SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR:

Estimating the effect size of surgery to improve walking in children with cerebral palsy from retrospective observational clinical data

Apoorva Rajagopal^{1*}, Łukasz Kidziński², Alec S. McGlaughlin², Jennifer L. Hicks², Scott L. Delp^{1,2}, Michael H. Schwartz^{3,4}

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

²Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

³Center for Gait and Motion Analysis, Gillette Children's Specialty Healthcare, St. Paul, MN,

USA

⁴Department of Orthopedic Surgery, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

* Please direct correspondences to Apoorva Rajagopal (apoorvar@stanford.edu)

APPENDIX Equations to implement propensity and regression models

Propensity score

The propensity score (p) for a limb is the probability of that limb undergoing a specified treatment (T) conditioned on pre-treatment variables (X). For the *surgery* model, the specified treatment assignment was a SEMLS (i = 1), and for the *control* model, the treatment assignment was only conservative treatment (i = 0):

$$p = P(T = i|X). \tag{A1}$$

Feature selection

The l_1 -regularized model error was defined as:

$$J = \sum_{k} w_{k} (y_{k} - (c_{0} + \tilde{c}^{T} \tilde{x}_{k}))^{2} + \lambda |\tilde{c}|, \qquad (A2)$$

where

$$w_k = \max\left(\frac{1}{p_k}, 20\right)$$

 $y_k = \text{GDI}$ at follow-up visit for limb k $\tilde{x}_k = \text{vector of 0-mean, 1-variance standardized features variables for limb <math>k$ $\tilde{c}, c_0 = \text{unknown feature coefficients and constant term, and}$ $\lambda = \text{regularization weight}$

To select features for the regression models, we chose the largest λ such that the mean 10-fold cross validation error, J, was within 1 standard deviation of the minimum mean cross-validation error. The selected features were those corresponding to the resulting non-zero coefficients, \tilde{c} .

Regression model

The regression coefficients for the chosen features, x^* , were computed as

$$c, c_0 = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_k w_k (y_k - (c_0 + c^T x_k^*))^2.$$
 (A3)

Covariance of the coefficients were computed as

$$\Sigma_{c,c_0} = \sigma^2 (X^{*T} W X^*)^{-1},$$
 (A4)

where X^* is the matrix containing the n_f selected features for all observations, W is a diagonal matrix of observation weights, and

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{\sum w_{k} - n_{f} - 1} \sum_{k} w_{k} (y_{k} - (c_{0} + c^{T} x_{k}^{*}))^{2}.$$

New predictions

For a new observation with features, x, we estimate outcome, y, as

$$y \sim \mathcal{N} \Big(c_0 + c^T x, \ x^T \Sigma_{c,c_0} x \Big). \tag{A5}$$

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S1 Candidate feature variables.

| Data Source (data type) | Variables |
|-------------------------|---|
| Kinematics (continuous) | Pelvic tilt – mean; hip flexion– initial contact; hip flexion– foot-off; hip |
| | flexion- mean extension velocity in stance; hip adduction- initial contact; |
| | hip rotation– mean; knee flexion– initial contact; knee flexion – mean |
| | stance; knee flexion – peak extension; knee flexion – peak flexion; knee |
| | flexion – mean extension velocity in swing; ankle dorsiflexion – initial |
| | contact; ankle dorsifiexion – peak in stance; ankle dorsifiexion – time of |
| | peak in stance (normalized); ankle dorsifiexion – peak in swing; foot |
| Vinction | Dis extension mean stance |
| Kinetics | hip extension moment – peak stance, nip nexton moment – peak stance; |
| (continuous) | mp adduction moment – peak stance; ankie plantaritexion moment – peak; |
| Temporal/Spatial | Parcent goit evelo in stance: percent goit evelo in single stance: welking |
| (continuous) | speed (normalized): step length (normalized): cadence (normalized): step |
| (continuous) | length asymmetry: stance asymmetry |
| Physical exam | Femoral anteversion angle: thigh-foot angle: popliteal angle: knee flexion |
| (continuous) | contracture: ankle dorsiflexion angle – peak with knee extended: ankle |
| () | dorsiflexion angle – peak with knee flexed 90° selective motor control |
| | score; strength score; spasticity score; selective motor control asymmetry; |
| | strength asymmetry; spasticity asymmetry |
| Patient history | Age; elapsed time between initial and follow-up gait visit; body-mass |
| (continuous, binary) | index; diagnosis (triplegic, quadriplegic); delivery weeks premature; walk |
| | without assistive device $(1/0)$; had previous surgery $(1/0)$; had previous |
| | selective dorsal rhizotomy (1/0); had previous major orthopedic surgery |
| | (1/0) |
| Musculoskeletal model | Peak muscle-tendon lengths for lateral gastrocnemius, soleus, psoas, |
| (continuous) | semimembranosus, rectus femoris, vastus medialis; peak muscle-tendon |
| | velocities (z-scores, normalized to typical gait) for lateral gastrocnemius, |
| T 1' ' 1 | soleus, psoas, semimembranosus, rectus femoris, vastus medialis |
| Low-dimensional | Gait Deviation Index (ipsilateral and contralateral), coordinates of |
| representations of time | Kinematics projected into 10-dimensional subspace; Gait Deviation index |
| series data | - Kinetic, muscle-tendon length deviation maex, cooldinates of muscle- |
| (continuous) | velocity deviation index, coordinates of muscle tendon velocities projected |
| | into 1-dimensional subspace |
| Uncoming surgeries | Insilateral or contralateral adductor lengthening gastrocnemius or soleus |
| (binary) | lengthening hamstring lengthening natellar tendon advance nsoas |
| (oning) | lengthening rectus femoris transfer distal femoral extension osteotomy |
| | femoral derotation osteotomy, tibial derotation osteotomy; selective dorsal |
| | rhizotomy |