1 Supplementary Material

2 Video S1: <u>https://goo.gl/photos/aWvDYRxQpzTS8nh78</u>



- **Fig. S1:** Experimental design used for reciprocal transplant of corals to benthic plots in
- 5 macroalgal- and coral-dominated areas with or without natural macroalgal assemblages.





14 <u>conducted on elevated metal racks.</u>

Experiment 1

Influence of proximity to natural macroalgal assemblages on coral growth and survival



Supplementary analyses assessing benthic macroalgal cover and canopy height

24 We assessed benthic macroalgal cover and canopy height in plots within the macroalgaldominated area where macroalgae were not removed. A 25 x 25 cm quadrat divided into 25 25 26 equal (i.e., 5 x 5 cm) subsections was centered over each coral's attachment site, and percent 27 cover of the dominant organisms/substrate types (e.g., macroalgae [to genus], live coral, dead 28 coral, rubble, sand, etc.) within each 5 x 5 cm grid was estimated visually. Temporal differences 29 in macroalgal cover within our plots were analyzed using a linear mixed effects (LME) model in 30 R (v. 3.3.2) (R Core Team 2016) using the package nlme (Pinheiro et al. 2017). Models were 31 fitted using restricted maximum likelihood with time (week) as a fixed factor and individual 32 replicate quadrats from each plot as a random factor to account for spatial and temporal non-33 independence between samples. To control for heteroscedasticity, we modeled within-group 34 error for each time point using the varIdent argument. Multiple comparisons of means were performed using generalized linear hypothesis test (glht) and Tukey's (HSD) test in the 35 36 multcomp package (Hothorn et al. 2008). We also estimated the maximum and average height of 37 the macroalgal canopy above the benthos within each quadrat by measuring the height of the 38 tallest macroalga and the height of the canopy at five random points, respectively, within each 39 quadrat using a ruler. Both maximum and average height data were then used to obtain a 40 maximum and mean overall canopy height for macroalgae in each plot (n = 20 plots treatment⁻¹). 41 Temporal differences in maximum and average canopy heights were analyzed separately with a 42 one-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc tests using JMP (v. 13.0.0).



Fig. S4: A) Algal percent cover, January-October 2014, within plots in the macroalgaldominated area where natural macroalgal assemblages were present. Temporal differences in
percent macroalgal cover were analyzed using a linear mixed effect model (p < 0.001) and letters
denote significant differences (p < 0.05) among times via Tukey tests. B) Maximum (black bars)
and average (gray) macroalgal canopy height in plots with macroalgae during January-October
2014. One way repeated measures ANOVA were used to analyze temporal differences in

- 50 maximum canopy height (F(4,73) = 30.76, p < 0.001) and average canopy height (F(4,72) =
- 93.04, p < 0.001). Letters denote significant differences (p < 0.05) among months via Tukey
 tests.
- 53

54 LITERATURE CITED

- Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P (2008) Simultaneous inference in general parametric models.
 Biom J 50:346-363
- 57 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D and R Core Team (2016) _nlme: Linear and
- 58 Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models_. R package version 3.1-128, <URL:
- 59 http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>