

# Supplementary Information for

# Obesity-associated exosomal miRNAs modulate glucose and lipid metabolism in mice

Carlos Castaño, Susana Kalko, Anna Novials, Marcelina Párrizas

Corresponding authors Anna Novials: anovials@clinic.cat Marcelina Párrizas: badcell@gmail.com

#### This PDF file includes:

Supplemental materials and methods References for SI reference citations Figs. S1 to S6 Tables S1 to S6

### Supplemental materials and methods

Animal studies. All in vivo studies were performed at the School of Medicine Animal Facilities (University of Barcelona). Procedures were conducted in accordance with principles of laboratory animal care (European and local government guidelines) and approved by the Animal Research Committee of the University of Barcelona (register number:404/13). C57BL/6J male mice were used throughout the study. Mice were fed either standard chow or a high-fat diet (HFD, 45% calories from fat, Open Source Diets) for the periods indicated below. Glucose tolerance was determined by intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IpGTT) after 6h fasting by injecting a dose of 2g/Kg body weight. Tail blood glucose was measured at 0, 15, 30, 60 and 120min by using reactive strips in an Accutrend Glucometer (Roche) (1). Insulin sensitivity was determined by insulin tolerance test (ITT) after 6h fasting by injecting different insulin doses as follows: 0.75U/Kg (HFD-model), 0.35U/Kg (EXO-model) and 0.5U/Kg (siPPARA and MIMICmodels). Tail blood glucose was measured at 0, 15, 30 and 60min as described for the IpGTT. Circulating TG levels were measured in tail blood from 6h fasted mice by using reactive strips in an Accutrend GCT Glucometer (Roche). Circulating FFA levels were determined in 5µl plasma from 6h fasted mice by using NEFA-HR(2) Kit (Wako) by following the instructions of the manufacturer. Plasma was obtained from 10µl of tail blood centrifuged at 1,500xg for 20 min (4°C). Hepatic FFA content was measured in 10mg of homogenized liver by using Free Fatty Acid Quantitation Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) by following the instructions of the manufacturer. Hepatic TG content was measured by a colorimetric method in liver extracts. Briefly, 100mg tissue was powdered in liquid N<sub>2</sub> and digested for 1h at 70°C in 100  $\mu$ I KOH 3M prepared in ethanol 65%. Samples were then incubated o/n at 37°C in a water bath and neutralized by addition of Tris-HCI 2M to achieve a final concentration of 50mM. An aliquot of each sample was then measured using a Triglyceride quantification kit (Spinreact). At the sacrifice, 1ml of blood was obtained by cardiac puncture with 6% EDTA and used for exosome isolation and miRNA analysis. Selected tissues (liver, the gastrocnemius-soleus muscle complex and the epididymal and subcutaneous adipose tissues) were dissected, weighed and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for posterior RNA analysis where appropriate.

**Experimental animal models.** HFD: 8-week old mice were maintained in either standard chow or a HFD for 15 weeks. EXO: 8-week old mice were injected through the tail vein with a 100µl PBS suspension containing 5µg exosomes isolated from plasma of either control or HFD mice. Injections took place biweekly during 4 weeks for a total of 8 injections. Half of the mice in each

of the groups were administered HFD during the next 4 weeks while maintaining the exosome injections. MIMIC: 11-week old mice were injected through the tail vein with a 100 $\mu$ l PBS suspension containing 25ug exosomes isolated from plasma of lean mice and transfected with either a negative control (cel-miR-39-3p) or with a cocktail of artificial miRNA mimics (miR-192, miR-122, miR-27a-3p and miR-27b-3p). Injections took place biweekly during 4 weeks for a total of 8 injections. A new cohort of mimic-injected mice was simultaneously administered with lipolysis inhibitor Acipimox dissolved in tap water (100mg/Kg/day, Sigma-Aldrich) or PPARa agonist Fenofibrate dissolved in 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose (50mg/Kg/day, both from Sigma-Aldrich) by oral gavage daily from Monday to Friday. A group of mimic-treated mice were treated with the equivalent volume of 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose as a control, but as the results were indistinguishable from the mimic group, these data are not presented. siPPARA: 11-week old mice were injected through the tail vein with a  $100\mu$ l PBS suspension containing  $25\mu$ g exosomes isolated from plasma of lean mice and transfected with a non-targeting siRNA or with a siRNA targeting *Ppara*. Two injections were performed during a week. A new cohort of both control and treated siPPARA mice was simultaneously administered with Acipimox (100mg/Kg/day) dissolved in drinking water. A third cohort was injected and sacrificed 48h afterwards. Biodistribution studies: 13-week old mice were injected once through the tail vein with a 200 µl PBS suspension containing 50µg exosomes isolated from plasma of lean mice and transfected with a non-mammalian miRNA (cel-miR-39-3p) or PBS and sacrificed 4h afterwards for Real time RT-PCR analysis. A second cohort of mice was injected intravenously with either 100µg PKH67-labelled exosomes or PBS as a negative control 6h prior to sacrifice for immunohistochemistry analysis in eWAT and liver. Adipocytes and hepatocytes were isolated as described (2, 3) and used to study mimic accumulation and Ppara expression by Real time RT-PCR.

**Exosome isolation, quantification and fluorescent labeling.** Exosomes were isolated from 500µl mouse plasma by sequential centrifugation (4). Briefly, plasma was diluted with an equal volume of PBS and samples were sequentially centrifuged at 2,000xg for 30min (4°C), 10,000xg for 45min (4°C), filtered through a 0.22µm syringe filter and ultracentrifuged o/n at 120,000xg (4°C) in a S110AT rotor in a Sorvall MX 150 ultracentrifuge (*Thermo Scientific Inc*). Pellets were resuspended in PBS and ultracentrifuged again at 120,000xg for 3h (4°C). The final pellets were resuspended in 100µl PBS. Total exosome protein was quantified by Bradford Assay (*Sigma*) and equal volumes (9µl) were resolved by 9% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane

(Millipore). Anti-CD63 (H-193) (sc-15363, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was diluted 1/250 in TBS (20mM Tris, 150mM NaCl, pH7.5) supplemented with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and visualized by blotting with HRP-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences NA934V). Chemiluminiscence was detected by using the ECL Plus Reagents (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences), in a LAS4000 Lumi-Imager (Fuji Photo Film Inc.). Vesicle morphology was analyzed after negative staining by using transmission electron microscopy. Briefly, 30µl of exosome samples diluted 1/10 to 1/20 with PBS were allowed to dry on top of Formvar carboncoated grids for 25min and contrasted with 2% uranyl acetate for 2min. Preparations were observed in a JEOL 1010 100kV Electron Microscope. Diameter size and concentration of vesicles was determined using NanoSight LM10 equipment (Malvern) using different dilutions (1/10 to 1/50) and the following parameters: camera at 30 frames per second (FPS), camera level at 16, temperature between 21-25 °C and video recording time 60 s. Nanosight NTA Software analyzed raw data videos by triplicate. Complementary analysis by measuring the esterase activity known to be within exosomes were also performed in 10µl of exosomes in suspension by using Exocet Exosome Quantification Assay Kit (SBI System Biosciences) by following the instructions of the manufacturer. Exosomes were fluorescently labeled with the PKH67 Fluorescent Cell Linker Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Briefly, aliquots up to 25µl exosomes were diluted with Diluent C and mixed with 4µl PKH67 dye. Incubation was stopped by adding exosome-free fetal bovine serum (FBS) and ultracentrifuging at 120,000xg for 3h (4°C). Pellets were resuspended in exosome-free FBS and ultracentrifuged again at 120,000xg for 3h (4°C). The final pellets were resuspended in 100µl PBS.

**Exosome transfections.** All the exosomes used for the different transfections were isolated from plasma of control mice and mixed in a pool to ensure consistency. For fluorescent miRNA mimic transfection,  $50\mu g$  exosomes isolated from control mice were transfected with 370pmol fluorescent miRNA mimic by using Exo-Fect<sup>TM</sup> Exosome Transfection Reagent (*SBI System Biosciences*) and following the instructions of the manufacturer. For MIMIC mice model,  $50\mu g$  exosomes isolated from control mice were transfected with 250pmol negative control (*cel-miR-39-3p*) or with a cocktail of 250pmol artificial miRNA mimics (*miR-192, miR-122, miR-27a-3p* and *miR-27b-3p*) by using Exo-Fect<sup>TM</sup> Exosome Transfection Reagent. Each transfection was enough for 2 injections. For siPPARA mice model,  $50\mu g$  exosomes isolated from control mice were transfected with 380pmol siRNA targeting *Ppara* or 380pmol non-targeting siRNA by using Exo-Fect<sup>TM</sup> Exosome Transfection was enough for 2 injections. See Table S5 for sequences and references.

*In vitro* capture of labelled exosomes. 3T3-L1 cells (ATCC, CL-173) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (*Sigma-Aldrich*) supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics. Cells were trypsinized and distributed into 5x10<sup>4</sup>cells/well. Cells were incubated for 24h with 7µg/ml PKH67-labelled exosomes or PBS as a negative control. 3T3-L1 cells also were incubated for 24h with 7µg/ml exosomes transfected with fluorescent miRNA mimic, or were transfected with 15pmol fluorescent miRNA mimic naked in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS ultracentrifuged for exosome depletion. Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 10min, washed with PBS and nuclei were stained with 1:300 Hoestch in PBS for 3 min. The preparations were mounted and coverslipped using FA mounting fluid (*Dako*). Images were collected using TCS SPE confocal microscope (*Leica*) and processed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 (*Adobe Systems Inc.*) and ImageJ FIJI (5).

**Cell culture and mimic/siRNA transfection.** 3T3-L1 cells were transfected with 8pmol negative control or with the 4 selected obesity-associated miRNA mimics (2pmol for each miRNA) individually or in combination by using Metafectene Pro (*Biontex*) at a 1:3 weight ratio with the siRNA/miRNA (6). In the case of siRNA transfection, cells were transfected with 60nM siPPARA or a scrambled control siRNA (*Applied Biosystems*). After 48h, cells were collected for RNA extraction and analysis as described below.

**RNA isolation and Real time RT-PCR.** For exosomal miRNA analysis, total RNA was extracted from 10µl isolated exosomes with miRNeasy Mini Kit (*Qiagen*). An equal volume of each RNA sample was retrotranscribed by using mircury LNA<sup>™</sup> Universal RT microRNA PCR (*Exiqon*). A miRNA profiling of 378 miRNAs was performed by Real time RT-PCR using predesigned panels with LNA specific primers (*Exiqon*) in a 7900HT Fast Thermocycler (*Applied Biosystems*). Differential expression was determined with GenEx Software (*Exiqon*) by normalizing to the mean Ct of the whole plate. In the case of tissue and cell samples, total RNA was extracted by using the miRNAeasy kit (*Qiagen*). For mRNA expression 500ng were analyzed by RT-PCR using the High-Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit (*Applied Biosystems*) and house-made primers Results were normalized by housekeeping *actb*, and *hprt*. For miRNA expression in tissues, 5ng total RNA were retrotranscribed with mircury LNA<sup>™</sup> Universal RT kit and analyzed by Real time PCR using commercial SYBRGreen primers (Exiqon). Data were normalized to *RNU5G* values. Results are presented as fold change values calculated as 2<sup>-ddCt</sup>, after further normalizing the dCt of the case samples with the mean dCt of the control samples (7). Heat

maps of gene expression in liver and eWAT representing log2 of fold change were created by using Plotly Software (<u>https://plot.ly</u>). See Table S6 for primer sequences and references.

**Western blotting.** Total protein was extracted from eWAT samples using RIPA lysis buffer. Appropriate protease and phosphatase inhibitors were added fresh to the lysis buffer. Equal amounts (35µg) quantified by Bradford Assay (*Sigma*) were resolved by 9% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (*Millipore*). Mitoprofiler antibody (*MitoSciences-Abcam* ab110413) was diluted 1/1000 in TBS-BSA and visualized by blotting with HRP-conjugated secondary anti-mouse antibody (*GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences* NA931V). Anti-actin (*Sigma* 20-33) was diluted 1/1000 in TBS-BSA and visualized by blotting with HRP-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody. Chemiluminiscence was detected using the ECL Plus Reagents (*GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences*), in a LAS4000 Lumi-Imager (*Fuji Photo Film Inc.*).

Immunohistochemistry. Tissues were fixed in 4% PFA o/n at 4°C. Liver was transferred to 30% sucrose in PBS for 24h at 4°C and embedded in OCT. 10µm sections were obtained with a CM1860 cryostat (Leica) and applied to poly-lysine coated slides. eWAT was embedded in paraffin and 5µm sections were obtained with a RM2135 microtome (Leica) and applied to polylysine coated slides. H&E and Oil Red staining were performed by following the protocols at IHCWorld (http://www.ihcworld.com). Images were collected using a BX41TF Microscope (Olympus) and processed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 (Adobe Systems Inc., San José, CA) and ImageJ FIJI (5). Macrophage infiltration of eWAT was determined with anti-F4/80 antibody (Abcam) staining of paraffin sections following the instructions of the manufacturer. Briefly, dewaxed sections were incubated with 20 µg/ml Proteinase K solution in TE buffer for 3 min at RT and rinsed with PBS. Sections were then incubated o/n at 4°C in a wet chamber with 1:100 anti-F4/80 in antibody diluent (*Dako*) followed by 1:250 donkey anti-rat-Cy3 secondary antibody for 2h at RT in the dark (Jackson Immunoresearch). To study biodistribution of PKH67-labelled exosomes, liver was fixed in 4% PFA o/n at 4°C, transferred to 30% sucrose in PBS for 24h at 4°C and embedded in OCT. 10 μm sections were obtained with a CM1860 cryostat (Leica) and applied to poly-lysine coated slides. Slides were then washed with PBS and nuclei were stained with 1:300 Hoestch in PBS for 3min, and the preparations were mounted and coverslipped using FA mounting fluid (Dako). In the case of eWAT, tissue was finely minced with scissors, fixed in 4% PFA for 10min and then washed with PBS and nuclei were stained with 1:300 Hoestch in PBS for 3min. Preparations were mounted and coverslipped using FA mounting fluid (Dako).

Images were collected using TCS SPE confocal microscope (*Leica*) and processed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 (*Adobe Systems Inc.*, San José, CA) and ImageJ FIJI (5).

**Statistical analyses.** Differences between groups were determined by either t-test analysis when only two groups were compared or by One-way ANOVA with t-test analysis for the pair wise comparison of 3 or more groups with different number of values. Asterisks indicate significance with respect to control group, unless otherwise specified. Correlation analyses were performed by Pearson regression.

## References

- Alcarraz-Vizan G *et al.* (2017) BACE2 suppression promotes β-cell survival and function in a model of type 2 diabetes induced by human islet amyloid polypeptide overexpression. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 74(15):2827–2838.
- 2. Parrizas M *et al.* (2001) Hepatic nuclear factor 1-α directs nucleosomal hyperacetylation to its tissue-specific transcriptional targets. *Mol Cell Biol* 21(9):3234–3243.
- Musri MM, Corominola H, Casamitjana R, Gomis R, Parrizas M (2006) Histone H3K4 dimethylation signals the transcriptional competence of the adiponectin promoter in preadipocytes. *J Biol Chem* 281(25):17180–17188.
- 4. Lobb RJ *et al.* (2015) Optimized exosome isolation protocol for cell culture supernatant and human plasma. *J Extracell vesicles* 4:27031.
- 5. Schindelin J *et al.* (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9(7):676–682.
- 6. Musri MM *et al.* (2010) Histone demethylase LSD1 regulates adipogenesis. *J Biol Chem* 285(39):30034–30041.
- 7. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-ddC(T)) Method. *Methods* 25(4):402–408.



Fig. S1. Diet-induced central obesity changes the profile of circulating exosomal miRNAs. (A-D) ITT (0.75U/Kg) (A), food intake (B), body weight (C) and ratio between the weight of epididymal fat and body weight (D) in C57B6J mice after 15 weeks of HFD feeding. (E-F) Representative Oil Red staining of liver sections (E) and TG quantification in the liver of chow-fed and HFD mice (F). (G-H) Correlation between the glycaemia AUC obtained from the IpGTT and either body weight (G) or percentage of eWAT (H). (I-J) Plasma TG (I) and FFA (J) concentrations from chow-fed and HFD mice. (K-M) Real time RT-PCR profiling of the miRNA content of lean and obese plasma exosomes. Box plots of selected invariant, decreased and increased miRNAs (K), principal component analysis (L), and heat map showing Pearson correlation coefficients between differentially expressed miRNAs across all samples (M). (N) Obesity-associated miRNA expression in eWAT and liver tissues of the mice described in (A-D). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. n=10 per group (A,B); n=8 per group (C); n=5 per group (D); n=2 per group (E); n=4 per group (F, J-M); n=14 per group (G,H); n=5 per group (I); at least n=4 per group (N). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*\*p<0.001, Student's t-test. 8



**Fig. S2. Exosomes from obese mice induce glucose intolerance in lean mice.** (A-B) ITT (0.175U/Kg) of chow-fed mice after 4 weeks of biweekly systemic injections of obese exosomes (A) and glucose values at T0 and T15 obtained from the ITT. (C-G) Food intake (C), body weight (D), ratio between the weight of epididymal fat and body weight (E), liver TG content (F), and glycaemia AUC from IpGTT (G), in C57B6J mice after 8 weeks of biweekly systemic injections of obese exosomes, with HFD feeding during the last 4 weeks. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. n=10 per group (A-B); n=5 per group, except n=4 CT-HFD (C-D, G); n=3 CT and EXO, n=4 CT-HFD and n=5 E-HFD (E); n=3 per group (F). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*\*p<0.001 with respect to CT group unless otherwise indicated, Student's t-test.



**Fig. S3. Exosomes transfected with obesity-associated miRNAs induce glucose intolerance dissociated from obesity.** (A-B) Body weight (A) and ratio between the weight of epididymal fat and body weight (B) from chow-fed mice after 4 weeks of injections of exosomes loaded with mimics of 4 miRNAs enriched in obese exosomes. (C) Representative image of the eWAT of mimic-treated mice. (D) Glucose values at T0 and T15 obtained from the ITT (0.175U/Kg) shown in Fig. 3D. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. n=5 per group (A-D). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, Student's t-test.



**Fig. S4. Mimic treatment induces eWAT inflammation and hepatic steatosis.** (A) mRNA expression level of *Ppar* family members in 3T3-L1 cells after transfection with individual selected obesity-associated miRNA mimics. (B-C) Representative micrograph showing staining of F4/80 in adipose tissue sections (B) and mRNA expression level of *Itgax* in eWAT (C) from chow-fed mice after 4 weeks of injections of exosomes loaded with mimics of 4 miRNAs enriched in obese exosomes. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. At least n=6 per condition (A); n=4 per group (B-C). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.005, Student's t-test.







**Fig. S6. Decreasing FFA plasma levels partially reverts the pathologic phenotype.** (A-B) Plasma FFA (A) and TG (B) concentrations from chow-fed mice after 2 injections of exosomes loaded with siPPARA siRNA and simultaneously treated with ACX dissolved in drinking water. (C-D) IpGTT (C) and ITT (0.5U/Kg) (D) in the mice described in (A-B). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. n=14 CT, n=5 ACX, n=9 siPPARA and n=5 siPPARA+ACX (A-B); n=5 per group (C); n=10 CT, n=5 ACX, n=5 siPPARA and n=5 siPPARA+ACX (D). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*\*p<0.001 with respect to CT group unless otherwise indicated, Student's t-test.

		Fasting glycaemia (mg/dL)	Glycaemia AUC x10 <sup>3</sup> (mq/dL/min)	Body weight (g)	Liver weight (g)	eWAT weight (g)	scWAT weight (g)	eWAT/scWAT ratio	Muscle (g) gastroc+soleus
EXP HFD	CHOW HFD	61.6 ± 4.3 122.6 ± 14.3	18.2 ± 1.2 27.9 ± 2.6	29.4 ± 1.5 <b>38.7 ± 1.0</b>	1.50 ± 0.10 1.70 ± 0.10	0.60 ± 0.10 <b>1.83 ± 0.10</b>	0.42 ± 0.05 <b>1.99 ± 0.14</b>	1.36 ± 0.10 <b>0.94 ± 0.10</b>	$0.30 \pm 0.02$ $0.30 \pm 0.02$
EXP EXO-HFD	CT CT-HFD EXO EXO-HFD	136.8 ± 5.5 158.5 ± 14.3 110.8 ± 8.3 160.2 ± 11.5	5.6 ± 0.7 9.0 ± 2.6 11.8 ± 2.3 22.6 ± 4.6	24.6 ± 0.2 27.0 ± 0.9 27.4 ± 0.8 29.9 ± 1.1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20 \pm 0.10 \\ 1.18 \pm 0.10 \\ 1.16 \pm 0.20 \\ 1.14 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$	0.28 ± 0.03 0.50 ± 0.06 0.32 ± 0.03 0.71 ± 0.08	0.27 ± 0.01 0.33 ± 0.03 0.32 ± 0.01 0.47± 0.05	1.0 ± 0.06 <b>1.5 ± 0.06</b> 1.0 ± 0.10 <b>1.5 ± 0.12</b>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.23 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.23 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.26 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$
EXP MIMIC	CT MIMIC	163.2 ± 12.5 173.4 ± 12.1	9.6 ± 1.1 <b>16.1 ± 2.0</b>	30.7 ± 0.3 30.9 ± 1.1	1.33 ± 0.08 1.26 ± 0.07	0.69 ± 0.02 0.89 ± 0.07	0.46 ± 0.02 0.57 ± 0.06	1.56 ± 0.07 1.66 ± 0.13	0.30 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.01
EXP MIMIC2	CT MIMIC MIMIC+ACX MIMIC+FF	$159.4 \pm 8.0$ $150.8 \pm 6.6$ $151.0 \pm 3.6$ $153.6 \pm 9.6$	3.1 ± 0.5 <b>9.6 ± 0.6</b> 3.4 ± 0.5 2.1 ± 1.1	29.7 ±1.2 29.6 ±0.7 28.1 ±0.4 28.3 ±0.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.33 \pm 0.07 \\ 1.40 \pm 0.03 \\ 1.30 \pm 0.03 \\ 1.34 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	0.47 ± 0.05 <b>0.71 ± 0.08</b> 0.55 ± 0.02 0.47 ± 0.05	0.27 ± 0.02 0.38 ± 0.03 0.34 ± 0.01 0.30 ± 0.04	$\begin{array}{c} 1.74 \pm 0.11 \\ 1.88 \pm 0.14 \\ 1.63 \pm 0.05 \\ 1.62 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$	0.33 ±0.02 0.30 ±0.01 0.31 ±0.01 0.30 ±0.01
EXP siPPARA	CT ACX siPPARA siPPARA+ACX	116.0 ± 8.6 <b>151.0 ± 7.3</b> 146.0 ± 6.7 132.0 ± 12.6	10.7 ± 2.1 9.7 ± 1.2 <b>16.3 ± 2.4</b> 12.3 ± 1.1	$28.1 \pm 0.4 27.8 \pm 0.7 28.4 \pm 0.5 28.3 \pm 0.4$	1.45 ± 0.07 1.48 ± 0.09 1.66 ± 0.10 <b>1.73 ± 0.10</b>	0.23 ± 0.02 0.42 ± 0.06 0.36 ± 0.03 0.39 ± 0.05	0.21 ± 0.01 ND 0.24 ± 0.03 0.27 ± 0.04	1.2 ± 0.07 ND 1.61 ± 0.13 1.49 ± 0.07	0.26 ± 0.01 ND 0.28 ± 0.02 0.30 ± 0.05

**Table S1. Experimental groups.** Parameters related to glucose tolerance and body composition for the different mouse models analyzed. Boldface indicates at least p<0.05 as compared with the respective control groups. ND: Not determined. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

**Table S2. Exosomal miRNA profiling.** Results of the real time RT-PCR miRNA profiling of exosomes isolated from chow-fed control and HFD mice plasma (n=4 samples/group).

miRNA	Fold change CHOW/HFD	Difference (A-B log scale)	P-Value
miR-122-5p	-19.14532	-4.25892	0.00047329
miR-194-5p	-7.59782	-2.92559	0.00353313
miR-192-5p	-6.97126	-2.80142	0.03284819
miR-22-3p	-5.51714	-2.46392	0.00472715
miR-101b-3p	-5.31998	-2.41142	0.01194403
miR-484	-3.78796	-1.92142	0.04629613
miR-30e-5p	-3.42575	-1.77642	0.04009519
miR-101a-3p	-3.2635	-1.70642	0.01308352
miR-21a-5p	-3.23535	-1.69392	0.02688733
miR-27a-3p	-2.59174	-1.37392	0.02321927
miR-222-3p	-2.39732	-1.26142	0.00280695
miR-378a-3p	-1.99504	-0.99642	0.02138253
miR-532-5p	-1.86144	-0.89642	0.02692559
miR-328-3p	-1.82629	-0.86892	0.03104197
miR-142-3p	1.71247	0.77608	0.03527462
miR-214-3p	2.00961	1.00691	0.03947225
let-7a-5p	2.68716	1.42608	0.01508044
miR-103-3p	3.23645	1.69441	0.0214863
miR-297a-5p	3.82665	1.93608	0.03226725
let-7d-5p	4.21173	2.07441	0.02347649
miR-26b-5p	4.75215	2.24858	0.04133171
miR-375-3p	5.20025	2.37858	0.02239404
miR-540-3p	7.89121	2.98025	0.01431059
U6 snRNA	12.96078	3.69608	0.04875204
RNU1A1	5.05805	2.33858	0.05220366
miR-202-3p	10.99351	3.45858	0.05538575
miR-27b-3p	-1.99159	-0.99392	0.05604487
miR-574-3p	-3.84083	-1.94142	0.05806141
miR-18a-3p	2.22594	1.15441	0.06938457
rno-miR-146b-5p	2.71367	1.44025	0.07255721
miR-125a-5p	3.47878	1.79858	0.07568019
miR-29a-3p	-2.69712	-1.43142	0.0915877
miR-146a-5p	-1.8679	-0.90142	0.09457178
miR-187-3p	5.23037	2.38691	0.09787929
miR-29b-3p	-2.12346	-1.08642	0.09891505
miR-30b-5p	-1.3603	-0.44392	0.09911454
miR-489-3p	2.87006	1.52108	0.10622981
rno-miR-214-3p	2.2714	1.18358	0.1132423
miR-23a-3p	-1.90715	-0.93142	0.12299883
miR-200b-3p	2.32583	1.21775	0.13073063
miR-148a-3p	-2.71588	-1.44142	0.13089863
miR-24-3p	-1.81998	-0.86392	0.13127296
let-7g-5p	1.50116	0.58608	0.1339603
miR-15a-5p	-2.45193	-1.29392	0.13509952
miR-142-5p	-1.65166	-0.72392	0.13765375
miR-107-3p	1.97851	0.98441	0.13793932
miR-140-5p	1.8707	0.90358	0.13958098

miR-376c-3p	2.55103	1.35108	0.14941205
miR-23b-3p	-1.76408	-0.81892	0.14984889
miR-19a-3p	-1.58988	-0.66892	0.15586609
miR-106b-5p	-1.67762	-0.74642	0.18458018
miR-185-5p	1.7596	0.81525	0.21608726
rno-miR-208a-3p	2.3071	1.20608	0.21751361
, miR-320-3p	-2.05113	-1.03642	0.22594986
miR-191-5p	-1.51458	-0.59892	0.24812553
miR-144-3p	-1.79181	-0.84142	0.278872
miR-193b-3p	2.11196	1.07858	0.28628917
miR-322-5p	-1.65453	-0.72642	0.34209411
miR-125b-5p	1.25578	0.32858	0.34269414
miR-25-3p	-1.67472	-0.74392	0.3522066
miR-758-3p	2.00497	1.00358	0.3547427
miR-151-3p	1.79553	0.84441	0.35839148
miR-145a-5p	-1.37451	-0.45892	0.36404507
miR-29c-3p	-1.69516	-0.76142	0.36902513
miR-18a-5p	1.5029	0.58775	0.37683357
miR-365-3p	-1.73078	-0.79142	0.38376366
rno-miR-143-3p	1.2471	0.31858	0.39545821
miR-221-3p	-1.67472	-0.74392	0.42023894
miR-130a-3p	-1.76408	-0.81892	0.42625515
miR-30a-5p	-1.59725	-0.67559	0.43380765
miR-199a-3p	1.12201	0.16608	0.44655439
miR-20a-5p	-1.51721	-0.60142	0.45028195
miR-350-3p	1.50899	0.59358	0.45165893
miR-30c-5p	-1.12227	-0.16642	0.46202313
miR-451a	-1.35794	-0.44142	0.47235919
miR-3107-5p	-1.43288	-0.51892	0.47427613
miR-1a-3p	1.45254	0.53858	0.49636082
miR-423-5p	-1.44953	-0.53559	0.51552718
miR-149-5p	1.48564	0.57108	0.51608069
miR-223-3p	-1.18421	-0.24392	0.53643725
miR-1486-3p	-1.41806	-0.50392	0.56598704
miR-205-5p	-1.26043	-0.33392	0.58835016
miR-19b-3p	-1.68637	-0.75392	0.59233825
miR-139-5p	-1.21961	-0.28642	0.60974373
тіR-16-5р	-1.20909	-0.27392	0.61293538
miR-301-3ρ miD 425 5p	-1.14189	-0.19142	0.62891038
miR-425-5p miP-150-5p	1.2/331	0.34636	0.0323003
miB 1050 5p	-1.19030	-0.23142	0.00220099
miR 142 2n	1.10002	0.15030	0.00440040
miP_1262_2n	-1.11452	-0.13042	0.0707174
miP-120a-5p	1 16763	0.17392	0.07005301
miR-425-5p	1.10703	0.22550	0.70230034
rno-miP-223-3n	-1 10202	-0 1/1/2	0.7040070
miP-03-5p	-1.10299	-0.14142	0.71565538
miR-002-5p	1 15956	0.21358	0.74305530
let-7i-5n	1 09892	0 13608	0.74090329
miR-210-3n	1 11619	0 15858	0 76257545
miR-10b-5p	1 12071	0 16441	0 79625444
miR-15b-5n	1 06887	0.09608	0 80492377
miR-301a-3n	1 13635	0 18441	0 80622759
miR-676-3n	-1 10938	-0 14975	0 81499887
miR-342-3p	-1.09727	-0.13392	0.83841979
··/-			

miR-30d-5p	-1.09727	-0.13392	0.84095652
let-7c-5p	1.06517	0.09108	0.85913002
miR-26a-5p	1.09322	0.12858	0.8906536
miR-152-3p	-1.04833	-0.06809	0.92117586
miR-31-5p	-1.02557	-0.03642	0.96562229
miR-132-3p	-1.01613	-0.02309	0.97352208
miR-215-5p	-1.01145	-0.01642	0.97519844
miR-203-3p	-1.0062	-0.00892	0.98026715
miR-133b-3p	-1.0097	-0.01392	0.98405818
miR-133a-3p	-1.0132	-0.01892	0.98480783
let-7b-5p	1.00597	0.00858	0.98714822
miR-339-5p	-1.00388	-0.00559	> 0.99

**Table S3. Candidate pathways and networks.** Main candidate canonical pathways regulated by the 14 miRNAs up-regulated and 10 miRNAs down-regulated in obese mice exosomes and representative molecules identified.

Up-regulated miRNAs Pathways	p-value	Representative Molecules
Activation of BH3 only proteins	1.07 E-05	Akt1, Akt3, E2f1, Tfdp2, Ywhag, Ywhaz
Circadian clock	2.62 E-05	Ppara, Hif1a, Nr3c1, Sirt1, Cry2, Ccrn4l, Serpine1, Skp1a,
Transcriptional regulation by TP53	2.59 E-04	Socs2, Ccne2, Ccng1, Rictor, Csnk2a1, Jun, Ddit4, Pten, Tnrc6b, Cdk9, Trp53inp1, Cdk13, Pmaip1, Tnfrsf10b, E2f1, Bax, Prdm1, Cdc25c, Cdkn1b
Generic transcription pathway	4.08 E-04	Ppara, Pparg, Ppargc1b, Pten, Socs2, Ccne2, Rictor, Bbc3, Hdac4, Tfdp2, Arid1a, Ddit4, Nr3c1, Trp53inp1, Max, Tcf7, Polr2I, Smarcd1, Setd1b, Runx1
Nuclear receptor transcription	4.69 E-04	Ppara, Pparg, Nr2c1, Pgr
Down-regulated miRNAs Pathways	p-value	Representative Molecules
RUNX3 regulates YAP1- mediated transcription	3.3 E-04	Yap1, Wwtr1
Neurexins and neuroligins	0.006	Grm5, Dlg3, Sharpin, Nrxn1, Lin7a
Regulation of cortical dendrite branching	0.009	Robo2
Transcriptional activation of mitochondrial biogenesis	0.013	Gabpb2, Tgs1, Nrf1
······································		

**Table S4. Candidate pathways and networks.** Main candidate canonical pathways, biological networks and genes targeted in eWAT and liver. Target sites for the selected miRNAs in mRNAs of the *Ppar* family members, as described in the scientific literature.

Ingen I	uity Canonical Pathways	p-value	Molecules		
PXR/R	XR Activation	3.38 E-06	Scd, Cpt1a, Prk	ar2a, Foxo1, Foxo3	
TCA C	ycle II	5.37 E-04	Sdha, Cs, Idh3g	g, Aco2, Idh3a, Fh, Ogdh	1
PPAR	Signaling	4.37 E-03	Ppard, Med1, S	os2, Ep300, Fos, II1rn, I	nsr
IGF-1 S	Signaling	3-24 E-03	lgfbp2, Fos, Prl	kci, lgf1, Foxo1, Foxo3, F	-gfbp1
Τορ Νε	etworks	p-	value		
Lipid N	letabolism	2.0	08E-02- 1.85E-03	3	
Molecu	ular Transport	2.0	08E-02- 1.85E-03	3	
Small I	Molecule Bioche	mistry 2.0	08E-02- 1.85E-03	3	
Gene	miR-122-5p	miR-1	92-5p	miR-27a/b-3p	Refs
Ppara				5'TAGACAAAGACAGG ATGAGCCCT3'	(1)
Ppard	5'AGCCUACUCA ACUCC3'	CAAC			(2)
Pparg		5'CGG AGTC1	AGACAGACATG TT3'	5'CAGGAAAGTCCCAC CCGCTGACAA3' 5'TAAGAAATTTACTGT GAA3'	(1,3)

#### References

- 1. Sun L, Trajkovski M (2014) *MiR-27* orchestrates the transcriptional regulation of brown adipogenesis. Metabolism 63(2):272–282.
- 2. Gatfield D *et al.* (2009) Integration of microRNA *miR-122* in hepatic circadian gene expression. Genes Dev 23(11):1313–1326.
- 3. Wang J et al. (2012) Cardiomyocyte overexpression of miR-27b induces cardiac hypertrophy and dysfunction in mice. Cell Res 22(3):516–527.

**Table S5. Mimics and siRNAs.** References and sequences of the miRNA mimics (*Exiqon*) and siRNA duplexes (*Applied Biosystems*) used throughout the study.

miR	NA mimic	Ref. ( <i>Exiqon</i> )	Batch number
miR-122-	5p LNA mim	ic 470430-001	623673
miR-192-	5p LNA mim	ic 471355-001	623676
miR-27a-	3p LNA mim	ic 471338-001	623675
miR-27b-	-3p LNA mim	ic 470553-001	623674
cel-miR-3	9-3p LNA mii	mic 479902-001	623677
siRNA	Ref. ( <i>ABI)</i>	Sense strand	Antisense strand
siC	AM463-6	UAAGGCUAUGAAGAGAUACUU	AAGUAUCUCUUCAUAGCCUUA
siPPARA	S72003	GGAAAGUCCCUUAUCUGAA	UUCAGAUAAGGGACUUUCC

**Table S6. Real time RT-PCR primers.** Sequences of the SYBRGreen primers used throughout the study are shown. When commercial primers were used, the specific reference (*Exiqon*) is presented.

Gene	Forward	Reverse
Actb	TCAGCAAGCAGGAGTACGATG	AACGCAGCTCAGTAACAGTCC
Ccl2	CTGGAGCATCCACGTGTTGG	TGGTGAATGAGTAGCAGCAGG
Cd36	TTGTGGCCTTGCACTCTCTC	AACCATCCACCAGTTGCTCC
Cpt1a	ACACCATCCACGCCATACTG	GCAGAGCAGAGGGGAATTGT
Fads1	CGGGTCATCAGCCACTACG	GACCCTTGTTGATGTGGAATGC
Fgf21	AATCCTGGGTGTCAAAGCCTC	AGGCCTCAGGATCAAAGTGAG
Hprt	TGCCGAGGATTTGGAAAAAGTG	TGGCCTCCCATCTCCTTCAT
Lipe	CTTCCAGTTCACACCTGCCA	CGTTGCGTTTGTAGTGCTCC
Plin2	GTCCCTCAGCTCTCCTGTTA	CCACTCTCATCACCACGCT
Pnpla2	AACGCCACTCACATCTACGG	AATGTTGGCACCTGCTTCAC
Ppara	TTGTGGCTGGTCAAGTTCGG	GCTCTCTGTGTCCACCATGT
Ppard	CTTCCACTACGGGGTCCAC	TCGAGCTTCATGCGGATTGT
Pparg2	CTGCCTATGAGCACTTCACAA	ATGCGAGTGGTCTTCCATCA
Tnf	GATCGGTCCCCAAAGGGATG	GGCTACAGGCTTGTCACTCG
miRNA	Ref. ( <i>Exigon</i> )	

203952	
204099	
205664	
206038	
203908	
	203952 204099 205664 206038 203908