

Supplementary Information

Automatic detection of major depressive disorder using electrodermal activity

**Ah Young Kim¹, Eun Hye Jang¹, Seung-Hwan Kim¹, Kwan Woo Choi², Hong Jin Jeon²,
Han Young Yu^{1,*}, Sangwon Byun^{3,*}**

¹Bio-Medical IT Convergence Research Division, Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute (ETRI), Daejeon, Korea

²Department of Psychiatry, Depression Center, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

³Department of Electronics Engineering, Incheon National University, Incheon, Korea

*Corresponding authors

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.Y.Y.
(email: uhan0@etri.re.kr) or S.B (email: swbyun@inu.ac.kr)

| Feature | Definition | Formula |
|---------|---------------------------|---|
| MSCL | Mean amplitude of SCL | $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n$, where $X_n = \text{SCL}$ |
| SDSCL | Standard deviation of SCL | $\left(\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (X_n - \mu_X)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\mu_X = \text{MSCL}$ |
| SKSCL | Skewness of SCL | $\frac{G_3}{G_2 \sqrt{G_2}}$, where $G_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (X_n - \mu_X)^k$ |
| NSSCR | Non-specific SCR | $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N Y_n$, where $Y_n = \text{Amplitude of SCR}$ |

Supplementary Table S1. Description of EDA features.

| Feature | Group effect (MDD vs. control) | | | Task effect (5 tasks) | | | Interaction (group × task) | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| | F | P | P ^a | F | P | P ^a | F | P | P ^a |
| MSCL | 11.60 | < 0.001 | 0.002* | 45.92 | < 0.001 | < 0.001* | 0.60 | 0.610 | 0.732 |
| SDSCL | 6.21 | 0.013 | 0.025* | 53.90 | < 0.001 | < 0.001* | 0.09 | 0.973 | 0.990 |
| SKSCL | 0.94 | 0.333 | 0.455 | 31.46 | < 0.001 | < 0.001* | 1.13 | 0.341 | 0.455 |
| NSSCR | 5.11 | 0.024 | 0.041* | 30.02 | < 0.001 | < 0.001* | 0.06 | 0.990 | 0.990 |

Supplementary Table S2. Effects of group and task on EDA. Statistical analyses were performed with the non-parametric equivalent of a repeated-measures ANOVA using the R statistics package “nparLD”. Group was used as the between-subjects factor and task as the within-subject factor. P^a represents FDR adjusted P-value. Asterisks indicate statistically significant effects after the FDR adjustment (*P^a < 0.05).

| Derived dataset | Differential feature | MDD | Control | <i>P</i> | <i>P</i> ^a |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------|
| | | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | | |
| D1 | dMSCL | 0.52 (0.51) | 0.87 (1.02) | 0.119 | 0.325 |
| | dSDSCL | 0.05 (0.09) | 0.19 (0.63) | 0.054 | 0.325 |
| | dSKSCL | -1.40 (1.69) | -0.95 (1.07) | 0.468 | 0.687 |
| | dNSSCR | 0.06(0.10) | 0.24 (0.54) | 0.073 | 0.325 |
| D2 | dMSCL | 0.43 (0.57) | 0.58 (1.35) | 0.243 | 0.432 |
| | dSDSCL | 0.01 (0.04) | 0.01 (0.33) | 0.142 | 0.325 |
| | dSKSCL | -0.13 (1.33) | 0.26 (1.26) | 0.524 | 0.687 |
| | dNSSCR | 0.01 (0.06) | 0.01 (0.26) | 0.519 | 0.687 |
| D3 | dMSCL | 0.16 (0.32) | 0.26 (0.63) | 0.122 | 0.325 |
| | dSDSCL | 0.01 (0.03) | -0.09 (0.58) | 0.796 | 0.849 |
| | dSKSCL | -0.18 (0.67) | 0.17 (0.80) | 0.059 | 0.325 |
| | dNSSCR | 0.01 (0.06) | -0.09 (0.37) | 0.142 | 0.325 |
| D4 | dMSCL | 0.13 (0.50) | 0.36(1.15) | 0.210 | 0.420 |
| | dSDSCL | 0.01 (0.04) | 0.04 (0.31) | 0.558 | 0.687 |
| | dSKSCL | -0.08 (1.21) | 0.34 (1.59) | 0.618 | 0.706 |
| | dNSSCR | -0.01 (0.06) | -0.05 (0.13) | 0.934 | 0.934 |

Supplementary Table S3. Group comparison of differential EDA features (Mann-Whitney test between the MDD and control groups, *P*^a represents FDR adjusted *P*-value).

| Classifier | Number of features | Accuracy (%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|
| SVM | 1 | 57.35 | 54.05 | 61.65 | 65.56 | 53.67 |
| | 5 | 63.62 | 63.69 | 54.65 | 65.56 | 71.30 |
| | 11 | 62.49 | 61.53 | 55.07 | 64.94 | 68.85 |
| | 15 | 61.69 | 60.90 | 53.80 | 64.04 | 68.46 |
| | 20 | 61.24 | 60.42 | 52.88 | 63.54 | 68.40 |
| | 25 | 60.95 | 60.05 | 52.58 | 63.28 | 68.13 |
| | 30 | 60.81 | 59.87 | 52.37 | 63.15 | 68.04 |
| | 35 | 60.79 | 59.86 | 52.30 | 63.12 | 68.07 |
| | 1 | 46.64 | 46.34 | 88.02 | 54.20 | 46.00 |
| k-NN | 5 | 58.37 | 56.70 | 49.82 | 60.91 | 65.70 |
| | 11 | 62.44 | 62.24 | 49.98 | 63.56 | 61.30 |
| | 15 | 62.35 | 63.73 | 47.83 | 63.19 | 61.32 |
| | 20 | 61.33 | 62.12 | 46.53 | 62.17 | 60.27 |
| | 25 | 59.71 | 59.89 | 45.03 | 60.82 | 58.66 |
| | 30 | 56.71 | 54.92 | 42.33 | 58.50 | 55.68 |
| | 35 | 53.72 | 49.63 | 40.62 | 56.37 | 52.79 |
| | 1 | 57.35 | 48.86 | 76.98 | 63.04 | 31.39 |
| | 5 | 63.62 | 49.36 | 84.20 | 63.23 | 25.49 |
| Naïve Bayes | 11 | 62.49 | 49.34 | 83.95 | 64.36 | 25.83 |
| | 15 | 61.69 | 50.36 | 84.72 | 68.12 | 28.09 |
| | 20 | 61.24 | 50.69 | 84.93 | 69.42 | 28.77 |
| | 25 | 60.95 | 50.81 | 84.92 | 69.62 | 29.06 |
| | 30 | 60.81 | 50.93 | 85.55 | 69.63 | 28.76 |
| | 35 | 60.79 | 50.93 | 85.57 | 69.55 | 28.80 |

Supplementary Table S4. Performance measures of support vector machine (SVM), k-nearest neighbors (k-NN), Naïve Bayes models estimated using 5-fold cross-validations repeated 200 times.