Item No	STROBE items	RECORD items	RECORD-PE items	Page N
Fitle and a	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract. (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found.	1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included. 1.2: If applicable, the geographical region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract. 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	_	
ntroducti	on			
	d rationale			
2 Objectives	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported.		_	
3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses.	-	_	
Nethods	1 1 71			
Study desi				
4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper.	_	<ul> <li>4.a: Include details of the specific study design (and its features) and report the use of multiple designs if used.</li> <li>4.b: The use of a diagram(s) is recommended to illustrate key aspects of the study design(s), including exposure, washout, lag and observation periods, and covariate definitions as relevant.</li> </ul>	
Setting	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant			
	dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection.			
articipant				
/ariables	and the sources and methods of selection of	6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify participants) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided. 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided. 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.	order in which these criteria were applied to identify the study population. Specify whether only users with a specific indication were included	
,	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures,	7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used	7.1.a: Describe how the drug exposure definition	
	predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	was developed. 7.1.b: Specify the data sources from which drug exposure information for individuals was obtained. 7.1.c: Describe the time window(s) during which an individual is considered exposed to the drug(s). The rationale for selecting a particular time window should be provided. The extent of potential left truncation or left censoring should be specified. 7.1.d: Justify how events are attributed to current, prior, ever, or cumulative drug exposure. 7.1.e: When examining drug dose and risk attribution, describe how current, historical or time on therapy are considered. 7.1.f: Use of any comparator groups should be outlined and justified. 7.1.g: Outline the approach used to handle individuals with more than one relevant drug exposure during the study period.	
Data sourc	es/measurement  For each variable of interest, give sources of	_	8.a: Describe the healthcare system and	
	data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than		mechanisms for generating the drug exposure records. Specify the care setting in which the drug(s) of interest was prescribed.	

Suppleme	Supplementary table 2 (Continued)						
Item No Bias	STROBE items	RECORD items	RECORD-PE items	Page No			
9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias.	_	-				
Study size							
10 Quantitativ	Explain how the study size was arrived at.	<del>_</del>	<del></del>				
11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why.	_	_				
Statistical r							
12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding. (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions. (c) Explain how missing data were addressed. (d) Cohort study—if applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed. Case-control study—if applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed. Cross sectional study—if applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy. (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses.	_	12.1.a: Describe the methods used to evaluate whether the assumptions have been met. 12.1.b: Describe and justify the use of multiple designs, design features, or analytical approaches.				
Data access	s and cleaning methods						
12	_	12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population. 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning methods used in the study.	_				
Linkage							
12	_	12.3: State whether the study included person level, institutional level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	_				
Results Participants	5						
13  Descriptive	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (eg, numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed). (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram.	13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the individuals included in the study (that is, study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability, and linkage. The selection of included individuals can be described in the text or by means of the study flow diagram.	_				
14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants		_				
	(eg, demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders. (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest. (c) Cohort study—summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount).						
Outcome da	ata						
15	Cohort study—report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time.  Case-control study—report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure. Cross sectional study—report numbers of outcome events or summary measures.						
Main result	S						
16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder adjusted estimates and their precision (eg. 95% confidence intervals). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included. (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables are categorised. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period.	_					

Item No	STROBE items	RECORD items	RECORD-PE items	Page No
Other ana	lyses			-
17	Report other analyses done—eg, analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses.	f —	_	
Discussio	on			
Key results	S			
18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives.	_	-	
Limitation	S			
19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias.	19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.	19.1.a: Describe the degree to which the chosen database(s) adequately captures the drug exposure(s) of interest.	
Interpreta	tion			
20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	_	20.a: Discuss the potential for confounding by indication, contraindication or disease severity or selection bias (healthy adherer/sick stopper) as alternative explanations for the study findings when relevant.	
Generalisa	ability			
21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results.	_	-	
Other info	ormation			
Funding				
22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based.	-	_	
Accessibil	ity of protocol, raw data, and programming cod	e		
22	_	22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	_	

RECORD=reporting of studies conducted using observational routinely collected data; RECORD-PE=RECORD for pharmacoepidemiological research; STROBE=strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology. This checklist has been duplicated from table 1 in BMJ 2018;363:k3532, as a standalone document for readers to print out or fill in electronically.