

**S3 Table.** Statistical analysis of gene expression of AMPs primed by non-pathogenic bacteria (Fig 3).

Gene	Species	Hours	Comparison	P-value	ANOVA summary	
Diptericin-A	<i>Ec</i>	0	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.6175	F	1.435
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.5522		
		6	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.3707	P value	0.252
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.7986		
		24	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.0658	Significant diff. among means (P < 0.05)?	No
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.0208		
	<i>Pl</i>	0	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.7838	F	2.931
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9657		
		6	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9689	P value	0.0347
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9568		
		24	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.0218	Significant diff. among means (P < 0.05)?	Yes
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.0083		
	<i>Pa</i>	0	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.8972	F	10.84
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9263		
		6	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9705	P value	<0.0001
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9098		
		24	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	<0.0001	Significant diff. among means (P < 0.05)?	Yes
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.0009		
Drosomycin	<i>Ec</i>	0	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.1527	F	1.991
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.2586		
		6	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.7945	P value	0.1073
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.1729		
		24	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.0423	Significant diff. among means (P < 0.05)?	No
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.009		
	<i>Pl</i>	0	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.5675	F	2.945
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.3189		
		6	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.6849	P value	0.0341
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9758		
		24	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.0089	Significant diff. among means (P < 0.05)?	Yes
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9249		
	<i>Pa</i>	0	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.6532	F	4.317
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.4563		
		6	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.9623	P value	0.0054
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.8202		
		24	LB vs. <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.001	Significant diff. among means (P < 0.05)?	Yes
			LB vs. HK <i>Ec + MI</i>	0.8682		

ns: not significant