Supplementary file 6. Study-level risk of bias assessment

Green = high quality (low risk of bias); Amber = moderate quality (moderate risk of bias) or unknown; Red = low quality (high risk of bias)

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Ades, 2013								
Adeyi, 1997								
Akinci, 2014								
Alvarez- Dardet, 2006								
Avendano, 2009								
Bambra, 2014								
Bambra, 2009								
Bambra, 2009								
Bambra, 2006								
Bambra, 2005								
Batniji, 2014								
Baum, 2003								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Bergh, 2010								
Besley, 2006								
Bosdriesz, 2015								
Borrell, 2009								
Bozorg mehr,2014								
Bradley, 2011								
Brandt, 2014								
Bremberg, 2016								
Burroway, 2016								
Burstrom, 2010								
Cereseto, 1986								
Chuang, 2013								
Chuang, 2013								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Chung, 2006								
Conley, 2001								
Copeland, 2015								
Correa, 1992								
Corsi, 2014								
Costa-Font, 2016								
Craveiro, 2017								
Cross, 2009								
De Vogli, 2014								
Dahl, 2013								
Deeming, 2012								
Devaux, 2015								
Dietrich, 2015								
Doherty, 2010								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Dujardin, 2011								
Eikemo, 2008								
Eikemo, 2008								
Elgar, 2011								
Elola, 1995								
Engster, 2011								
Esmaeli, 2011								
Espelt, 2008								
Esser, 2010								
Estimé, 2014								
Fan, 2015								
Farfan- Portet, 2010								
Fayissa, 2001								
Foubert, 2014								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Frey, 1999								
Fritzell, 2012								
Fritzell, 2013								
Fumagalli, 2013								
Gauri, 2002								
Gerring, 2008								
Gesthuizen, 2012								
Ghobarah, 2004								
Gilligan, 2015								
Gizeles, 2009								
Glass, 2016								
Goryakin, 2015								
Granados, 2010								

Jorgenson, 2009				
Jorgenson, 2009				
Karim, 2010				
Kick, 1990				
Klenk, 2016				
Klomp, 2008				

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Krueger, 2015								
Kudamatsu, 2012								
Kuovo, 2015								
Lahelma, 1994								
Lake, 2001								
Lena, 1993								
Levecque, 2011								
Levecque, 2015								
Levine, 2006								
Lin, 2012								
Lin, 2014								
London, 1990								
López- Casasnova s, 2014								
Lundberg, 2008								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Macken bach, 2013								
Macken bach, 2013								
Macken bach, 2013								
Martens, 2010								
Maynard, 2015								
Maynard, 2016								
Miething, 2013								
Menon- Johansson, 2005								
Milner, 2011								
Minagawa, 2013								
Moon, 1985								
Moor, 2013 Moore, 2006								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Muldoon, 2011								
Muntaner, 2017								
Muntaner, 2002								
Navarro, 2006								
Navarro, 2003								
Navarro, 2001								
Navia, 2003 Nelson, 2014								
Norden mark, 2006								
Novignon, 2012								
Oberlander, 2017								
Olafsdottir, 2007								
Olsen, 2007								
Oster, 2012								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Owen, 2007								
Palència, 2013								
Pickett, 2007								
Pillai, 2006								
Pinzón-								
Flórez,								
2015								
Platts, 2015								
Ploubidis, 2012								
Popham, 2013								
Raphael, 2004								
Reeves, 2014								
Richter, 2012								
Ross, 2006								
Rostila, 2007								
Rovny, 2011								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Sacker, 2011								
Safaei, 2006								
Sanders, 2009								
Sarti, 2013								
Sekine, 2009								
Shandra, 2010								
Shandra, 2004								
Shen, 2001								
Shen, 1997								
Shim, 2015								
Stavrova, 2011								
Stroup, 2007								
Stuckler, 2010								
Tsai, 2006								
Van der Heuvel, 2013								

First author, year	Selection bias	Selection bias	Chance	Detection bias	Detection bias	Detection/ Reporting bias	Reporting bias	Summary
	Participant representa tiveness	Group equivalence	Sample size rationale	Exposure measure	Outcome measure	Appropriate analysis	Conflict of interest	
Van Tuyckom, 2011								
Vahid Shahidi, 2016								
Veenhoven, 2000								
Veenhoven, 1995								
Vöörmann, 2013								
Wejnert, 2008								
Whitehead, 2000								
Witvliet, 2013								
Wu, 2007								
York, 2014								
Zambon, 2006								
Zweifel, 2000								

Green = high quality (low risk of bias); Amber = moderate quality (moderate risk of bias) or unknown; Red = low quality (high risk of bias)