

**Nonanatomic resection is not inferior to anatomic resection for primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: A propensity score analysis**

Li Bo<sup>1</sup>, Song Jiulin<sup>1</sup>, Aierken Yiliyaer<sup>1</sup>, Chen Yang<sup>1</sup>, Zheng Jinli<sup>1</sup>, Yang Jiayin<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Liver Transplantation Center, Department of Liver Surgery, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China.

\*corresponding.author@email.yang\_jy123@sina.com

**Table S1**

The distribution of tumor location in the AR and NAR groups

| tumor location | AR (n=85)    | NAR (n=65) | P value  |
|----------------|--------------|------------|----------|
|                |              |            | < 0.001* |
| Left liver     | 46 (54.1%)   | 8 (12.3%)  |          |
| Right liver    | 31.3 (36.5%) | 48 (73.8%) |          |
| Middle liver   | 8 (9.4%)     | 9 (13.8%)  |          |

\* Indicates statistically significant.

AR, anatomic resection; NAR, nonanatomic resection.