is not a novel recommendation, this study
29
30 highlights that although issues pertaining to the hidden curriculum have been
31
32 acknowledged in the medical literature, they continue to persist in daily medical culture.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 A major strength of this study design is that online discussion forums allow for a
42
43 greater understanding of the hidden curriculum at an international level due to the ease of
44
45 access to forums by users from Canada and the USA. That said, given the we were unable
46
47 to isolate the geographical location of posters, this study does not allow for a detailed
48
49 commentary on potential areas of congruence or divergence between nations. Over the last
50
51 10 years, there has been increasing evidence from studies done at single academic
52
53 institutions that cynicism progresses from non-clinical to clinical years.^{2,11,14,15} Our study
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 expands on this idea and may carry greater external validity given that the viewpoints from
5
6 discussion forums reflect those of individuals from several institutions, levels of training,
7
8 and specialties. The capacity for user anonymity on forums promotes dynamic interactions
9
10 between individuals with lower risk of consequence. These forums create a democratic
11
12 space for sharing emotionally powerful experiences that highlight the tension between the
13
14 realities of medicine as influenced by the hidden curriculum and personal expectations of
15
16 good doctoring. Online discussion forums can also minimize social desirability response
17
18 bias, which may be present in other qualitative methods that involve face-to-face
19
20 interaction with peers and colleagues, such as focus groups involving staff, residents, and
21
22 medical students. In a discussion forum, the hierarchal nature of medicine is minimized
23
24 such that the pressure to respond in a manner perceived as acceptable or one that aligns
25
26 with the dominant discourse are lessened.
27
28
29
30
31

32 Our study has some notable limitations. Contributors to discussion forums may be
33
34 biased towards individuals who use forums to discuss their concerns and provide support
35
36 for others on the site. Discussion posters in this study may comprise of individuals that feel
37
38 more vulnerable and are reaching out anonymously for this reason, and they may in fact be
39
40 more cynical than the general medical community. Although commenters on the discussion
41
42 boards did not identify their country of origin, we assume that most commenters are
43
44 residents of either the United States or Canada, reflecting North American medical practice.
45
46 Additionally, our purposeful sampling and selection strategy of threads for inclusion in
47
48 analysis could have introduced bias. For example, by selecting threads that explicitly
49
50 examined cynicism, we may have inadvertently excluded threads containing divergent or
51
52 opposing views. Finally, we obtained agreement from discussion board commenters
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 retrospectively to include their verbatim quotes. This limited our sample of quotes for
5
6 inclusion as some commenters may not have been active on the discussion boards at the
7
8 time we contacted them and did not reply to our request for permission. In those instances,
9
10 the content of postings was summarized and described but the verbatim quotes could not be
11
12 included for publication.
13
14

15
16 Ultimately, cynicism among doctors has been shown to affect the quality of patient
17
18 care.^{47,48} Addressing and acknowledging cynicism as a main theme of the hidden
19
20 curriculum can serve as an initial step in establishing true patient centered care.
21
22

23 **CONCLUSION**

24
25 Online discussion groups have the potential to provide unique insight into the
26
27 culture of medical training. Our findings highlight that exposure to the differing values of
28
29 the formal and hidden curriculum seems to impact cynicism in trainees at all stages of
30
31 learning, and particularly at transition points. Interventions that can help reduce cynicism
32
33 could focus on decreasing the gap between the formal and hidden curriculum that is passed
34
35 on through stages of medical training. Examples of such interventions include mentorship
36
37 and positive role modeling, especially at transition periods from pre-clerkship to clerkship
38
39 and from medical school to residency. Future studies could explore perceptions and
40
41 attitudes among trainees at key transition points to further examine how cynicism evolves
42
43 between various stages of training.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *Contributors:* JP contributed to the acquisition, data analysis, interpretation and drafting of
51
52 the project. CC contributed to the design, data analysis and interpretation of the work, and
53
54 revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. AD contributed to the design, data
55
56
57
58
59

1
2
3
4 analysis and interpretation of the work, and revised the manuscript for important
5
6 intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript for publication.
7

8
9 *Acknowledgements:* None

10
11 *Funding:* This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
12 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors
13

14
15 *Conflicts of Interest:* None

16
17 *Ethical Approval:* This study was approved by the Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario
18 Research Institute Research Ethics Board (ref 16/31X).
19

20
21 Data: Raw data is available by replicating our search strategy in the following discussion
22 groups: <https://www.studentdoctor.net/> and <http://forums.premed101.com/>.
23

24 REFERENCES:

- 25
26 1. Brazeau CM, Schroeder R, Rovi S, Boyd L. Relationships between medical student
27 burnout, empathy, and professionalism climate. *Acad Med* 2010; 85: S33-S36.
28
- 29
30 2. Lempp H, Seale C. The hidden curriculum in undergraduate medical education:
31 qualitative study of medical students' perceptions of teaching. *BMJ* 2004; 329: 770-3.
32
- 33
34 3. Hafferty FW. Beyond curriculum reform: Confronting medicine's hidden
35 curriculum. *Acad Med* 1998; 73: 403-407.
36
- 37
38 4. White CB, Kumagai AK, Ross PT, Fantone JC. A qualitative exploration of how the
39 conflict between the formal and informal curriculum influences student values and
40 behaviors. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 597-603.
41
- 42
43 5. West CP, Shanafelt TD. The influence of personal and environmental factors on
44 professionalism in medical education. *BMC Medical Education* 2007; 7:29.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 6. Hojat M, Vergare M, Maxwell K, Brainard G, Herrine S, Isenberg G, Veloski J,
5
6 Gonnella J. The devil is the third year: A longitudinal study of erosion of empathy in
7
8 medical school. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 1182-1191.
- 9
10
11 7. Neumann M, Edelhauser F, Tauschel D, Fischer MR, Wirtz M, Woopen C et al.
12
13 Empathy decline and its reasons: a systematic review of studies with medical students
14
15 and residents. *Acad Med* 2011; 86: 996-1009.
- 16
17
18 8. Newton BW, Barber L, Clardy J, Cleveland E, O'Sullivan P. Is there hardening of the
19
20 heart during medical school? *Acad Med* 2008; 83: 244-249.
- 21
22
23 9. Singh S. Empathy: Lost or Found in Medical Education? *MedGenMed* 2005; 7: 74.
- 24
25
26 10. Chen DR, Pahilan ME, Orlander JD. Comparing a self-administered measure of
27
28 empathy with observed behavior among medical students. *Journal of General*
29
30 *Internal Medicine* 2010; 25: 200-202.
- 31
32
33 11. Gaufberg E, Batalden M, Sands R, Bell S. The hidden curriculum: What can we learn
34
35 from third-year medical student narrative reflections? *Acad Med* 2010; 85: 1709 –
36
37 1716.
- 38
39
40 12. Testerman JK, Morton KR, Loo LK, Worthley JS, Lamberton HH. The natural
41
42 history of cynicism in physicians. *Acad Med* 1996; 71: S43-S45.
- 43
44
45 13. Hojat M, Mangione S, Nasca TJ, Rattner S, Erdmann JB, Gonnella JS et al. An
46
47 empirical study of decline in empathy in medical school. *Med Educ* 2004; 38: 934-41.
- 48
49
50 14. Bellini LM, Baime M, Shea JA. Variation of mood and empathy during internship.
51
52 *JAMA* 2002; 287: 3143-3146.
- 53
54
55 15. Bellini LM, Shea JA. Mood change and empathy decline persist during three years of
56
57 Internal Medicine Training. *Acad Med* 2005; 80: 164-167.
- 58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 16. Stratta EC, Riding DM, Baker P. Ethical erosion in newly qualified doctors:
5 perceptions of empathy decline. *Int J Med Educ* 2016; 7: 286 – 92.
6
7
8
9 17. Sherbino J, Joshi N, Lin M. JGME-ALiEM Hot topics in medical education online
10 journal club: An analysis of a virtual discussion about resident teachers. *J Grad Med*
11 *Educ* 2015; 7: 437-44.
12
13
14
15
16 18. Makoul G, Zick AB, Aakhus M, Neely KJ, Roemer PE. Using an online forum to
17 encourage reflection about difficult conversations in medicine. *Patient Educ Couns*
18 2010; 79: 83-6.
19
20
21
22
23 19. Barnett S, Jones SC, Caton T, Iverson D, Bennett S, Robinson L. Implementing a
24 virtual community of practice for family physician training: a mixed-methods case
25 study. *J Med Internet Res* 2014; 16: e83.
26
27
28
29
30 20. The Number of Unmatched Students Doubled in Carms 2017 [Internet].
31 Premed101. April 2017 [cited 24 April 2018]. Available from:
32 [http://forums.premed101.com/topic/95038-the-number-of-unmatched-students-](http://forums.premed101.com/topic/95038-the-number-of-unmatched-students-doubled-in-carms-2017/)
33 [doubled-in-carms-2017/](http://forums.premed101.com/topic/95038-the-number-of-unmatched-students-doubled-in-carms-2017/)
34
35
36
37
38
39 21. Annual Specialty Competitiveness Stats. [Internet]. Premed101. July 2014 [cited: 24
40 April 2018]. Available from: [http://forums.premed101.com/topic/78629-annual-](http://forums.premed101.com/topic/78629-annual-specialty-competitiveness-stats/)
41 [specialty-competitiveness-stats/](http://forums.premed101.com/topic/78629-annual-specialty-competitiveness-stats/)
42
43
44
45
46 22. Fusch PI, Ness LR. Are we there yet? Data saturation in qualitative research. *The*
47 *Qualitative Report* 2015; 20: 1408.
48
49
50
51 23. Bradley EH, Curry LA, Devers KJ. Qualitative data analysis for health services
52 research: developing taxonomy, themes, and theory. *Health services research* 2007;
53 42:1758-1772.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 24. Varpio L, Ajjawi R, Monrouxe LV, O'Brien BC, Rees CE. Shedding the cobra
5
6 effect: problematising thematic emergence, triangulation, saturation and member
7
8 checking. *Med Educ* 2017; 51: 40-50.
9
10
11 25. Strauss A, Corbin J. Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and
12
13 techniques. Newbury Park: SAGE Publications 1990.
14
15
16 26. Elo S, Kyngas H. The qualitative content analysis process. *J Adv Nurs* 2008; 62: 107-
17
18 115.
19
20
21 27. Bohm A. Theoretical coding: Text analysis in Grounded Theory. (In U Flick, E
22
23 Kardorff, I Steinke (Eds.), *A companion to qualitative research* (p 270 – 275).
24
25 London: SAGE Publications 2004.
26
27
28 28. Gooden RJ, Windfield HR. Breast and prostate cancer online discussion boards: a
29
30 thematic analysis of gender differences and similarities. *Journal of Health*
31
32 *Psychology* 2007; 12: 103-114.
33
34
35 29. Ludwig AB, Burton W, Weingarten J, Milan F, Myers DC, Kligler B. Depression and
36
37 stress amongst undergraduate medical students. *BMC Med Educ* 2015; 15: 141.
38
39
40 30. Roff S. Reconsidering the “decline” of medical student empathy as reported in
41
42 studies using the Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy-Student version (JSPE-S).
43
44 *Med Teach* 2015; 37: 1-4.
45
46
47 31. Bratek A, Buiska W, Bonk M, Seweryn M, Krysta K. Empathy among physicians,
48
49 medical students, and candidates. *Psychiatr Danub* 2015; 27: S48-52.
50
51
52 32. Shashikumar R, Chaudhary R, Ryali VS, Bhat PS, Srivastava K, Prakash J, Basannar
53
54 D. Cross sectional assessment of empathy among undergraduates from a medical
55
56 college. *Med J Armed Forces India* 2014; 70: 179- 85.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 33. Hegazi I, Wilson I. Maintaining empathy in medical school: it is possible. *Med teach*
5 2013; 35: 1002-8.
6
7
8
9 34. Haglund M, aan het Rot M, Cooper NS, et al. Resilience in the third year of medical
10 school: A prospective study of the associations between stressful events occurring
11 during clinical rotations and student well-being. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 258-268.
12
13
14
15 35. Dornan T, Pearson E, Carson P, Helmich E, Bundy C. Emotions and identity in the
16 figured world of becoming a doctor. *Med Educ* 2015; 49: 174-85.
17
18
19 36. Griffith CH, Wilson JF. The loss of idealism throughout internship. *Eval Health Prof*
20 2003; 26: 415-426.
21
22
23
24 37. Billings ME, Lazarus ME, Wenrich M, Curtis JR, Engelberg RA. The effect of the
25 hidden curriculum on resident burnout and cynicism. *J Grad Med Educ* 2011; 3: 503-
26 10.
27
28
29
30 38. Doja A, Bould DM, Clarkin C, Eady K, Sutherland S, Writer H. The hidden and
31 informal curriculum across the continuum of training: A cross-sectional qualitative
32 study. *Med Teach* 2016; 38: 410-8.
33
34
35
36 39. Prins JT, Gazendam Donofrio SM, Tubben BJ, van der Heijden FM, van de Wiel
37 HB, Hoekstra-Weebers JE. Burnout in medical residents: a review. *Med Educ* 2007;
38 41: 788-800.
39
40
41
42 40. McKenna AM, Straus SE. Charting a professional course: A review of mentorship
43 in medicine. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2011; 8: 109-112.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 41. Straus SE, Johnson MO, Marquez C, Feldman MD. Characteristics of successful
5 and failed mentoring relationships: A qualitative study across two academic health
6 centers. *Acad Med* 2013; 88: 82-89.
7
8
9
10
11 42. Stamm M, Buddeberg-Fischer B. The impact of mentoring during postgraduate
12 training on doctors' career success. *Med Educ* 2011; 45: 488-96.
13
14
15 43. Rose GL, Rukstalis MR, Schuckit MA. Informal mentoring between faculty and
16 medical students. *Acad Med* 2005; 80: 344-8.
17
18
19
20 44. Howe A. Professional development in undergraduate medical curricula – the key to
21 the door of a new culture? *Med Educ* 2002; 36: 353-9.
22
23
24 45. Kay J. Traumatic deidealization and the future of medicine. *JAMA* 1990; 263: 572-
25 573.
26
27
28
29 46. Greganti MA. Where are the clinical role models? *Arch Intern Med* 1990; 150: 259-
30 261.
31
32
33 47. Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato S, Trojanowski L. The relationship between physician
34 burnout and quality of healthcare in terms of safety and acceptability: a systematic
35 review. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e015141.
36
37
38
39
40 48. Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C. From triple to quadruple aim: Care of the patient requires
41 care of the provider. *Ann Fam Med* 2014; 12: 573-576.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: Summary of discussion threads analyzed, discussion forums and country of origin for each thread and the number of posts examined.

Thread Name	Discussion forum and Country of Origin	Number of posts	Number of posters
1. Excessive and unnecessary stress on med students	Student Doctor Network – United States	269	
2. How does med school change a person	Student Doctor Network – United States	22	
3. My theory on why med students show decline in empathy	Student Doctor Network – United States	46	
4. Why the cynicism	Student Doctor Network – United States	54	
5. Mental health in medical school	Student Doctor Network – United States	29	
6. What they don't tell you before getting into medicine	Premed101 – Canada	42	
7. Is it possible to finish med school without becoming too salty or cynical?	Premed101 – Canada	49	

Table 2 Themes and subthemes from discussion group analysis

Themes of the Hidden Curriculum	Pertinent subthemes
Challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding nature of medicine	<i>The progression of cynicism over time</i> <i>The reinforcement of hierarchy that creates an unpleasant work environment</i> <i>The pressure to work long hours and high demands for efficiency</i>
Challenges of safeguarding well-being	<i>Lack of support as a major stressor</i> <i>Consequences of cynicism on physician well-being and patient care</i>
Culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviors throughout training and across generations	

COREQ (COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
<i>Personal characteristics</i>			
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	
<i>Relationship with participants</i>			
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	
Participant knowledge of the interviewer	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	
Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	
Domain 2: Study design			
<i>Theoretical framework</i>			
Methodological orientation and Theory	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	
<i>Participant selection</i>			
Sampling	10	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	
Method of approach	11	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	
<i>Setting</i>			
Setting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	
Presence of non-participants	15	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	
Description of sample	16	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	
<i>Data collection</i>			
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	
Audio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	
Duration	21	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	
Transcripts returned	23	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
		correction?	
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
<i>Data analysis</i>			
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	
Description of the coding tree	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	
<i>Reporting</i>			
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.

BMJ Open

Uncovering cynicism in medical training: A qualitative analysis of medical online discussion forums

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-022883.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Sep-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Peng, Jenny ; University of Ottawa Faculty of Medicine Clarkin, Chantalle; Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute Doja, Asif; Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Pediatrics
Primary Subject Heading:	Medical education and training
Secondary Subject Heading:	Qualitative research
Keywords:	MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH, World Wide Web technology < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4 **Uncovering cynicism in medical training: A qualitative analysis of medical online**
5 **discussion forums**
6
7

8 **Jenny Peng MD¹, Chantalle Clarkin RN, PhD², A. Doja MEd, MD^{1,3}**
9

- 10
11 1. Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, 451 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8M5
12
13 2. Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, 401 Smyth Rd, Ottawa,
14
15 ON, K1H 8L1
16
17 3. Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, 401 Smyth Rd,
18
19 Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L1
20
21

22 **Corresponding author:** Asif Doja, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of
23
24 Eastern Ontario, 401 Smyth Rd, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L1, ph: (613) 737-7600, fax: (613)
25
26 738-4297, adoja@cheo.on.ca
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: The development of cynicism in medicine, defined as a decline in empathy and emotional neutralization during medical training, is a significant concern for medical educators. We sought to utilize online medical student discussion groups to provide insight into how cynicism in medicine is perceived, the consequences of cynicism on medical trainee development, and potential links between the hidden curriculum and cynicism.

SETTING: Online analysis of discussion topics in Premed101 (Canadian) and Student Doctor Network (American) forums.

PARTICIPANTS: 511 posts from 7 discussion topics were analyzed using NVivo 11. Participants in the forums included medical students, residents and practicing physicians.

METHODS: Inductive content analysis was used to develop a data-driven coding scheme that evolved throughout analysis. Measures were taken to ensure the trustworthiness of findings, including duplicate independent coding of a subsample of posts and the maintenance of an audit trail.

RESULTS: Medical students, residents, and practicing physicians participating in the discussion forums engaged in discourse about cynicism and highlighted themes of the hidden curriculum resulting in cynicism. These included the progression of cynicism over the course of medical training as a coping mechanism; the development of challenging work environments due to factors such as limited support, hierarchal demands, and long work hours; and the challenge of initiating change due to the tolerance of unprofessionalism and the highly stressful nature of medicine.

CONCLUSION: Our unique study of North American medical discussion posts demonstrates that cynicism develops progressively and is compounded by conflicts

1
2
3
4 between the hidden and formal curriculum. Online discussion groups are a novel resource
5
6 to provide insight into the culture of medical training.
7
8
9

10 11 **ARTICLE SUMMARY**

12
13 Strengths and limitations of this study:

- 14
15 • Strength: online discussion forums are a novel resource to obtain medical trainees'
16
17 anonymous perspectives regarding graduate and postgraduate education
- 18
19 • Strength: online forums allow for dynamic interactions and participation among
20
21 individuals from several training sites rather than a single academic institution
- 22
23 • Limitation: results may represent a subset of individuals who post on online
24
25 discussion forums
26
27
28

29 30 **INTRODUCTION:**

31
32 Cynicism, defined as a decline in empathy and emotional neutralization during
33
34 medical training, is a continued concern for medical educators. ^{1,2} One hypothesis to the
35
36 development of cynicism among trainees is perceived conflict between the formal and hidden
37
38 curriculum, with the hidden curriculum defined as “a set of influences that function at the level of
39
40 organizational structure and culture” that impact the perception of medicine and decision making by
41
42 medical trainees.^{2,3} Conflicts between the formal and hidden curriculum likely occur when
43
44 trainees enter the clinical setting and realize the values of patient-centered care are often
45
46 challenged by the demanding, time-pressured realities of medicine.^{4,5}
47
48
49

50
51 There remains some debate in the literature on whether empathy wanes and
52
53 cynicism escalates as one progresses in training.^{6,7,8,9,10} Studies examining the underlying
54
55 factors that contribute towards increasing cynicism and declining empathy are mostly
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 qualitative in nature and primarily sample students and residents from a single academic
5
6 institution.^{2,11,12,13,14,15,16}
7

8
9 Online discussion forums are widely popular and have been leveraged to provided
10
11 insight into a variety of topics in medical education.^{17,18,19} For medical trainees, online
12
13 discussion forums such as Premed101 and Student Doctor Network are used to contribute
14
15 questions, advice, and opinions regarding issues in medicine (e.g. the residency matching
16
17 process, perceived competitiveness of specialties), the training process, and education.^{20,21}
18
19 This allows for dynamic sharing of information at various levels of medical training in a
20
21 safe digital space that can be widely disseminated across institutions and archived for
22
23 further participation at multiple time points. These digital forums can serve as unique
24
25 resources to better understand trainee cynicism and the hidden curriculum.
26
27
28

29
30 Our goal was to perform a qualitative content analysis of online medical discussion
31
32 forums (Student Doctor Network and Premed101) to explore: trainees' perceptions of
33
34 cynicism, when cynicism occurs, whether cynicism is progressive through medical training,
35
36 and the factors that enable and constrain the development of cynicism. We also sought to
37
38 examine the impact of the hidden curriculum on the development of cynicism.
39
40
41
42

43 **METHODS**

44
45 Online discussion boards are categorized by topics known as threads, which feature
46
47 questions, observations or conversations points. Online forums have provided users with
48
49 more 'democratic' landscapes where they can share spontaneous narratives. These
50
51 narratives represent a rich collection of emotional discourse, which are ideally suited for
52
53 qualitative analysis, because this is where the tension between the lived experiences of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 medicine, healthcare, hidden curriculum, learning, expectations, behaviours and their
5
6 interpretations exist. Commenters may reply to the original thread and to each other in text
7
8 format on these discussion boards, which result in records that can be downloaded. We
9
10 examined original postings and response posts from Premed 101
11
12 (<http://forums.premed101.com/>), a Canadian website, and Student Doctor Network(SDN)
13
14 (<http://www.studentdoctor.net/>), an American website, specifically looking for threads
15
16 pertinent to the development of cynicism. These two forums are the most widely used
17
18 discussion forums among medical professionals in their respective countries, which was
19
20 why they were chosen for analysis in this study. In order to identify threads for analysis,
21
22 we used a purposeful sampling strategy, and a study member (JZP) completed a
23
24 preliminary scan of discussion forum content and posts from 2010 to 2016 for relevance to
25
26 the study topic. Based on the initial scan and most common terms noted in relevant threads,
27
28 a keyword search was then conducted to locate additional threads and posts using the
29
30 following search terms: ‘cynicism’, ‘empathy’, ‘mental health’, and ‘medical school stress.’
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Once the preliminary review was complete, the team met to discuss forum content and select threads for inclusion based on relevance to the topic. Following this initial selection, threads were examined in a stepwise fashion with the understanding that the final sample size would be determined by the analytical requirement of data saturation and that additional threads would be reviewed as required.²² We progressed gradually through analysis until no new ideas could be derived from the review of successive data and the collection of new data did not offer further insight on the topic.²³ At this point, theoretical sufficiency was reached and data collection was complete.²⁴ Data was imported into NVivo11 (QSR International) to facilitate data management.

1
2
3
4 We used a qualitative approach to perform inductive content analysis to identify the
5
6 key themes in the discussion threads. During analysis, data was examined repeatedly in
7
8 order to discern patterns and themes.^{25,26} The process of coding the data and recognizing the
9
10 overarching themes and subthemes involved three main stages: open coding, axial coding,
11
12 and selective coding.^{27,28} First, two researchers trained in qualitative methods (JZP and CC)
13
14 reviewed the data independently, line-by-line, to identify patterns and generate a set of
15
16 preliminary codes.²⁶ The researcher team (JZP, CC and AD) then met to discuss initial
17
18 impressions of the data and the preliminary codes. Second, during the axial coding phase
19
20 the code set was revised, refined, and regrouped into themes, highlighting areas of
21
22 similarity and differences. The research team (JZP, CC and AD) assembled during this
23
24 phase of analysis to review and discuss the axial coding. Finally, during selective coding, a
25
26 general description of the research topic was formulated and the central phenomenon was
27
28 constructed from the data. Codes were re-organized around unified themes. At this final
29
30 stage, the research team (JZP, CC and AD) met to discuss themes, review the selected
31
32 quotes, and establish concurrence. JZP and CC also searched threads for positive deviants
33
34 that challenged existing themes. The trustworthiness of our findings was enhanced through
35
36 the use of multiple independent coders, and team consensus building discussions at all three
37
38 phases of coding. We conceptualized the varied perspectives of the research team members
39
40 as an essential component of the interpretive process. The research team also maintained a
41
42 detailed audit-trail of all coding and data-related decision making.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *Ethical Considerations*

51
52 The Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Ethics Board approved this
53
54 research protocol prior to study commencement. While individual-level informed consent
55
56
57
58
59

1
2
3
4 was not required for the analysis of aggregate data, we elicited permission from individual
5
6 commenters prior to including direct quotations of comments or posting in the discussion
7
8 threads. These select commenters were contacted privately via direct message to seek
9
10 permission to include their anonymized quote in study publications and materials, and all
11
12 commenters were given the opportunity to review their quote prior to its inclusion.
13
14

15 *Patient and Public Involvement*

16
17 Patients and the public were not involved in the design or conduct of the study; however a
18
19 link to this article will be posted to both the Premed 101 and SDN discussion boards upon
20
21 publication.
22
23

24 **RESULTS**

25
26 A total of 511 posts from 7 discussion threads in Premed 101 and SDN were
27
28 included in analysis (See Table 1). A total of 205 posters participated in these discussion
29
30 forums. Commenters self-identified as medical students, residents, and faculty staff,
31
32 although not all commenters stated their level of training. Two posters were from other
33
34 career backgrounds such as pharmacy and dentistry. We constructed three overarching
35
36 themes and six sub-themes in our analysis. (See Table 2)
37
38
39
40

41 **1. The challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding nature of medicine**

42
43 A common discussion topic in the online forums was the challenging nature of
44
45 medicine and medical training. The following sub-themes were recognized: *the progression*
46
47 *of cynicism over time in medical training; reinforcement of hierarchy in medicine that*
48
49 *creates a challenging work environment; and the pressure to work long hours and high*
50
51 *demands in medicine.* Interestingly, there was widespread consensus that empathy declined
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 and cynicism increased during the medical training process and no positive deviants were
5
6 noted.

7 8 9 *1A. The progression of cynicism over time in medical training*

10
11 There was consensus that the loss of idealism in medicine and feelings of cynicism
12
13 occurred during the course of training from student to physician. Discussion posts
14
15 emphasized that this occurs when patients are seen as a diagnosis rather than as people and
16
17 as trainees become clinicians who prioritize efficient practice.
18

19
20 Although trainees are exposed to the physical and mental struggles faced by patients
21
22 on a daily basis, the discussion groups revealed that this aspect of the clinical environment
23
24 seemed to contribute less in creating cynicism. Rather than long work hours and a heavy
25
26 workload, trainees expressed that perception of their low rank, worthlessness, and
27
28 disrespect from mentors led to emotional neutralization. Consequently, they highlighted
29
30 that time points in which cynicism predominates are transitions from pre-clerkship to
31
32 clerkship and beyond. Cynicism was portrayed as a “staircase” that the trainee climbs
33
34 during their career path rather than sporadic changes in attitude from individual clinical
35
36 encounters. As students become more exposed to “real doctoring” in the clinical setting,
37
38 cynicism may be a coping mechanism designed to protect oneself from hardships that one
39
40 observes and experiences during medical training.
41
42
43
44

45
46 “I personally know folks that are more jaded and clinical thinking now. People are
47
48 less than people.... more a diagnosis.” (Thread 2)

49
50 “I think there is a major shift in cynicism throughout medical school.... There’s an
51
52 even bigger increase in cynicism as you go through clerkship. Hours are long, call is
53
54 frequent, and you are always the low-person on the totem pole rotating into an
55
56 unfamiliar specialty/ward. You also start to really experience the widespread
57
58 dysfunction in medicine, and finally get lots of 1 on 1 time with bitter
59
60

1
2
3
4 interns/residents/staff. You will probably get treated like crap by a higher-up at least
5 once, if not frequently.” (Thread 7)
6
7

8
9 *1B. Reinforcement of hierarchy in medicine that creates a challenging work environment*

10
11 Medical students and clerks were in agreement that some of the greatest challenges
12 they faced stemmed from the learning environment in the clinical context rather than the
13 formal requirements of content and curriculum. Professional training was described as
14 being cut throat and competitive, hindered by administrative policies, long hours, and the
15 need to constantly maintain high level performance. Posters addressed the work culture
16 challenges of residency that contributed to an overarching sense of not being respected or
17 valued, including having limited control over scheduling, exposure to challenging
18 colleagues and situations, and a general lack of recognition and support. It was believed
19 that long work hours were a symptom of larger cultural problems in medical education
20 rather than the root cause of the negative experiences.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 “That said, work hours are a symptom of the main problem: the attitude in medicine
35 that treats residents (and to a lesser extent staff physicians and medical students)
36 like they somehow don't have the same human needs as everyone else. Long work
37 hours, lack of schedule control, lack of appreciation, and all the other forms of
38 disrespect you list.” (Thread 7)
39
40

41 “Except what most people don't realize is the insane amount of unnecessary
42 bureaucracy, unprofessional behaviour by superiors that goes unchecked, and
43 sometimes toxic culture of the "Dedicate it all and to nothing else, or you are a
44 "slacker"/"loser"" type etc.” (Thread 6)
45
46

47 *1C. Pressure to work long hours and high demands for efficiency*

48
49 Sleep deprivation was repeatedly noted among students and residents as a major
50 reason for feeling unhappy during training. Trainees shared a sample of their schedule,
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 where working in the hospital often meant staying for long hours and subsequently arriving
5
6 at early times for the following day.
7

8
9 “Vampirish and inhumane hours, 12-15 hour days or staying up all night and then
10 (if you're lucky) sleeping a few restless hours during the day only to then go do it
11 the next day/night, 6 or 7 days a week, for 3-7 years.” (Thread 1)
12
13

14
15 The reported consequence of devoting the majority of one's clinical hours to
16
17 meeting the demands of medical training included the breakdown of interpersonal
18
19 relationships outside of the hospital. Trainees felt isolated, as they felt it challenging to
20
21 have social interactions when work hour demands are rigorous. Students, residents and staff
22
23 described this barrier as a cause of personal grief and feelings of isolation, which may
24
25 contribute towards cynicism in all stages of medical training.
26
27

28
29 “Above all, the breakdown of old relationships and the inability to form new ones...
30 I don't think age takes the sting off of any of these.” (Thread 1)
31

32
33 Another conflict shared by trainees pertained to wanting to meet staff demands
34
35 while simultaneously balancing the amount of time spent directly with patients. For
36
37 example, in order to get work done in time for rounds, some patient needs were bypassed.
38
39 Several trainees expressed that the desire for efficiency and pleasing staff resulted in the
40
41 objectification of patients and eventual loss of compassion.
42

43
44 Posts have attributed this desire for efficiency as a healthcare system issue that aims
45
46 to quickly move patients in and out of hospitals. Commenters emphasized that doctors start
47
48 out as genuine, but become jaded after trying to keep up with the highly demanding system
49
50 for long periods of time.
51

52
53 “...we all want to be "good doctors", do the right thing. The sad thing is that after a
54 year or two of 1/4 call, where your worth as a person is determined by how quickly
55
56
57
58
59

1
2
3
4 and efficiently you can keep the system moving, all of that goes out the window. It
5 is a broken system that makes broken doctors.” (Thread 7)
6

7
8 “It's definitely happened to me as a clerk and in the first few months of residency,
9 especially on off-service rotations, but on-service too, where I just haven't had the
10 time or the energy to do things for patients that I really would like to be able to do.”
11 (Thread 7)
12

13 **2. The challenge of safeguarding well-being**

14
15 The desire to maintain a sense of balance and well-being, professionally and
16 personally, was a topic of discussion in the online boards. The following sub-themes were
17 recognized: *the lack of support as a major stressor*, and *the consequences of cynicism on*
18 *physician well-being and patient care*.
19

20 *2A. Lack of support as a major stressor*

21
22 Overall, residents described feeling undervalued for their work in hospitals. In some
23 instances, residents pointed out that their pay did not correspond to the high stress
24 environment that they were constantly working in, as well as the long, unpredictable hours.
25 These sentiments were expressed in both the Canadian and American contexts on the online
26 discussion forums. The sense of inadequate support from peers, colleagues and in
27 particular, supervisors also seemed to stem from a sense of impaired autonomy over work
28 and personal life schedules, constantly having to relocate and adapt to working in
29 unfamiliar and new environments, and general isolation due to work commitment. Posts
30 repeatedly highlighted how aspects of residency training neglected “basic human needs”
31 and failed to consider trainees’ personal wellbeing due to prioritizing work needs.
32
33

34
35 “After all, surgical have the same requirements for a healthy lifestyle as other
36 residents, despite the occasional assertion to the contrary. Surgeons still need to
37 sleep, eat, exercise, socialize and spend time with their families, just like everyone
38 else.” (Thread 7)
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Several medical students discussed lacking support in terms of the discordance
5
6 between meeting their attending's demands and their syllabus expectations. Students
7
8 repeatedly emphasized their "low status on the totem pole" in the clinical environment, and
9
10 felt that this lowly status instilled a hesitance to advocate for change due to fear of poor
11
12 evaluations or seeming unprofessional if reported to the clerkship director. Students also
13
14 emphasized that advocating for improvement seemed futile as they cannot resign from the
15
16 job of a medical student if they are truly unhappy during a placement, and would rather
17
18 tolerate mistreatment than risk poor judgment from preceptors.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 *2B. Consequences of cynicism on physician well-being and patient care*

26

27 A common theme across discussion boards was the consequences of progressive
28
29 cynicism throughout medical training, which likely had a negative impact on physician
30
31 career satisfaction, the quality of patient care, and the quality of mentorship for future
32
33 generations. Many also highlighted the intergenerational transmission of norms and how
34
35 unhappy doctors tend to produce more unhappy doctors.
36
37
38

39 Medical trainee mental health was raised in a number of discussion threads related
40
41 to career satisfaction. Commenters noted the high rate of poor mental health documented
42
43 among medical students and residents compared to other professions. However, several
44
45 students and residents were hesitant to seek help because they feared that this would be
46
47 perceived as a sign of "weakness" in a field where professionalism and perseverance are
48
49 highly valued. Overall, commenters felt that contributing factors to poor mental health
50
51 should be addressed rather than criticizing individuals who have a reduced quality of life
52
53 and difficulties in work life balance.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Acknowledging cynicism in medical school also prompted individuals in the
5
6 discussion groups to recognize the implications of mentorship. They advocated for the need
7
8 for more focus on the consequences of cynicism in medicine. Posts praised the existence of
9
10 forums that discussed the nature of cynicism in medicine, acknowledging that open
11
12 dialogue and information sharing can support change, such as creating more informed pre-
13
14 med students, promoting mental health programs in medical schools and residency, and
15
16 working towards improving work environments rather than perpetuating shame in
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3. The culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviours throughout training and across generations

Commenters felt that unprofessional work environments in medicine were slow to change due to the stigma among students and residents that feeling overwhelmed is an indication of being inadequate. Unprofessional behavior in this context typically referred to a lack of respect that trainees received from supervisors and trying to meet high demands in medicine that may compromise good patient care. Consequently, trainees are afraid to seek help or admit to being overworked in an environment where individuals are typically very high-achievers and set high expectations for themselves and their colleagues. The perpetuation of stressful work environments may be due to transmission of norms, namely preceptors normalizing the challenges they experienced in their formative years and then maintaining similar conditions for their trainees.

“I've seen so many residents embrace the attitude in this weird form of quasi-Stockholm Syndrome, where they downplay, excuse, or even support the negative aspects of being a resident all while their quality of life suffers. I understand it as a

1
2
3
4 coping mechanism, but it keeps that attitude alive for the next generation of
5 residents.” (Thread 7)
6

7
8 “A culture in medicine that reflexively defends the sucky parts of medicine as
9 necessary or desirable isn't a culture that's likely to promote change.” (Thread 7)
10

11 Students and trainees acknowledged that the harsh work environment and lack of respect
12 from supervisors posed a greater challenge than solely long work hours. However, the
13 culture to prevail and achieve in medicine was again brought up as the reason for continued
14 silence.
15

16
17
18
19
20 “It is the med-school culture. There is little support. Students just don't admit how
21 hard it is. There is an unsaid stigma that feeling stressed/overwhelmed/exhausted/or
22 hurt makes one "weak" in medical school.” (Thread 5)
23

24
25 Ultimately, the consequence of defending a culture that pushes for high efficiency and
26 achievements at the expense of the individual's wellbeing was viewed as a barrier to
27 progress in medical education.
28

29
30
31
32 “It is still so difficult for people in medicine to open up about their struggles (with
33 balancing efficiency vs learning). When these disclosures are met with criticism, it
34 encourages everyone else who may be unhappy with their situation to continue to
35 suffer in silence.” (Thread 6)
36

37 38 39 **DISCUSSION**

40
41 In the present study, we utilized an novel analysis of discussion posts to explore
42 cynicism by Canadian and American medical students, residents, and faculty members and
43 uncovered three key themes: 1) the challenges inherent to the hierarchal and demanding
44 nature of medicine; 2) the need to safeguard well-being; and 3) the culture of tolerance of
45 unprofessional behaviors.
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 Results from previous studies have not made a definitive conclusion on whether
53 empathy declines as medical students enter their clinical year of training. While some
54
55
56
57
58
59

1
2
3
4 studies note that the first drastic decline in empathy and loss of idealization occurs in third
5
6 year of medical school, others have suggested that changes in empathy levels do not differ
7
8 significantly as medical students and residents progress in their training.^{16,29,30,31,32,33} Our
9
10 analysis of online discussion forums supports the notion that the loss of empathy and
11
12 development of cynicism are progressive in nature and evolve largely during the transition
13
14 from pre-clerkship to clerkship. This may occur because clerkship students are faced with
15
16 similar clinical challenges and ethical dilemmas as the rest of the medical team, but have a
17
18 minimal authoritative role. Being in this position makes students more vulnerable to
19
20 influences by their mentors, and students may feel conflicted when their personal values of
21
22 “good doctoring” do not align with preceptors’ practices of “real doctoring.” Our results
23
24 align with other studies demonstrating that the hierarchal nature of medicine and poor role
25
26 modeling can create unprofessional work environments and increased stress on trainees.
27
28 This unprofessionalism may be more distressing than frequent exposure to traumatic
29
30 clinical cases.^{8,34,35}

31
32 Testerman et al. have proposed two models for the development of cynicism: 1) the
33
34 intergenerational model, where a student’s cynicism occurs progressively as a coping
35
36 mechanism to mistreatment by cynical residents and staff, and 2) the professional identity
37
38 model that suggests cynicism among trainees declines as individuals attain a higher
39
40 authoritative position and become more confident in dealing with the contradicting values
41
42 of the formal and hidden curriculum.¹² Testerman et al. supported the professional identity
43
44 model because they noted a decline in cynicism among staff who achieved a “professional
45
46 identity,” as compared to residents and students. Results from our study, however, seem to
47
48 favor the intergenerational model, as residents describe being more cynical during
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 residency when compared to medical school, and attribute this progression to a “staircase”
5
6 that one climbs throughout training. This conceptualization of the development and
7
8 progression of cynicism was also noted in a study by Griffith et al, as within the first 5
9
10 months of postgraduate training, residents perceived their patients with less idealistic
11
12 values.³⁶
13
14

15
16 Research has linked elements of the hidden curriculum to the development of
17
18 cynicism. In a study of internal medicine residents, Billings ME et al. demonstrated that the
19
20 hidden curriculum, and specifically unprofessional behavior from colleagues, nurses, and
21
22 patients, correlated with residents’ level of depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, and
23
24 level of cynicism.³⁷ Similar to our findings, residents from this study also attributed
25
26 belittlement from staff, lack of control over scheduling, loss of autonomy in the clinical
27
28 setting, and poor work relationships as factors that led to burnout. Increasing cynicism
29
30 among residents parallels the pattern of increasing cynicism among medical students; both
31
32 medical students and residents start medical school and internship with higher empathy and
33
34 lower emotional distress, but experience a decline in empathy overtime.^{7,29} Our findings
35
36 suggest that there may be a “double hit” scenario, where trainees are most vulnerable to
37
38 increased cynicism when transitioning to clerkship, and then again when transitioning to
39
40 residency. It has been posited that this may be a protective mechanism at times of
41
42 transition.^{14,15}
43
44
45
46
47

48 Emotional neutralization, a consequence of cynicism, carries a negative connotation
49
50 during the early medical training process. That said, practicing physicians view emotional
51
52 neutralization as a coping mechanism to sustain the various clinical, hierarchal, and system
53
54 challenges that one faces in medicine.³⁸ Our findings support that cynicism occurs when
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 trainees cope to safeguard their personal wellbeing in a highly demanding work
5
6 environment. The impact of cynicism among physicians is substantial; consequences
7
8 include a decline in professionalism, burnout, and a loss of empathy that can ultimately
9
10 jeopardize patient care.^{1,37,39} In order to mitigate these consequences, an understanding of
11
12 how and why cynicism develops is key. Online forums provide a holistic view into this
13
14 topic by presenting diverse perspectives from geographically dispersed individuals, and
15
16 across the spectrum of training and practice.
17
18

19
20 As emphasized in previous studies, our findings also support the importance of role
21
22 modeling and mentorship in addressing cynicism and the hidden curriculum.^{40,41,42,43,44}
23
24 Students and residents seek inspiration from mentors and experience more idealism when
25
26 they identify positive role models.^{7,45} On the other hand, the lack of positive role models,
27
28 such as being taught by cynical residents and staff, facilitated the development of cynicism
29
30 and a decline in empathy among medical students.^{1,37,46} Results from our study support the
31
32 notion that mentorship and positive role modeling should be made available throughout
33
34 medical training, such that professional attitudes and support can be passed on from staff to
35
36 trainees. Mentorship structures should be reinforced during the transition period from pre-
37
38 clerkship to clerkship, and from medical school to residency, as these seem to be key
39
40 moments when there is a potential increase in cynicism and decline in empathy. While the
41
42 concept of mentorship in reducing cynicism is not a novel recommendation, this study
43
44 highlights that although issues pertaining to the hidden curriculum have been
45
46 acknowledged in the medical literature, they continue to persist in daily medical culture.
47
48
49
50
51

52 A major strength of this study design is that online discussion forums allow for a
53
54 greater understanding of the hidden curriculum at an international level due to the ease of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 access to forums by users from Canada and the USA. That said, given the we were unable
5
6 to isolate the geographical location of posters, this study does not allow for a detailed
7
8 commentary on potential areas of congruence or divergence between nations. Over the last
9
10 10 years, there has been increasing evidence from studies done at single academic
11
12 institutions that cynicism progresses from non-clinical to clinical years.^{2,11,14,15} Our study
13
14 expands on this idea and may carry greater external validity given that the viewpoints from
15
16 discussion forums reflect those of individuals from several institutions, levels of training,
17
18 and specialties. The capacity for user anonymity on forums promotes dynamic interactions
19
20 between individuals with lower risk of consequence. These forums create a democratic
21
22 space for sharing emotionally powerful experiences that highlight the tension between the
23
24 realities of medicine as influenced by the hidden curriculum and personal expectations of
25
26 good doctoring. Online discussion forums can also minimize social desirability response
27
28 bias, which may be present in other qualitative methods that involve face-to-face
29
30 interaction with peers and colleagues, such as focus groups involving staff, residents, and
31
32 medical students. In a discussion forum, the hierarchal nature of medicine is minimized
33
34 such that the pressure to respond in a manner perceived as acceptable or one that aligns
35
36 with the dominant discourse are lessened.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43 Our study has some notable limitations. Contributors to discussion forums may be
44
45 biased towards individuals who use forums to discuss their concerns and provide support
46
47 for others on the site. Discussion posters in this study may comprise of individuals that feel
48
49 more vulnerable and are reaching out anonymously for this reason, and they may in fact be
50
51 more cynical than the general medical community. Although commenters on the discussion
52
53 boards did not identify their country of origin, we assume that most commenters are
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 residents of either the United States or Canada, reflecting North American medical practice.
5
6 Additionally, our purposeful sampling and selection strategy of threads for inclusion in
7
8 analysis could have introduced bias. For example, by selecting threads that explicitly
9
10 examined cynicism, we may have inadvertently excluded threads containing divergent or
11
12 opposing views. Finally, we obtained agreement from discussion board commenters
13
14 retrospectively to include their verbatim quotes. This limited our sample of quotes for
15
16 inclusion as some commenters may not have been active on the discussion boards at the
17
18 time we contacted them and did not reply to our request for permission. In those instances,
19
20 the content of postings was summarized and described but the verbatim quotes could not be
21
22 included for publication.
23
24
25

26
27 Ultimately, cynicism among doctors has been shown to affect the quality of patient
28
29 care.^{47,48} Addressing and acknowledging cynicism as a main theme of the hidden
30
31 curriculum can serve as an initial step in establishing true patient centered care.
32
33

34 **CONCLUSION**

35
36 Our unique study has demonstrated the potential for online discussion groups to
37
38 provide unique insight into the culture of medical training. Our findings highlight that
39
40 exposure to the differing values of the formal and hidden curriculum seems to impact
41
42 cynicism in trainees at all stages of learning, and particularly at transition points.
43
44 Interventions that can help reduce cynicism could focus on decreasing the gap between the
45
46 formal and hidden curriculum that is passed on through stages of medical training.
47
48 Examples of such interventions include mentorship and positive role modeling, especially
49
50 at transition periods from pre-clerkship to clerkship and from medical school to residency.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Future studies could explore perceptions and attitudes among trainees at key transition
5
6 points to further examine how cynicism evolves between various stages of training.
7
8
9

10
11 *Contributors:* JP contributed to the acquisition, data analysis, interpretation and drafting of
12
13 the project. CC contributed to the design, data analysis and interpretation of the work, and
14
15 revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. AD contributed to the design, data
16
17 analysis and interpretation of the work, and revised the manuscript for important
18
19 intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript for publication.
20
21

22
23 *Acknowledgements:* None
24

25 *Funding:* This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
26
27 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

28
29 *Conflicts of Interest:* None
30

31 *Ethical Approval:* This study was approved by the Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario
32
33 Research Institute Research Ethics Board (ref 16/31X) on May 25, 2016.

34 Data: Raw data is available by replicating our search strategy in the following discussion
35
36 groups: <https://www.studentdoctor.net/> and <http://forums.premed101.com/>.

37 38 **REFERENCES:**

- 39
40 1. Brazeau CM, Schroeder R, Rovi S, Boyd L. Relationships between medical student
41
42 burnout, empathy, and professionalism climate. *Acad Med* 2010; 85: S33-S36.
43
- 44 2. Lempp H, Seale C. The hidden curriculum in undergraduate medical education:
45
46 qualitative study of medical students' perceptions of teaching. *BMJ* 2004; 329: 770-3.
47
48
- 49 3. Hafferty FW. Beyond curriculum reform: Confronting medicine's hidden
50
51 curriculum. *Acad Med* 1998; 73: 403-407.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 4. White CB, Kumagai AK, Ross PT, Fantone JC. A qualitative exploration of how the
5
6 conflict between the formal and informal curriculum influences student values and
7
8 behaviors. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 597-603.
9
- 10
11 5. West CP, Shanafelt TD. The influence of personal and environmental factors on
12
13 professionalism in medical education. *BMC Medical Education* 2007; 7:29.
14
- 15
16 6. Hojat M, Vergare M, Maxwell K, Brainard G, Herrine S, Isenberg G, Veloski J,
17
18 Gonnella J. The devil is the third year: A longitudinal study of erosion of empathy in
19
20 medical school. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 1182-1191.
21
- 22
23 7. Neumann M, Edelhauser F, Tauschel D, Fischer MR, Wirtz M, Woopen C et al.
24
25 Empathy decline and its reasons: a systematic review of studies with medical students
26
27 and residents. *Acad Med* 2011; 86: 996-1009.
28
- 29
30 8. Newton BW, Barber L, Clardy J, Cleveland E, O'Sullivan P. Is there hardening of the
31
32 heart during medical school? *Acad Med* 2008; 83: 244-249.
33
- 34
35 9. Singh S. Empathy: Lost or Found in Medical Education? *MedGenMed* 2005; 7: 74.
36
- 37
38 10. Chen DR, Pahilan ME, Orlander JD. Comparing a self-administered measure of
39
40 empathy with observed behavior among medical students. *Journal of General*
41
42 *Internal Medicine* 2010; 25: 200-202.
43
- 44
45 11. Gaufberg E, Batalden M, Sands R, Bell S. The hidden curriculum: What can we learn
46
47 from third-year medical student narrative reflections? *Acad Med* 2010; 85: 1709 –
48
49 1716.
50
- 51
52 12. Testerman JK, Morton KR, Loo LK, Worthley JS, Lamberton HH. The natural
53
54 history of cynicism in physicians. *Acad Med* 1996; 71: S43-S45.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 13. Hojat M, Mangione S, Nasca TJ, Rattner S, Erdmann JB, Gonnella JS et al. An
5
6 empirical study of decline in empathy in medical school. *Med Educ* 2004; 38: 934-41.
7
8
9 14. Bellini LM, Baime M, Shea JA. Variation of mood and empathy during internship.
10
11 *JAMA* 2002; 287: 3143-3146.
12
13 15. Bellini LM, Shea JA. Mood change and empathy decline persist during three years of
14
15 Internal Medicine Training. *Acad Med* 2005; 80: 164-167.
16
17 16. Stratta EC, Riding DM, Baker P. Ethical erosion in newly qualified doctors:
18
19 perceptions of empathy decline. *Int J Med Educ* 2016; 7: 286 – 92.
20
21 17. Sherbino J, Joshi N, Lin M. JGME-ALiEM Hot topics in medical education online
22
23 journal club: An analysis of a virtual discussion about resident teachers. *J Grad Med*
24
25 *Educ* 2015; 7: 437-44.
26
27 18. Makoul G, Zick AB, Aakhus M, Neely KJ, Roemer PE. Using an online forum to
28
29 encourage reflection about difficult conversations in medicine. *Patient Educ Couns*
30
31 2010; 79: 83-6.
32
33 19. Barnett S, Jones SC, Caton T, Iverson D, Bennett S, Robinson L. Implementing a
34
35 virtual community of practice for family physician training: a mixed-methods case
36
37 study. *J Med Internet Res* 2014; 16: e83.
38
39 20. The Number of Unmatched Students Doubled in Carms 2017 [Internet].
40
41 Premed101. April 2017 [cited 24 April 2018]. Available from:
42
43 [http://forums.premed101.com/topic/95038-the-number-of-unmatched-students-](http://forums.premed101.com/topic/95038-the-number-of-unmatched-students-doubled-in-carms-2017/)
44
45 [doubled-in-carms-2017/](http://forums.premed101.com/topic/95038-the-number-of-unmatched-students-doubled-in-carms-2017/)
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 21. Annual Specialty Competitiveness Stats. [Internet]. Premed101. July 2014 [cited: 24
5 April 2018]. Available from: [http://forums.premed101.com/topic/78629-annual-
6 specialty-competitiveness-stats/
7
8
9](http://forums.premed101.com/topic/78629-annual-specialty-competitiveness-stats/)
- 10
11 22. Fusch PI, Ness LR. Are we there yet? Data saturation in qualitative research. *The
12 Qualitative Report* 2015; 20: 1408.
- 13
14
15 23. Bradley EH, Curry LA, Devers KJ. Qualitative data analysis for health services
16 research: developing taxonomy, themes, and theory. *Health services research* 2007;
17 42:1758-1772.
- 18
19
20 24. Varpio L, Ajjawi R, Monrouxe LV, O'brien BC, Rees CE. Shedding the cobra
21 effect: problematising thematic emergence, triangulation, saturation and member
22 checking. *Med Educ* 2017; 51: 40-50.
- 23
24
25 25. Strauss A, Corbin J. Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and
26 techniques. Newbury Park: SAGE Publications 1990.
- 27
28
29 26. Elo S, Kyngas H. The qualitative content analysis process. *J Adv Nurs* 2008; 62: 107-
30 115.
- 31
32
33 27. Bohm A. Theoretical coding: Text analysis in Grounded Theory. (In U Flick, E
34 Kardorff, I Steinke (Eds.), *A companion to qualitative research* (p 270 – 275).
35 London: SAGE Publications 2004.
- 36
37
38 28. Gooden RJ, Windfield HR. Breast and prostate cancer online discussion boards: a
39 thematic analysis of gender differences and similarities. *Journal of Health
40 Psychology* 2007; 12: 103-114.
- 41
42
43 29. Ludwig AB, Burton W, Weingarten J, Milan F, Myers DC, Kligler B. Depression and
44 stress amongst undergraduate medical students. *BMC Med Educ* 2015; 15: 141.
- 45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 30. Roff S. Reconsidering the “decline” of medical student empathy as reported in
5 studies using the Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy-Student version (JSPE-S).
6
7 *Med Teach* 2015; 37: 1-4.
8
9
10
11 31. Bratek A, Buiska W, Bonk M, Seweryn M, Krysta K. Empathy among physicians,
12 medical students, and candidates. *Psychiatr Danub* 2015; 27: S48-52.
13
14
15 32. Shashikumar R, Chaudhary R, Ryali VS, Bhat PS, Srivastava K, Prakash J, Basannar
16 D. Cross sectional assessment of empathy among undergraduates from a medical
17 college. *Med J Armed Forces India* 2014; 70: 179- 85.
18
19
20
21 33. Hegazi I, Wilson I. Maintaining empathy in medical school: it is possible. *Med teach*
22 2013; 35: 1002-8.
23
24
25
26
27 34. Haglund M, aan het Rot M, Cooper NS, et al. Resilience in the third year of medical
28 school: A prospective study of the associations between stressful events occurring
29 during clinical rotations and student well-being. *Acad Med* 2009; 84: 258-268.
30
31
32
33 35. Dornan T, Pearson E, Carson P, Helmich E, Bundy C. Emotions and identity in the
34 figured world of becoming a doctor. *Med Educ* 2015; 49: 174-85.
35
36
37
38 36. Griffith CH, Wilson JF. The loss of idealism throughout internship. *Eval Health Prof*
39 2003; 26: 415-426.
40
41
42
43 37. Billings ME, Lazarus ME, Wenrich M, Curtis JR, Engelberg RA. The effect of the
44 hidden curriculum on resident burnout and cynicism. *J Grad Med Educ* 2011; 3: 503-
45 10.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 38. Doja A, Bould DM, Clarkin C, Eady K, Sutherland S, Writer H. The hidden and
5
6 informal curriculum across the continuum of training: A cross-sectional qualitative
7
8 study. *Med Teach* 2016; 38: 410-8.
9
10
11 39. Prins JT, Gazendam Donofrio SM, Tubben BJ, van der Heijden FM, van de Wiel
12
13 HB, Hoekstra-Weebers JE. Burnout in medical residents: a review. *Med Educ* 2007;
14
15 41: 788-800.
16
17
18 40. McKenna AM, Straus SE. Charting a professional course: A review of mentorship
19
20 in medicine. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2011; 8: 109-112.
21
22
23 41. Straus SE, Johnson MO, Marquez C, Feldman MD. Characteristics of successful
24
25 and failed mentoring relationships: A qualitative study across two academic health
26
27 centers. *Acad Med* 2013; 88: 82-89.
28
29
30 42. Stamm M, Buddeberg-Fischer B. The impact of mentoring during postgraduate
31
32 training on doctors' career success. *Med Educ* 2011; 45: 488-96.
33
34
35 43. Rose GL, Rukstalis MR, Schuckit MA. Informal mentoring between faculty and
36
37 medical students. *Acad Med* 2005; 80: 344-8.
38
39
40 44. Howe A. Professional development in undergraduate medical curricula – the key to
41
42 the door of a new culture? *Med Educ* 2002; 36: 353-9.
43
44
45 45. Kay J. Traumatic deidealization and the future of medicine. *JAMA* 1990; 263: 572-
46
47 573.
48
49
50 46. Greganti MA. Where are the clinical role models? *Arch Intern Med* 1990; 150: 259-
51
52 261.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 47. Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato S, Trojanowski L. The relationship between physician
5
6 burnout and quality of healthcare in terms of safety and acceptability: a systematic
7
8 review. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e015141.
9
10
11 48. Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C. From triple to quadruple aim: Care of the patient requires
12
13 care of the provider. *Ann Fam Med* 2014; 12: 573-576.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Table 1: Summary of discussion threads analyzed, discussion forums and**
26
27 **country of origin for each thread and the number of posts examined.**
28

Thread Name	Discussion forum and Country of Origin	Number of posts	Number of posters
1. Excessive and unnecessary stress on med students	Student Doctor Network – United States	269	
2. How does med school change a person	Student Doctor Network – United States	22	
3. My theory on why med students show decline in empathy	Student Doctor Network – United States	46	
4. Why the cynicism	Student Doctor Network – United States	54	
5. Mental health in medical school	Student Doctor Network – United States	29	
6. What they don't tell you before getting into medicine	Premed101 – Canada	42	
7. Is it possible to finish med school without becoming too salty or cynical?	Premed101 – Canada	49	

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 **Table 2 Themes and subthemes from discussion group analysis**
52

Themes of the Hidden Curriculum	Pertinent subthemes
Challenges inherent to the hierarchal and	<i>The progression of cynicism over time</i>

demanding nature of medicine	<i>The reinforcement of hierarchy that creates an unpleasant work environment</i> <i>The pressure to work long hours and high demands for efficiency</i>
Challenges of safeguarding well-being	<i>Lack of support as a major stressor</i> <i>Consequences of cynicism on physician well-being and patient care</i>
Culture of tolerance of unprofessional behaviors throughout training and across generations	

COREQ (CONsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
<i>Personal characteristics</i>			
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	
<i>Relationship with participants</i>			
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	
Participant knowledge of the interviewer	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	
Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	
Domain 2: Study design			
<i>Theoretical framework</i>			
Methodological orientation and Theory	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	
<i>Participant selection</i>			
Sampling	10	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	
Method of approach	11	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	
<i>Setting</i>			
Setting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	
Presence of non-participants	15	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	
Description of sample	16	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	
<i>Data collection</i>			
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	
Audio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	
Duration	21	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	
Transcripts returned	23	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
		correction?	
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
<i>Data analysis</i>			
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	
Description of the coding tree	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	
<i>Reporting</i>			
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.