1	Online Repository
2	For
3	Auto-antibodies to IgE and FceRI and the Natural Variability of SYK
4	Expression in Basophils
5	

#### 6 Methods

7 Materials, Buffers and Antibodies: The following were purchased: PIPES, bovine serum 8 albumin (BSA), EGTA, EDTA, D-glucose, 2-ME (2-mercaptoethanol); RPMI 1640 9 containing 25 mM HEPES and L-glutamine (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD); StemCell 10 Pro media (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA); Percoll (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ); 11 Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane, Tween-20 (Bio-Rad,Hercules, CA); syk inhibitor 12 NVP-QAB205 was a gift of GlaxoSmithKline; src-family kinase inhibitor, PP1 13 (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA); PCI-32765 (MedChem Express, Monmouth Junction, 14 NJ); LY2940002 (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA); mouse anti-human IgE Ab (6061P) 15 (Hybridoma Farms, MD); goat polyclonal anti-hIgE Ab generated and enriched as 16 described previously <sup>1</sup>; anti-CD32b, Ab10523 (Xencor, Inc., Moravia, CA); anti-CD32a 17 Ab, clone IV.3 (StemCell Technologies (Seattle, WA); control mouse IgG1 (Zymed, San 18 Francisco, CA); control mouse IgG2b (eBioscience, San Diego, CA); anti-IL3R-19 phycoerythrin (BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA); anti-mouse IgG2b-alexa647 (Molecular 20 Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbard, CA); anti-FceRI, 15A5, and 22E7 (gift from Hoffman-21 LaRoche); human IgG (Cappel Laboratories); anti-syk (4D10) (Santa Cruz Antibodies, 22 Santa Cruz, CA); PIPES-albumin-glucose (PAG) buffer consisted of 25 mM PIPES, 110 23 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 0.1 % glucose, and 0.003 % HSA. PAGCM was PAG 24 supplemented with 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Labeling with antibodies for flow 25 cytometry was conducted in PAG containing 0.25 % BSA in place of 0.003 % HSA (EB). 26 ESB is Novex electrophoresis sample buffer containing 5 % 2-mercaptoethanol. Lactic acid IgE elution buffer 0.01 M lactic acid, 0.14 M NaCl, 0.005 M KCl at pH 3.9<sup>2,3</sup>. 27

# 28 Preparation of FceRIalpha, IgE and HSA sepharose

A flag-tagged FceRIalpha transfection plasmid was the generous gift of Dr. Robert 29 30 Anthony (Harvard, Boston, MA). The plasmid was used to transfect HEK cells as described previously<sup>4</sup>. Briefly, 9 million HEK cells were transfected with 60 µg plasmid 31 32 in a solution of PEI (Transporter 5, Polysciences, Inc. Warrington, PA):saline (240 ul 33 stock in 3 ml saline), cultured in 2xT75 flasks (10 ml DMEM media/flask). Supernatant 34 from the flasks after 72 hours was adsorbed with anti-FLAG Ab-beads (300 µl stock 35 beads, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The beads were used for adsorption experiments 36 after equilibrating into 1X PIPES buffer. IgE-sepharose was prepared by coupling 750 37 mg of JK IgE (Hybridoma Farms, Belair, MD) to 80 mg CNBr-sepharose 4B fast-flow 38 beads (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). HSA was coupled in a similar manner. For pilot 39 studies, test solutions of either 22E7 diluted to a concentration that was near the optimum 40 for histamine release or goat anti-IgE Ab also titrated to near its optimum for histamine 41 release were treated with different quantities of all three beads and the optimum for 42 specific adsorption chosen for subsequent experiments.

# 43 Basophil purification

44 Basophils were purified from leukopheresis packs or from blood obtained by 45 venipuncture. When used at high purity, they were purified to near homogeneity by 46 sequential application of Percoll gradients and negative selection using the basophil 47 purification kit (Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, BC) and columns from Miltenyi 48 Biotec (Aubum, CA)<sup>5</sup>. The average purity of these basophils by alcian blue staining <sup>6</sup> 49 was 99%. Starting viability of these cells was typically >97%. For basophils obtained 50 by venipuncture (this study was approved by the Johns Hopkins Institutional 51 Review Board), basophils were enriched on a two-step Percoll gradient <sup>7</sup>.

#### 52 *Reaction conditions*

For experiments requiring longer incubations (functional and expression level studies), the culture conditions were RPMI-1640 media containing 0.03% human serum albumin (HSA), gentamycin (100  $\mu$ g/ml) and supplemented to 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. When cells were obtained by venipuncture and enriched by two-step Percoll gradients, the cell culture density was 2 million total cells/ml of medium.

58 For some experiments, a portion of the endogenous IgE was removed by treating the cells 59 with lactic acid buffer (on ice for 6 seconds with buffer before adding 1.5 ml EB to 60 neutralize the pH)<sup>3</sup>. Histamine release was measured from supernatants of cells 61 stimulated in PAGCM buffer. Histamine was measured by automated fluorimetry <sup>8</sup>. For 62 desensitization experiments, there were two phases to the reaction, ±stimulation with 63 6061P (anti-IgE Ab) in the absence of extracellular calcium (+50 µM EDTA) for 90 64 minutes followed by re-stimulation with anti-IgE Ab or serum for 45 minutes in 1 mM 65 Ca<sup>++</sup>.

# 66 Definition of Positivity

As the ABO-compatibility issue and the initial screening of sera were explored, a dataset of histamine results from the 25% sera-challenge of recipient basophils was generated. A simple algorithm for iterating towards a threshold in the presence of sparse true signals was used <sup>9</sup>. All of the results (responses to 25% serum) were first averaged, label as A1. This average (A1) was naturally skewed by the presence of eventual positives. Using A1 as a threshold, the dataset was re-averaged by including only results *less* than A1, label this average as A2. Under the assumption that A2 represented a close approximation of the true background signal, the
standard deviation (SD2) of this distribution was also calculated and a threshold of
positivity set as A2 + 1.96\*SD2 (p>0.975 of the assumed Gaussian distribution). This
value was 6% (note that this is above spontaneous release in the histamine release
calculation).

79 *Flow cytometry* 

80 With some noted exceptions, flow cytometry was performed on cells fixed in 2% 81 paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature before blocking with 4%BSA-PBS 82 overnight. If the basophils were highly purified, there was no need for selection 83 antibodies but if only enriched, gating for basophils was based on forward-side scatter 84 gates and labeling with anti-FcERI antibody <sup>10</sup>. The monoclonal antibodies 22E7 (a gift 85 of Hoffman-LaRoche) or CRA-1 (eBiosciences, San Diego, CA) (both antibodies specific for FceRIalpha) were used interchangeably (depending on which isotype mouse antibody 86 87 was needed) to label FceRI. Isotype control antibodies or specific antibodies were 88 incubated with basophils in EB buffer for 30 minutes prior to a secondary incubation with 89 labeled secondary antibodies for 30 minutes, followed by washing and analysis. If the 90 measurement of SYK were the target of the assay, the SYK-selective antibody 4D10 was 91 used in a protocol previously published <sup>11</sup>. If CD32a receptors were the target for labeling, the protocol, described previously <sup>12</sup>, included 20 µg/ml Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/CD32b) 92 93 because clone IV.3 (anti- FcgRIIa/CD32a) could bind to FcgRIIb/CD32b through its Fc 94 region. If the FcgRIIb/CD32b receptors were the target, the 'control' labeling included 95 20 µg/ml Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/CD32b, see above) as well as 1 µg/ml Ab1052396 alexa647 while detection of FcgRIIb/CD32b included only Ab10523-alexa647. Since

97 Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/CD32b) doesn't bind to FcgRIIb/CD32b through its Fc region,

- 98 no blocking of FcgRIIa/CD32a was necessary. In general, mean net fluorescence is
- 99 reported. Calibration beads were used to ensure the flow cytometer was producing similar
- 100 results between daily and weekly measurements.

# 101 Western blotting

102 Pelleted cells were lysed in 20  $\mu$ l of hot ESB, the tube placed in boiling water for 5 103 minutes and the samples stored at -80°C until electrophoresis. Samples were run in a 15 104 well 8% tris-glycine gel with molecular weight markers. After transblotting to 105 nitrocellulose, membranes were blocked with 4% BSA. Equal lane loading was 106 confirmed by blotting with anti-p85a antibody. We have used this method in variety of 107 different studies and have found that basophils do not change their p85 levels during IgE-108 mediated stimulation for short or long periods <sup>13</sup>.

#### 109 *ABO compatibility:*

110 All of our donors that supplied basophils for the bioassay of sera-induced histamine 111 release were typed for the AB and Rh antigens. For these same donors, sera was also 112 obtained. The donors and sera were tested for histamine release by stimulating a 113 "recipient" (Percoll-separated basophils, see above) basophil with 25% serum from 114 either an AB/Rh matched donor or with a mis-matched AB/Rh donor. For a series of 115 24 donor sera (from non-CIU patients) first tested on a 3 O+ basophils for whom 116 optimal IgE-mediated histamine release averaged 28%, no sera were found to 117 induce histamine release. For a series of 20 sera that were tested on deliberately

118 mismatched "recipient" basophils (e.g., A+ on B+, etc.), only 1 serum induced modest 119 release (5-10%). Further exploration of this one example showed that it would 120 induce release only when paired with one particular recipient basophil. Type matching or mismatching could be done without release except for the one 121 122 particular "recipient" donor. During the course of additional experiments, one 123 additional donor was found with this characteristic. Therefore, AB/Rh matching 124 appears irrelevant to whether sera induce release but in approximately 5% of sera 125 tested, there could be some release induced that could be considered idiosyncratic 126 to specific pairings of "recipient" basophils and serum. Despite the appearance of no 127 problem with AB/Rh matching, for the remainder of the experiments in which 128 serum was tested on "recipient" basophils, these basophils were chosen to be 0+ or 129 0-.

#### 130 **Results**

# 131 Difference in positivity between general and basopenic groups

There were three subjects that appeared in both the general CSU group and in the subsequently screened CSU group with basopenia. Statistically, the two groups are therefore not independent. Removal of the 3 basopenic subjects from the general group (and retaining them in the basopenic group) results in a chi-squared of 6.26, p=0.011, i.e., a difference in frequency between groups but performing the analysis by removing them from the basopenic group (and retaining them in the general group) leads to no difference in frequency (p=0.20).

139 Drug sensitivity

140 The first assay tested the effects of four pharmacological inhibitors known to inhibit 141 enzymes involved in IgE-mediated secretion. Previous studies have identified agents 142 that operate on signal transduction steps that are relatively specific for the IgE-143 mediated signaling cascade but not effective for GTP-binding protein-dependent 144 receptors such as fMLPR. Four points in the early IgE-mediated cascade were 145 chosen, the src-family kinases, SYK, BTK, and PI3 kinase (likely delta in human basophils). The relevant drugs were PP1 or PP2 (src-family kinases, both tested), 146 147 NVP-QAB205 (SYK inhibitor), PCI-32765 (BTK inhibitor) and LY294002 (PI3K 148 inhibitor). Each has received detailed study for their behavior on human basophils 149 <sup>14-16</sup>. Figure E2 shows a schematic of where these drugs are thought to act in the 150 IgE-signaling pathway.

151 For this assay, we discovered that the presence of serum could shift the IC50 of the 152 drugs being used as indicators of an IgE-mediated reaction. This was most notable 153 for PP1 or PP2, two src-family kinase inhibitors. For these inhibitors, for reasons not 154 explored, serum almost completely eliminated the drugs' effectiveness. Figure E3 155 summarizes the results for all four drugs with and without serum. Therefore, results 156 with PP1 or PP2 were not included in subsequent testing. Serum shifted the IC50 of 157 NVP-QAB205 (SYK inhibitor) by 2-3 fold, LY294002 (PI3K inhibitor) by 6-fold and 158 PCI-32765 (BTK inhibitor) by only a minor degree. It wasn't known whether cells 159 were experiencing lower intracellular levels of the drugs (e.g., due to metabolism or 160 binding to sera proteins) or whether a property of the cellular response to the 161 action of the drugs was different in the presence of serum. Because the mechanisms 162 were unclear, for subsequent experiments in the presence of serum, the drug

163 concentrations were conservatively kept near the expected IC50 concentrations 164 determined from previous studies. But this conservative approach led to results 165 where the ability to inhibit 6061P/anti-IgE Ab-induced release was more variable 166 due to the serum effect and so the method to analyze the results across different 167 experiments was to normalize the extent of inhibition based on how effectively 168 6061P/anti-IgE Ab-induced release was inhibited in the presence of a non-releasing 169 serum (see methods). Figure E4A plots the similarity index for the 13 multiply 170 positive sera. Four of the sera induced release that was unresponsive to these 3 171 drugs.

172 A second assay determined whether desensitization of the IgE-mediated pathway 173 also inhibited the ability of the serum to induce release. Parenthetically, we 174 discovered that the inclusion of serum sometimes slowed the rate of desensitization 175 of FceRI. For this series, basophils were stimulated with 6061P/anti-IgE Ab in the 176 absence of extracellular calcium for 1 hour (or no 6061P/anti-IgE Ab to act as the 177 non-desensitized control), extracellular calcium returned to the reaction and the 178 cells further stimulated with 6061P/anti-IgE Ab or serum at 25% final 179 concentration. The control re-challenge included 6061P/anti-IgE Ab in negative 180 serum or PAGCM. As before, the useful metric was to compare the desensitization 181 inhibition of the serum response to the desensitized inhibition to 6061P/anti-IgE Ab. 182 If 6061P/anti-IgE Ab induced desensitization of serum to an extent similar 183 6061P/anti-IgE Ab-induced desensitization to 6061P/anti-IgE Ab, the similarity 184 index was near 1.0. Figure E4B plots these results for the 13 multiply positive sera. 185 As found for the drug testing, the same 4 sera did not pass the similarity test.

186 The third assay was a natural variation of the NVP-QAB205 (SYK inhibitor) 187 experiments, taking advantage of basophil donors whose expression level of SYK is 188 so poor that IgE-mediated histamine release is near zero <sup>3, 17</sup>. There is little reason 189 to expect an FceRI-dependent process or a SYK dependent process, to induce release 190 from these donors' basophils. Prior to this experimental series, we noted that some 191 of the 13 multiply positive sera were not found to induce strong release. If release 192 induced with these sera from a non-releaser were poor, it would be difficult to know 193 whether the release was expected or appropriately suppressed. Therefore, the 194 calculation of similarity was based on the expectations for release with each of the 195 13 sera. This was the last series of studies done and at this point, there were 4-6 196 independent measurements of these 13 sera to induce release from strongly 197 releasing basophil donors. An average of the multiple measurements for a given 198 serum could be calculated and the histamine release from the non-releaser 199 calculated relative to the expectation. Therefore, a value of near zero would be 200 expected for sera that operated through SYK. Several non-releasing donors were 201 used for this test and those sera causing release were repeated. With this test there 202 were only 5 sera that continued to pass the similarity metric (see figure E4C).

203 Adsorption of sera

To verify that these sera likely contained an antibody to IgE or FceRI, the sera were adsorbed with either IgE- or FceRIalpha-beads. The online repository describes the coupling of sepharose beads to HSA, IgE or FceRIalpha. Three sera with sufficient titers were adsorbed with a 12.5% v/v quantity of each of these beads for 2 hours at 208 4°C. The bead supernatants were used to stimulate releasing basophils (as above). 209 For positive controls, a solution of anti-FceRIalpha (22E7) monoclonal antibody or 210 anti-IgE (goat) polyclonal antibody (diluted into negative serum) at concentrations 211 that produce optimal and slightly suboptimal histamine release were also adsorbed 212 with the beads. These controls provide a context for the adsorption results with 213 positive sera (not shown in figure E5, IgE-beads did not adsorb 22E7 and alpha-214 beads did not adsorb anti-IgE Ab). In all 4 instances tested (1 was excluded because 215 the response was relatively poor for this serum), either IgE- (1 instance) or 216 FceRIalpha- (3 instances) beads inhibited the ability of sera to induce release. Two 217 of the anti-FceRIalpha positive sera appeared to also be partially adsorbed with IgE 218 beads and might therefore also be an anti-IgE Ab (figure E5).

219 In an additional test, basophils were treated with lactic acid buffer to dissociate a 220 significant fraction of their surface IgE antibody or incubated with additional IgE in 221 an attempt to fully occupy any non-occupied receptors. One set of cells remained 222 untreated. All 3 sets were stimulated with the 5 sera. This test examines whether 223 the ant-FceRIalpha antibodies were sensitive to the occupancy of FceRI. The anti-224 IgE-like serum (MM) was inhibited by dissociation. However, each of the anti-225 FceRIalpha-like sera were sensitive to occupancy in that dissociating the 226 endogenous IgE enhanced their ability to induce release and increasing occupancy 227 with sensitization decreased the response to the serum. The one serum that was not 228 examined in the immuno-adsorption study also was sensitive to occupancy, i.e., 229 serum-induced release was enhanced following IgE dissociation.

#### 230 IL-3 effects on sera-induced histamine release

231 None of the non-CIU sera induced replicable release; this included sera from 232 subjects classified as non-releasers. An additional test was performed on sera from 233 non-releasers and releasers. Basophils were cultured for 24 hours with 10 ng/ml IL-234 3 to markedly enhance their sensitivity to secretagogues. Surprisingly, all sera 235 induced histamine release but there was no difference between the two categories 236 of donor (releaser vs. non-releaser)(figure E6B, figure E6A shows the release 237 distributions for the basophils, stimulated with an optimal concentration of 238 6061P/anti-IgE Ab of serum source subjects). In addition to the challenge with sera, 239 the effect of the BTK inhibitor PCI-32765 on each response was tested. None of the 240 responses were inhibited (ratio of response+inhibitor/response-inhibitor = 241 1.03±0.07)(data not shown). In addition, the test was also preformed on basophils 242 for which the endogenous IgE was dissociated prior to culture and challenge. There 243 were no differences in the responses to the sera and no differences between 244 releasers and non-releasers (data not shown).

# 245 Inhibitory effects on IgG

The question was whether antibodies that interact with a cell surface protein (in this case, IgE or FceRI) and are already bound and constrained to the cell surface, are inhibited in the interaction of their Fc domains with cell surface CD32b due to nonspecific IgG in the extracellular medium. Several known anti-IgE or anti-FceRI antibodies were examined for the influence of CD32b on their activity and for what concentrations of nsIgG antibody interfered with any interaction. We have 252 previously demonstrated that polyclonal goat anti-IgE Ab interacts with 253 FcegRIIb/CD32b and that inhibition of this interaction with a selective anti-CD32b 254 antibody (Ab10523) enhances induced histamine release. This interaction is 255 sufficiently strong to observe significant enhancement of release in the presence of 256 Ab10523 (anti- FcegRIIb/CD32b). Figure E7A shows that nsIgG can also reverse 257 interaction of goat anti-IgE with FcegRIIb/CD32b with an IC50 of approximately 2.5 mg/ml with full reversal (relative to Ab10523 (anti- FcegRIIb/CD32b)) not 258 259 apparent at 10 mg/ml. A mouse IgG2b anti-IgE Ab was examined. There have been 260 indications in previous studies that there is some interaction of mouse antibodies 261 with CD32b but as is apparent in Figure E7B, enhancement of release is modest with 262 Ab10523 (anti- FcegRIIb/CD32b). The inclusion of nsIgG also enhances release, to 263 an extent exceeding somewhat or equivalent to Ab10523 (anti- FcegRIIb/CD32b) 264 with an IC50 of 300 µg/ml. We found that 22E7, an anti-FceRIalpha antibody is also 265 only weakly enhanced with Ab10523 (anti- FcegRIIb/CD32b) (data not shown, 266 enhancement of 1.20±0.05 fold). These results suggest that interaction with 267 FcgRIIb/CD32b varies considerably between anti-IgE/Fc antibodies, with goat Ab 268 showing the strongest interaction.

These experiments suggested that the range of possible interaction is large but that a typical human IgG interacting with CD32b might be reversible by competing with nsIgG. Therefore, these results suggest that 1) nsIgG levels less than 1.5 mg/ml (equivalent to 10% serum) could be tolerated if a human anti-FceRI or anti-IgE had Fc binding characteristics like goat anti-IgE while 10 fold lower concentrations would be needed (1% serum) if the characteristics were like mouse anti-IgEs. 276 Figure Legends

277 Figure E1: Serum induced histamine release distributions for the 3 categories of 278 subject. The final concentration of serum was 25%. The initial screening required 279 that a serum induce release consistently and a failure in a second screen removed 280 the serum from consideration. The sera that passed the first screening are shown as 281 pairs with those that failed the second screening as open circles and those that 282 passed the second screening as filled gray circles. The average of release of the first 283 test of eventual double positives was 43% while the average release for the first test 284 of eventual single positives was 19%. The average anti-IgE Ab-induced release of 285 the recipient basophils was 70%.

Figure E2: Cartoon of the early IgE-mediated signaling reaction to show the relativeposition in the cascade and the drugs that inhibit each step.

288 Figure E3: IC50 of 4 drugs with specificity for IgE-mediated secretion with and

without 25% serum present. Panel A: src-family kinase inhibitor, PP1 (n=3)(filled

290 circle = no serum present, filled square = 25% serum present, applies to all panels).

291 Panel B: SYK inhibitor, NVP-QAB205 (n=1). Panel C: BTK inhibitor, PCI-32765 (n=1).

292 Panel D: PI3 kinase inhibitor, LY294002 (n=1).

Figure E4: Three tests for sera similarity to an IgE-mediated response. The methods section describes the metric of 'similarity' as is relevant to each test. For some of the sera where results neared thresholds for exclusion, the tests were repeated (e.g.,

275

296 ER). Panel A: similarity index (>0.90 showing similarity to an IgE-mediated 297 response) for 3 selective inhibitors of the FceRI-mediated activation pathway. *Black* 298 *bar* = SYK inhibitor, NVP-QAB205 at 0.75 μM; *lightest grey bar*, BTK inhibitor, PCI-32765 at 75 nM; medium grey bar, PI3K inhibitor, LY294002 at 7.5 µM. Asterisks 299 300 denote sera not passing this test. Panel B: similarity index for cross-desensitization 301 between a known anti-IgE Ab and sera-induced release (>0.90 showing similarity to 302 an IgE-mediated response). Asterisks denote sera not passing this test. Panel C: 303 Ability of sera to induce release from a known non-releasing basophil. The metric 304 for this test was the fraction of the expected response for each specific serum based 305 on past performance of the same serum on releasing basophils. To accommodate 306 variation, a value greater than 0.3 was considered a positive response from a non-307 releaser basophil although a threshold of less than 0.05 would be appropriate for a 308 known aggregating anti-IgE Ab. Asterisks denote sera not passing this test.

309 Figure E5: Adsorption of sera with either HSA-coupled, IgE-coupled or FceRIalpha-310 coupled sepharose beads. Basophils were challenged with stimuli that had been 311 adsorbed with the various stimuli shown. The ordinate metric is the response 312 relative to the response to as stimulus after adsorption with HSA-coupled beads. 313 The control responses (absorption with HSA-coupled beads) to anti-IgE Ab (goat 314 anti-IgE Ab), 22E7 (anti-FceRIalpha), AB, MM, AS, and DS sera was 83%, 64%, 18%, 315 36%, 42%, and 5%, respectively. The data shows averages from 3 experiments for 316 the 22E7 (anti-FceRIalpha) and anti-IgE Ab (goat anti-IgE Ab), MM and AS and n=1 317 for DS and AB.

318	Figure E6: Effect of overnight IL-3 (10 ng/ml) on the response of basophils to
319	releaser (R) and non-releaser (NR) sera. Panel A: Histamine release from the
320	basophils of serum donors categorized as releasers (R) or non-releasers (NR).
321	Points are the response of each donors' basophils to stimulation with anti-IgE
322	Ab/6061P at an optimal concentration (0.5 $\mu$ g/ml). Horizontal bars represent the
323	averages. Panel B: sera from these basophil phenotypes was used to stimulate
324	basophils first cultured for 18 hours with IL-3 at 10 ng/ml. Points are responses to
325	each sera tested, horizontal bars represent the averages ± standard deviation.
326	Figure E7: Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block
326 327	<b>Figure E7:</b> Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block CD32b. Panel A: The positive control (rightmost bar) in this protocol is the inclusion
326 327 328	<b>Figure E7:</b> Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block CD32b. Panel A: The positive control (rightmost bar) in this protocol is the inclusion of Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b) at 50 μg/ml to block the participation of
<ul><li>326</li><li>327</li><li>328</li><li>329</li></ul>	<b>Figure E7</b> : Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block CD32b. Panel A: The positive control (rightmost bar) in this protocol is the inclusion of Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b) at 50 μg/ml to block the participation of FcgRIIb/CD32b during stimulation with a mouse monoclonal anti-hIgE Ab. The
<ul> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> <li>328</li> <li>329</li> <li>330</li> </ul>	<b>Figure E7</b> : Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block CD32b. Panel A: The positive control (rightmost bar) in this protocol is the inclusion of Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b) at 50 μg/ml to block the participation of FcgRIIb/CD32b during stimulation with a mouse monoclonal anti-hIgE Ab. The leftmost bar is the response without Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b). In-between is
<ul> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> <li>328</li> <li>329</li> <li>330</li> <li>331</li> </ul>	<b>Figure E7</b> : Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block CD32b. Panel A: The positive control (rightmost bar) in this protocol is the inclusion of Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b) at 50 μg/ml to block the participation of FcgRIIb/CD32b during stimulation with a mouse monoclonal anti-hIgE Ab. The leftmost bar is the response without Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b). In-between is the concentration-dependence of nonspecific hIgG to block the participation of
<ul> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> <li>328</li> <li>329</li> <li>330</li> <li>331</li> <li>332</li> </ul>	<b>Figure E7</b> : Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block CD32b. Panel A: The positive control (rightmost bar) in this protocol is the inclusion of Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b) at 50 μg/ml to block the participation of FcgRIIb/CD32b during stimulation with a mouse monoclonal anti-hIgE Ab. The leftmost bar is the response without Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b). In-between is the concentration-dependence of nonspecific hIgG to block the participation of FcgRIIb/Cd32b in the response to mouse anti-IgE Ab. Panel B: similar to panel A
<ul> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> <li>328</li> <li>329</li> <li>330</li> <li>331</li> <li>332</li> <li>333</li> </ul>	<b>Figure E7:</b> Sensitivity of mouse and goat anti-IgE Abs to nonspecific IgG to block CD32b. Panel A: The positive control (rightmost bar) in this protocol is the inclusion of Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b) at 50 μg/ml to block the participation of FcgRIIb/CD32b during stimulation with a mouse monoclonal anti-hIgE Ab. The leftmost bar is the response without Ab10523 (anti-FcgRIIb/Cd32b). In-between is the concentration-dependence of nonspecific hIgG to block the participation of FcgRIIb/Cd32b in the response to mouse anti-IgE Ab. Panel B: similar to panel A except the stimulus was goat polyclonal anti-IgE Ab.

334

# 335 **References**

- Adkinson NF, Jr. Measurement of total serum immunoglobulin E and allergen-specific immunoglobulin E antibody. 2nd ed. Washington D.C.: American Society of Microbiology; 1980.
   Pruzansky JJ, Grammer LC, Patterson R, Roberts M. Dissociation of IgE from receptors on human basophils. I. Enhanced passive sensitization for histamine release. J. Immunol. 1983; 131:1949-53.
- MacGlashan DW, Jr. Relationship Between Syk and SHIP Expression and
   Secretion from Human Basophils in the General Population. J. Allergy Clin.
   Immunol. 2007; 119:626-33.

345	4.	Shade KT, Platzer B, Washburn N, Mani V, Bartsch YC, Conroy M, et al. A
346		single glycan on IgE is indispensable for initiation of anaphylaxis. J Exp Med
347		2015; 212:457-67.
348	5.	Lavens-Phillips SE, MacGlashan DW, Jr. The tyrosine kinases, p53/56lyn and
349		p72syk are differentially expressed at the protein level but not at the mRNA level
350		in non-releasing human basophils. Amer. J. Resp. Cell Mol. Biol. 2000; 23:566-
351		71.
352	6.	Gilbert HS, Ornstein L. Basophil counting with a new staining method using
353		alcian blue. Blood 1975; 46:279-86.
354	7.	Warner JA, Yancey KB, MacGlashan DW, Jr. The effect of pertussis toxin on
355		mediator release from human basophils. J Immunol 1987; 139:161-5.
356	8.	Siraganian RP. An automated continuous-flow system for the extraction and
357		fluorometric analysis of histamine. Anal Biochem 1974; 57:383-94.
358	9.	MacGlashan DW, Jr. Single-cell analysis of Ca++ changes in human lung mast
359		cells: graded vs. all-or-nothing elevations after IgE-mediated stimulation.
360		Cell Biol 1989; 109:123-34.
361	10.	MacGlashan DW, Jr. Endocytosis, Re-cycling and Degradation of Unoccupied
362		FceRI in Human Basophils. J. Leuk. Biol. 2007; 82:1003-10.
363	11.	MacGlashan DW, Jr., Ishmael S, Macdonald SM, Langdon JM, Arm JP, Sloane
364		DE. Induced Loss of Syk in Human Basophils by Non-IgE-Dependent Stimuli.
365		Immunol 2008; 180:4208-17.
366	12.	Macglashan D, Jr., Moore G, Muchhal U. Regulation of IgE-mediated signalling
367		in human basophils by CD32b and its role in Syk down-regulation: basic
368		mechanisms in allergic disease. Clin Exp Allergy 2014; 44:713-23.
369	13.	MacGlashan D, Jr. Subthreshold desensitization of human basophils re-
370		capitulates the loss of Syk and FcepsilonRI expression characterized by other
371		methods of desensitization. Clin Exp Allergy 2012; 42:1060-70.
372	14.	Lavens-Phillips SE, Miura K, MacGlashan DW, Jr. Pharmacology of IgE-
373		mediated desensitization of human basophils: Effects of protein kinase C and
374		Src-family kinase inhibitors. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2000; 60:1717-27.
375	15.	MacGlashan DW, Jr., Undem BJ. Inducing an Anergic State in Mast Cells and
376		Basophils without Secretion. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2008; 121:1500-6.
377	16.	MacGlashan D, Jr., Honigberg LA, Smith A, Buggy J, Schroeder JT. Inhibition of
378		IgE-mediated secretion from human basophils with a highly selective
379		Bruton's tyrosine kinase, Btk, inhibitor. Int Immunopharmacol 2011; 11:475-
380		9.
381	17.	Kepley CL. Youssef L. Andrews RP. Wilson BS. Oliver IM. Svk deficiency in
382		nonreleaser basophils. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999; 104:279-84.
383		
384		



# Figure E2











