Name,Data T	Type,Statistical Method	d,Effect Measure,An	alysis Mode	l,Events 1,N	lean 1,SD 1,Tc	tal 1,Events	2,Mean 2,SD 2	,Total 2,Effec	t Estimate,SE,	CI Start,CI Er	nd,Weight,Q,P	(Q),I-Sqr(Q),T	au-Sqr,Z,P(Z),	Qint,P(Qint),	I-Sqr(Qint),df	Year of study	
Treatment of	of a first-time shoulder	dislocation,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,														
Recurrent dis	islocation rate after sur	gical treatment of fi	rst-time sho	ulder dislo	cation,DIC,MH	Risk Ratio, Ra	ndom,4,,,79,	33,,,81,0.1329	2379,,0.0257	6515,0.6857	6112,100,2.15	292114,0.14	29899,53.55	148027,0.786	29142,2.410	5797,0.0159271	19,0,1,0,1,
Jakobsen et	al. 2007,,,,,1,0,0,37,21,	,0,0,39,0.05019305,0	0.9974723,0	.00710548,	0.35456311,3	9.34299309,,	,,,,,,,Februar	2007									
Robinson et	al. 2008,,,,,3,0,0,42,12	,0,0,42,0.25,0.60749	29,0.07600	482,0.8223	163,60.657006	591,,,,,,,,Apı	il 2008										
Nonabsorbal	ble versus absorbable i	implants in treatmer	nt of recurre	ent posttrau	matic instabili	ty,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,										
Recurrent in	stability rate after surg	gical treatment of red	current post	traumatic i	nstability,DIC,I	MH,Risk Ratio	,Random,5,,,	117,8,,,115,0.	52375479,,0.2	20941955,1.8	5784961,100	0.10533281,	0.94869644,0	,0,0.8476122	8,0.39665394	1,0,1,0,2,	
Milano et al.	. 2010,,,,,1,0,0,36,2,0,0	,34,0.47222222,1.20	0117045,0.0	4484441,4.	97261189,21.4	19198294,,,,,	,,,,,2010										
Tan et al. 200	06,,,,3,0,0,63,4,0,0,61	,0.72619048,0.7423	3879,0.1695	0036,3.111	2182,56.2705	892,,,,,,,,,20	06										
Warme et al.	l. 1999,,,,,1,0,0,18,2,0,0	,20,0.5555556,1.18	8086597,0.0	5490003,5	.62189115,22.	23742786,,,,,	,,,,,1999										
Open versus	arthroscopic Bankart i	repair in treatment o	of recurrent	posttrauma	atic shoulder ir	stability,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
Recurrent dis	islocation rate after sur	gical intervention of	f recurrent p	osttrauma	ic instability,D	IC,MH,Risk R	atio, Random,	7,,,135,18,,,13	4,0.4348301,	,0.1946644,0	0.97129839,10	0,0.6185310	2,0.43159389	,0,0,2.030983	395,0.042256	62,0,1,0,1,	
Fabbriciani e	et al. 2004,,,,,0,0,0,30,0	,0,0,30,0,0,0,0,0,,,,,,	,,,,2004														
Mohtadi et a	al. 2014,,,,,7,0,0,80,16,0	0,0,87,0.47578125,0	.42586722,0	0.2064945,	1.0962413,92.	70857754,,,,,	,,,,,2014										
Netto et al. 2	2012,,,,,0,0,0,25,2,0,0,1	17,0.13846154,1.518	354631,0.00	705895,2.7	1592716,7.291	L42246,,,,,,,,	,2012										
Recurrent in	stability rate after surg	gical intervention of I	recurrent po	osttraumati	c instability,DI	C,MH,Risk Ra	tio,Random,1	2,,,106,27,,,11	7,0.4906690	4,,0.2620641	7,0.91869142	,100,0.00020	086,0.988692	32,0,0,2.2249	98297,0.0260	8238,0,1,0,1,	
Mohtadi et a	al. 2014,,,,,9,0,0,80,20,0	0,0,87,0.489375,0.3	7029294,0.2	3683608,1	01119683,74.	67890996,,,,,	,,,,,2014										
Sperber et al	l. 2001,,,,,3,0,0,26,7,0,0	0,30,0.49450549,0.6	3592107,0.:	14219166,1	.71976108,25.	32109004,,,,	,,,,,2001										
Immobilisation	ion in external versus ir	nternal rotation in tr	eatment of	a first-time	shoulder dislo	cation,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
Recurrent in	stability rate after imm	nobilisation in older p	population,[DIC,MH,Risl	Ratio,Randon	n,24,,,136,48	,,,125,0.3055	93,,0.055616	64,1.6789707	9,100,5.4070	02089,0.02005	599,81.5055	2734,1.26050	104,1.363849	964,0.172614	89,0,1,0,1,	
Heidari et al.	. 2014,,,,,2,0,0,51,17,0,	0,51,0.11764706,0.7	72083829,0.	.02864194,0	0.48323651,42	.44799935,,,	,,,,,,2014										
Itoi et al. 200	07,,,,,22,0,0,85,31,0,0,7	74,0.61783681,0.228	398557,0.39	44218,0.96	78023,57.5520	00065,,,,,,,	2007										
Recurrent dis	islocation rate after im	mobilisation in youn	ger populat	ion,DIC,MH	Risk Ratio,Rar,	ndom,48,,,14	5,43,,,142,1.0	574613,,0.761	91086,1.495	54716,100,0.	8558353,0.65	186511,0,0,0	3794465,0.70	435633,0,1,0),2,		
Finestone et	al. 2009,,,,,10,0,0,27,1	.0,0,0,24,0.8888888	9,0.3482761	.8,0.449152	95,1.7591411	9,24.4036278	86,,,,,,,,2009										
Liavaag et al	. 2011,,,,,28,0,0,91,23,	0,0,93,1.24414716,0).23968906,	0.77776438	,1.99019418,5	1.52351634,	,,,,,,,2011										
Whelan et al	l. 2014,,,,,10,0,0,27,10,	0,0,25,0.92592593,0	0.35066075,	0.4656861,	1.84102301,24	4.0728558,,,,	,,,,,2014										
Recurrent in	stability rate after imm	nobilisation in young	er populatio	on,DIC,MH,I	Risk Ratio,Rand	dom,69,,,118	69,,,118,1.01	294297,,0.824	24326,1.244	34302,100,0.	07475336,0.7	8453761,0,0,	0.12226563,0	.90268865,0,	1,0,1,		
Liavaag et al	. 2011,,,,,59,0,0,91,59,	0,0,93,1.02197802,0	0.110257,0.8	32336164,1	.26850588,91.	00307526,,,,,	,,,,,2011										
Whelan et al	l. 2014,,,,,10,0,0,27,10,	0,0,25,0.92592593,0	0.35066075,	0.4656861,	1.84102301,8.	99692474,,,,	,,,,,2014										