

Supplemental material

Aden et al., https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20171029





Figure S1. **IL-22 increases cell death and proinflammatory signals in the context of increased ER stress or impaired autophagy (related to Fig. 1). (A)** Gene expression of *Reg3g, Cxcl1, sXbp1, Xbp1, Olfm4*, and *Lgr5* in small intestinal organoids (*Xbp1*^{fl/fl}, *Xbp1*^{AlEC}) treated with rmIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h (n = 3 each) was quantified by qPCR. **(B)** *C57BL/6J* mice were i.p. injected with rmIL-22 (2 µg) for 24 h, small intestinal epithelial crypts were isolated, and gene expression of ER stress markers *sXbp1, Atf4*, and *Grp94* was quantified by qPCR (n = 3 each). **(C)** HT-29 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for indicated time points, and the expression of the ER stress markers *sXBP1, ATF4*, and *GRP94* was assessed by qPCR (n = 3 each). **(D)** HT-29 cells were prestimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for indicated time points; medium was changed and stimulated with TM (1 µg/ml) or DMSO for another 6 h. Expression of *sXBP1, ATF4*, and *GRP94* was assessed by qPCR (n = 4 each). **(E)** Intestinal organoids were pretreated with rmIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h, succeeded by stimulation with TM (0.5 µg/ml) for 6 h. Expression of *sXbp1, Atf4* and *Grp94* was assessed by qPCR (n = 3 each). **(G)** *ATG16L1*^{+/+} and *ATG16L1*^{-/-} Caco-2 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h. Expression of *sXbp1* was assessed by qPCR (n = 3 each). **(G)** *ATG16L1*^{+/+} and *ATG16L1*^{-/-} Caco-2 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h. Expression of *sXbp1* was assessed by qPCR (n = 3 each). **(G)** *ATG16L1*^{+/+} and *ATG16L1*^{-/-} Caco-2 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h. Expression of *sXbP1* was assessed by qPCR (n = 3 each). **(G)** *ATG16L1*^{+/+} and *ATG16L1*^{-/-} Caco-2 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h. Expression of *sXbP1* was assessed by qPCR (n = 3 each). **(G)** *ATG16L1*^{+/+} and *ATG16L1*^{-/-} Caco-2 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h. Expression of *sXbP1* was assessed by qPCR (n = 3





Figure S2. **IL-22 induced ER stress impairs intestinal regeneration (related to Fig. 1). (A)** HT-29 monolayers were wounded with coinciding addition of increasing doses of TM for 24 h. Relative wound closure was analyzed after 24 h (n = 6 each). **(B)** HT-29 cellular monolayers were established, wounded, and treated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml), TM (1 µg/ml), or rhEGF (100 ng/ml). Relative wound closure was assessed after 8, 24, and 48 h (n = 4 each). **(C)** HT-29 monolayers were either stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) 24 h prior (pre) or coinciding (co) or both to wound induction. Relative wound closure was assessed 24 h after wounding (n = 4 each). **(D–F)** HT-29 monolayers were prestimulated with rhIL-22 for 24 h, medium changed, and wounded. After wounding, cells were treated with or without TM (1 µg/ml), and wound healing was assessed after 24 h (n = 4 each). **(G)** HT-29 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) in the presence of STAT3 inhibitor S3I-201 (iSTAT3, 50 µM) or rapamycin (Rapa, 10 nM) for 24 h, medium was changed, and cells were stimulated with TM for another 24 h (n = 4 each). **(J)** HT-29 cells were pretreated with IL-22 for 24 h, followed by medium change and stimulation with TM (1 µg/ml) for 6 h (n = 4 each). And expression of *sXBP1* was assessed by qPCR. **(J)** HT-29 cells were pretreated with IL-22 for 24 h, followed by medium change and stimulation with bafilo-mycin A (BafA, 5 nM) for 24 h (n = 4 each). Relative wound healing and corresponding IL-8 secretion are shown. **(K and L)** HT-29 cells were stimulated with rhIL-22 (100 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of STAT3 inhibitor S3I-201 (iSTAT3, 50 µM) and TM (1 µg/ml) for 24 h. Western blot against antibodies detecting γ H2AX and GAPDH is shown (K). Isolated mRNA was subjected to qPCR detecting *CXCL10* expression (L). Results represent three (A–J) or two (K and L) independent experiments. Significance determined using two-tailed Student's ttest and expressed as the mean ± SEM. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.0





Figure S3. Additional data on cell death assay and organoid sequencing (related to Figs. 1 and 2). (A) Representative pictures of intestinal organoids derived from $Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$ and $Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$ mice and treated with rmIL-10 (100 ng/ml) or TM (500 ng/ml) for 24 h stained with propidium iodide (PI). Bars, 200 μ m. (B) Flow cytometry assessment of dead cells from intestinal organoids ($Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$, $Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$, $Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$ organoids treated with rmIL-10 (100 ng/ml) or TM (500 ng/ml) for 24 h using PI (n = 4 each). (C) Heat map showing top 25 uniquely up- and 25 down-regulated genes in $Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$ organoids treated with rmIL-22 (10 ng/ml). (D) Heat map showing top 25 up- and 25 down-regulated genes in $atg16l1^{fl/fl}$, $Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$ organoids. (E) GO-term analysis using the input of top 250 uniquely up- and 250 down-regulated genes in $atg16l1^{fl/fl}$ compared with rmIL-22 (10 ng/ml) for 24 h. (F) GO-term analysis using the input of top 250 up- and 250 down-regulated genes in untreated $Atg16l1^{fl/fl}$ compared with mean \pm SEM. **, P < 0.001.





Figure S4. Interplay of ER stress and autophagy is linked to increased IL-22-induced STING/IFN-I activation (related to Figs. 4 and 5). (A and B) Isolated intestinal epithelial cells from IL-22-treated $Atg16l1^{AI/E}$ or $Atg16l1^{AI/E}$ mice were subjected to immunoblot analysis and probed against pTBK1, TBK1, and GAPDH (A; n = 3/3/3/3). Isolated intestinal epithelial cells from IL-22-treated $Atg16l1^{AI/E}$ mice were subjected to immunoblot analysis and probed against pTBK1, TBK1, and GAPDH (B; n = 3/3/3/3). LE: Longer exposure. (C) Negative control for determination of pTBK1 and E-cadherin specificity. Small intestinal sections from $Atg16l1^{AI/EC}/Xbp1^{AI/EC} + IL-22$ -treated mice were anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 546 (red, secondary to pTBK1) and counterstained with DAPI. Bars, 100 µm. (D) Gene expression of Cxcl1, Cxcl10, Tnf, and Sting in small intestinal organoids ($Atg16l1^{AI/EC}$, $Atg16l1^{AI/EC}/Ormdl3^{AIEC}$) treated with rmIL-22 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h (n = 4). (E) Gene expression of Cxcl10, Tnf in small intestinal organoids (C57BL/6) treated with rmIL-22, rmIL-10, and rmIL-19 (all 100 ng/ml) for 24 h (n = 4 each). (F) Western blot of C57BL/6 WT intestinal organoids stimulated IL-22, IL-10, and IL-19 (100 ng/ml) for 30 min. Protein lysates were probed against pSTAT3, STAT3, and GAPDH as loading control. Results are representative for n = 2 biological replicates (C and D). Significance determined using two-tailed Student's t test (C and D) and expressed as the mean \pm SEM. **, P < 0.01.







Tables S1–S6 are included as separate text files. Tables S7 and S8 are included as separate Word files. Table S1 shows upregulated genes in untreated Atg16l1^{fl/fl} intestinal organoids compared to Atg16l1^{ΔIEC}. Table S2 shows downregulated genes in untreated Atg16l1^{fl/fl} intestinal organoids compared to Atg16l1^{ΔIEC}. Table S3 shows genes uniquely upregulated in Atg16l1^{fl/fl} + IL-22– treated intestinal organoids. Table S4 shows genes uniquely downregulated in Atg16l1^{fl/fl} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S4 shows genes uniquely downregulated in Atg16l1^{fl/fl} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S5 shows genes uniquely upregulated in Atg16l1^{ΔIEC} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S6 shows genes uniquely downregulated in Atg16l1^{ΔIEC} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S6 shows genes uniquely downregulated in Atg16l1^{ΔIEC} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S6 shows genes uniquely downregulated in Atg16l1^{ΔIEC} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S6 shows genes uniquely downregulated in Atg16l1^{ΔIEC} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S6 shows genes uniquely downregulated in Atg16l1^{ΔIEC} + IL-22–treated intestinal organoids. Table S7 shows SYBR Green primers used for qRT-PCR. Table S8 shows TaqMan probes used for qRT-PCR.

References

- Ather, J.L., K.A. Fortner, R.C. Budd, V. Anathy, and M.E. Poynter. 2013. Serum amyloid A inhibits dendritic cell apoptosis to induce glucocorticoid resistance in CD4(+) T cells. Cell Death Dis. 4:e786. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2013.327
- Chen, X., D. Iliopoulos, Q. Zhang, Q. Tang, M.B. Greenblatt, M. Hatziapostolou, E. Lim, W.L. Tam, M. Ni, Y. Chen, et al. 2014. XBP1 promotes triple-negative breast cancer by controlling the HIFIα pathway. Nature. 508:103–107. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13119
- Déry, M.A., and A.C. LeBlanc. 2017. Luman contributes to brefeldin A-induced prion protein gene expression by interacting with the ERSE26 element. Sci. Rep. 7:42285. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42285
- Fan, S., B. Liu, L. Sun, X.B. Lv, Z. Lin, W. Chen, W. Chen, Q. Tang, Y. Wang, Y. Su, et al. 2015. Mitochondrial fission determines cisplatin sensitivity in tongue squamous cell carcinoma through the BRCA1-miR-593-5p-MFF axis. Oncotarget. 6:14885–14904. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3659
- Fribley, A., K. Zhang, and R.J. Kaufman. 2009. Regulation of apoptosis by the unfolded protein response. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 559:191–204. https://doi.org/10 .1007/978-1-60327-017-5_14
- Hasan, M., C.S. Fermaintt, N. Gao, T. Sakai, T. Miyazaki, S. Jiang, Q.Z. Li, J.P. Atkinson, H.C. Morse III, M.A. Lehrman, and N. Yan. 2015. Cytosolic Nuclease TREX1 Regulates Oligosaccharyltransferase Activity Independent of Nuclease Activity to Suppress Immune Activation. Immunity. 43:463–474. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.07.022
- Iwakoshi, N.N., A.H. Lee, P. Vallabhajosyula, K.L. Otipoby, K. Rajewsky, and L.H. Glimcher. 2003. Plasma cell differentiation and the unfolded protein response intersect at the transcription factor XBP-1. Nat. Immunol. 4:321–329. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni907
- Kaser, A., A.-H. Lee, A. Franke, J.N. Glickman, S. Zeissig, H. Tilg, E.E.S. Nieuwenhuis, D.E. Higgins, S. Schreiber, L.H. Glimcher, and R.S. Blumberg. 2008. XBP1 links ER stress to intestinal inflammation and confers genetic risk for human inflammatory bowel disease. Cell. 134:743–756. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .cell.2008.07.021
- Lian, L.H., Q. Jin, S.Z. Song, Y.L. Wu, T. Bai, S. Jiang, Q. Li, N. Yang, and J.X. Nan. 2013. Ginsenoside Rh2 Downregulates LPS-Induced NF- κ B Activation through Inhibition of TAK1 Phosphorylation in RAW 264.7 Murine Macrophage. Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med. 2013:646728. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/ 646728
- Luo, M., H. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Cai, Z. Lu, Y. Li, M. Du, G. Huang, C. Wang, X. Chen, et al. 2017. A STING-activating nanovaccine for cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Nanotechnol. 12:648–654. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2017.52
- Ma, Y., Y. Shimizu, M.J. Mann, Y. Jin, and L.M. Hendershot. 2010. Plasma cell differentiation initiates a limited ER stress response by specifically suppressing the PERK-dependent branch of the unfolded protein response. *Cell Stress Chaperones*. 15:281–293. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12192-009-0142-9
- Niedźwiecka, K., M. Dyląg, D. Augustyniak, G. Majkowska-Skrobek, M. Cal-Bąkowska, Y.H. Ko, P.L. Pedersen, A. Goffeau, and S. Ułaszewski. 2016. Glutathione may have implications in the design of 3-bromopyruvate treatment protocols for both fungal and algal infections as well as multiple myeloma. Oncotarget. 7:65614–65626. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11592
- Pokatayev, V., N. Hasin, H. Chon, S.M. Cerritelli, K. Sakhuja, J.M. Ward, H.D. Morris, N. Yan, and R.J. Crouch. 2016. RNase H2 catalytic core Aicardi-Goutières syndrome-related mutant invokes cGAS-STING innate immune-sensing pathway in mice. J. Exp. Med. 213:329–336. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151464
- Slepak, T.I., M. Tang, V.Z. Slepak, and K. Lai. 2007. Involvement of endoplasmic reticulum stress in a novel Classic Galactosemia model. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 92:78-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2007.06.005
- Wang, Q., H. Mora-Jensen, M.A. Weniger, P. Perez-Galan, C. Wolford, T. Hai, D. Ron, W. Chen, W. Trenkle, A. Wiestner, and Y. Ye. 2009. ERAD inhibitors integrate ER stress with an epigenetic mechanism to activate BH3-only protein NOXA in cancer cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 106:2200–2205. https://doi .org/10.1073/pnas.0807611106