Demonstration - Need for Calibration

Daniel Kennedy

8 November 2018

Introduction

The following code is a simulation of whole blood methylation from ficticious cell-type methylation profiles which are correlated, and simulated proportions. The purpose of the simulation is to show linear regression estimates of the cell-sorted difference can show a position shift and/or scaling, and that this is easily corrected by a post-hoc statistical calibration.

We load the library gtools, set the experiment parameters:

```
set.seed(353)
```

```
library(gtools)
#Set number of samples (I),
#set number of loci (J), and the noise
#standard deviation:
I <- 8
J <- 1000
sigma = 0.05</pre>
```

We then simulate some relative proportions for the ficticious cell-subtypes:

#Simulate I relative proportion/cell-subtype fractions:
props <- rdirichlet(I, 4 * c(0.6,0.2,0.2))</pre>

We simulate some cell-type methylation profiles, such that the first two are correlated:

```
#Simulate cell-type 1 between 0 and 1.
c1 <- runif(J,0,1)
#Simulate cell-type 2 as highly correlated with c1.
c2 <- c1 + runif(J,-0.1,0.1)
#Simulate cell-type 3 as uncorrelated with c1 and c2.
c3 <- runif(J,0,1)
#Bind cell-sorted values into a matrix:
```

cell_sorted_vals <- rbind(c1,c2,c3)</pre>

Finally, we simulate some whole blood methylation profiles for the I samples:

```
#Use linear mixing assumption to simulated whole blood methylation.
y <- props %*% cell_sorted_vals + matrix(rnorm(I*J,0,sigma),I,J)</pre>
```

We calculate the cell-sorted differences from the cell-type methylation profiles directly, and then estimate them using linear regression:

```
#Calculate cell-sorted differences:
cell_sorted_diffs <- rbind(
   cell_sorted_vals[1,] - apply(cell_sorted_vals[-1,],2,mean),
   cell_sorted_vals[2,] - apply(cell_sorted_vals[-2,],2,mean),
   cell_sorted_vals[3,] - apply(cell_sorted_vals[-3,],2,mean)
```

```
#Estimate cell-type differences by coefficients using linear regression:
est.coefs <- rbind(
   (solve(t(cbind(1,props[,1])) %*% cbind(1,props[,1])) %*% t(cbind(1,props[,1])) %*% y)[2,],
   (solve(t(cbind(1,props[,2])) %*% cbind(1,props[,2])) %*% t(cbind(1,props[,2])) %*% y)[2,],
   (solve(t(cbind(1,props[,3])) %*% cbind(1,props[,3])) %*% t(cbind(1,props[,3])) %*% y)[2,]
)
```

The plots show that the values exhibit the incorrect scaling, which is extreme in the case of Cell-type 2:

)



Cell-sorted Difference

However, there is still a linear relationship between the estimate and the true value, which through a simple calibration, as in the main analysis, results in a good correspondence:

```
#Create simple function to calibrate as in main analysis.
calibrate_y <- function(x,y){</pre>
  coefs <- coef(lm(y ~ x))</pre>
  return((y - coefs[1]) / coefs[2])
}
#Plot calibrated version.
plot(
  x = cell_sorted_diffs[2,],
  y = calibrate_y(x = cell_sorted_diffs[2,], y = est.coefs[2,]),
 xlab = "Cell-sorted Difference",
 ylab = "Calibrated estimated difference")
abline(
  lm(
    calibrate_y(
      x = cell_sorted_diffs[2,],
      y = est.coefs[2,]) \sim
      cell_sorted_diffs[2,]),col = "red",lwd = 2)
abline(0,1,col = "green")
```



Cell-sorted Difference