Supplementary information



Supplementary Figure 1

Ketamine treatment has no effect on spine elimination in non-stress mice.

(**a**, **b**) Rate of spine formation and elimination in control (n = 6, 929 spines) and KET-r (n = 5, 588 spines) groups under non-stress condition. Repeated ketamine treatment (KET-r) increased spine formation at day 2 (P = 0.0465) but not at day 7 (P = 0.3666) compared to control, and had no effect on spine elimination. Student's *t* test. Data are presented in mean \pm sd.



Repeated ketamine treatment restores RS-induced dendritic spine loss to non-stressed control level.

(**a**, **b**) Rate of spine elimination and spine formation in non-stressed control, RS + KET-s, RS + KET-r groups. There was no significant difference in spine elimination and formation between control and RS + KET-r groups at both day 2 and day 7. RS + KET-s had a significantly higher elimination rate and a significantly lower formation rate compared to control at day 7 but not at day 2. (Elimination: Day 2, P = 0.5291, $F_{(2,14)} = 0.6664$; day 7, P = 0.0023, $F_{(2,14)} = 9.681$. Formation: Day 2, P = 0.2885, $F_{(2,14)} = 1.360$; day 7, P < 0.0312, $F_{(2,14)} = 4.489$.) (**c**) Net change in dendritic spine number after 7 days of RS. There was no significant difference between control and RS + KET-r groups in the net change in spine number, while RS + KET-s showed a significant loss in number of dendritic spines compared to control. P = 0.0019, $F_{(2,14)} = 10.19$. Control: n = 6, 929 spines. RS + KET-s: n = 5, 728 spines. RS + KET-r: n = 6, 892 spines. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared to control, one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's test. Data are presented in mean ± sd.



Ketamine counteracts stress-induced spine elimination under isoflurane anesthesia

(**a**, **b**) Rate of spine elimination and spine formation in RS + Saline (Day 2: n = 6, 721 spines; day 7: n = 4, 518 spines) and RS + KET-r (Day 2: n = 8, 1107 spines; day 7: n = 4, 598 spines) groups under isoflurane anesthesia. Elimination: day 2: P = 0.0480, Student's *t* test; day 7: P = 0.0286, Mann–Whitney *U*-test. *P < 0.05, compared to RS + Saline. Data are presented in mean ± sd.



Confocal images showing expression of DREADD specifically in PV interneurons in L2/3 of the FrA

(a) Schematic showing site of AAV injection at FrA. (b) Left: Representative confocal image of a coronal section taken from the region indicated by the dashed box in (a) showing DREADD-expressing neurons in the FrA. mCherry (red) indicates expression of DREADD vectors in L2/3 and YFP (green) is expressed in apical dendrites of layer 5 pyramidal neurons. Scale bar, 120 μ m. Right: Images showing the selective expression of DREADD vectors (magenta) in PV interneurons (cyan). Scale bar, 50 μ m.



PV interneurons excitation mimics ketamine's anxiolytic effect in stressed mice in EPM Graph showing the percentage of entries into open arms in EPM in the 14-RS + Saline (n = 9), 14-RS + Ket (n = 10), 14-RS + CNO (n = 9) and 14-RS + PV-Ex (n = 11) and naïve control (n = 8) groups. 14-RS mice were restraint stressed daily for 14 days from P30, and tested on the day following the last day of stress (P44). For PV-Ex, AAV carrying vector for Credependent hM3D(Gq) was injected bilaterally into FrA of *PV*-Cre/*Thy1*-YFPH mice at P20. Saline, ketamine or CNO was administered one hour before the start of EPM test. *P* = 0.0140, $F_{(4, 42)} = 3.547$, one-way ANOVA. **P* < 0.05 compared to 14-RS + Saline, post-hoc Dunnett's test. Data are presented in mean ± sem. **Supplementary Table 1.** Analysis details for dendritic spine imaging, including the number of dendritic spine and dendritic branch analyzed, and the number and sex of animal used in different groups at different imaging intervals.

Imaging	Group	Number of analyzed	Number of mice
interval		dendritic spines, dendritic	(Male, Female)
(days)	Control	branches on Day 0	6 (3 3)
2/7		929,00	6(3,3)
2/7	ND 2d DC 5d Decement	504.27	0(3,3)
/		304,37	4(3,1)
2/7	RS+Saline	774, 52	5 (1,4)
2/7	RS+Ket-s	/28, 48	5 (2,3)
2/7	RS+Ket-r	892,65	6 (3,3)
2/7	Ket-r	588, 47	5 (3,2)
2/4	Pre-stress+Saline	735, 51	6 (1,5)
2/4	Pre-stress+Ket-r	830, 56	6 (3,3)
2	RS+Vector+Saline	1439, 94	10 (6,4)
2/7	RS+CNO	946, 58	6 (6,0)
2	RS+PV-Ex	1384, 93	10 (6,4)
2	RS+PV-In	1264, 87	9 (6,3)
2	PV-Ex	615, 53	5 (4,1)
2	PV-In	472, 36	4 (3,1)
2	RS+PV-Ex+Ket-r	777, 49	5 (0,5)
2	RS+PV-In+Ket-r	638, 50	5 (3,2)
2	RS+SST-In	696, 50	5 (3,2)
2	RS+VIP-In	789, 51	6 (6,0)
7	RS+Vector+Saline	768, 54	6 (4,2)
7	RS+PV-Ex	837, 60	6 (4,2)
7	RS+PV-In	743, 51	5 (3,2)
7	RS+SST-In	676, 49	5 (3,2)
7	RS+VIP-In	744, 50	6 (5,1)
2	(Isoflurane) RS+Saline	721, 53	6 (4,2)
2	(Isoflurane) RS+Ket-r	1107, 76	8 (7,1)
7	(Isoflurane) RS+Saline	518, 35	4 (3,1)
7	(Isoflurane) RS+Ket-r	598,39	4 (3,1)

Supplementary Table 2. Analysis details for PV bouton imaging, including the number of

Imaging interval	Group	Number of analyzed PV boutons on Day 0	Number of mice (Male, Female)
(days)			
1/2/5/7	Control	1131	5 (3,2)
1/2/5/7	RS+Saline	1429	6 (3,3)
1/2/5/7	RS+Ket-r	953	5 (2,3)

PV bouton analyzed and the number and sex of animals used in different groups.

Supplementary Table 3. Table showing the number the number and gender of animals used

in different groups in behavioral test.

Group	Number of mice (Male, Female)
RS+Saline	9 (9, 0)
RS+Ket	10 (5, 5)
RS+CNO	9 (5, 4)
RS+PV-Ex	11 (7, 4)
Naïve control	8 (4, 4)

Figure	Test	<i>p</i> -value	Post-hoc	<i>p</i> -value (4 decimal
1	TT · 1	D 0 D 0 0045		places)
Ic	t-test	Day 2: $P = 0.0045$	NA	NA
1c	Mann– Whitney	Day 7: $P = 0.0022$	NA	NA
1d	Unpaired t-test	Day 2: $P = 0.0179$ Day 7: $P = 0.0010$	NA	NA
1e	Unpaired t-test	P = 0.0005	NA	NA
1f	Unpaired t-test	<i>P</i> = 0.0006	NA	NA
1g	Unpaired t-test	Mushroom: <i>P</i> < 0.0001	NA	NA
1h	Mann– Whitney test	Mushroom: <i>P</i> = 0.0022	NA	NA
1g	Mann– Whitney test	Stubby: <i>P</i> = 0.1797	NA	NA
1h	Mann– Whitney test	Stubby: <i>P</i> = 0.8182	NA	NA
1g	Unpaired t-test	Thin: $P = 0.6754$	NA	NA
1h	Unpaired t-test	Thin: <i>P</i> = 0.5797	NA	NA
1i	Kruskal- Wallis test	Elimination: P = 0.0004, H = 10.88	Dunn's test	Control vs. RS: P = 0.0229 Control vs. 2d RS + 5d Recovery: $P = 0.0102$
1i	One-way ANOVA	Formation: $P < 0.0001, F_{(2, 13)} = 23.39$	Tukey's test	Control vs. RS: P = 0.0006 Control vs. 2d RS + 5d Recovery: $P < 0.0001$
2c	One-way ANOVA	Day 2: $P = 0.0908, F_{(2, 13)} = 2.901$ Day 7: $P = 0.3535, F_{(2, 13)} = 1.128$	NA	NA
2d	One-way ANOVA	Day 2: $P < 0.0001, F_{(2,13)} = 38.78$ Day 7: $P < 0.0001, F_{(2,13)} = 22.31$	Tukey's test	Day 2: RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-s: P < 0.0001 RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-s: P < 0.0001

Supplementary Table 4. Table showing details of statistical analysis of all figures.

2e	One-way	$P < 0.0001, F_{(2,13)} = 21.22$	Tukev's	Day 7: RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-s: P = 0.1410 RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-s: P < 0.0001 RS + Saline vs. RS +
	ANOVA		test	KET-s: P = 0.0480 RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-s: P < 0.0001
2f	One-way ANOVA	$P = 0.5496, F_{(2,13)} = 0.627$	NA	NA
2g	One-way ANOVA	Day 2: Mushroom: $P < 0.0001, F_{(2,13)} = 87.99$ Stubby: $P = 0.0651, F_{(2,13)} = 3.396$ Thin: $P = 0.6504, F_{(2,13)} = 0.4448$ Day 7: Stubby: $P = 0.2048, F_{(2,13)} = 1.796$ Thin: $P = 0.9637, F_{(2,13)} = 0.0371$	Tukey's test	Mushroom: Day 2: RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-s: P < 0.0001 RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-r: P < 0.0001
2g	Kruskal- Wallis test	Day 7: Mushroom: P < 0.0001, H = 12.88	Dunn's test	Day 7: Mushroom: RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-s: P = 0.2525 RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-r: P = 0.0010
2ј	Unpaired t-test	Elimination: $P = 0.0161$ Formation: $P = 0.0027$	NA	NA
2k	Unpaired t-test	P = 0.0087	NA	NA
2m	Unpaired t-test	$\leq 2 \ \mu \text{m}: P = 0.0488$ 2-4 \mu m: P = 0.6756 $\geq 4 \ \mu \text{m}: P = 0.3469$	NA	NA
30	2-way repeated measure ANOVA	Treatment time: $P < 0.0001, F_{(3, 48)} = 13.92$ Injection current: P < 0.0001 Interaction: P < 0.0001	Dunnett's test	Before ketamine vs. 10, 30 or 50 min after ketamine at 50, 100, 150, 200, 150 injection current (pA): <i>P</i> < 0.0001
3h	Unpaired	P = 0.0008	NA	NA

	t-test			
4c	Kruskal- Wallis test	Day 7: P = 0.0211, H = 7.05	Dunn's test	Control vs. RS + Saline: P = 0.0242
4d	One-way ANOVA	Day 5: $P = 0.0264, F_{(2, 13)} = 4.869$ Day 7: $P = 0.0292, F_{(2, 13)} = 4.696$	Tukey's test	RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-r: Day 5: <i>P</i> = 0.0292 Day 7: <i>P</i> = 0.0393
4e	One-way ANOVA	Day 5: $P = 0.0080, F_{(2, 13)} = 7.15$ Day 7: $P = 0.0010, F_{(2, 13)} = 12.35$	Tukey's test	Control vs. RS + Saline: Day 5: $P = 0.0069$ Day 7: $P = 0.0009$ RS + Saline vs. RS + KET-r: Day 7: $P = 0.0173$
5c	One-way ANOVA	Day 2: $P < 0.0001, F_{(3, 31)} = 37.56$ Day 7: $P < 0.0001, F_{(3, 19)} = 15.38$	Tukey's test	Day 2: RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + CNO P < 0.0001 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-Ex P = 0.6865 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-In P = 0.0004 Day 7: RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + CNO P = 0.1284 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-Ex P = 0.9984 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-In P = 0.0018
5d	One-way ANOVA	Day 2: $P < 0.0001, F_{(3, 31)} = 13.94,$ Day 7: $P = 0.2576, F_{(3, 19)} = 1.458$	Tukey's test	Day 2: RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + CNO P < 0.0001 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-Ex P = 0.5258 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-In P = 0.0001
5e	One-way ANOVA	$P = 0.0904, F_{(2,12)} = 2.957$	NA	NA
5f	One-way ANOVA	Day 2: Mushroom: $P < 0.0001, F_{(2,26)} = 18.23$	Tukey's test	Day 2: Mushroom: RS + Vector + Saline vs.

		Stubby: $P = 0.3295, F_{(2, 26)} = 1.159$ Thin: $P = 0.4458, F_{(2, 26)} = 0.8336$ Day 7: Stubby: $P = 0.0189, F_{(2, 14)} = 5.336$ Thin: $P = 0.4404, F_{(2, 14)} = 0.87$		RS + PV-Ex P = 0.0004 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-In P = 0.3857 Day 7: Stubby: RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-Ex P = 0.0578 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-In P = 0.9226
5f	Kruskal- Wallis test	Day 7: Mushroom: <i>P</i> = 0.0007, <i>H</i> = 10.90	Dunn's test	Day 7: Mushroom: RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-Ex P = 0.0034 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + PV-In P = 0.8270
5g	One-way ANOVA	$P = 0.0178, F_{(2,13)} = 5.579$	Tukey's test	RS + KET - r vs. RS + KET - r + PV - Ex: $P = 0.3558$ $RS + KET - r vs. RS + Ket - r + PV - In:$ $P = 0.0138$
5h	One-way ANOVA	Mushroom: $P = 0.0236, F_{(2, 13)} = 5.064$ Stubby: $P = 0.3199, F_{(2, 13)} = 1.246$	Tukey's test	Mushroom: RS + KET-r vs. RS + KET-r + PV-Ex: P = 0.4971 RS + KET-r vs. RS + Ket-r + PV-In: P = 0.0190
5h	Kruskal- Wallis test	Thin: P = 0.3463, H = 2.241	NA	NA
5i	One-way ANOVA	Day 2: $P = 0.0008 F_{(2, 18)} = 11.02$ Day 7: $P = 0.0207, F_{(2, 14)} = 5.178$	Tukey's test	Day 2: RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + SST-In: P = 0.3175 RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + VIP-In: P = 0.0005 Day 7: RS + Vector + Saline vs. RS + SST-In: P = 0.9629

				RS + Vector + Saline vs.
				RS + VIP-In:
				P = 0.0270
5 <u>j</u>	One-way	Day 2:	Tukey's	Day 2:
	ANOVA	$P < 0.0001, F_{(2, 18)} = 18.91$	test	RS + Vector + Saline vs.
		Day /:		RS + SSI-In:
		$P = 0.0001, F_{(2, 14)} = 1/.98$		P = 0.0001
				RS + Vector + Saline vs.
				RS + VIP-In:
				P = 0.0000
				Day /. DS + Vector + Seline ve
				RS + Vector + Same vs. RS + SST In:
				$R_{\rm P} = 0.0010$
				RS + Vector + Saline vs
				$RS + VIP-In^{-1}$
				P = 0.0002
Suppl.	Unpaired	Day 2: $P = 0.0465$	NA	NA
1a	t-test	Day 7: $P = 0.3666$		
Suppl.	Unpaired	Day 2: $P = 0.6867$	NA	NA
1b	t-test	Day 7: $P = 0.7588$		
Suppl.	One-way	Day 2:	Tukey's	Day 7:
2a	ANOVA	$P = 0.5291, F_{(2,14)} = 0.6664$	test	Control vs. RS + KET-s:
		Day 7:		P = 0.0145
		$P = 0.0023, F_{(2,14)} = 9.681$		Control vs. RS + KET-r:
0 1	0	D 0	T 1 2	P = 0.5706
Suppl.	One-way	Day 2: D = 0.2885 E = 1.2(0	Tukey's	Day /:
20	ANOVA	$P = 0.2885, F_{(2,14)} = 1.360$	test	Control VS. $KS + KE1-S$:
		Day 7. P = 0.0312 Each = 4.489		F = 0.0437 Control vs. $PS + KET r$:
		I = 0.0512, I'(2,14) = 4.407		P = 0.0651
Suppl	One-way	$P = 0.0019$ $F_{(2.14)} = 10.19$	Tukey's	Control vs RS + KET-s
2c	ANOVA	(2,14)	test	P = 0.0015
-				Control vs. RS + KET-r:
				P = 0.3778
Suppl.	Unpaired	Day 2: <i>P</i> = 0.0480	NA	NA
3a	t-test			
Suppl.	Mann–	Day 7: $P = 0.0286$	NA	NA
3a	Whitney			
	test			
Suppl.	Mann–	Day 2: $P = 0.5495$	NA	NA
3b	Whitney			
<u> </u>	test	D	274	
Suppl.	Unpaired	Day $7: P = 0.1389$	NA	NA
50 Sume1	t-test	D = 0.0140 E = 2.547	Durn attl-	14 DC Calina
Suppi.	A NOVA	$F = 0.0140, F_{(4, 42)} = 3.547$	Dunnett's	14-KS \pm Saline VS. 14 PS \pm V ot:
5	ANUVA		(compared	P = 0.0245
			to $RS \perp$	I = 0.0243 $1/_RS + Saline vo$
			Saline	14-RS + CNO
			Samo	

		P = 0.8379
		14-RS + Saline vs.
		14-RS + PV-Ex:
		P = 0.0282
		14-RS + Saline vs.
		Naive control:
		P = 0.0295

Supplementary Method

Elevated Plus Maze (EPM)

An EPM containing two opposing open arms and two opposing closed arms (height: 40 cm, length: 40 cm) forming a cross-shape was used. Each mouse was placed into an EPM facing an open arm and allowed to freely explore for 5 minutes. ANY-maze software (Stoelting, USA) was used for recording and analysis. The number of entries into the open and closed arms was counted.