

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Migraine, tension-type headache and medication-overuse headache in a large population of shift working nurses

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2018-022403 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 19-Feb-2018 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Bjorvatn, Bjørn; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Pallesen, Ståle; Universitetet i Bergen, Department of Psychosocial Science, Bergen, Norway; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Moen, Bente; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care Waage, Siri; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Kristoffersen, Espen Saxhaug; University of Oslo, Department of General Practice |
| Keywords: | chronic headache, insomnia, shift work disorder, night work, quick returns |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Only

1
2
3 **Migraine, tension-type headache and medication-overuse headache in a**
4 **large population of shift working nurses – a cross-sectional study**
5
6
7

8 Bjørn Bjorvatn MD PhD^{1,2}, Ståle Pallesen PhD^{2,3}, Bente E. Moen MD PhD¹, Siri Waage
9 PhD^{1,2}, Espen S. Kristoffersen MD PhD⁴
10
11

12
13 ¹ Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Norway.

14 ² Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders, Haukeland University Hospital,
15 Norway.
16

17 ³ Department of Psychosocial Science, University of Bergen, Norway.
18

19 ⁴ Department of General Practice, University of Oslo, Norway.
20
21
22
23

24 Corresponding author:

25 Bjørn Bjorvatn

26 Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care

27 University of Bergen

28 Kalfarveien 31, N-5018 Bergen

29 Norway

30 Phone: +4755586088

31 Fax: +4755586130

32 Email: bjorn.bjorvatn@uib.no
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

- Shift work is associated with poor sleep, and poor sleep may trigger headache.
However, whether there is an association between shift work and headache is unclear.

What are the new findings?

- Tension-type headache was associated with high number of night shifts, but neither migraine, tension-type headache, nor medication-overuse headache were associated with work schedule. Several types of headaches were however associated with insomnia disorder and shift work disorder.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- As no clear association was found between different types of headache and shift work schedules, headache may not be a major concern for the majority of shift workers.

ABSTRACT

Objectives

To investigate associations between different types of headache and shift work.

Methods

1585 nurses with different work schedules (day work, two-shift rotation, night work, three-shift rotation) participated in a cohort study with annual surveys that started in 2008/2009. A comprehensive headache instrument was included in wave 6. Headaches were assessed according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders IIIb. Frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month), migraine, tension-type headache (TTH), chronic headache (headache > 14 days per month), and medication-overuse headache (MOH, chronic headache + acute headache medication ≥ 10 days last month) comprised the dependent variables. Adjusted (for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, children living at home) logistic regression analyses were conducted with work schedule, number of night shifts worked last year, number of quick returns (< 11 hours in-between shifts) last year, shift work disorder (SWD), and insomnia disorder as predictors.

Results

Frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were associated with SWD (ORs 1.60 to 2.45) and insomnia disorder (ORs 1.55 to 3.03), but not with work schedule, number of night shifts or number of quick returns. TTH was only associated with > 20 night shifts last year (OR 1.41). MOH was only associated with insomnia disorder (OR 7.62).

Conclusions

We found no association between different types of headache and work schedule. However, TTH was associated with high number of night shifts. Nurses with insomnia disorder and SWD reported higher prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache and MOH (only insomnia) compared to nurses not having insomnia disorder and SWD, respectively.

Keywords: chronic headache, insomnia, shift work disorder, night work, quick returns

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A large and homogeneous sample of nurses with different work schedules
- Validated questionnaires for diagnosing different types of headache and sleep disorders

- No clinical interviews by physicians
- Few nurses with some of the headache subtypes which limits statistical power in these analyses
- No conclusions about causality due to the cross-sectional design

For peer review only

INTRODUCTION

Shift work is associated with impaired health, and several studies show that working shifts increase the risk of sleep disturbances, cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, and cancer.¹ Sleep disturbances are considered to be the most common complaints, especially among rotating shift workers and night workers.^{2,3} Night workers are on duty during their biological resting phase and are enforced to schedule sleep to their biological active phase. This has been proposed as a causative factor for sleep and health problems.¹ Accordingly, studies suggest that the number of night shifts per year and the number of quick returns (defined as shifts with less than 11 hours in-between) per year are associated with increased risk of health complaints and sick leave.⁴⁻⁶

Recently, the focus on shift workers fulfilling the criteria for shift work disorder (SWD) has been intensified.⁷⁻⁹ SWD is characterized by complaints of sleep problems and excessive sleepiness caused by work schedules overlapping with the habitual time for sleep.^{7,10} This disorder affects 4.8-44.3% of nurses depending on type of work schedule.¹¹ SWD has been associated with impaired health, e.g. depression and hypertension.^{7,9,11,12}

Few studies have specifically investigated the association between shift work and the presence and severity of headache. This is somewhat surprising, considering the association between sleep and headache.¹³⁻¹⁵ One study from China reported that greater number of night shifts is positively associated with prevalence of headache,¹⁶ and another study from Taiwan suggested that shift work increases the risk of migraine.¹⁷ A Scandinavian study found an association between evening work, but not night work, and migraine.¹⁵ The relationship between sleep and headache seems to be bidirectional. Lack of sleep can trigger headache, but sleep may also alleviate headache.¹⁴ Disturbed sleep due to shift work is therefore likely to increase the risk of headache. The most common primary headaches in the general population are migraine and tension-type headache.¹⁸ Most studies have focused on migraine and shift work,^{14,15} although tension-type headache (TTH) is considered the most common form of headache with an estimated worldwide prevalence of approximately 40%.¹⁸ The prevalence of migraine in the general population is 10-16%.^{18,19} Chronic headache is defined as headache occurring more than 14 days per month and is present in 2-4% of the general population.¹⁸⁻²¹ Medication-overuse headache (MOH) is a condition characterized by chronic headache and overuse of acute headache medications, usually defined as intake of acute pain or migraine

1
2
3 medications 10 or more days per month.^{20 22} The prevalence of medication-overuse headache
4 in the general population is 1-2%, with more females being afflicted than males.^{22 23}
5
6

7
8 As headache is common in the general population and since sleep loss, that often occurs
9 concomitant with shift work, may trigger headache, we aimed to investigate whether different
10 types of headache (i.e. migraine, TTH, chronic headache, MOH) were related to work
11 schedules, number of night shifts, number of quick returns, SWD, and insomnia disorder,
12 after adjusting for relevant confounders. Nurses comprise a large population of shift workers
13 and are thereby suitable for such studies. We hypothesized that nurses involved in night and
14 shift work would report a higher prevalence of headaches compared to day workers.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **METHODS**

23 **Procedure and participants**

24
25 The data stemmed from the ongoing longitudinal cohort study “SURvey of Shift work, Sleep
26 and Health (SUSSH)” among Norwegian nurses. The first data collection was conducted
27 during winter 2008/2009 (wave 1) when a sample of 5400 nurses was randomly selected from
28 the Norwegian Nurses Organisation’s (NNO) membership roll and invited to participate. A
29 total of 2059 (response rate = 38.1%) nurses completed the questionnaire at the first wave. In
30 order to increase the study population, an additional sample of 906 newly educated nurses
31 (response rate = 33.1%) was recruited in 2009. The total sample in wave 1 therefore included
32 2965 nurses, see details in Bjorvatn et al.²⁴ The nurses who responded to the first wave have
33 been invited to participate in annual follow-ups by receiving questionnaires sent by postal
34 mail with pre-paid envelopes for returning the completed forms. Up to two reminders were
35 sent to nurses who did not respond. The nurses who returned the questionnaire took part in a
36 lottery, in which 25 individuals won a gift card with a value of 500 NOK (~ 60 US \$). The
37 present study reports findings based on data from the sixth (2014) wave, in which the
38 headache questionnaire was included. A total of 1991 out of 2869 eligible nurses completed
39 the questionnaire at wave 6, yielding a response rate of 69.4%. Nurses that reported that they
40 were no longer working as nurses at wave 6 were excluded from the analyses, leaving a total
41 study population of 1585 nurses.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Demographics**

1
2
3 The demographic variables were assessed in wave 6, except for age and sex that were
4 registered in wave 1. The nurses responded to questions about marital status
5 (married/cohabiting; yes/no), children living at home (yes/no), percentage of full-time
6 equivalent (<50%, 50-75%, 76-90%, >90% position), work schedule (day only, evening only,
7 two-shift rotation (day and evening), night only, three-shift rotation (day, evening and night),
8 and other schedules including night work), number of night shifts worked last year, and
9 number of quick returns (defined as less than 11 hours between consecutive work shifts)
10 worked last year. Few nurses worked “evening only” (n=6) and “other schedules including
11 night shifts” (n=67), and these shifts were therefore excluded in the analysis of the association
12 between work schedule and headache.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Headache

21
22 The comprehensive headache questionnaire was designed to determine whether the nurses
23 suffered from headache, and fulfilled the International Classification of Headache Disorders
24 (ICHD) IIIb criteria for migraine, tension-type headache (TTH) and medication-overuse
25 headache (MOH).²⁰ The nurses were first screened: “Have you suffered from headache during
26 the last year?”, and only nurses who answered “yes” were asked to respond to the other
27 headache items. The screening positive headache sufferers were asked to report frequency,
28 attack duration, intensity and accompanying headache symptoms to classify migraine and
29 TTH, accordingly. The diagnoses of migraine and TTH were mutually exclusive. Frequent
30 headache was defined as headache ≥ 1 day per month, and chronic headache was defined as
31 headache >14 days per month. MOH was defined as chronic headache and intake of acute
32 headache medication ≥ 10 days last month. The questionnaire-based headache diagnoses used
33 here have previously been validated in a large unselected general population sample.^{19 25 26}
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Shift work disorder (SWD)

44
45 SWD was measured with three previous used questions^{10 11} based on the minimal criteria
46 listed in the second edition of the International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ICSD-2).²⁷
47 The questions were: a) Do you experience either difficulties sleeping or experience excessive
48 sleepiness? (yes/no), b) Is the sleep or sleepiness problem related to a work schedule that
49 makes you work when you normally would sleep? (yes/no), c) Have you had this sleep or
50 sleepiness problem related to the work schedule for at least one month? (yes/no). Participants
51 were classified as having SWD if they endorsed all three questions.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Insomnia disorder

Insomnia symptoms were evaluated with Bergen Insomnia Scale (BIS).²⁸ The BIS consists of six items, and was developed based on the diagnostic criteria for insomnia according to 4th and text revision version of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR).²⁹ The items are scored along an eight-point scale indicating the number of days per week for which a specific insomnia symptom is experienced (0-7 days). The items refer to sleep onset (sleep latency exceeding 30 minutes), wake after sleep onset (more than 30 minutes), early morning awakening (more than 30 minutes), non-restorative sleep, daytime impairment, and dissatisfaction with sleep. The time frame is insomnia symptoms experienced during the past month. According to DSM-5 criteria,³⁰ insomnia disorder is defined as scoring 3 days per week or more on at least one of the first three items as well as 3 days per week or more on at least one of the latter two items. Missing responses to any of the insomnia questions were treated as not reporting that specific insomnia symptom. The scale has acceptable test-retest reliability, and good convergent and discriminative validity in relation to other self-report measures as well as to polysomnographic data.²⁸ Cronbach's alpha for the BIS was 0.83 in the present sample.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics of Western Norway (REK-West, no 088.08). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Statistics

The statistical analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS Statistics 23 for Windows. The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and MOH in relation to the different work schedules, categories of night shifts and quick returns last year, SWD and insomnia disorder were explored by the Pearson chi-square tests (with Yates' correction for continuity when used in a 2 x 2 table). In addition, separate adjusted (with sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, children living at home as co-variables) logistic regression analyses were performed to assess different headaches (frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache, MOH) as dependent variables with work schedule (day only as a reference, compared to two-shift rotation, night only and three-shift rotation), number of night shifts last year (0, 1-20, >20 night shifts), number of quick returns last year

(0, 1-20, >20 quick returns), SWD, and insomnia disorder as predictors. Significance level was set to .05.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. Most of the nurses were females (90.5%) and mean age in wave 1 was 32.5 years (SD=8.5). Three-shift and two-shift rotation were the most common work schedules (Table 1). Mean number of night shifts last year was 23.5 (SD=36.7) and mean number of quick returns last year was 33.4 (SD=35.4). Shift work disorder and insomnia disorder were present in 27.3% and 31.7% of the nurses, respectively. In total, 56.0% of the nurses (n=885) reported headache complaints during the last year, and 48.1% (n=763) frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month). Migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and MOH were present in 19.6% (n=302), 27.9 (n=435), 2.3% (n=37), and 1.2% (n=19) of the total sample of nurses, respectively (Table 1).

We did not find any significant differences between the prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache or MOH across work schedules (Table 2). Similarly, logistic regression analyses with adjustment for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, and children living at home, revealed no association between the different headache types and work schedule (Table 3).

The prevalence of TTH was higher in nurses working night shifts last year compared to those not working night shifts, but we found no association between frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache or MOH and number of night shifts last year (Table 2). Similarly, in the adjusted logistic regression analysis, TTH was significantly associated with >20 night shifts last year (OR=1.41) (Table 3). There were no associations between the different headache types and number of quick returns last year (Tables 2 and 3).

The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were all clearly higher among nurses with SWD compared to nurses without SWD (Table 2). Furthermore, adjusted logistic regression analyses revealed that frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache all were significantly associated with SWD, with odd ratios ranging from 1.60 to 2.45 (Table 3). TTH and MOH were not significantly associated with SWD (Tables 2 and 3).

1
2
3 The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache, and MOH were all clearly
4 higher among nurses with insomnia disorder compared to nurses without insomnia disorder
5 (Table 2). These findings were also present in the adjusted logistic regression analyses, with
6 odds ratios ranging from 1.55 to 7.62 (Table 3). The only headache type that was not
7 associated with insomnia disorder was TTH (Tables 2 and 3).
8
9

10 11 12 13 14 **DISCUSSION**

15 The present study showed that nurses with different work schedules reported similar
16 prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and MOH. These
17 findings did thus not support our hypothesis that shift working nurses would report higher
18 prevalence of headaches than day workers. However, nurses with high number of night shifts
19 during the last year reported higher prevalence of TTH than nurses with no night shifts.
20 Furthermore, nurses with SWD and insomnia disorder reported higher prevalence of most
21 types of headache compared to nurses without SWD and insomnia disorder, respectively.
22
23

24 We hypothesized that night and shift work would be associated with higher prevalence of
25 headaches. This was based on the well-known association between lack of sleep and
26 headache.^{14 31} It is well known that both rotating shift work schedules and night work
27 normally will cause circadian rhythm misalignment and sleep deprivation.^{8 32 33} In line with
28 this, a Chinese study showed that number of night shifts was positively associated with
29 prevalence of different types of headache.¹⁶ However, a recent Danish study did not find any
30 association between treatment-seeking migraine and night work or variable working hours.¹⁵
31 In the latter study, only fixed evening work was found to be associated (positively) with
32 migraine. One possible explanation for the lack of association between headaches and work
33 schedules in the present study may be the “healthy shift worker effect”. This is based on the
34 assumption that shift workers have better health than those who avoid or quit shift work.³⁴
35 Hence, nurses with headaches may be more reluctant to work shifts or quit shift work more
36 frequently than others, leading to underestimates of the possible negative effects of shift work
37 in studies like the present one.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52
53 Similarly, the number of night shifts worked the last year was not associated with frequent
54 headache, migraine, chronic headache, or MOH. However, TTH was associated with high
55 number of night shifts. This finding suggests that there may be different sleep-headache
56
57

1
2
3 mechanisms in TTH than in e.g. migraine.³⁵ In line with this, a polysomnography study found
4 that TTH patients need more sleep than healthy controls, and that inadequate sleep may
5 contribute to increased pain sensitivity in TTH.³⁶ Furthermore, a high number of night shifts
6 may not necessarily only cause sleep deprivation, but may also be experienced as stressful and
7 thereby precipitate TTH. High work stress is a risk factor for primary headaches, especially
8 tension-type headache.^{16 37} Whether circadian misalignment in itself (often occurring among
9 shift and night workers) triggers headaches is not known, and this topic should receive more
10 attention in the future.
11
12
13
14
15

16
17 Many Norwegian nurses with rotating shift schedules have quick returns (defined as shifts
18 separated by less than 11 hours) in their roster. For the nurses, most quick returns involve
19 working an evening shift followed by a day shift. Such short rest in-between shifts typically
20 cause sleep deprivation and health problems.^{4 5} However, we found no association between
21 headaches and quick returns. This suggests that short-term sleep deprivation, as seen with
22 quick returns, may not pose a major problem in relation to headache risk. This was found
23 even though a high percentage of the nurses reported frequent quick returns.
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 In the present study, frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were all associated
31 with SWD. These novel findings suggest that nurses who do not cope well with shift work are
32 at increased risk of other health complaints like headaches. However, our study cannot say
33 anything about cause-and-effect. That is, it may be that headache increases the risk of SWD.
34 Future studies should consequently focus on whether there may be common vulnerability
35 factors (e.g. genetic, health behaviour, etc.) between headaches and sleep related disorders.
36 Interestingly, TTH was not associated with SWD, suggesting that different pathophysiological
37 mechanisms may be involved across the different types of headaches.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Insomnia disorder was associated with all headache types, except TTH, which is in line with
46 the findings from several other studies.^{14 38 39} However, few studies have used formal
47 diagnostic criteria for insomnia disorder, like the present study. Thus, one major asset with the
48 present study was the use of ICSD and ICHD criteria for sleep disorders and headache
49 classification, respectively. Surprisingly, TTH was not associated with insomnia disorder in
50 our study, in contrast to two other population-based studies.^{31 40} However, none of those
51 studies used the formal diagnostic criteria for insomnia disorder. MOH was significantly
52 associated with insomnia disorder, but not with any of the work-related variables. In fact, our
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 data indicate that nurses with insomnia disorder had more than 7 times higher risk of MOH
4 than those without insomnia disorder. This strong association warrants further studies.
5
6

7 8 **Strengths and limitations**

9 The present study was based on a large and homogeneous sample of nurses that limits the
10 influence from possible confounding variables. Other strengths were the use of validated
11 headache diagnoses and a validated insomnia scale as well as criteria-based SWD diagnostics.
12 Furthermore, the study did not have a primary focus on headache, it was one of many health
13 outcomes. Thus, a possible selection bias related to headache was unlikely. In terms of
14 limitations, it should be noted that the response rate in the first wave was low, which may
15 make the interpretation of the data and conclusions less generalizable. Still, the response rate
16 in wave 6 where the headache questionnaire was included was high. Due to the high number
17 of participants in our survey, it was not feasible to apply the gold standard, i.e. a clinical
18 interview by a physician experienced in headache and sleep diagnostics. For the same reason,
19 prospective headache and sleep diaries were not feasible. However, the questionnaire-based
20 headache diagnostic procedures have been included in several previous large population-
21 based studies and have been validated against clinical interviews with a high degree of
22 agreement.^{19 25 26} The prevalence of the different headaches reported in the present study were
23 similar to those reported previously,^{16 18 19 21 23} strengthening the assumption of
24 representativeness of the study population. The number of participants with chronic headache
25 and MOH were low, limiting the statistical power in these analyses. Finally, it should be
26 noted that the cross-sectional design does not permit any conclusions about causality in the
27 relationship between headaches and work variables.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 42 **Conclusion**

43 We found no association between different types of headache and work schedule or quick
44 returns. TTH was however associated with high number of night shifts, but not any of the
45 other work variables. Nurses with SWD reported higher prevalence of frequent headache,
46 migraine, and chronic headache compared to nurses not having SWD. Similarly, nurses with
47 insomnia disorder, compared to those without, reported higher prevalence of all types of
48 headache, except TTH. Further research should be longitudinal in order to study
49 causality/directionality between headaches and work variables.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Funding

The study received a grant for practical administration and data collection from The Western Norway Regional Health Authority (grant number 911386, no personal payment/salary). The Norwegian Nurses Organization has provided grants to cover some of the the running expenses of the SUSSH study. The study was further partly funded from Nordforsk, Nordic Program on Health and Welfare (74809).

Competing interests statement

None declared.

Data sharing

No additional data available.

Contributorship statement

BB: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, drafted the paper, and approved the final version.

SP: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

BEM: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

SW: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

ESK: contributed to the design of the study, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

References

- 1 Kecklund G, Axelsson J. Health consequences of shift work and insufficient sleep. *BMJ* 2016;355:i5210.
- 2 Akerstedt T. Shift work and disturbed sleep/wakefulness. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2003;53:89-94.

- 1
2
3 Oyane NM, Pallesen S, Moen BE, Akerstedt T, Bjorvatn B. Associations between
4 night work and anxiety, depression, insomnia, sleepiness and fatigue in a sample of
5 Norwegian nurses. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e70228.
- 6
7
8 Eldevik MF, Flo E, Moen BE, Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B. Insomnia, excessive sleepiness,
9 excessive fatigue, anxiety, depression and shift work disorder in nurses having less
10 than 11 hours in-between shifts. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e70882.
- 11
12
13 Vedaa O, Pallesen S, Waage S et al. Short rest between shift intervals increases the
14 risk of sick leave: a prospective registry study. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;74:496-501.
- 15
16
17 Flo E, Pallesen S, Moen BE, Waage S, Bjorvatn B. Short rest periods between work
18 shifts predict sleep and health problems in nurses at 1-year follow-up. *Occup Environ*
19 *Med* 2014;71:555-61.
- 20
21
22 Di Milia L, Waage S, Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B. Shift work disorder in a random
23 population sample--prevalence and comorbidities. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e55306.
- 24
25
26 Wright KP, Jr., Bogan RK, Wyatt JK. Shift work and the assessment and management
27 of shift work disorder (SWD). *Sleep Med Rev* 2013;17:41-54.
- 28
29
30 Waage S, Pallesen S, Moen BE et al. Predictors of shift work disorder among nurses: a
31 longitudinal study. *Sleep Med* 2014;15:1449-55.
- 32
33
34 Waage S, Moen BE, Pallesen S et al. Shift work disorder among oil rig workers in the
35 North Sea. *Sleep* 2009;32:558-65.
- 36
37
38 Flo E, Pallesen S, Mageroy N et al. Shift work disorder in nurses--assessment,
39 prevalence and related health problems. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e33981.
- 40
41
42 Drake CL, Roehrs T, Richardson G, Walsh JK, Roth T. Shift work sleep disorder:
43 prevalence and consequences beyond that of symptomatic day workers. *Sleep*
44 2004;27:1453-62.
- 45
46
47 Houle TT, Butschek RA, Turner DP, Smitherman TA, Rains JC, Penzien DB. Stress
48 and sleep duration predict headache severity in chronic headache sufferers. *Pain*
49 2012;153:2432-40.
- 50
51
52 Kim J, Cho SJ, Kim WJ, Yang KI, Yun CH, Chu MK. Insufficient sleep is prevalent
53 among migraineurs: a population-based study. *J Headache Pain* 2017;18:50.
- 54
55
56 Jakobsen GS, Timm AM, Hansen AM, Garde AH, Nabe-Nielsen K. The association
57 between shift work and treatment-seeking migraine in Denmark. *Ergonomics*
58 2017;60:1207-1217.
- 59
60
61 Wang Y, Xie J, Yang F et al. The prevalence of primary headache disorders and their
62 associated factors among nursing staff in North China. *J Headache Pain* 2015;16:4.

- 1
2
3 17 Kuo WY, Huang CC, Weng SF et al. Higher migraine risk in healthcare professionals
4 than in general population: a nationwide population-based cohort study in Taiwan. *J*
5 *Headache Pain* 2015;16:102.
6
7 18 Jensen R, Stovner LJ. Epidemiology and comorbidity of headache. *Lancet Neurol*
8 2008;7:354-61.
9
10 19 Linde M, Stovner LJ, Zwart JA, Hagen K. Time trends in the prevalence of headache
11 disorders. The Nord-Trondelag Health Studies (HUNT 2 and HUNT 3). *Cephalalgia*
12 2011;31:585-96.
13
14 20 Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. The
15 International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version).
16 *Cephalalgia* 2013;33:629-808.
17
18 21 Grande RB, Aaseth K, Gulbrandsen P, Lundqvist C, Russell MB. Prevalence of
19 primary chronic headache in a population-based sample of 30- to 44-year-old persons.
20 The Akershus study of chronic headache. *Neuroepidemiology* 2008;30:76-83.
21
22 22 Diener HC, Holle D, Solbach K, Gaul C. Medication-overuse headache: risk factors,
23 pathophysiology and management. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2016;12:575-83.
24
25 23 Jonsson P, Hedenrud T, Linde M. Epidemiology of medication overuse headache in
26 the general Swedish population. *Cephalalgia* 2011;31:1015-22.
27
28 24 Bjorvatn B, Mageroy N, Moen BE, Pallesen S, Waage S. Parasomnias are more
29 frequent in shift workers than in day workers. *Chronobiol Int* 2015;32:1352-8.
30
31 25 Hagen K, Zwart JA, Aamodt AH et al. The validity of questionnaire-based diagnoses:
32 the third Nord-Trondelag Health Study 2006-2008. *J Headache Pain* 2010;11:67-73.
33
34 26 Hagen K, Zwart JA, Aamodt AH et al. A face-to-face interview of participants in
35 HUNT 3: the impact of the screening question on headache prevalence. *J Headache*
36 *Pain* 2008;9:289-94.
37
38 27 American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *The international classification of sleep*
39 *disorders, 2nd ed.: Diagnostic and coding manual*. Westchester, IL, 2005.
40
41 28 Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B, Nordhus IH, Sivertsen B, Hjørnevik M, Morin CM. A new
42 scale for measuring insomnia: the Bergen Insomnia Scale. *Perceptual and Motor*
43 *Skills* 2008;107:691-706.
44
45 29 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental*
46 *disorders. Text revision (DSM-IV-TR)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric
47 Association, 2000.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 30 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental*
4 *Disorders (DSM-5)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
5
6 31 Odegard SS, Engstrom M, Sand T, Stovner LJ, Zwart JA, Hagen K. Associations
7 between sleep disturbance and primary headaches: the third Nord-Trondelag Health
8 Study. *J Headache Pain* 2010;11:197-206.
9
10 32 Bjorvatn B, Pallesen S. A practical approach to circadian rhythm sleep disorders.
11 *Sleep Med Rev* 2009;13:47-60.
12
13 33 Sack RL, Auckley D, Auger RR et al. Circadian rhythm sleep disorders: part I, basic
14 principles, shift work and jet lag disorders. An American Academy of Sleep Medicine
15 review. *Sleep* 2007;30:1460-83.
16
17 34 Knutsson A. Methodological aspects of shift-work research. *Chronobiol Int*
18 2004;21:1037-47.
19
20 35 Rains JC, Davis RE, Smitherman TA. Tension-type headache and sleep. *Curr Neurol*
21 *Neurosci Rep* 2015;15:520.
22
23 36 Engstrom M, Hagen K, Bjork M, Stovner LJ, Stjern M, Sand T. Sleep quality, arousal
24 and pain thresholds in tension-type headache: a blinded controlled polysomnographic
25 study. *Cephalalgia* 2014;34:455-63.
26
27 37 Bendtsen L, Jensen R. Treating tension-type headache -- an expert opinion. *Expert*
28 *Opin Pharmacother* 2011;12:1099-109.
29
30 38 Tran DP, Spierings EL. Headache and insomnia: their relation reviewed. *Cranio*
31 2013;31:165-70.
32
33 39 Uhlig BL, Engstrom M, Odegard SS, Hagen KK, Sand T. Headache and insomnia in
34 population-based epidemiological studies. *Cephalalgia* 2014;34:745-51.
35
36 40 Rasmussen BK. Migraine and tension-type headache in a general population:
37 precipitating factors, female hormones, sleep pattern and relation to lifestyle. *Pain*
38 1993;53:65-72.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Characteristics of the Norwegian nurses in the study.

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Sex (n=1578): Female | 90.5% |
| Age in wave 1 (n=1583): mean (SD) | 32.5 (8.5) years |
| Percentage of full-time equivalent (n=1536): | |
| <50% | 3.9% |
| 50-75% | 20.3% |
| 76-90% | 16.5% |
| >90% | 59.2% |
| Married/cohabiting (n=1582): Yes | 76.5% |
| Children living at home (n=1578): Yes | 63.3% |
| Work schedule (n=1532): | |
| Day only | 19.3% |
| Evening only | 0.4 |
| Two-shift rotation | 32.4% |
| Night shift only | 6.6% |
| Three-shift rotation | 36.9% |
| Other schedules including night shifts | 4.4% |
| Number of night shifts last year (n=1534): | |
| 0 night shifts | 39.8% |
| 1-20 night shifts | 26.1% |
| >20 night shifts | 34.0% |
| Number of quick returns last year (n=1511): | |
| 0 quick returns | 22.6% |
| 1-20 quick returns | 25.2% |
| >20 quick returns | 52.2% |
| Shift work disorder (n=1567): Yes | 27.3% |
| Insomnia disorder (n=1585): Yes | 31.7% |
| Headache last year (n=1579): Yes | 56.0% |
| Frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month) (n=1585): Yes | 48.1% |
| Migraine (n=1537): Yes | 19.6% |
| Tension-type headache (n=1559): Yes | 27.9% |
| Chronic headache (n=1577): Yes | 2.3% |
| Medication-overuse headache (n=1579): Yes | 1.2% |

SD, standard deviation. n, number of nurses.

Table 2. Prevalence of different types of headache complaints among Norwegian nurses.

| | Frequent headache ¹ % (n) | Migraine % (n) | Tension-type headache % (n) | Chronic headache ² % (n) | Medication-overuse headache % (n) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Work schedule (n=1415-1459): | 0.2 ^a , p=.979 | 2.5 ^a , p=.472 | 3.5 ^a , p=.321 | 2.3 ^a , p=.510 | 1.7 ^a , p=.637 |
| Day only | 47.3 (140) | 22.6 (65) | 24.5 (71) | 1.7 (5) | 1.0 (3) |
| Two-shift rotation | 48.7 (242) | 20.3 (98) | 26.1 (129) | 3.2 (16) | 1.6 (8) |
| Night shift only | 47.5 (48) | 16.3 (16) | 29.0 (29) | 2.0 (2) | 2.0 (2) |
| Three-shift rotation | 47.6 (269) | 18.8 (103) | 29.9 (165) | 2.1 (12) | 0.9 (5) |
| Night shifts last year (n=1489-1534): | 3.4 ^a , p=.180 | 3.3 ^a , p=.188 | 6.9^a, p=.032 | 0.4 ^a , p=.813 | 1.1 ^a , p=.578 |
| 0 night shifts | 47.8 (292) | 21.9 (130) | 24.4 (147) | 2.6 (16) | 1.5 (9) |
| 1-20 night shifts | 44.6 (179) | 18.0 (70) | 30.0 (118) | 2.0 (8) | 0.8 (3) |
| >20 night shifts | 50.8 (265) | 18.1 (92) | 30.9 (159) | 2.3 (12) | 1.2 (6) |
| Quick returns last year (n=1465-1511): | 0.2 ^a , p=.902 | 1.5 ^a , p=.480 | 2.4 ^a , p=.296 | 0.2 ^a , p=.885 | 0.8 ^a , p=.666 |
| 0 quick returns | 47.4 (162) | 22.1 (73) | 24.8 (83) | 2.1 (7) | 1.2 (4) |
| 1-20 quick returns | 48.8 (186) | 18.9 (70) | 29.6 (111) | 2.4 (9) | 0.8 (3) |
| >20 quick returns | 48.7 (384) | 19.1 (146) | 28.8 (224) | 2.5 (20) | 1.4 (11) |
| Shift work disorder (n=1521-1567): | 36.9^a, p<.0005 | 11.3^a, p=.001 | 1.6 ^a , p=.208 | 5.7^a, p=.017 | 0.5 ^a , p=.491 |
| No | 43.4 (494) | 17.7 (197) | 26.9 (301) | 1.8 (20) | 1.1 (12) |
| Yes | 60.7 (260) | 25.6 (104) | 30.2 (127) | 4.0 (17) | 1.6 (7) |
| Insomnia disorder (n=1537-1585): | 25.1^a, p<.0005 | 10.1^a, p=.001 | 0.0 ^a , p=.983 | 9.7^a, p=.002 | 15.6^a, p<.0005 |
| No | 43.8 (474) | 17.4 (184) | 28.0 (297) | 1.5 (16) | 0.5 (5) |
| Yes | 57.5 (289) | 24.5 (118) | 27.8 (138) | 4.2 (21) | 2.8 (14) |

¹Headache ≥ 1 days per month. ²Headache >14 days per month.

^aPearson Chi-square, with Yates' correction for continuity when used in a 2x2 table.

Significant findings are shown in **bold**.

Table 3. Separate adjusted logistic regression analyses with different headache types as the dependent variables among Norwegian nurses.

| Independent variables | Frequent headache ¹ OR (95% CI) ^a n=1439-1519 | Migraine OR (95% CI) ^a n=1398-1476 | Tension-type headache OR (95% CI) ^a n=1416-1495 | Chronic headache ² OR (95% CI) ^a n=1432-1512 | Medication-overuse headache OR (95% CI) ^a n=1434-1514 |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Work schedule | | | | | |
| Day only | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Two-shift | 1.07 (0.80-1.44) | 0.89 (0.62-1.28) | 1.11 (0.79-1.57) | 1.91 (0.68-5.32) | 1.49 (0.39-5.80) |
| Night only | 1.07 (0.65-1.77) | 0.75 (0.39-1.44) | 1.30 (0.74-2.28) | 1.18 (0.20-6.88) | 2.39 (0.32-17.65) |
| Three-shift | 1.01 (0.76-1.35) | 0.79 (0.55-1.14) | 1.31 (0.94-1.83) | 1.22 (0.42-3.56) | 0.86 (0.20-3.70) |
| Number of night shifts | | | | | |
| 0 night shifts | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1-20 night shifts | 0.86 (0.66-1.11) | 0.78 (0.56-1.09) | 1.27 (0.95-1.70) | 0.73 (0.30-1.74) | 0.51 (0.14-1.95) |
| >20 night shifts | 1.15 (0.90-1.47) | 0.80 (0.58-1.09) | 1.41 (1.07-1.86) | 0.82 (0.38-1.80) | 0.78 (0.27-2.29) |
| Number of quick returns | | | | | |
| 0 quick returns | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1-20 quick returns | 1.09 (0.81-1.46) | 0.83 (0.57-1.21) | 1.30 (0.92-1.82) | 1.12 (0.41-3.05) | 0.66 (0.15-3.01) |
| >20 quick returns | 1.06 (0.82-1.39) | 0.81 (0.58-1.12) | 1.23 (0.91-1.67) | 1.21 (0.50-2.95) | 1.18 (0.37-3.83) |
| Shift work disorder | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 2.04 (1.62-2.59) | 1.60 (1.21-2.12) | 1.19 (0.92-1.54) | 2.45 (1.25-4.80) | 1.67 (0.64-4.40) |
| Insomnia disorder | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 1.79 (1.43-2.23) | 1.55 (1.18-2.02) | 1.01 (0.79-1.29) | 3.03 (1.54-5.95) | 7.62 (2.48-23.41) |

¹Headache ≥ 1 days per month. ²Headache >14 days per month.

^aSeparate logistic regression analyses for each independent variable with adjustment for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, and children living at home.

Significant findings are shown in **bold**.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|------------------------------|---------|--|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found |
| See page 1 and 3 | | |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported |
| See page 5 and 6 | | |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses |
| See page 6 | | |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper |
| See page 6 and 7 | | |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection |
| See page 6 and 7 | | |
| Participants | 6 | (a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case |
| See page 6 | | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable |
| See page 7 and 8 | | |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group |
| See page 6, 7 and 8 | | |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias |
| See page 12 | | |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at |
| See page 6 | | |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why |
| See page 8 | | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions |

(c) Explain how missing data were addressed

(d) *Cohort study*—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed

Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed

Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

See page 8 and 9

Results

| | | |
|--------------|-----|---|
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram |

See page 9

| | | |
|------------------|-----|--|
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest |
| | | (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) |

Page 9 and 17

| | | |
|--------------|-----|--|
| Outcome data | 15* | <i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time |
| | | <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure |
| | | <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures |

Page 9 and 10

| | | |
|--------------|----|--|
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period |

Page 18 and 19

| | | |
|----------------|----|--|
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses |
|----------------|----|--|

Page 18 and 19

Discussion

| | | |
|-------------|----|--|
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives |
|-------------|----|--|

Page 10

| | | |
|-------------|----|--|
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias |
|-------------|----|--|

Page 12

| | | |
|----------------|----|--|
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence |
|----------------|----|--|

Page 10-12

| | | |
|------------------|----|---|
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results |
|------------------|----|---|

Page 12

Other information

Funding 22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

Page 13

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Migraine, tension-type headache and medication-overuse headache in a large population of shift working nurses

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2018-022403.R1 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 29-Jun-2018 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Bjorvatn, Bjørn; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Pallesen, Ståle; Universitetet i Bergen, Department of Psychosocial Science, Bergen, Norway; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Moen, Bente; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care Waage, Siri; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Kristoffersen, Espen Saxhaug; University of Oslo, Department of General Practice |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Occupational and environmental medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Neurology, Epidemiology |
| Keywords: | chronic headache, insomnia, shift work disorder, night work, quick returns |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



1
2
3 **Migraine, tension-type headache and medication-overuse headache in a**
4 **large population of shift working nurses – a cross-sectional study**
5
6
7

8 Bjørn Bjorvatn MD PhD^{1,2}, Ståle Pallesen PhD^{2,3}, Bente E. Moen MD PhD¹, Siri Waage
9 PhD^{1,2}, Espen S. Kristoffersen MD PhD⁴
10
11

12
13 ¹ Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Norway.

14 ² Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders, Haukeland University Hospital,
15 Norway.
16

17 ³ Department of Psychosocial Science, University of Bergen, Norway.
18

19 ⁴ Department of General Practice, University of Oslo, Norway.
20
21
22
23

24 Corresponding author:

25 Bjørn Bjorvatn

26 Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care

27 University of Bergen

28 Kalfarveien 31, N-5018 Bergen

29 Norway

30 Phone: +4755586088

31 Fax: +4755586130

32 Email: bjorn.bjorvatn@uib.no
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

- Shift work is associated with poor sleep, and poor sleep may trigger headache.
However, whether there is an association between shift work and headache is unclear.

What are the new findings?

- Tension-type headache was associated with high number of night shifts, but neither migraine, tension-type headache, nor medication-overuse headache were associated with work schedule. Several types of headaches were however associated with insomnia disorder and shift work disorder.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- As no clear association was found between different types of headache and shift work schedules, headache may not be a major concern for the majority of shift workers.

ABSTRACT

Objectives

To investigate associations between different types of headache and shift work.

Design, participants and outcome measures

Nurses with different work schedules (day work, two-shift rotation, night work, three-shift rotation) participated in a cohort study with annual surveys that started in 2008/2009. In 2014 (wave 6), a comprehensive headache instrument was included in the survey, in which 1585 nurses participated. Headaches were assessed according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders IIIb. Frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month), migraine, tension-type headache, chronic headache (headache >14 days per month), and medication-overuse headache (chronic headache + acute headache medication ≥ 10 days last month) comprised the dependent variables. Adjusted (for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, children living at home) logistic regression analyses were conducted with work schedule, number of night shifts worked last year, number of quick returns (<11 hours in-between shifts) last year, shift work disorder, and insomnia disorder as predictors.

Results

Frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were associated with shift work disorder (OR 2.04, 1.62-2.59; 1.60, 1.21-2.12; 2.45, 1.25-4.80, respectively) and insomnia disorder (OR 1.79, 1.43-2.23; 1.55, 1.18-2.02; 3.03, 1.54-5.95, respectively), but not with work schedule, number of night shifts or number of quick returns. Tension-type headache was only associated with >20 night shifts last year (OR 1.41, 1.07-1.86). Medication-overuse headache was only associated with insomnia disorder (OR 7.62, 2.48-23.41).

Conclusions

We did not find any association between different types of headache and work schedule. However, tension-type headache was associated with high number of night shifts. Nurses with sleep disorders (insomnia disorder and shift work disorder) reported higher prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache and medication-overuse headache (only insomnia) compared to nurses not having insomnia disorder and shift work disorder, respectively.

Keywords: chronic headache, insomnia, shift work disorder, night work, quick returns

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A large and homogeneous sample of nurses with different work schedules
- Validated questionnaires for diagnosing different types of headache and sleep disorders
- No clinical interviews by physicians
- Few nurses with some of the headache subtypes which limits statistical power in these analyses
- No conclusions about causality due to the cross-sectional design

For peer review only

INTRODUCTION

Shift work is associated with impaired health, and several studies show that working shifts increase the risk of sleep disturbances, cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, and cancer.¹ Sleep disturbances are considered to be the most common complaints, especially among rotating shift workers and night workers.^{2,3} Night workers are on duty during their biological resting phase and are enforced to schedule sleep to their biological active phase. This has been proposed as a causative factor for sleep and health problems.¹ Accordingly, studies suggest that the number of night shifts per year and the number of quick returns (defined as shifts with less than 11 hours in-between) per year are associated with increased risk of health complaints and sick leave.⁴⁻⁷

Recently, the focus on shift workers fulfilling the criteria for shift work disorder (SWD) has been intensified.⁸⁻¹⁰ SWD is characterized by complaints of sleep problems and excessive sleepiness caused by work schedules overlapping with the habitual time for sleep.^{8,11} This disorder affects 4.8-44.3% of nurses depending on type of work schedule.¹² SWD has been associated with impaired health, e.g. depression and hypertension.^{8,10,12,13}

Few studies have specifically investigated the association between shift work and the presence and severity of headache. This is somewhat surprising, considering the association between sleep and headache.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Many of the existing studies suffer from methodological limitations, such as low number of participants (<300)¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and not using validated headache questions.¹⁷⁻²¹ One study from China reported that greater number of night shifts is positively associated with prevalence of headache,²² and another study from Taiwan suggested that shift work increases the risk of migraine.²³ A Scandinavian study found an association between evening work, but not night work, and migraine.¹⁶ The relationship between sleep and headache seems to be bidirectional. Lack of sleep can trigger headache, but sleep may also alleviate headache.¹⁵ Disturbed sleep due to shift work is therefore likely to increase the risk of headache. The most common primary headaches in the general population are migraine and tension-type headache.²⁴ Most studies have focused on migraine and shift work,^{15,16} although tension-type headache (TTH) is considered the most common form of headache with an estimated worldwide prevalence of approximately 40%.²⁴ The prevalence of migraine in the general population is 10-16%.^{24,25} Chronic headache is defined as headache occurring more than 14 days per month and is present in 2-4% of the general population.²⁴⁻²⁷ Medication-overuse headache (MOH) is a condition characterized by chronic headache and overuse of

1
2
3 acute headache medications, usually defined as intake of acute pain or migraine medications
4 10 or more days per month.^{26 28} The prevalence of medication-overuse headache in the
5 general population is 1-2%, with more females being afflicted than males.^{28 29}
6
7
8

9 As headache is common in the general population and since sleep loss, that often occurs
10 concomitant with shift work, may trigger headache, we aimed to investigate whether different
11 types of headache (i.e. migraine, TTH, chronic headache, MOH) were related to work
12 schedules, number of night shifts, number of quick returns, SWD, and insomnia disorder.
13 Nurses comprise a large population of shift workers and are thereby suitable for such studies.
14 We hypothesized that nurses involved in night and shift work would report a higher
15 prevalence of headaches compared to day workers.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **METHODS**

25 **Procedure and participants**

26 The data stemmed from the ongoing longitudinal cohort study “SURvey of Shift work, Sleep
27 and Health (SUSSH)” among Norwegian nurses. The first data collection was conducted
28 during winter 2008/2009 (wave 1) when a sample of 5400 nurses was randomly selected from
29 the Norwegian Nurses Organisation’s (NNO) membership roll and invited to participate. A
30 total of 2059 (response rate = 38.1%) nurses completed the questionnaire at the first wave. In
31 order to increase the study population, an additional sample of 906 newly educated nurses
32 (response rate = 33.1%) was recruited in 2009. The total sample in wave 1 therefore included
33 2965 nurses, see details in Bjorvatn et al.³⁰ The nurses who responded to the first wave have
34 been invited to participate in annual follow-ups by receiving questionnaires sent by postal
35 mail with pre-paid envelopes for returning the completed forms. Up to two reminders were
36 sent to nurses who did not respond. The nurses who returned the questionnaire took part in a
37 lottery, in which 25 individuals won a gift card with a value of 500 NOK (~ 60 US \$). The
38 present study reports findings based on data from the sixth (2014) wave, in which the
39 headache questionnaire was included. A total of 1991 out of 2869 eligible nurses completed
40 the questionnaire at wave 6, yielding a response rate of 69.4%. Nurses that reported that they
41 were no longer working as nurses at wave 6 were excluded from the analyses, leaving a total
42 study population of 1585 nurses.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Demographics**

1
2
3 The demographic variables were assessed in wave 6, except for age and sex that were
4 registered in wave 1. The nurses responded to questions about marital status
5 (married/cohabiting; yes/no), children living at home (yes/no), percentage of full-time
6 equivalent (<50%, 50-75%, 76-90%, >90% position), work schedule (day only, evening only,
7 two-shift rotation (day and evening), night only, three-shift rotation (day, evening and night),
8 and other schedules including night work), number of night shifts worked last year, and
9 number of quick returns (defined as less than 11 hours between consecutive work shifts)
10 worked last year. Few nurses worked “evening only” (n=6) and “other schedules including
11 night shifts” (n=67), and these shifts were therefore excluded in the analysis of the association
12 between work schedule and headache.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Headache

21
22 The comprehensive headache questionnaire was designed to determine whether the nurses
23 suffered from headache, and fulfilled the International Classification of Headache Disorders
24 (ICHD) IIIb criteria for migraine, tension-type headache (TTH) and medication-overuse
25 headache (MOH).²⁶ The nurses were first screened: “Have you suffered from headache during
26 the last year?”, and only nurses who answered “yes” were asked to respond to the other
27 headache items. The screening positive headache sufferers were asked to report frequency,
28 attack duration, intensity and accompanying headache symptoms to classify migraine and
29 TTH, accordingly. The diagnoses of migraine and TTH were mutually exclusive. Frequent
30 headache was defined as headache ≥ 1 day per month, and chronic headache was defined as
31 headache >14 days per month, independently of the underlying subtype of headache. MOH
32 was defined as chronic headache and intake of acute headache medication ≥ 10 days last
33 month. The questionnaire-based headache diagnoses used here have previously been validated
34 in a large unselected general population sample.^{25 31 32}
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Shift work disorder (SWD)

46 SWD was measured with three previous used questions^{11 12} based on the minimal criteria
47 listed in the second edition of the International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ICSD-2).³³
48 The questions were: a) Do you experience either difficulties sleeping or experience excessive
49 sleepiness? (yes/no), b) Is the sleep or sleepiness problem related to a work schedule that
50 makes you work when you normally would sleep? (yes/no), c) Have you had this sleep or
51 sleepiness problem related to the work schedule for at least one month? (yes/no). Participants
52 were classified as having SWD if they endorsed all three questions.
53
54
55
56
57

Insomnia disorder

Insomnia symptoms were evaluated with Bergen Insomnia Scale (BIS).³⁴ The BIS consists of six items, and was developed based on the diagnostic criteria for insomnia according to 4th and text revision version of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR).³⁵ The items are scored along an eight-point scale indicating the number of days per week for which a specific insomnia symptom is experienced (0-7 days). The items refer to sleep onset (sleep latency exceeding 30 minutes), wake after sleep onset (more than 30 minutes), early morning awakening (more than 30 minutes), non-restorative sleep, daytime impairment, and dissatisfaction with sleep. The time frame is insomnia symptoms experienced during the past month. According to DSM-5 criteria,³⁶ insomnia disorder is defined as scoring 3 days per week or more on at least one of the first three items as well as 3 days per week or more on at least one of the latter two items. Missing responses to any of the insomnia questions were treated as not reporting that specific insomnia symptom. The scale has acceptable test-retest reliability, and good convergent and discriminative validity in relation to other self-report measures as well as to polysomnographic data.³⁴ Cronbach's alpha for the BIS was 0.83 in the present sample.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics of Western Norway (REK-West, no 088.08). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Patient and public involvement

The questionnaire was developed by a group of researchers experienced with shift work and shift work related problems. The participating nurses were not involved in the design, recruitment or conduct of the study. The results will be disseminated to the study participants in the yearly report we send to the journal of the Norwegian nurses. Here we address last year's published data from the cohort study. In addition, the results will appear on a designated website – www.sussh.no (after publication).

Statistics

The statistical analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS Statistics 23 for Windows. The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and MOH in relation to

1
2
3 the different work schedules, categories of night shifts and quick returns last year, SWD and
4 insomnia disorder were explored by the Pearson chi-square tests (with Yates' correction for
5 continuity when used in a 2 x 2 table). In addition, separate adjusted (with sex, age,
6 percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, children living at home as co-variables)
7 logistic regression analyses were performed to assess different headaches (frequent headache,
8 migraine, TTH, chronic headache, MOH) as dependent variables with work schedule (day
9 only as a reference, compared to two-shift rotation, night only and three-shift rotation),
10 number of night shifts last year (0, 1-20, >20 night shifts), number of quick returns last year
11 (0, 1-20, >20 quick returns), SWD, and insomnia disorder as predictors. Significance level
12 was set to .05.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 RESULTS

23 Demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. Most of the nurses were females
24 (90.5%) and mean age in wave 1 was 32.5 years (SD=8.5). Three-shift and two-shift rotation
25 were the most common work schedules (Table 1). Mean number of night shifts last year was
26 23.5 (SD=36.7) and mean number of quick returns last year was 33.4 (SD=35.4). Shift work
27 disorder and insomnia disorder were present in 27.3% and 31.7% of the nurses, respectively.
28 In total, 56.0% of the nurses (n=885) reported headache complaints during the last year, and
29 48.1% (n=763) frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month). Migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and
30 MOH were present in 19.6% (n=302), 27.9% (n=435), 2.3% (n=37), and 1.2% (n=19) of the
31 total sample of nurses, respectively (Table 1).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 We did not find any significant differences between the prevalence of frequent headache,
41 migraine, TTH, chronic headache or MOH across work schedules (Table 2). Similarly,
42 logistic regression analyses with adjustment for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent,
43 marital status, and children living at home, revealed no association between the different
44 headache types and work schedule (Table 3).
45
46
47
48
49

50 The prevalence of TTH was higher in nurses working night shifts last year compared to those
51 not working night shifts, but we found no association between frequent headache, migraine,
52 chronic headache or MOH and number of night shifts last year (Table 2). Similarly, in the
53 adjusted logistic regression analysis, TTH was significantly associated with >20 night shifts
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 last year (OR=1.41, 1.07-1.86) (Table 3). There were no associations between the different
4 headache types and number of quick returns last year (Tables 2 and 3).
5
6

7
8 The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were all clearly higher
9 among nurses with SWD compared to nurses without SWD (Table 2). Furthermore, adjusted
10 logistic regression analyses revealed that frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache
11 all were significantly associated with SWD, with odd ratios 2.04, 1.62-2.59; 1.60, 1.21-2.12;
12 2.45, 1.25-4.80, respectively (Table 3). TTH and MOH were not significantly associated with
13 SWD (Tables 2 and 3).
14
15
16

17
18 The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache, and MOH were all clearly
19 higher among nurses with insomnia disorder compared to nurses without insomnia disorder
20 (Table 2). These findings were also present in the adjusted logistic regression analyses, with
21 OR 1.79, 1.43-2.23; 1.55, 1.18-2.02; 3.03, 1.54-5.95, 7.62, 2.48-23.41, respectively (Table 3).
22 The only headache type that was not associated with insomnia disorder was TTH (Tables 2
23 and 3).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **DISCUSSION**

33 The present study showed that nurses with different work schedules reported similar
34 prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and MOH. These
35 findings did thus not support our hypothesis that shift working nurses would report higher
36 prevalence of headaches than day workers. However, nurses with high number of night shifts
37 during the last year reported higher prevalence of TTH than nurses with no night shifts.
38 Furthermore, nurses with SWD and insomnia disorder reported higher prevalence of most
39 types of headache compared to nurses without SWD and insomnia disorder, respectively.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 We hypothesized that night and shift work would be associated with higher prevalence of
47 headaches. This was based on the well-known association between lack of sleep and
48 headache.^{15 37} It is well known that both rotating shift work schedules and night work
49 normally will cause circadian rhythm misalignment and sleep deprivation.^{9 38 39} In line with
50 this, a Chinese study showed that number of night shifts was positively associated with
51 prevalence of different types of headache.²² However, a recent Danish study did not find any
52 association between treatment-seeking migraine and night work or variable working hours.¹⁶
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In the latter study, only fixed evening work was found to be associated (positively) with
4 migraine. One possible explanation for the lack of association between headaches and work
5 schedules in the present study may be the “healthy shift worker effect”. This is based on the
6 assumption that shift workers have better health than those who avoid or quit shift work.⁴⁰
7 Hence, nurses with headaches may be more reluctant to work shifts or quit shift work more
8 frequently than others, leading to underestimates of the possible negative effects of shift work
9 in studies like the present one.
10
11
12
13
14

15
16 Similarly, the number of night shifts worked the last year was not associated with frequent
17 headache, migraine, chronic headache, or MOH. However, TTH was associated with high
18 number of night shifts. This finding suggests that there may be different sleep-headache
19 mechanisms in TTH than in e.g. migraine.⁴¹ In line with this, a polysomnography study found
20 that TTH patients need more sleep than healthy controls, and that inadequate sleep may
21 contribute to increased pain sensitivity in TTH.⁴² Furthermore, a high number of night shifts
22 may not necessarily only cause sleep deprivation, but may also be experienced as stressful and
23 thereby precipitate TTH. High work stress is a risk factor for primary headaches, especially
24 tension-type headache.^{22 43} Whether circadian misalignment in itself (often occurring among
25 shift and night workers) triggers headaches is not known, and this topic should receive more
26 attention in the future.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35
36 Many Norwegian nurses with rotating shift schedules have quick returns (defined as shifts
37 separated by less than 11 hours) in their roster. For the nurses, most quick returns involve
38 working an evening shift followed by a day shift. Such short rest in-between shifts typically
39 cause sleep deprivation and health problems.⁴⁵ However, we found no association between
40 headaches and quick returns. This was surprising, and may suggest that short-term sleep
41 deprivation, as seen with quick returns, may not pose a major problem in relation to headache
42 risk. This lack of association was found even though a high percentage of the nurses reported
43 frequent quick returns.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 In the present study, frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were all associated
52 with SWD. These novel findings suggest that nurses who do not cope well with shift work are
53 at increased risk of other health complaints like headaches. However, our study cannot say
54 anything about cause-and-effect. That is, it may be that headache increases the risk of SWD.
55 Future studies should consequently focus on whether there may be common vulnerability
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 factors (e.g. genetic, health behaviour, etc.) between headaches and sleep related disorders.
4 Interestingly and surprisingly, TTH was not associated with SWD, suggesting that different
5 pathophysiological mechanisms may be involved across the different types of headaches. This
6 issue warrants further studies.
7
8
9

10
11 Insomnia disorder was associated with all headache types, except TTH, which is in line with
12 the findings from several other studies.^{15 44 45} However, few studies have used formal
13 diagnostic criteria for insomnia disorder, like the present study. Thus, one major asset with the
14 present study was the use of ICSD and ICHD criteria for sleep disorders and headache
15 classification, respectively. Surprisingly, TTH was not associated with insomnia disorder in
16 our study, in contrast to two other population-based studies.^{37 46} However, none of those
17 studies used the formal diagnostic criteria for insomnia disorder. Lack of association between
18 insomnia disorder and TTH may be related to methodological limitations, but suggests the
19 need for more research, and especially studies with a longitudinal design. MOH was
20 significantly associated with insomnia disorder, but not with any of the work-related
21 variables. In fact, our data indicate that nurses with insomnia disorder had more than 7 times
22 higher risk of MOH than those without insomnia disorder. This strong association warrants
23 further studies.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Strengths and limitations**

34
35 The present study was based on a large and homogeneous sample of nurses that limits the
36 influence from possible confounding variables. Other strengths were the use of validated
37 headache diagnoses and a validated insomnia scale as well as criteria-based SWD diagnostics.
38 Furthermore, the study did not have a primary focus on headache, it was one of many health
39 outcomes. Thus, a possible selection bias related to headache was unlikely. In terms of
40 limitations, it should be noted that the response rate in the first wave was low, which may
41 make the interpretation of the data and conclusions less generalizable. Still, the response rate
42 in wave 6 where the headache questionnaire was included was high. Due to the high number
43 of participants in our survey, it was not feasible to apply the gold standard, i.e. a clinical
44 interview by a physician experienced in headache and sleep diagnostics. For the same reason,
45 prospective headache and sleep diaries were not feasible. However, the questionnaire-based
46 headache diagnostic procedures have been included in several previous large population-
47 based studies and have been validated against clinical interviews with a high degree of
48 agreement.^{25 31 32} A limitation of the headache questionnaire is that migraine and TTH are
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 mutually exclusive, i.e. the questionnaire only allows the participant to be diagnosed with the
4 most bothersome headache subtype even though some might suffer from both migraine and
5 TTH²⁵. It is possible that differences between migraine and TTH are underestimated owing to
6 the presence of people with TTH in the migraine group and vice versa, making the two groups
7 more similar than they actually are. Thus, some caution is needed when interpreting the
8 results. As migraine is hierarchically more important in the headache classification²⁶ than
9 TTH, it is possible that there is an underestimation of TTH in the sample. However, the
10 prevalence of the different headaches reported in the present study is similar to those reported
11 previously,^{22 24 25 27 29} strengthening the assumption of representativeness of the study
12 population. The number of participants with chronic headache and MOH were low, limiting
13 the statistical power in these analyses. We adjusted for several confounders known to
14 influence shift work and headache, however, many other relevant factors were not adjusted
15 for, e.g. physical inactivity, stress, and psychological disturbances. Lack of such adjustment
16 should be taken into consideration when interpreting the results. Finally, it should be noted
17 that the cross-sectional design does not permit any conclusions about causality in the
18 relationship between headaches and work variables.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Conclusion**

31 We found a significant association between headaches and SWD and insomnia disorder in our
32 cohort of nurses. In fact, nurses with SWD reported higher prevalence of frequent headache,
33 migraine, and chronic headache compared to nurses not having SWD. Similarly, nurses with
34 insomnia disorder, compared to those without, reported higher prevalence of all types of
35 headache, except TTH. Although we did not find any association between different types of
36 headache and work schedule or quick returns, TTH was associated with high number of night
37 shifts. Future longitudinal research should be conducted in order to investigate the
38 causality/directionality of association between headaches and work variables.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Funding**

49 The study received a grant for practical administration and data collection from The Western
50 Norway Regional Health Authority (grant number 911386, no personal payment/salary). The
51 Norwegian Nurses Organization has provided grants to cover some of the the running
52 expenses of the SUSSH study. The study was further partly funded from Nordforsk, Nordic
53 Program on Health and Welfare (74809).
54
55
56
57

Competing interests statement

None declared.

Data sharing

No additional data available.

Contributorship statement

BB: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, drafted the paper, and approved the final version.

SP: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

BEM: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

SW: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

ESK: contributed to the design of the study, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

References

- 1 Kecklund G, Axelsson J. Health consequences of shift work and insufficient sleep. *BMJ* 2016;355:i5210.
- 2 Akerstedt T. Shift work and disturbed sleep/wakefulness. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2003;53:89-94.
- 3 Oyane NM, Pallesen S, Moen BE, Akerstedt T, Bjorvatn B. Associations between night work and anxiety, depression, insomnia, sleepiness and fatigue in a sample of Norwegian nurses. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e70228.
- 4 Eldevik MF, Flo E, Moen BE, Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B. Insomnia, excessive sleepiness, excessive fatigue, anxiety, depression and shift work disorder in nurses having less than 11 hours in-between shifts. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e70882.
- 5 Vedaa O, Pallesen S, Waage S et al. Short rest between shift intervals increases the risk of sick leave: a prospective registry study. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;74:496-501.
- 6 Flo E, Pallesen S, Moen BE, Waage S, Bjorvatn B. Short rest periods between work shifts predict sleep and health problems in nurses at 1-year follow-up. *Occup Environ Med* 2014;71:555-61.
- 7 Ferri P, Guadi M, Marcheselli L, Balduzzi S, Magnani D, Di Lorenzo R. The impact of shift work on the psychological and physical health of nurses in a general hospital: a comparison between rotating night shifts and day shifts. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy* 2016;9:203-211.

- 1
2
3 8 Di Milia L, Waage S, Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B. Shift work disorder in a random
4 population sample--prevalence and comorbidities. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e55306.
5 9 Wright KP, Jr., Bogan RK, Wyatt JK. Shift work and the assessment and management
6 of shift work disorder (SWD). *Sleep Med Rev* 2013;17:41-54.
7 10 Waage S, Pallesen S, Moen BE et al. Predictors of shift work disorder among nurses: a
8 longitudinal study. *Sleep Med* 2014;15:1449-55.
9 11 Waage S, Moen BE, Pallesen S et al. Shift work disorder among oil rig workers in the
10 North Sea. *Sleep* 2009;32:558-65.
11 12 Flo E, Pallesen S, Mageroy N et al. Shift work disorder in nurses--assessment,
12 prevalence and related health problems. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e33981.
13 13 Drake CL, Roehrs T, Richardson G, Walsh JK, Roth T. Shift work sleep disorder:
14 prevalence and consequences beyond that of symptomatic day workers. *Sleep*
15 2004;27:1453-62.
16 14 Houle TT, Butschek RA, Turner DP, Smitherman TA, Rains JC, Penzien DB. Stress
17 and sleep duration predict headache severity in chronic headache sufferers. *Pain*
18 2012;153:2432-40.
19 15 Kim J, Cho SJ, Kim WJ, Yang KI, Yun CH, Chu MK. Insufficient sleep is prevalent
20 among migraineurs: a population-based study. *J Headache Pain* 2017;18:50.
21 16 Jakobsen GS, Timm AM, Hansen AM, Garde AH, Nabe-Nielsen K. The association
22 between shift work and treatment-seeking migraine in Denmark. *Ergonomics*
23 2017;60:1207-1217.
24 17 Alfredsson L, Akerstedt T, Mattsson M, Wilborg B. Self-reported health and well-
25 being amongst night security guards: a comparison with the working population.
26 *Ergonomics* 1991;34:525-30.
27 18 Portela LF, Rotenberg L, Waissmann W. Self-reported health and sleep complaints
28 among nursing personnel working under 12 h night and day shifts. *Chronobiol Int*
29 2004;21:859-70.
30 19 Jensen HI, Larsen JW, Thomsen TD. The impact of shift work on intensive care
31 nurses' lives outside work: A cross-sectional study. *J Clin Nurs* 2018;27:e703-e709.
32 20 Ho KH, Benjamin KC. Perceived headache associations in Singapore: results of a
33 randomized national survey. *Headache* 2001;41:164-70.
34 21 Molarius A, Tegelberg A, Ohrvik J. Socio-economic factors, lifestyle, and headache
35 disorders - a population-based study in Sweden. *Headache* 2008;48:1426-37.
36 22 Wang Y, Xie J, Yang F et al. The prevalence of primary headache disorders and their
37 associated factors among nursing staff in North China. *J Headache Pain* 2015;16:4.
38 23 Kuo WY, Huang CC, Weng SF et al. Higher migraine risk in healthcare professionals
39 than in general population: a nationwide population-based cohort study in Taiwan. *J*
40 *Headache Pain* 2015;16:102.
41 24 Jensen R, Stovner LJ. Epidemiology and comorbidity of headache. *Lancet Neurol*
42 2008;7:354-61.
43 25 Linde M, Stovner LJ, Zwart JA, Hagen K. Time trends in the prevalence of headache
44 disorders. The Nord-Trøndelag Health Studies (HUNT 2 and HUNT 3). *Cephalalgia*
45 2011;31:585-96.
46 26 Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. The
47 International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version).
48 *Cephalalgia* 2013;33:629-808.
49 27 Grande RB, Aaseth K, Gulbrandsen P, Lundqvist C, Russell MB. Prevalence of
50 primary chronic headache in a population-based sample of 30- to 44-year-old persons.
51 The Akershus study of chronic headache. *Neuroepidemiology* 2008;30:76-83.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 28 Diener HC, Holle D, Solbach K, Gaul C. Medication-overuse headache: risk factors,
4 pathophysiology and management. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2016;12:575-83.
5 29 Jonsson P, Hedenrud T, Linde M. Epidemiology of medication overuse headache in
6 the general Swedish population. *Cephalalgia* 2011;31:1015-22.
7 30 Bjorvatn B, Mageroy N, Moen BE, Pallesen S, Waage S. Parasomnias are more
8 frequent in shift workers than in day workers. *Chronobiol Int* 2015;32:1352-8.
9 31 Hagen K, Zwart JA, Aamodt AH et al. The validity of questionnaire-based diagnoses:
10 the third Nord-Trondelag Health Study 2006-2008. *J Headache Pain* 2010;11:67-73.
11 32 Hagen K, Zwart JA, Aamodt AH et al. A face-to-face interview of participants in
12 HUNT 3: the impact of the screening question on headache prevalence. *J Headache
13 Pain* 2008;9:289-94.
14 33 American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *The international classification of sleep
15 disorders, 2nd ed.: Diagnostic and coding manual*. Westchester, IL, 2005.
16 34 Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B, Nordhus IH, Sivertsen B, Hjørnevik M, Morin CM. A new
17 scale for measuring insomnia: the Bergen Insomnia Scale. *Perceptual and Motor
18 Skills* 2008;107:691-706.
19 35 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental
20 disorders. Text revision (DSM-IV-TR)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric
21 Association, 2000.
22 36 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
23 Disorders (DSM-5)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
24 37 Odegard SS, Engstrom M, Sand T, Stovner LJ, Zwart JA, Hagen K. Associations
25 between sleep disturbance and primary headaches: the third Nord-Trondelag Health
26 Study. *J Headache Pain* 2010;11:197-206.
27 38 Bjorvatn B, Pallesen S. A practical approach to circadian rhythm sleep disorders.
29 *Sleep Med Rev* 2009;13:47-60.
30 39 Sack RL, Auckley D, Auger RR et al. Circadian rhythm sleep disorders: part I, basic
31 principles, shift work and jet lag disorders. An American Academy of Sleep Medicine
32 review. *Sleep* 2007;30:1460-83.
33 40 Knutsson A. Methodological aspects of shift-work research. *Chronobiol Int*
34 2004;21:1037-47.
35 41 Rains JC, Davis RE, Smitherman TA. Tension-type headache and sleep. *Curr Neurol
36 Neurosci Rep* 2015;15:520.
37 42 Engstrom M, Hagen K, Bjork M, Stovner LJ, Stjern M, Sand T. Sleep quality, arousal
38 and pain thresholds in tension-type headache: a blinded controlled polysomnographic
39 study. *Cephalalgia* 2014;34:455-63.
40 43 Bendtsen L, Jensen R. Treating tension-type headache -- an expert opinion. *Expert
41 Opin Pharmacother* 2011;12:1099-109.
42 44 Tran DP, Spierings EL. Headache and insomnia: their relation reviewed. *Cranio*
43 2013;31:165-70.
44 45 Uhlig BL, Engstrom M, Odegard SS, Hagen KK, Sand T. Headache and insomnia in
46 population-based epidemiological studies. *Cephalalgia* 2014;34:745-51.
47 46 Rasmussen BK. Migraine and tension-type headache in a general population:
48 precipitating factors, female hormones, sleep pattern and relation to lifestyle. *Pain*
49 1993;53:65-72.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Characteristics of the Norwegian nurses in the study.

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Sex (n=1578): Female | 90.5% |
| Age in wave 1 (n=1583): mean (SD) | 32.5 (8.5) years |
| Percentage of full-time equivalent (n=1536): | |
| <50% | 3.9% |
| 50-75% | 20.3% |
| 76-90% | 16.5% |
| >90% | 59.2% |
| Married/cohabiting (n=1582): Yes | 76.5% |
| Children living at home (n=1578): Yes | 63.3% |
| Work schedule (n=1532): | |
| Day only | 19.3% |
| Evening only | 0.4 |
| Two-shift rotation | 32.4% |
| Night shift only | 6.6% |
| Three-shift rotation | 36.9% |
| Other schedules including night shifts | 4.4% |
| Number of night shifts last year (n=1534): | |
| 0 night shifts | 39.8% |
| 1-20 night shifts | 26.1% |
| >20 night shifts | 34.0% |
| Number of quick returns last year (n=1511): | |
| 0 quick returns | 22.6% |
| 1-20 quick returns | 25.2% |
| >20 quick returns | 52.2% |
| Shift work disorder (n=1567): Yes | 27.3% |
| Insomnia disorder (n=1585): Yes | 31.7% |
| Headache last year (n=1579): Yes | 56.0% |
| Frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month) (n=1585): Yes | 48.1% |
| Migraine (n=1537): Yes | 19.6% |
| Tension-type headache (n=1559): Yes | 27.9% |
| Chronic headache (n=1577): Yes | 2.3% |
| Medication-overuse headache (n=1579): Yes | 1.2% |

SD, standard deviation. n, number of nurses.

Table 2. Prevalence of different types of headache complaints among Norwegian nurses.

| | Frequent headache ¹ % (n) | Migraine % (n) | Tension-type headache % (n) | Chronic headache ² % (n) | Medication-overuse headache % (n) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Work schedule (n=1415-1459): | 0.2 ^a , p=.979 | 2.5 ^a , p=.472 | 3.5 ^a , p=.321 | 2.3 ^a , p=.510 | 1.7 ^a , p=.637 |
| Day only | 47.3 (140) | 22.6 (65) | 24.5 (71) | 1.7 (5) | 1.0 (3) |
| Two-shift rotation | 48.7 (242) | 20.3 (98) | 26.1 (129) | 3.2 (16) | 1.6 (8) |
| Night shift only | 47.5 (48) | 16.3 (16) | 29.0 (29) | 2.0 (2) | 2.0 (2) |
| Three-shift rotation | 47.6 (269) | 18.8 (103) | 29.9 (165) | 2.1 (12) | 0.9 (5) |
| Night shifts last year (n=1489-1534): | 3.4 ^a , p=.180 | 3.3 ^a , p=.188 | 6.9^a, p=.032 | 0.4 ^a , p=.813 | 1.1 ^a , p=.578 |
| 0 night shifts | 47.8 (292) | 21.9 (130) | 24.4 (147) | 2.6 (16) | 1.5 (9) |
| 1-20 night shifts | 44.6 (179) | 18.0 (70) | 30.0 (118) | 2.0 (8) | 0.8 (3) |
| >20 night shifts | 50.8 (265) | 18.1 (92) | 30.9 (159) | 2.3 (12) | 1.2 (6) |
| Quick returns last year (n=1465-1511): | 0.2 ^a , p=.902 | 1.5 ^a , p=.480 | 2.4 ^a , p=.296 | 0.2 ^a , p=.885 | 0.8 ^a , p=.666 |
| 0 quick returns | 47.4 (162) | 22.1 (73) | 24.8 (83) | 2.1 (7) | 1.2 (4) |
| 1-20 quick returns | 48.8 (186) | 18.9 (70) | 29.6 (111) | 2.4 (9) | 0.8 (3) |
| >20 quick returns | 48.7 (384) | 19.1 (146) | 28.8 (224) | 2.5 (20) | 1.4 (11) |
| Shift work disorder (n=1521-1567): | 36.9^a, p<.0005 | 11.3^a, p=.001 | 1.6 ^a , p=.208 | 5.7^a, p=.017 | 0.5 ^a , p=.491 |
| No | 43.4 (494) | 17.7 (197) | 26.9 (301) | 1.8 (20) | 1.1 (12) |
| Yes | 60.7 (260) | 25.6 (104) | 30.2 (127) | 4.0 (17) | 1.6 (7) |
| Insomnia disorder (n=1537-1585): | 25.1^a, p<.0005 | 10.1^a, p=.001 | 0.0 ^a , p=.983 | 9.7^a, p=.002 | 15.6^a, p<.0005 |
| No | 43.8 (474) | 17.4 (184) | 28.0 (297) | 1.5 (16) | 0.5 (5) |
| Yes | 57.5 (289) | 24.5 (118) | 27.8 (138) | 4.2 (21) | 2.8 (14) |

¹Headache ≥ 1 days per month. ²Headache >14 days per month.

^aPearson Chi-square, with Yates' correction for continuity when used in a 2x2 table.

Significant findings are shown in **bold**.

Table 3. Separate adjusted logistic regression analyses with different headache types as the dependent variables among Norwegian nurses.

| Independent variables | Frequent headache ¹ OR (95% CI) ^a n=1439-1519 | Migraine OR (95% CI) ^a n=1398-1476 | Tension-type headache OR (95% CI) ^a n=1416-1495 | Chronic headache ² OR (95% CI) ^a n=1432-1512 | Medication-overuse headache OR (95% CI) ^a n=1434-1514 |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Work schedule | | | | | |
| Day only | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Two-shift | 1.07 (0.80-1.44) | 0.89 (0.62-1.28) | 1.11 (0.79-1.57) | 1.91 (0.68-5.32) | 1.49 (0.39-5.80) |
| Night only | 1.07 (0.65-1.77) | 0.75 (0.39-1.44) | 1.30 (0.74-2.28) | 1.18 (0.20-6.88) | 2.39 (0.32-17.65) |
| Three-shift | 1.01 (0.76-1.35) | 0.79 (0.55-1.14) | 1.31 (0.94-1.83) | 1.22 (0.42-3.56) | 0.86 (0.20-3.70) |
| Number of night shifts | | | | | |
| 0 night shifts | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1-20 night shifts | 0.86 (0.66-1.11) | 0.78 (0.56-1.09) | 1.27 (0.95-1.70) | 0.73 (0.30-1.74) | 0.51 (0.14-1.95) |
| >20 night shifts | 1.15 (0.90-1.47) | 0.80 (0.58-1.09) | 1.41 (1.07-1.86) | 0.82 (0.38-1.80) | 0.78 (0.27-2.29) |
| Number of quick returns | | | | | |
| 0 quick returns | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1-20 quick returns | 1.09 (0.81-1.46) | 0.83 (0.57-1.21) | 1.30 (0.92-1.82) | 1.12 (0.41-3.05) | 0.66 (0.15-3.01) |
| >20 quick returns | 1.06 (0.82-1.39) | 0.81 (0.58-1.12) | 1.23 (0.91-1.67) | 1.21 (0.50-2.95) | 1.18 (0.37-3.83) |
| Shift work disorder | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 2.04 (1.62-2.59) | 1.60 (1.21-2.12) | 1.19 (0.92-1.54) | 2.45 (1.25-4.80) | 1.67 (0.64-4.40) |
| Insomnia disorder | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 1.79 (1.43-2.23) | 1.55 (1.18-2.02) | 1.01 (0.79-1.29) | 3.03 (1.54-5.95) | 7.62 (2.48-23.41) |

¹Headache ≥ 1 days per month. ²Headache > 14 days per month.

^aSeparate logistic regression analyses for each independent variable with adjustment for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, and children living at home.

Significant findings are shown in **bold**.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|------------------------------|---------|--|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found |
| See page 1 and 3 | | |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported |
| See page 5 and 6 | | |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses |
| See page 6 | | |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper |
| See page 6 and 7 | | |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection |
| See page 6 and 7 | | |
| Participants | 6 | (a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants |
| See page 6 | | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable |
| See page 7 and 8 | | |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group |
| See page 6, 7 and 8 | | |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias |
| See page 12 | | |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at |
| See page 6 | | |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why |
| See page 8 | | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions |

(c) Explain how missing data were addressed

(d) *Cohort study*—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed

Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed

Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

See page 8 and 9

Results

| | | |
|--------------|-----|---|
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram |

See page 9

| | | |
|------------------|-----|--|
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest |
| | | (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) |

Page 9 and 17

| | | |
|--------------|-----|--|
| Outcome data | 15* | <i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time |
| | | <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure |
| | | <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures |

Page 9 and 10

| | | |
|--------------|----|--|
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period |

Page 18 and 19

| | | |
|----------------|----|--|
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses |
|----------------|----|--|

Page 18 and 19

Discussion

| | | |
|-------------|----|--|
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives |
|-------------|----|--|

Page 10

| | | |
|-------------|----|--|
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias |
|-------------|----|--|

Page 12

| | | |
|----------------|----|--|
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence |
|----------------|----|--|

Page 10-12

| | | |
|------------------|----|---|
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results |
|------------------|----|---|

Page 12

Other information

Funding 22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

Page 13

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Migraine, tension-type headache and medication-overuse headache in a large population of shift working nurses - a cross-sectional study in Norway

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2018-022403.R2 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 22-Aug-2018 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Bjorvatn, Bjørn; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Pallesen, Ståle; Universitetet i Bergen, Department of Psychosocial Science, Bergen, Norway; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Moen, Bente; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care Waage, Siri; Universitetet i Bergen Det medisinsk-odontologiske fakultet, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care; Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders Kristoffersen, Espen Saxhaug; University of Oslo, Department of General Practice |
| Primary Subject Heading: | Occupational and environmental medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Neurology, Epidemiology |
| Keywords: | chronic headache, insomnia, shift work disorder, night work, quick returns |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Migraine, tension-type headache and medication-overuse headache in a**
4 **large population of shift working nurses – a cross-sectional study in Norway**
5
6
7

8 Bjørn Bjorvatn MD PhD^{1,2}, Ståle Pallesen PhD^{2,3}, Bente E. Moen MD PhD¹, Siri Waage
9 PhD^{1,2}, Espen S. Kristoffersen MD PhD⁴
10
11

12
13 ¹ Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Norway.

14 ² Norwegian Competence Center for Sleep Disorders, Haukeland University Hospital,
15 Norway.
16

17 ³ Department of Psychosocial Science, University of Bergen, Norway.
18

19 ⁴ Department of General Practice, University of Oslo, Norway.
20
21
22
23

24 Corresponding author:

25 Bjørn Bjorvatn

26 Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care

27 University of Bergen

28 Kalfarveien 31, N-5018 Bergen

29 Norway

30 Phone: +4755586088

31 Fax: +4755586130

32 Email: bjorn.bjorvatn@uib.no
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Objectives

To investigate associations between different types of headache and shift work.

Design, participants and outcome measures

Nurses with different work schedules (day work, two-shift rotation, night work, three-shift rotation) participated in a cohort study with annual surveys that started in 2008/2009. In 2014 (wave 6), a comprehensive headache instrument was included in the survey, in which 1585 nurses participated. Headaches were assessed according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders IIIb. Frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month), migraine, tension-type headache, chronic headache (headache > 14 days per month), and medication-overuse headache (chronic headache + acute headache medication ≥ 10 days last month) comprised the dependent variables. Adjusted (for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, children living at home) logistic regression analyses were conducted with work schedule, number of night shifts worked last year, number of quick returns (< 11 hours in-between shifts) last year, shift work disorder, and insomnia disorder as predictors.

Results

Frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were associated with shift work disorder (OR 2.04, 95% CI 1.62-2.59; 1.60, 1.21-2.12; 2.45, 1.25-4.80, respectively) and insomnia disorder (OR 1.79, 95% CI 1.43-2.23; 1.55, 1.18-2.02; 3.03, 1.54-5.95, respectively), but not with work schedule, number of night shifts or number of quick returns. Tension-type headache was only associated with > 20 night shifts last year (OR 1.41, 95% CI 1.07-1.86). Medication-overuse headache was only associated with insomnia disorder (OR 7.62, 95% CI 2.48-23.41).

Conclusions

We did not find any association between different types of headache and work schedule. However, tension-type headache was associated with high number of night shifts. Nurses with sleep disorders (insomnia disorder and shift work disorder) reported higher prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache and medication-overuse headache (only insomnia) compared to nurses not having insomnia disorder and shift work disorder, respectively.

Keywords: chronic headache, insomnia, shift work disorder, night work, quick returns

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A large and homogeneous sample of nurses with different work schedules
- Validated questionnaires for diagnosing different types of headache and sleep disorders
- No clinical interviews by physicians
- Few nurses with some of the headache subtypes which limits statistical power in these analyses
- No conclusions about causality due to the cross-sectional design

INTRODUCTION

Shift work is associated with impaired health, and several studies show that working shifts increase the risk of sleep disturbances, cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, and cancer.¹ Sleep disturbances are considered to be the most common complaints, especially among rotating shift workers and night workers.^{2,3} Night workers are on duty during their biological resting phase and are enforced to schedule sleep to their biological active phase. This has been proposed as a causative factor for sleep and health problems.¹ Accordingly, studies suggest that the number of night shifts per year and the number of quick returns (defined as shifts with less than 11 hours in-between) per year are associated with increased risk of health complaints and sick leave.⁴⁻⁷

Recently, the focus on shift workers fulfilling the criteria for shift work disorder (SWD) has been intensified.⁸⁻¹⁰ SWD is characterized by complaints of sleep problems and excessive sleepiness caused by work schedules overlapping with the habitual time for sleep.^{8,11} This disorder affects 4.8-44.3% of nurses depending on type of work schedule.¹² SWD has been associated with impaired health, e.g. depression and hypertension.^{8,10,12,13}

Few studies have specifically investigated the association between shift work and the presence and severity of headache. This is somewhat surprising, considering the association between sleep and headache.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Many of the existing studies suffer from methodological limitations, such as low number of participants (<300)¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and not using validated headache questions.¹⁷⁻²¹ One study from China reported that greater number of night shifts is positively associated with prevalence of headache,²² and another study from Taiwan suggested that shift work increases the risk of migraine.²³ A Scandinavian study found an association between evening work, but not night work, and migraine.¹⁶ The relationship between sleep and headache seems to be bidirectional. Lack of sleep can trigger headache, but sleep may also alleviate headache.¹⁵ Disturbed sleep due to shift work is therefore likely to increase the risk of headache. The most common primary headaches in the general population are migraine and tension-type headache.²⁴ Most studies have focused on migraine and shift work,^{15,16} although tension-type headache (TTH) is considered the most common form of headache with an estimated worldwide prevalence of approximately 40%.²⁴ The prevalence of migraine in the general population is 10-16%.^{24,25} Chronic headache is defined as headache occurring more than 14 days per month and is present in 2-4% of the general population.²⁴⁻²⁷ Medication-overuse headache (MOH) is a condition characterized by chronic headache and overuse of

1
2
3 acute headache medications, usually defined as intake of acute pain or migraine medications
4 10 or more days per month.^{26 28} The prevalence of medication-overuse headache in the
5 general population is 1-2%, with more females being afflicted than males.^{28 29}
6
7

8
9 As headache is common in the general population and since sleep loss, that often occurs
10 concomitant with shift work, may trigger headache, we aimed to investigate whether different
11 types of headache (i.e. migraine, TTH, chronic headache, MOH) were related to work
12 schedules, number of night shifts, number of quick returns, SWD, and insomnia disorder.
13
14 Nurses comprise a large population of shift workers and are thereby suitable for such studies.
15 We hypothesized that nurses involved in night and shift work would report a higher
16 prevalence of headaches compared to day workers.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **METHODS**

25 **Procedure and participants**

26 The data stemmed from the ongoing longitudinal cohort study “SURvey of Shift work, Sleep
27 and Health (SUSSH)” among Norwegian nurses. The first data collection was conducted
28 during winter 2008/2009 (wave 1) when a sample of 5400 nurses was randomly selected from
29 the Norwegian Nurses Organisation’s (NNO) membership roll and invited to participate. A
30 total of 2059 (response rate = 38.1%) nurses completed the questionnaire at the first wave. In
31 order to increase the study population, an additional sample of 906 newly educated nurses
32 (response rate = 33.1%) was recruited in 2009. The total sample in wave 1 therefore included
33 2965 nurses, see details in Bjorvatn et al.³⁰ The nurses who responded to the first wave have
34 been invited to participate in annual follow-ups by receiving questionnaires sent by postal
35 mail with pre-paid envelopes for returning the completed forms. Up to two reminders were
36 sent to nurses who did not respond. The nurses who returned the questionnaire took part in a
37 lottery, in which 25 individuals won a gift card with a value of 500 NOK (~ 60 US \$). The
38 present study reports findings based on data from the sixth (2014) wave, in which the
39 headache questionnaire was included. A total of 1991 out of 2869 eligible nurses completed
40 the questionnaire at wave 6, yielding a response rate of 69.4%. Nurses that reported that they
41 were no longer working as nurses at wave 6 were excluded from the analyses, leaving a total
42 study population of 1585 nurses.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Demographics and work-related factors**

1
2
3 The demographic variables were assessed in wave 6, except for age and sex that were
4 registered in wave 1. The nurses responded to questions about marital status
5 (married/cohabiting; yes/no), children living at home (yes/no), percentage of full-time
6 equivalent (<50%, 50-75%, 76-90%, >90% position), work schedule (day only, evening only,
7 two-shift rotation (day and evening), night only, three-shift rotation (day, evening and night),
8 and other schedules including night work), number of night shifts worked last year, and
9 number of quick returns (defined as less than 11 hours between consecutive work shifts)
10 worked last year. Few nurses worked “evening only” (n=6) and “other schedules including
11 night shifts” (n=67), and these shifts were therefore excluded in the analysis of the association
12 between work schedule and headache.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Headache

21
22 The comprehensive headache questionnaire was designed to determine whether the nurses
23 suffered from headache, and fulfilled the International Classification of Headache Disorders
24 (ICHD) IIIb criteria for migraine, tension-type headache (TTH) and medication-overuse
25 headache (MOH).²⁶ The nurses were first screened: “Have you suffered from headache during
26 the last year?”, and only nurses who answered “yes” were asked to respond to the other
27 headache items. The screening positive headache sufferers were asked to report frequency,
28 attack duration, intensity and accompanying headache symptoms to classify migraine and
29 TTH, accordingly. The diagnoses of migraine and TTH were mutually exclusive. Frequent
30 headache was defined as headache ≥ 1 day per month, and chronic headache was defined as
31 headache >14 days per month, independently of the underlying subtype of headache. MOH
32 was defined as chronic headache and intake of acute headache medication ≥ 10 days last
33 month. The questionnaire-based headache diagnoses used here have previously been validated
34 in a large unselected general population sample.^{25 31 32}
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Shift work disorder (SWD)

46 SWD was measured with three previous used questions^{11 12} based on the minimal criteria
47 listed in the second edition of the International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ICSD-2).³³
48 The questions were: a) Do you experience either difficulties sleeping or experience excessive
49 sleepiness? (yes/no), b) Is the sleep or sleepiness problem related to a work schedule that
50 makes you work when you normally would sleep? (yes/no), c) Have you had this sleep or
51 sleepiness problem related to the work schedule for at least one month? (yes/no). Participants
52 were classified as having SWD if they endorsed all three questions.
53
54
55
56
57

Insomnia disorder

Insomnia symptoms were evaluated with Bergen Insomnia Scale (BIS).³⁴ The BIS consists of six items, and was developed based on the diagnostic criteria for insomnia according to 4th and text revision version of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR).³⁵ The items are scored along an eight-point scale indicating the number of days per week for which a specific insomnia symptom is experienced (0-7 days). The items refer to sleep onset (sleep latency exceeding 30 minutes), wake after sleep onset (more than 30 minutes), early morning awakening (more than 30 minutes), non-restorative sleep, daytime impairment, and dissatisfaction with sleep. The time frame is insomnia symptoms experienced during the past month. According to DSM-5 criteria,³⁶ insomnia disorder is defined as scoring 3 days per week or more on at least one of the first three items as well as 3 days per week or more on at least one of the latter two items. Missing responses to any of the insomnia questions were treated as not reporting that specific insomnia symptom. The scale has acceptable test-retest reliability, and good convergent and discriminative validity in relation to other self-report measures as well as to polysomnographic data.³⁴ Cronbach's alpha for the BIS was 0.83 in the present sample.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics of Western Norway (REK-West, no 088.08). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Patient and public involvement

The questionnaire was developed by a group of researchers experienced with shift work and shift work related problems. The participating nurses were not involved in the design, recruitment or conduct of the study. The results will be disseminated to the study participants in the yearly report we send to the journal of the Norwegian nurses. Here we address last year's published data from the cohort study. In addition, the results will appear on a designated website – www.sussh.no (after publication).

Statistics

The statistical analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS Statistics 23 for Windows. The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and MOH in relation to

1
2
3 the different work schedules, categories of night shifts and quick returns last year, SWD and
4 insomnia disorder were explored by the Pearson chi-square tests (with Yates' correction for
5 continuity when used in a 2 x 2 table). In addition, separate adjusted (with sex, age,
6 percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, children living at home as co-variables)
7 logistic regression analyses were performed to assess different headaches (frequent headache,
8 migraine, TTH, chronic headache, MOH) as dependent variables with work schedule (day
9 only as a reference, compared to two-shift rotation, night only and three-shift rotation),
10 number of night shifts last year (0, 1-20, >20 night shifts), number of quick returns last year
11 (0, 1-20, >20 quick returns), SWD, and insomnia disorder as predictors. Significance level
12 was set to .05.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 RESULTS

23 Demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. Most of the nurses were females
24 (90.5%) and mean age in wave 1 was 32.5 years (SD=8.5). Three-shift and two-shift rotation
25 were the most common work schedules (Table 1). Mean number of night shifts last year was
26 23.5 (SD=36.7) and mean number of quick returns last year was 33.4 (SD=35.4). Shift work
27 disorder and insomnia disorder were present in 27.3% and 31.7% of the nurses, respectively.
28 In total, 56.0% of the nurses (n=885) reported headache complaints during the last year, and
29 48.1% (n=763) frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month). Migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and
30 MOH were present in 19.6% (n=302), 27.9% (n=435), 2.3% (n=37), and 1.2% (n=19) of the
31 total sample of nurses, respectively (Table 1).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 We did not find any significant differences between the prevalence of frequent headache,
41 migraine, TTH, chronic headache or MOH across work schedules (Table 2). Similarly,
42 logistic regression analyses with adjustment for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent,
43 marital status, and children living at home, revealed no association between the different
44 headache types and work schedule (Table 3).
45
46
47
48
49

50 The prevalence of TTH was higher in nurses working night shifts last year compared to those
51 not working night shifts, but we found no association between frequent headache, migraine,
52 chronic headache or MOH and number of night shifts last year (Table 2). Similarly, in the
53 adjusted logistic regression analysis, TTH was significantly associated with >20 night shifts
54
55
56
57

1
2
3 last year (OR 1.41, 95% CI 1.07-1.86) (Table 3). There were no associations between the
4 different headache types and number of quick returns last year (Tables 2 and 3).
5
6

7
8 The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were all clearly higher
9 among nurses with SWD compared to nurses without SWD (Table 2). Furthermore, adjusted
10 logistic regression analyses revealed that frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache
11 all were significantly associated with SWD, with odd ratios 2.04, 95% CI 1.62-2.59; 1.60,
12 1.21-2.12; 2.45, 1.25-4.80, respectively (Table 3). TTH and MOH were not significantly
13 associated with SWD (Tables 2 and 3).
14
15
16
17
18

19 The prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache, and MOH were all clearly
20 higher among nurses with insomnia disorder compared to nurses without insomnia disorder
21 (Table 2). These findings were also present in the adjusted logistic regression analyses, with
22 OR 1.79, 95% CI 1.43-2.23; 1.55, 1.18-2.02; 3.03, 1.54-5.95, 7.62, 2.48-23.41, respectively
23 (Table 3). The only headache type that was not associated with insomnia disorder was TTH
24 (Tables 2 and 3).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **DISCUSSION**

33 The present study showed that nurses with different work schedules reported similar
34 prevalence of frequent headache, migraine, TTH, chronic headache, and MOH. These
35 findings did thus not support our hypothesis that shift working nurses would report higher
36 prevalence of headaches than day workers. However, nurses with high number of night shifts
37 during the last year reported higher prevalence of TTH than nurses with no night shifts.
38 Furthermore, nurses with SWD and insomnia disorder reported higher prevalence of most
39 types of headache compared to nurses without SWD and insomnia disorder, respectively.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 We hypothesized that night and shift work would be associated with higher prevalence of
47 headaches. This was based on the well-known association between lack of sleep and
48 headache.^{15 37} It is well known that both rotating shift work schedules and night work
49 normally will cause circadian rhythm misalignment and sleep deprivation.^{9 38 39} In line with
50 this, a Chinese study showed that number of night shifts was positively associated with
51 prevalence of different types of headache.²² However, a recent Danish study did not find any
52 association between treatment-seeking migraine and night work or variable working hours.¹⁶
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In the latter study, only fixed evening work was found to be associated (positively) with
4 migraine. One possible explanation for the lack of association between headaches and work
5 schedules in the present study may be the “healthy shift worker effect”. This is based on the
6 assumption that shift workers have better health than those who avoid or quit shift work.⁴⁰
7 Hence, nurses with headaches may be more reluctant to work shifts or quit shift work more
8 frequently than others, leading to underestimates of the possible negative effects of shift work
9 in studies like the present one.
10
11
12
13
14

15
16 Similarly, the number of night shifts worked the last year was not associated with frequent
17 headache, migraine, chronic headache, or MOH. However, TTH was associated with high
18 number of night shifts. This finding suggests that there may be different sleep-headache
19 mechanisms in TTH than in e.g. migraine.⁴¹ In line with this, a polysomnography study found
20 that TTH patients need more sleep than healthy controls, and that inadequate sleep may
21 contribute to increased pain sensitivity in TTH.⁴² Furthermore, a high number of night shifts
22 may not necessarily only cause sleep deprivation, but may also be experienced as stressful and
23 thereby precipitate TTH. High work stress is a risk factor for primary headaches, especially
24 tension-type headache.^{22 43} Whether circadian misalignment in itself (often occurring among
25 shift and night workers) triggers headaches is not known, and this topic should receive more
26 attention in the future.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35
36 Many Norwegian nurses with rotating shift schedules have quick returns (defined as shifts
37 separated by less than 11 hours) in their roster. For the nurses, most quick returns involve
38 working an evening shift followed by a day shift. Such short rest in-between shifts typically
39 cause sleep deprivation and health problems.^{4 5} However, we found no association between
40 headaches and quick returns. This was surprising, and may suggest that short-term sleep
41 deprivation, as seen with quick returns, may not pose a major problem in relation to headache
42 risk. This lack of association was found even though a high percentage of the nurses reported
43 frequent quick returns.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 In the present study, frequent headache, migraine, and chronic headache were all associated
52 with SWD. These novel findings suggest that nurses who do not cope well with shift work are
53 at increased risk of other health complaints like headaches. However, our study cannot say
54 anything about cause-and-effect. That is, it may be that headache increases the risk of SWD.
55 Future studies should consequently focus on whether there may be common vulnerability
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 factors (e.g. genetic, health behaviour, etc.) between headaches and sleep related disorders.
4 Interestingly and surprisingly, TTH was not associated with SWD, suggesting that different
5 pathophysiological mechanisms may be involved across the different types of headaches. This
6 issue warrants further studies.
7
8
9

10
11 Insomnia disorder was associated with frequent headache, migraine, chronic headache, and
12 medication-overuse headache, which is in line with the findings from several other studies.¹⁵
13
14^{44 45} However, few studies have used formal diagnostic criteria for insomnia disorder, like the
15 present study. Thus, one major asset with the present study was the use of ICSD and ICHD
16 criteria for sleep disorders and headache classification, respectively. Surprisingly, TTH was
17 not associated with insomnia disorder in our study, in contrast to two other population-based
18 studies.^{37 46} However, none of those studies used the formal diagnostic criteria for insomnia
19 disorder. Lack of association between insomnia disorder and TTH may be related to
20 methodological limitations, but suggests the need for more research, and especially studies
21 with a longitudinal design. MOH was significantly associated with insomnia disorder, but not
22 with any of the work-related variables. In fact, our data indicate that nurses with insomnia
23 disorder had more than 7 times higher risk of MOH than those without insomnia disorder.
24 This strong association warrants further studies.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Strengths and limitations**

34
35 The present study was based on a large and homogeneous sample of nurses that limits the
36 influence from possible confounding variables. Other strengths were the use of validated
37 headache diagnoses and a validated insomnia scale as well as criteria-based SWD diagnostics.
38 Furthermore, the study did not have a primary focus on headache, it was one of many health
39 outcomes. Thus, a possible selection bias related to headache was unlikely. In terms of
40 limitations, it should be noted that the response rate in the first wave was low, which may
41 make the interpretation of the data and conclusions less generalizable. Still, the response rate
42 in wave 6 where the headache questionnaire was included was high. Due to the high number
43 of participants in our survey, it was not feasible to apply the gold standard, i.e. a clinical
44 interview by a physician experienced in headache and sleep diagnostics. For the same reason,
45 prospective headache and sleep diaries were not feasible. However, the questionnaire-based
46 headache diagnostic procedures have been included in several previous large population-
47 based studies and have been validated against clinical interviews with a high degree of
48 agreement.^{25 31 32} A limitation of the headache questionnaire is that migraine and TTH are
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 mutually exclusive, i.e. the questionnaire only allows the participant to be diagnosed with the
4 most bothersome headache subtype even though some might suffer from both migraine and
5 TTH.²⁵ It is possible that differences between migraine and TTH are underestimated owing to
6 the presence of people with TTH in the migraine group and vice versa, making the two groups
7 more similar than they actually are. Thus, some caution is needed when interpreting the
8 results. As migraine is hierarchically more important in the headache classification²⁶ than
9 TTH, it is possible that there is an underestimation of TTH in the sample. However, the
10 prevalence of the different headaches reported in the present study is similar to those reported
11 previously,^{22 24 25 27 29} strengthening the assumption of representativeness of the study
12 population. The number of participants with chronic headache and MOH were low, limiting
13 the statistical power in these analyses. In the logistic regressions we adjusted for several
14 relevant confounders, like sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status and
15 children living at home, because such factors are known to influence shift work tolerance and
16 headache.^{12 21} However, other possible and relevant factors were not adjusted for, e.g.
17 physical inactivity, stress, and psychological disturbances,^{14 21} since data on these variables
18 were not available. Lack of such adjustment should be taken into consideration when
19 interpreting the results. Finally, it should be noted that the cross-sectional design does not
20 permit any conclusions about causality in the relationship between headaches and work
21 variables.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 35 **Conclusion**

36 We found a significant association between headaches and SWD and insomnia disorder in our
37 cohort of nurses. In fact, nurses with SWD reported higher prevalence of frequent headache,
38 migraine, and chronic headache compared to nurses not having SWD. Similarly, nurses with
39 insomnia disorder, compared to those without, reported higher prevalence of all types of
40 headache, except TTH. Although we did not find any association between different types of
41 headache and work schedule or quick returns, TTH was associated with high number of night
42 shifts. Future longitudinal research should be conducted in order to investigate the
43 causality/directionality of association between headaches and work variables.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Funding**

54 The study received a grant for practical administration and data collection from The Western
55 Norway Regional Health Authority (grant number 911386, no personal payment/salary). The
56
57

Norwegian Nurses Organization has provided grants to cover some of the the running expenses of the SUSSH study. The study was further partly funded from Nordforsk, Nordic Program on Health and Welfare (74809).

Competing interests statement

None declared.

Data sharing

No additional data available.

Contributorship statement

BB: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, drafted the paper, and approved the final version.

SP: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

BEM: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

SW: contributed to the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

ESK: contributed to the design of the study, data analysis, revised the paper, and approved the final version.

References

- 1 Kecklund G, Axelsson J. Health consequences of shift work and insufficient sleep. *BMJ* 2016;355:i5210.
- 2 Akerstedt T. Shift work and disturbed sleep/wakefulness. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2003;53:89-94.
- 3 Oyane NM, Pallesen S, Moen BE, Akerstedt T, Bjorvatn B. Associations between night work and anxiety, depression, insomnia, sleepiness and fatigue in a sample of Norwegian nurses. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e70228.
- 4 Eldevik MF, Flo E, Moen BE, Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B. Insomnia, excessive sleepiness, excessive fatigue, anxiety, depression and shift work disorder in nurses having less than 11 hours in-between shifts. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e70882.
- 5 Vedaa O, Pallesen S, Waage S et al. Short rest between shift intervals increases the risk of sick leave: a prospective registry study. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;74:496-501.
- 6 Flo E, Pallesen S, Moen BE, Waage S, Bjorvatn B. Short rest periods between work shifts predict sleep and health problems in nurses at 1-year follow-up. *Occup Environ Med* 2014;71:555-61.

- 1
2
3 7 Ferri P, Guadi M, Marcheselli L, Balduzzi S, Magnani D, Di Lorenzo R. The impact
4 of shift work on the psychological and physical health of nurses in a general hospital:
5 a comparison between rotating night shifts and day shifts. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*
6 2016;9:203-211.
- 7 8 Di Milia L, Waage S, Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B. Shift work disorder in a random
8 population sample--prevalence and comorbidities. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e55306.
- 9 9 Wright KP, Jr., Bogan RK, Wyatt JK. Shift work and the assessment and management
10 of shift work disorder (SWD). *Sleep Med Rev* 2013;17:41-54.
- 11 10 Waage S, Pallesen S, Moen BE et al. Predictors of shift work disorder among nurses: a
12 longitudinal study. *Sleep Med* 2014;15:1449-55.
- 13 11 Waage S, Moen BE, Pallesen S et al. Shift work disorder among oil rig workers in the
14 North Sea. *Sleep* 2009;32:558-65.
- 15 12 Flo E, Pallesen S, Mageroy N et al. Shift work disorder in nurses--assessment,
16 prevalence and related health problems. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e33981.
- 17 13 Drake CL, Roehrs T, Richardson G, Walsh JK, Roth T. Shift work sleep disorder:
18 prevalence and consequences beyond that of symptomatic day workers. *Sleep*
19 2004;27:1453-62.
- 20 21 Houle TT, Butschek RA, Turner DP, Smitherman TA, Rains JC, Penzien DB. Stress
22 and sleep duration predict headache severity in chronic headache sufferers. *Pain*
23 2012;153:2432-40.
- 24 24 Kim J, Cho SJ, Kim WJ, Yang KI, Yun CH, Chu MK. Insufficient sleep is prevalent
25 among migraineurs: a population-based study. *J Headache Pain* 2017;18:50.
- 26 26 Jakobsen GS, Timm AM, Hansen AM, Garde AH, Nabe-Nielsen K. The association
27 between shift work and treatment-seeking migraine in Denmark. *Ergonomics*
28 2017;60:1207-1217.
- 29 30 Alfredsson L, Akerstedt T, Mattsson M, Wilborg B. Self-reported health and well-
31 being amongst night security guards: a comparison with the working population.
32 *Ergonomics* 1991;34:525-30.
- 33 33 Portela LF, Rotenberg L, Waissmann W. Self-reported health and sleep complaints
34 among nursing personnel working under 12 h night and day shifts. *Chronobiol Int*
35 2004;21:859-70.
- 36 36 Jensen HI, Larsen JW, Thomsen TD. The impact of shift work on intensive care
37 nurses' lives outside work: A cross-sectional study. *J Clin Nurs* 2018;27:e703-e709.
- 38 20 Ho KH, Benjamin KC. Perceived headache associations in Singapore: results of a
39 randomized national survey. *Headache* 2001;41:164-70.
- 40 21 Molarius A, Tegelberg A, Ohrvik J. Socio-economic factors, lifestyle, and headache
41 disorders - a population-based study in Sweden. *Headache* 2008;48:1426-37.
- 42 22 Wang Y, Xie J, Yang F et al. The prevalence of primary headache disorders and their
43 associated factors among nursing staff in North China. *J Headache Pain* 2015;16:4.
- 44 23 Kuo WY, Huang CC, Weng SF et al. Higher migraine risk in healthcare professionals
45 than in general population: a nationwide population-based cohort study in Taiwan. *J*
46 *Headache Pain* 2015;16:102.
- 47 48 Jensen R, Stovner LJ. Epidemiology and comorbidity of headache. *Lancet Neurol*
49 2008;7:354-61.
- 50 25 Linde M, Stovner LJ, Zwart JA, Hagen K. Time trends in the prevalence of headache
51 disorders. The Nord-Trøndelag Health Studies (HUNT 2 and HUNT 3). *Cephalalgia*
52 2011;31:585-96.
- 53 26 Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. The
54 International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version).
55 *Cephalalgia* 2013;33:629-808.
- 56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 27 Grande RB, Aaseth K, Gulbrandsen P, Lundqvist C, Russell MB. Prevalence of
4 primary chronic headache in a population-based sample of 30- to 44-year-old persons.
5 The Akershus study of chronic headache. *Neuroepidemiology* 2008;30:76-83.
- 6 28 Diener HC, Holle D, Solbach K, Gaul C. Medication-overuse headache: risk factors,
7 pathophysiology and management. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2016;12:575-83.
- 8 29 Jonsson P, Hedenrud T, Linde M. Epidemiology of medication overuse headache in
9 the general Swedish population. *Cephalalgia* 2011;31:1015-22.
- 10 30 Bjorvatn B, Mageroy N, Moen BE, Pallesen S, Waage S. Parasomnias are more
11 frequent in shift workers than in day workers. *Chronobiol Int* 2015;32:1352-8.
- 12 31 Hagen K, Zwart JA, Aamodt AH et al. The validity of questionnaire-based diagnoses:
13 the third Nord-Trondelag Health Study 2006-2008. *J Headache Pain* 2010;11:67-73.
- 14 32 -. A face-to-face interview of participants in HUNT 3: the impact of the screening
15 question on headache prevalence. *J Headache Pain* 2008;9:289-94.
- 16 33 American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *The international classification of sleep
17 disorders, 2nd ed.: Diagnostic and coding manual*. Westchester, IL, 2005.
- 18 34 Pallesen S, Bjorvatn B, Nordhus IH, Sivertsen B, Hjørnevik M, Morin CM. A new
19 scale for measuring insomnia: the Bergen Insomnia Scale. *Perceptual and Motor
20 Skills* 2008;107:691-706.
- 21 35 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental
22 disorders. Text revision (DSM-IV-TR)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric
23 Association, 2000.
- 24 36 -. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*. Washington, DC:
25 American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
- 26 37 Odegard SS, Engstrom M, Sand T, Stovner LJ, Zwart JA, Hagen K. Associations
27 between sleep disturbance and primary headaches: the third Nord-Trondelag Health
28 Study. *J Headache Pain* 2010;11:197-206.
- 29 38 Bjorvatn B, Pallesen S. A practical approach to circadian rhythm sleep disorders.
30 *Sleep Med Rev* 2009;13:47-60.
- 31 39 Sack RL, Auckley D, Auger RR et al. Circadian rhythm sleep disorders: part I, basic
32 principles, shift work and jet lag disorders. An American Academy of Sleep Medicine
33 review. *Sleep* 2007;30:1460-83.
- 34 40 Knutsson A. Methodological aspects of shift-work research. *Chronobiol Int*
35 2004;21:1037-47.
- 36 41 Rains JC, Davis RE, Smitherman TA. Tension-type headache and sleep. *Curr Neurol
37 Neurosci Rep* 2015;15:520.
- 38 42 Engstrom M, Hagen K, Bjork M, Stovner LJ, Stjern M, Sand T. Sleep quality, arousal
39 and pain thresholds in tension-type headache: a blinded controlled polysomnographic
40 study. *Cephalalgia* 2014;34:455-63.
- 41 43 Bendtsen L, Jensen R. Treating tension-type headache -- an expert opinion. *Expert
42 Opin Pharmacother* 2011;12:1099-109.
- 43 44 Tran DP, Spierings EL. Headache and insomnia: their relation reviewed. *Cranio*
44 2013;31:165-70.
- 45 45 Uhlig BL, Engstrom M, Odegard SS, Hagen KK, Sand T. Headache and insomnia in
46 population-based epidemiological studies. *Cephalalgia* 2014;34:745-51.
- 47 46 Rasmussen BK. Migraine and tension-type headache in a general population:
48 precipitating factors, female hormones, sleep pattern and relation to lifestyle. *Pain*
49 1993;53:65-72.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Characteristics of the Norwegian nurses in the study.

| | |
|---|------------------|
| Sex (n=1578): Female | 90.5% |
| Age in wave 1 (n=1583): mean (SD) | 32.5 (8.5) years |
| Percentage of full-time equivalent (n=1536): | |
| <50% | 3.9% |
| 50-75% | 20.3% |
| 76-90% | 16.5% |
| >90% | 59.2% |
| Married/cohabiting (n=1582): Yes | 76.5% |
| Children living at home (n=1578): Yes | 63.3% |
| Work schedule (n=1532): | |
| Day only | 19.3% |
| Evening only | 0.4 |
| Two-shift rotation | 32.4% |
| Night shift only | 6.6% |
| Three-shift rotation | 36.9% |
| Other schedules including night shifts | 4.4% |
| Number of night shifts last year (n=1534): | |
| 0 night shifts | 39.8% |
| 1-20 night shifts | 26.1% |
| >20 night shifts | 34.0% |
| Number of quick returns last year (n=1511): | |
| 0 quick returns | 22.6% |
| 1-20 quick returns | 25.2% |
| >20 quick returns | 52.2% |
| Shift work disorder (n=1567): Yes | 27.3% |
| Insomnia disorder (n=1585): Yes | 31.7% |
| Headache last year (n=1579): Yes | 56.0% |
| Frequent headache (≥ 1 day per month) (n=1585): Yes | 48.1% |
| Migraine (n=1537): Yes | 19.6% |
| Tension-type headache (n=1559): Yes | 27.9% |
| Chronic headache (n=1577): Yes | 2.3% |
| Medication-overuse headache (n=1579): Yes | 1.2% |

SD, standard deviation. n, number of nurses.

Table 2. Prevalence of different types of headache complaints among Norwegian nurses.

| | Frequent headache ¹ % (n) | Migraine % (n) | Tension-type headache % (n) | Chronic headache ² % (n) | Medication-overuse headache % (n) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Work schedule (n=1415-1459): | 0.2 ^a , p=.979 | 2.5 ^a , p=.472 | 3.5 ^a , p=.321 | 2.3 ^a , p=.510 | 1.7 ^a , p=.637 |
| Day only | 47.3 (140) | 22.6 (65) | 24.5 (71) | 1.7 (5) | 1.0 (3) |
| Two-shift rotation | 48.7 (242) | 20.3 (98) | 26.1 (129) | 3.2 (16) | 1.6 (8) |
| Night shift only | 47.5 (48) | 16.3 (16) | 29.0 (29) | 2.0 (2) | 2.0 (2) |
| Three-shift rotation | 47.6 (269) | 18.8 (103) | 29.9 (165) | 2.1 (12) | 0.9 (5) |
| Night shifts last year (n=1489-1534): | 3.4 ^a , p=.180 | 3.3 ^a , p=.188 | 6.9^a, p=.032 | 0.4 ^a , p=.813 | 1.1 ^a , p=.578 |
| 0 night shifts | 47.8 (292) | 21.9 (130) | 24.4 (147) | 2.6 (16) | 1.5 (9) |
| 1-20 night shifts | 44.6 (179) | 18.0 (70) | 30.0 (118) | 2.0 (8) | 0.8 (3) |
| >20 night shifts | 50.8 (265) | 18.1 (92) | 30.9 (159) | 2.3 (12) | 1.2 (6) |
| Quick returns last year (n=1465-1511): | 0.2 ^a , p=.902 | 1.5 ^a , p=.480 | 2.4 ^a , p=.296 | 0.2 ^a , p=.885 | 0.8 ^a , p=.666 |
| 0 quick returns | 47.4 (162) | 22.1 (73) | 24.8 (83) | 2.1 (7) | 1.2 (4) |
| 1-20 quick returns | 48.8 (186) | 18.9 (70) | 29.6 (111) | 2.4 (9) | 0.8 (3) |
| >20 quick returns | 48.7 (384) | 19.1 (146) | 28.8 (224) | 2.5 (20) | 1.4 (11) |
| Shift work disorder (n=1521-1567): | 36.9^a, p<.0005 | 11.3^a, p=.001 | 1.6 ^a , p=.208 | 5.7^a, p=.017 | 0.5 ^a , p=.491 |
| No | 43.4 (494) | 17.7 (197) | 26.9 (301) | 1.8 (20) | 1.1 (12) |
| Yes | 60.7 (260) | 25.6 (104) | 30.2 (127) | 4.0 (17) | 1.6 (7) |
| Insomnia disorder (n=1537-1585): | 25.1^a, p<.0005 | 10.1^a, p=.001 | 0.0 ^a , p=.983 | 9.7^a, p=.002 | 15.6^a, p<.0005 |
| No | 43.8 (474) | 17.4 (184) | 28.0 (297) | 1.5 (16) | 0.5 (5) |
| Yes | 57.5 (289) | 24.5 (118) | 27.8 (138) | 4.2 (21) | 2.8 (14) |

¹Headache ≥ 1 days per month. ²Headache >14 days per month.

^aPearson Chi-square, with Yates' correction for continuity when used in a 2x2 table. Significant findings are shown in **bold**.

Table 3. Separate adjusted logistic regression analyses with different headache types as the dependent variables among Norwegian nurses.

| Independent variables | Frequent headache ¹ OR (95% CI) ^a n=1439-1519 | Migraine OR (95% CI) ^a n=1398-1476 | Tension-type headache OR (95% CI) ^a n=1416-1495 | Chronic headache ² OR (95% CI) ^a n=1432-1512 | Medication-overuse headache OR (95% CI) ^a n=1434-1514 |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Work schedule | | | | | |
| Day only | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Two-shift | 1.07 (0.80-1.44) | 0.89 (0.62-1.28) | 1.11 (0.79-1.57) | 1.91 (0.68-5.32) | 1.49 (0.39-5.80) |
| Night only | 1.07 (0.65-1.77) | 0.75 (0.39-1.44) | 1.30 (0.74-2.28) | 1.18 (0.20-6.88) | 2.39 (0.32-17.65) |
| Three-shift | 1.01 (0.76-1.35) | 0.79 (0.55-1.14) | 1.31 (0.94-1.83) | 1.22 (0.42-3.56) | 0.86 (0.20-3.70) |
| Number of night shifts | | | | | |
| 0 night shifts | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1-20 night shifts | 0.86 (0.66-1.11) | 0.78 (0.56-1.09) | 1.27 (0.95-1.70) | 0.73 (0.30-1.74) | 0.51 (0.14-1.95) |
| >20 night shifts | 1.15 (0.90-1.47) | 0.80 (0.58-1.09) | 1.41 (1.07-1.86) | 0.82 (0.38-1.80) | 0.78 (0.27-2.29) |
| Number of quick returns | | | | | |
| 0 quick returns | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1-20 quick returns | 1.09 (0.81-1.46) | 0.83 (0.57-1.21) | 1.30 (0.92-1.82) | 1.12 (0.41-3.05) | 0.66 (0.15-3.01) |
| >20 quick returns | 1.06 (0.82-1.39) | 0.81 (0.58-1.12) | 1.23 (0.91-1.67) | 1.21 (0.50-2.95) | 1.18 (0.37-3.83) |
| Shift work disorder | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 2.04 (1.62-2.59) | 1.60 (1.21-2.12) | 1.19 (0.92-1.54) | 2.45 (1.25-4.80) | 1.67 (0.64-4.40) |
| Insomnia disorder | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 1.79 (1.43-2.23) | 1.55 (1.18-2.02) | 1.01 (0.79-1.29) | 3.03 (1.54-5.95) | 7.62 (2.48-23.41) |

¹Headache ≥ 1 days per month. ²Headache >14 days per month.

^aSeparate logistic regression analyses for each independent variable with adjustment for sex, age, percentage of full-time equivalent, marital status, and children living at home.

Significant findings are shown in **bold**.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No | Recommendation |
|------------------------------|---------|--|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found |
| See page 1 and 3 | | |
| Introduction | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported |
| See page 5 and 6 | | |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses |
| See page 6 | | |
| Methods | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper |
| See page 6 and 7 | | |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection |
| See page 6 and 7 | | |
| Participants | 6 | (a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case |
| See page 6 | | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable |
| See page 7 and 8 | | |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group |
| See page 6, 7 and 8 | | |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias |
| See page 12 | | |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at |
| See page 6 | | |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why |
| See page 8 | | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions |

(c) Explain how missing data were addressed

(d) *Cohort study*—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed

Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed

Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

See page 8 and 9

Results

Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed
(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
(c) Consider use of a flow diagram

See page 9

Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders
(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
(c) *Cohort study*—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)

Page 9 and 17

Outcome data 15* *Cohort study*—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure
Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures

Page 9 and 10

Main results 16 (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included
(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period

Page 18 and 19

Other analyses 17 Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses

Page 18 and 19

Discussion

Key results 18 Summarise key results with reference to study objectives

Page 10

Limitations 19 Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias

Page 12

Interpretation 20 Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence

Page 10-12

Generalisability 21 Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results

Page 12

Other information

Funding 22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

Page 13

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.