Supplementary Information

Cyclophilin J limits inflammation through the blockage of ubiquitin chain sensing

Sheng et al.

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1 | CYPJ negatively regulates NF- κ B signal pathways. a, NF- κ B reporter was cotransfected with plasmids encoding MAVS, MYD88 and TRIF with or without CYPJ in 293T cells, and the dual-luciferase activity was detected (N=3). b, CYPJ or control vector was transfected into 293T cells for 24 h, followed with WSN virus infection for another 24 h. The mRNA abundance of *TNF* and *IL*-8 was evaluated by qPCR (N=3). c, NF- κ B reporter

was cotransfected with plasmids encoding wildtype or catalytic death mutated (mut) CYPJ/CYPA in 293T cells, and the cells were treated with or without TNF (20 ng ml⁻¹, 5 h) followed with the detection of dual-luciferase activity. **d-e**, Short interference RNA (siRNA) mediated CYPJ knockdown (**d**) enhances TNF induced activation of NF-kB reporter in 293T cells as indicated (**e**; N=3). **f-g**, CRISPR-Cas9 mediated CYPA deficiency (**f**; inset) enhances TNF induced activation of NF-kB reporter in 293T cells (**f**; N=3). **g**, CYPA knockout enhances TNF induced transcription of TNF and IL-8 in 293T cells (**g**; N=3). **h**, 293T cells were transfected with control vector or HA-CYPJ for 24 h, followed with WSN infection for additional 12 h and 24 h. Whole cell lysates were prepared and analyzed by IB with indicated antibodies. **i**, Wildtype and CYPA-deficient 293T cells were treated with or without TNF for indicated time, and phosphorylation of indicated proteins were detected. Error bars indicate S.D.; n.s. no significance, **p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01, ****p*<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t-test).



Supplementary Figure 2 | Transcription level of CYPJ and CYPA remain unchanged upon inflammatory stimulus. a-c, mRNA abundance of CYPJ/Cypj and CYPA/Cypa are elevated in TNF treated HeLa cells (a), LPS activated BMM cells (b) and VSV infected A549 cells (c) for indicated time. Error bars indicate S.D.; n.s. no significance, *p<0.01, **p<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t-test).



Supplementary Figure 3 | **Cypj deficiency barely affects the development of immune cells. a,** Protein level of endogenous Cypj in BMM cells from WT and KO mice were evaluated. **b,** Percentage of the indicated spleen immune cells was analyzed by detecting their specific lineage markers from WT and KO mice. One representative data from three independent experiments was

shown. **c-d**, Wildtype and Cypj-deficient primary mouse BMM cells were treated with or without II-1 β for different time, and phosphorylation of indicated proteins (**c**) as well as transcription of *Tnf* and *II-6* (**d**; N=3) were detected. Error bars indicate S.D.; * *p*<0.05, ** *p*<0.01 (two-tailed Student's t-test).



Supplementary Figure 4 | Silencing of Cypa and Cypj increase NF- κ B activity. a, siRNA mediated Cypa knockdown efficiency in BMM cells were detected by qRT-PCR (a; left) and WB (a; right). b, siRNA mediated Cypj knockdown efficiency in BMM cells were detected by qRT-PCR (b; left) and WB (b; right). c-d, Secretion of Tnf (c) and Il-6 (d) in supernatant of BMM cells after siRNA transfection for 48 h were evaluated (N=3). Error bars indicate S.D.; ** *p*<0.01, *** *p*<0.001 (two-tailed Student's t-test).



Supplementary Figure 5 | CYPJ does not affect the transcription of type I interferon. a, Wildtype and CYPJ deficient (KO) mBMM were treated with LPS (100 ng ml⁻¹) or infected with VSV (MOI=0.1) for indicated times, followed with the detection of IRF3 and P-IRF3 by WB. b-c, Wildtype and CYPJ deficient (KO) mBMM were treated with LPS (100 ng ml⁻¹) (b; N=3) or infected with VSV (MOI=0.1) (c; N=3) for indicated times, followed with the detection of *Ifn-β* transcription by qRT-PCR. Error bars indicate S.D.; n.s. indicates no significance (two-tailed Student's t-test).



Supplementary Figure 6 | Effects of CYPJ on the activation of AP-1 reporter induced by TRAF6 and TAK1-TAB1/2. Error bars indicate S.D.; * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 (two-tailed Student's t-test).



Supplementary Figure 7 | Screen the binding of CYPJ to several NF-KB components. a-e,

Co-IP assays detecting the binding of HA-CYPJ to a series of Flag-tagged proteins of NF-κB signal pathway in 293T cells as indicated. CYPJ could not interact with TRAF2 (**a**), TRAF6 (**b**), p50 (**c**), p65 (**d**) or TAK1 (**e**). **f**, Co-IP assay showed that HA-CYPA could not interact with Flag-TAB2/3.



Supplementary Figure 8 | CYPJ does not affect the interaction between TAK1 and TAB2/3.

a-b, Co-IP assay detecting the binding between TAK1 and TAB2 (**a**) or TAB3 (**b**) with/without Myc-CYPJ co-transfection.



Supplementary Figure 9 | CYPJ interacts with HOIP and attenuates LUBAC activity. a, reciprocal Co-IP assay detecting the interaction between HA-CYPJ and Flag-HOIP. b, 293T cells were transfected with plasmids as indicated for 24 h. The enforced expressed NEMO was immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag antibody and its linear polyubiquitination was detected with anti-His antibody. c, NF- κ B reporter was cotransfected with different combination of plasmids encoding HOIP and CYPJ in 293T cells, and the dual-luciferase activity was evaluated. * *p*<0.05 (two-tailed Student's t-test).



Supplementary Figure 10 | **CYPJ itself could not bind ubiquitin chain.** GST pull-down assay detecting the binding between GST, GST- CYPJ and GST-TAB2-NZF with His-K63-chain (2-7Ub). 1 μg of His-K63-chain (2-7Ub) is used in each reaction.



Supplementary Figure 11 | **Cypj-deficient mice were sensitive to LPS treatment. a,** Survival curve of wildtype and Cypj-deficient mice after intra-peritoneal injection of low dosage of LPS (5 mg per kg body weight) at day 0 following by high dosage of LPS (50 mg per kg body weight) at day 3 (N=7 mice per group; Log-rank test). b, Morphology of colon between wildtype and Cypj-deficient without 3% DSS treatment (N=4 mice per group).



Supplementary Figure 12 | Proposed working model of CYPJ attenuates linear ubiquitin chain.



Supplementary Figure 13 | Gating Strategies used for immune cells analyses. a-b, Gating strategies to identify BMM (a) BMDM (b) used in Figures 2b/c. c, Gating strategies to indentify B, T, NK, DC and Mφ lymphocytes in spleen used in Supplementary Figure 3b.



Supplementary Figure 14 | The uncropped scans of blots.



Supplementary Figure 14 | The uncropped scans of blots continued.



Supplementary Figure 14 | The uncropped scans of blots continued.



Supplementary Figure 14 | The uncropped scans of blots continued.



Supplementary Figure 14 | The uncropped scans of blots continued.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1 | Primers for real-time qRT-PCR.

Gene name	Primer sequences (5'-3')
IFN-β	Forward: GTCAGAGTGGAAATCCTAAG
	Reverse: ACAGCATCTGCTGGTTGAAG
TNF	Forward: AGTGAAGTGCTGGCAACCAC
	Reverse: GAGGAAGGCCTAAGGTCCAC
IL-8	Forward: CTGCGCCAACACAGAAATTAT
	Reverse: CATCTGGCAACCCTACAACAG
IL-6	Forward: GCCTTCTTGGGACTGATGCT
	Reverse: CTGCAAGTGCATCATCGTTGT
СҮРЈ	Forward: CATCACCTATGGCAAACAGC
	Reverse: TGGCAACTTCTCCAACTCATC
СҮРА	Forward: AACTTCATCCTAAAGCATACGG
	Reverse: TTGCCATCCAACCACTCAG
GAPDH	Forward: GGAGAAACCTGCCAAGTATG
	Reverse: TTACTCCTTGGAGGCCATGTAG
Ifn-β	Forward: ATGAGTGGTGGTTGCAGGC
	Reverse: TGACCTTTCAAATGCAGTAGATTCA
Tnf	Forward: AAGCCTGTAGCCCACGTCGT
	Reverse: GGCACCACTAGTTGGTTGTCTT
<i>Il-6</i>	Forward: TAGTCCTTCCTACCCCAATTTCC
	Reverse: TTGGTCCTTAGCCACTCCTTC
Сурј	Forward: CTGTGAGAGAACACCCAAAACA
	Reverse: TTTTTGGCCCAGATGCTGCTA
Сура	Forward: GAGCTGTTTGCAGACAAAGTTC
	Reverse: CCCTGGCACATGAATCCTGG
Actin	Forward: AGTGTGACGTTGACATCCGT
	Reverse: GCAGCTCAGTAACAGTCCGC