

Figure S1





Figure S3







Figure S5



Supplementary Material:

Figure S1 Biochemical characterization of α S pattern in E \rightarrow K mutants – related to Figure 2 (a) WB of sequential extractions of TBS-soluble (cytosolic) and TX-soluble (membrane) fractions of cortical homogenates (no crosslinking). (b) Densitometry of the bands (means ± SEM) of the same WB shows a stepwise shift from cytosol to membrane fractions in 1K and 3K vs. WT mice. (c) Intact-cell crosslinking of α S using the cell-penetrant crosslinker DSG on washed cortical brain bits from WT, 1K, 3KL^{+/+} and 3K tg mice shows tetramer-deficiency of all 3 mutant lines vs. WT. Mab syn1 detects monomeric (aS14) and tetrameric (α S60) α S and probable conformers of the tetramer (α S80, α S100). DJ-1 monomers/dimers serve as control for equal crosslinking and protein loading. (d) TX-insoluble fraction of cortical homogenates. (e) Intact-cell crosslinking of 1K vs. 3KL^{+/+} (n=3 each group). (f) Quantification of WB in (e) reveals significant decreases in T:M ratio in 3KL^{+/+} vs. 1K. (**q**) WB of whole brain extracts of n=4 Ntg vs. 3K mice shows ~2-fold overexpression. Hu specific 15G7 (upper panel) or C20 Mab, which detects both human and mouse aS, and (h) graph. (i) Calpain-dependent aS truncation in tetramer-deficient 3K mice: mAb Syn-1 WB of rec. fibrillized α S +/- calpain digestion (lanes 1, 2) and TBS-cytosolic homogenates of mouse cortex of 3 genotypes (lanes 3-5). Bracket: gel slices between 10-12 kDa (ΔC) were excised from lanes 4 and 5 (WT and 3K mutant mouse brains); ΔC from calpain-cleaved rec αS (lane 2) served as a positive control to guide excision and for MS. (i) Comparison of protein sequences derived by LC-MS/MS spectra of tryptic peptides (black asterisks) from the 10-12 kDa hu αS fragments of WT and 3K mouse brain and the calpaincleaved rec. αS, using Mascot (Matrix Sciences); the detected peptides (in *boldface*) were identified by the Protein Pilot 4.0 software (Absciex). The ΔC hu αS product ending at amino acid D119 (arrow) was found in both 3K brain and calpain-cleaved rec. α S. The longest fragment detected in WT mouse brain spans amino acid 35 to 96. Means ± SEM. *p < 0.05; *** p < 0.001 in 1K vs. WT or 3K or $3KL^{+/+}$ vs. age- and $[\alpha S]$ matched 1K; (b) one-Way ANOVA post Tukey; or (f, h) unpaired 2-tailed Student's t test.

Figure S2 Progressive motor deficits in tetramer-deficient α S tg mice – related to Figure 3 (**a**) Graph quantifies time to descend a pole in 3 and 6 mos WT, 1K and 3K mice (n = 6-10 males per group). (**b**) Accelerating rotarod task (4-40 rpm) reveals more severe motor deficits in 3K males than females, in comparison to their hu WT α S controls (n=5-8 per group). (**c**) Graph quantifies endurance time on rotarod in 6 and 8 mos old 3KL^{+/+} (homozygous 3K-Low) mice (n = 6-12 mice per group). Means ± SEM. *, [#] p < 0.05; **, ^{##} p< 0.01; *** p < 0.001 vs. age-, gender and [α S]-matched WT; one-way ANOVA, post Tukey.

Figure S3 Phosphorylated ser129- α S staining of WT and 3K mouse brain tissue – related to Figure 4. (a) WB of sequentially extracted (TBS-) soluble and insoluble as well as whole homogenates blotted for pSer129- α S and hu α S (15G7); densitometry of whole extracts (right) shows a ~3-fold increase of total pSer129- α S in 3K (line 3817) over WT. (b) Both 3 mos and 6 mos cerebellar sections display minor pSer129 signals compared to a large, age-dependent increase in pyramidal neurons of prefrontal cortex (pCx) and motor cortex (mCx) in 3K mice; quantified on right. (c) pSer129- α S accumulation in TH-positive neurons of the SN pars compacta (SNpc) and locus coeruleus (LC) and few dots in ventral tegmental area (VTA) in 3K brain. Scale bars. (d) Counts of TH+ neurons in the VTA of the midbrain. (e) Motor posture at rest in heterozygous 3K^{+/-} and homozygous 3K^{+/+} male mice at age 4 wk. Severe hind limb rigidity and decreased motor control in the 3K^{+/+} mice result in inability to sustain themselves after weaning (see Movie S7). (f) IHC of pSer129+ α S in sagittal brain sections from 3 mos 3K^{+/-} and 1 mos 3K^{+/+} mice. (g) Quantification of pSer129 optical density (n=10 fields each of cortical sections from N = 3-4 mice per

genotype). (h) Anti-pSer129 α S IHC of WT, 1K, 3KL^{+/+} and 3K sagittal brain sections at age 6 mos. Boxes in each section indicate magnified regions shown to right. (i) Quant. of pSer129 optical density (n=10 fields each of cortical sections from N = 3 mice per genotype). Means ± SEM. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001; (a, d, g) unpaired 2-tailed Student's *t* test; (b, i) One-way ANOVA post Tukey. *Scale bars* (b) 50 µm (c,d,f, insets in h) 25 µm.

Figure S4 Abnormal α S accumulation at vesicles in motor cortex of 6 mos 3K mice – related to Figure 6 (**a**) Single and merged stainings show co-localization of α S with syt-1+ vesicles at synaptic terminals and with syt-7 mainly in neuronal somata. (**b**) ImageJ plug-in co-localization highlighter converts co-localized points into 8-bit greyscale images that were adjusted to a common threshold and quantified. (**c**) Confocal microscopy of sections quadruple-labeled for α S, syt-7, lysosomal-membrane associated protein-1 (LAMP-1), and nuclei (DAPI). Spectrally unmixed images are in lower panels to show large foci of α S, syt-7 and LAMP-1, and their overlap is shown in triple-merged upper panels. Means ± SEM. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, unpaired 2-tailed Student's *t* test. Scale bars (a) 50 µm, (c) 25 µm.

Figure S5 Ultrastructure of a cortical neuronal soma from a 16 mos old 3K male mouse – related to Figure 7. Top: (a) EM reveals the spherical, LB-like inclusion consists of filamentous material that is associated with a central lipofuscin deposit and membranous organelles (mainly mitochondria) along the periphery. N, nucleus. Below: Higher power EMs show a lipofuscin-rich core (far left) and (b) 15G7 hu α S immunogold labeling of dense filamentous deposits throughout the rest of the round inclusion. (c) Degenerated neurons and synapses in 3K brain. *Left*: Toluidine-blue stained semi-thin sections of cortex (upper panel) and midbrain (lower panel) show scattered neurons with dark and dense appearance of the cytoplasm and nuclear areas, shrunken cytoplasm and triangular shapes. *Right*: Dark degenerated synapses and a dark-degenerated neuron, displaying lipid deposits (white arrow) were seen. *Scale bars*: (a) top, left and bottom panel = 500nm, all other panels = 100 nm, (c-left) 20 µm, (c-right) 1 µm.

Figure S6 Gait analyses of 3K and WT mice before vs. after L-DOPA treatment – related to Figure 7. (a) Graphs showing L-DOPA ameliorates limb clasping and improves (lengthens) wire hanging performance, while no treatment effect occurs on the accelerating rotarod test. (b) Limb support graph shows L-DOPA ameliorates the elevated 3-foot and reduced 2-foot support of 3K mice. (c) Stance graph of the same 3K and WT mouse after saline (SAL) or L-DOPA i.p. treatment. Harmonization of the marked stance anomalies of front and hind limbs of the 3K mice upon L-DOPA treatment. Means ± SEM. **p* < 0.05 WT-Sal vs. 3K-Sal; **p* < 0.05 3K-Sal vs. 3K-DOPA, unpaired 2-tailed Student's *t* test.

Table S1: Key characteristics of α S tetramers and their potential relevance to PD, related to Figures 1-7 **Table S2:** Mouse models of PD which express hu α S and explore L-DOPA responsive phenotypes, related to Figures 2-7

PD	promoter/	motor	αS inclusions			DAergic integrity		motor deficit	L-DOPA	reference
mutation	zygosity	onset								
			cortex	mid-	SN cell	striatal loss	VTA			
				brain	loss					
single-mutation models										
WT	Thy-1/het	3 mos	4-5 mos	4-5 mos	none	6 mos	NR	beam slips	25 mg/kg	1-3
	-								worse	
	CaMKIIα/het	8 mos	NR	20 mos	20 mos*	NR	NR	rotarod deficit	NR	4
	PDGF ^B / het	9 mos	2-3 mos	2-3 mos	none	12 mos	NR	rotarod deficit	NR	5
ACOT	Dress //s area	45.40						A limb monosia		6
A531	Pmp/nom	15-16 mos	sparse	none	none	none	NR	4-limb paresis	NR	
	Thu 1/hom	C maa						asit referred deficit		7,8
	Thy-T/nom	6 mos	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	gait, rotarod delicit	NR	
				4	0	0.40	anarad		ND	9
	DAT-PF/net	none	none	1 mos	3 mos	3-12 mos	spared	none	NR	10
A30P	Thy-1/hom	8 mos	sparse	none	none	none	NR	rotarod deficit	NR	10
										11
E46K	Prnp/hom	16-29 mos	15-19 mos	22 mos	none	none	NR	4-limb paresis	NR	11
										10
	BAC/het	6 mos*	6 mos	6 mos	12mos*	NR	NR	pole deficit	NR	present study, ¹²
			size ①	size û						
LRRK-2	TH-	24 mos	NR	15 mos	15 mos	24 mos {DA}	NR	gait, pole deficit,	20 mg/kg	13
	G2019S/het			size û					improved	
	R1441G-	10-12 mos	none	none	none	10-12 mos{DA}	spared	immobility	20 mg/kg	14
	BAC/het								improved	
multi-mutation models										
LRRK2-	CaMKIIα/het	none	none	none	NR	1 mos	NR	hyperactivity#	none	15
A53T						(neuronal)		y y		
A30P-A53T	TH/het	13-23 mos	none	none	8.5 mos	16-18 mos{DA}	NR	hypolocomotion	none	16,17
3K	Thy_1 2/het	3 mos	3 mos	3 mos	6 mos	6 mos	spared	resting tremor	12.5	
E35 /6 61K	111y-1.2/116t	0 1103	eizo 🏠	s mos eize∲	0 1103	0 1103		nait nole deficit	ma/ka	present study
L33,40,01K			3126 1	3126 1				rotarod deficit	improved	p. coont ctudy
1									mproved	

Table S2. Mouse models of PD which ex	press hu αS and explore L-DOPA res	ponsive phenotypes – related to Figs. 2-7

Abbreviation: NR, not reported. het, heterozygous. hom, homozygous. *tendency; [#]only A53T-dependent hyperactivity

For reference details see also main reference list: (1) Rockenstein et al., J Neurosci Res, 2002. (2) Fleming et al., J Neurosci, 2004. (3) Fleming et al., Neuroscience, 2006. (4) Nuber et al., J Neurosci, 2008. (5) Masliah et al., Science, 2000. (6) Giasson et al., Neuron, 2002. (7) Chandra et al., Cell, 2005. (8) Rothman et al., J Parkinsons Dis, 2013. (9) Chen et al., J Neurosci, 2015. (10) Neumann et al., J Clin Invest, 2002. (11) Emmer et al., J Biol Chem, 2011. (12) https://www.michaeljfox.org/files/MJFF_SfN_aSyn_Poster.pdf
(13) Xiong et al., PNAS, 2018. (14) Li et al., Nat Neurosci, 2009. (15) Lin et al., Neuron, 2009. (16) Richfield et al., Exp Neurol, 2002. (17) Thiruchelvam et al., Eur J Neurosci (2004).

-	detected under specific conditions					
	 non-denaturing conditions^{(a),(e),(f),(j)} 					
metastable	 with cell-permeable crosslinkers^{(a),(e),(f)} 					
	 under "molecular crowding" conditions in cell lysates^{(e),(j)} 					
	 mostly found in the cytosol (TBS-soluble) fraction^{(e),(f)} 					
	trapping of the native conformational state					
	 a non-stochastic pattern by intact-cell crosslinking (14, 60, 80, 100 kDa)^{(a),(e),(f),(l),(m)} 					
not an artifact	 also seen by live-cell fluorescence protein (YFP) complementation^{(f),(i)} 					
	potential physiological function					
	 transient vesicle association of multimers regulating neurotransmission^(h) 					
	 cytosolic storage form resisting pathologic aggregation^{(a),(d)} 					
	abundant in native (intact-cell) healthy tissue					
	 freshly biopsied normal human brain^(e) 					
	 freshly biopsied normal mouse brain (Ntg, WT)^{(e),(m)} 					
non-pathologic	iPSC-derived wt human neurons ^{(e),(l)}					
	 can be isolated from normal human red blood cells and bacteria ^(a-d) 					
	shared conformation with homologues not implicated in PD					
	• β -synuclein, γ -synuclein ^(e)					
	Tetramer abrogation causes inclusion formation and neurotoxicity ^{(1),(g),(K),(m)}					
	Totromor obrogation acuses nothelegic modifications like these in PD					
	• nSer129+ AC-truncation PK-resistance relative insolubility ^(m)					
	Pathologic redistribution consistent with early Lewy-type changes					
PD relevance	 abnormal membrane association, vesicle clustering^{(g),(i),(k),(m)} 					
	 lysosomal alteration^{(I),(m)} 					
	PD-causing gene defects decrease the tetramer:monomer ratio in cells					
	• familial α S mutations (A30P E46K H500 G51D A53T) ^(f)					
	• Gaucher's GBA mutations lower the T:M ratio (of wt α S) ⁽¹⁾					
	 In mice, 3x(E46K) vields PD-like αS neuropathology and L-DOPA- 					
	responsive motor signs ^(m)					
For reference details.	see also main reference list; (a) Bartels et al., Nature, 2011, (b) Wang et al.,					

Table S1. Key characte	eristics of αS tetramers and their potential relevance to PD – related to Figs.1,2
	detected under specific conditions

PNAS, 2011. (c) Trexler et al., Prot Sci, 2012. (d) Westphal et al., JBC, 2012. (e) Dettmer et al., JBC, 2013. (f) Dettmer et al., *Nat Commun*, 2015. (g) Dettmer et al., *DD*G, 2012. (e) Dettmer et al., *DB*G, 2013. (f) Dettmer et al., *PNAS*, 2014. (j) Luth et al., *Biochemistry*, 2015. (k) Dettmer et al., *Hum Mol Gen*, 2017. (l) Kim et al., *PNAS*, 2018.

(m) present study