## **Supplementary Data**

## Light Intensity-Mediated Induction Of Trichome-Associated Allelochemicals Increases Resistance Against Thrips In Tomato

Rocío Escobar-Bravo<sup>1</sup>, Jasmijn Ruijgrok<sup>1</sup>, Hye Kyong Kim<sup>1</sup>, Katharina Grosser<sup>2,3</sup>, Nicole M. Van Dam<sup>2,3</sup>, Peter G.L. Klinkhamer<sup>1</sup>, Kirsten A. Leiss<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plant Science and Natural Products, Institute of Biology Leiden (IBL), Leiden University. Sylviusweg 72, 2333BE Leiden (The Netherlands).

<sup>2</sup>Molecular Interaction Ecology, German Center for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle-Gena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig (Germany).

<sup>3</sup>Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Institute of Biodiversity, Dornburger-Str. 159, 07743 Jena (Germany).

\*Current address: Wageningen University & Research, Business Unit Horticulture, Violierenweg 1, 2665MV Bleiswijk (The Netherlands).

To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Rocio Escobar-Bravo, E-mail: r.bravo@biology.leidenuniv.nl

This file contains information on supplementary Figures S1-S3, Table S1-S2 with legends, Method S1, and Notes S1.



**Fig. S1.** Spectral quality in high (a) and low (b) PAR conditions were measured using a spectrometer UV-Vis equipped with a cosine corrector (Flame-S, Ocean Optics).



**Fig. S2.** Scanning electron micrographs of adaxial leaf surfaces of wild-type (a) and od-2 (b) plants grown under high PAR conditions. Note that type-VI glandular trichomes (pointed by white triangles) in od-2 leaves display smaller sizes and different shapes when compared to the wild-type.



**Figure S3.** Effect of low and high photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) treatments on type-VI leaf trichome-associated defenses in wild-type (wt) and *odorless-2* (*od-2*) plants. Total terpene content (mean + SEM, n = 4) in leaf exudates of leaflets taken from the third/fourth youngest leaf were measured at 35 days after the initial light treatment. Asterisks denote significant differences between low and high PAR-treated wild-type plants analyzed by *t-test*. n.d. = not detected.

Target	Gene	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer	
gene	identification	5' → 3'	5' <b>→</b> 3'	
WIPI-II	Solyc01g095200	GACAAGGTACTAGTAATCAATTATCC	GGGCATATCCCGAACCAAGA	
TD-2	Solyc09g008670	TGCCGTTAAAAATGTCACCA	ACTGGCGATGCCAAAATATC	
JIP-21	Solyc03g098790	ACTCGTCCTGTGCTTTGTCC	CCCAAGAGGATTTTCGTTGA	
Actin	Solyc03g078400	TTAGCACCTTCCAGCAGATGT	AACAGACAGGACACTCGCACT	

Table S1. Nucleotide sequence of primers used for qRT-PCR analysis.

**Table S2.** Transitions or specific pair of m/z values associated to the precursors and fragment ions of the analytes measured by LC/MS.

Analyte	$Q1 \; [m/z] \rightarrow Q3 \; [m/z]^a$	CE [V]	Standard
ABA	$(-)263.13 \rightarrow 153.00$	9	D6-ABA
JA	$(-)209.12 \rightarrow 59.00$	12	D6-JA
JA-Ile	$(-)322.20 \rightarrow 130.00$	19	D6-JA-Ile
SA	(-) 137.02 → 93.00	15	D6-SA
IAA	$(+) \ 176.07 \to 130.00$	-14	D5-IAA
D6-ABA	(-) 269.17 → 159.00	10	
D6-JA	$(-)215.15 \rightarrow 59.00$	10	
D6-JA-Ile	(-) 328.24 → 130.00	19	
D6-SA	$(-)141.05 \rightarrow 97.00$	15	
D5-IAA <sup>b</sup>	$(+)$ 181.10 $\rightarrow$ 135.00	-14	
	$(+)$ 181.10 $\rightarrow$ 134.00	-14	
	$(+) 181.10 \rightarrow 133.00$	-14	
OPDA	(-) 291,00 → 165.00	18	D6-JA-Ile

CE: collision energy

a Resolution: Q1: 0.7, Q3: 22 b Analyzed as the sum of all three transitions

## Methods S1. Hormone extraction and analysis

Hormones extraction was performed in approximately 100 mg of frozen and homogenized leaf material aliquoted in 2 ml Eppendorf tubes. After adding 1 ml of ethyl acetate containing 40 ng of phytohormone standards  $D_6$ -ABA (Olchemin),  $D_6$ -JA (HPC),  $D_6$ -JA-Ile (HPC),  $D_6$ -SA (Olchemin) and  $D_5$ -IAA (Olchemin), samples were vortexed for 10 min and centrifuged at 14.000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were transferred to a new Eppendorf tube and evaporated to dryness on a vacuum concentrator at room temperature. The residue was dissolved in 0.2 ml of 70% methanol (v/v) for 5 min using an ultrasonic bath, and centrifugated at 14.000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. Supernatants were transferred to glass vials and then analyzed by means of LC-MS/MS

Measurements were conducted on a liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole mass spectrometry system (LC–MS/MS, EVOQ, Bruker). We injected 20  $\mu$ L of each sample onto C18 Zorbax column (4.6 x 50 mm, 1,8  $\mu$ m, 600 bar). The mobile phase comprised of solvent A (0.05 % (v/v) formic acid in LCMS-grade water) and solvent B (0.05% (v/v) formic acid in LCMS-grade methanol). The program with a constant flow rate of 0.4 ml/min was set as follows: 0 - 0.5 min 95% solvent A; 0.5 - 2.5 min 50% solvent A and 50% solvent B; 2.5 - 3.5 100% solvent B; 3.5 - 4.5 min 95% solvent A. The column temperature was set at 42°C. The cone, probe and nebulizer gas were set at the following flow conditions (arbitrary units/temperature): 35/350°C, 60/475°C and 60, respectively. Phytohormones were measured by monitoring the transition m/z described in Supporting Information Table S2. Phytohormones were quantified using the signal of their corresponding internal standard, and expressed as ng per gram fresh mass leaf material.

**Note S1.** For the second analysis of terpene content in leaf exudates of low and high-PAR treated plants we used benzyl acetate as an internal standard following the same procedure described in Materials and Methods.