Supplemental Table 1.

Bivariate Associations between Patient Characteristics and Baseline Hopes for Unqualified Cure

	Unqualified cure hopes (n=265)	X^2	P value
Age group			
<57 y (youngest quartile)	25%	12.7048	<.001
≥58 y	8%		
Gender			
Male	12%	0.0938	0.76
Female	13%		
Race			
White	13%	1.0389	0.31
Non-white	7%		
Education			
≤High school	12%	0.0014	0.97
>High school	13%		
Yearly Income			
≤$50,000	11%	1.0543	0.31
>$50,000	16%		
Relationship status			
In committed	14%	1.4750	0.22
Not in committed	9%		
Religion			
Christian	12%	0.5479	0.46
Other	15%		
Cancer type			
Aggressive	16%	2.7267	0.10
Non-aggressive	9%		
Location			
Sacramento, California	12%	0.0753	0.78
Rochester, New York	13%		
Primary care physician discussion[a]			
Not substantive	16%	5.2633	0.02
Substantive	6%		
Oncologist discussion[a]			
Not substantive	12%	0.0268	0.87
Substantive	13%		
Nurse/nurse practitioner discussion[a]			
Not substantive	14%	0.6533	0.42
Substantive	10%		
Spouse/partner discussion[a]			
Not substantive	14%	0.0005	0.98
Substantive	14%		
Other family or friend discussion[a]			
Not substantive	11%	0.0906	0.76
Substantive	13%		
Clergy discussion[a]			
Not substantive	15%	3.2608	0.07
Substantive	5%		
Support group/online discussion[a]			
Not substantive	13%	0.1687	0.68
Substantive	10%		

[a]Hope discussion quality was rated by patients on a 5-point scale and dichotomized for analysis. "Not substantive" included those who responded they discussed hopes *not at all* or *a little bit*, whereas "substantive" included those who responded they discussed hopes *somewhat, quite a bit*, or *very much*

Supplemental Table 2.

Bivariate Associations between Patient Characteristics and Baseline Hopes for Quality of Life

	Hopes for quality of life (n=265)	X^2	P value
Age group			
<57 y (youngest quartile)	36%	1.0702	0.30
≥58 y	43%		
Gender			
Male	40%	0.1225	0.73
Female	42%		
Race			
White	42%	0.0317	0.86
Non-white	40%		
Education			
≤High school	42%	0.38	0.85
>High school	41%		
Yearly Income			
≤$50,000	42%	0.0007	0.98
>$50,000	42%		
Relationship status			
In committed	44%	1.0836	0.30
Not in committed	37%		
Religion			
Christian	40%	0.8323	0.36
Other	46%		
Cancer type			
Aggressive	47%	2.8684	0.09
Non-aggressive	36%		
Location			
Sacramento, California	32%	5.5232	0.019
Rochester, New York	47%		
Primary care physician discussion[a]			
Not substantive	44%	0.9442	0.33
Substantive	38%		
Oncologist discussion[a]			
Not substantive	43%	0.0609	0.81
Substantive	41%		
Nurse/nurse practitioner discussion[a]			
Not substantive	39%	2.1889	0.14
Substantive	48%		
Spouse/partner discussion[a]			
Not substantive	36%	0.3478	0.56
Substantive	42%		
Other family or friend discussion[a]			
Not substantive	36%	1.0036	0.316
Substantive	43%		
Clergy discussion[a]			
Not substantive	42%	0.2937	0.59
Substantive	38%		
Support group/online discussion[a]			
Not substantive	42%	0.0014	0.97
Substantive	41%		

[a]Hope discussion quality was rated by patients on a 5-point scale and dichotomized for analysis. “Not substantive” included those who responded they discussed hopes *not at all* or *a little bit*, whereas “substantive” included those who responded they discussed hopes *somewhat*, *quite a bit*, or *very much*