

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Katju and Bergthorsson. “*Old trade, new tricks: insights into the spontaneous mutation process from the partnering of classical mutation accumulation experiments with high-throughput genomic approaches.*”

Table S1. Phenotypic and direct molecular estimates of the genome-wide mutation rate U from spontaneous mutation accumulation experiments.

Table S2. Mutation rate from whole-genome sequencing of spontaneous mutation accumulation experiments as a function of genome size and effective population size, N_e .

Table S1. Phenotypic and direct molecular estimates of the genome-wide mutation rate U from spontaneous mutation accumulation experiments. U is the number of mutations per genome per generation. BM, MD and ML denote Bateman-Mukai, minimum-distance and maximum likelihood approaches to estimating U from phenotypic data, respectively. μ_{bs} is the genome-wide, direct molecular estimate of the base substitution rate from WGS of spontaneous mutation accumulation lines and represented as base substitutions per site per generation. G is the genome size in Mb. * indicates fitness assay under stress or competitive conditions.

Group	Species	Phenotypic Trait	BM or ML based phenotypic estimate of U	Reference for phenotype-based U	μ_{bs} from MA-WGS	Reference for μ_{bs}	G
Prokaryotes							
	<i>E. coli</i>	r	0.00017	Kibota & Lynch 1996	2.20×10^{-10} 3.12×10^{-10}	Lee et al. 2012 Foster et al. 2015	4.21
	<i>B. cenocepacia</i>	<i>Competition-TSOY</i>	0.00007	Dillon & Cooper 2016	1.33×10^{-10}	Dillon et al. 2015	3.48
		<i>Competition-M9MM</i>	0.00006	Dillon & Cooper 2016			
		<i>Competition-M9MM+CA</i>	0.00006	Dillon & Cooper 2016			
Unicellular Eukaryotes							
	<i>B. prasinos</i>	<i>Cell division rate</i>	0.00380	Krasovec et al. 2016	3.02×10^{-10}	Krasovec et al. 2017	15.07
	<i>C. reinhardtii</i>	<i>Maximal growth rate</i>	0.00202	Morgan et al. 2014	6.76×10^{-11} 9.63×10^{-10}	Sung et al. 2012a Ness et al. 2015	120.41
	<i>D. discoideum</i>	<i>Plate growth (ML)</i>	0.00010	Hall et al. 2013	2.90×10^{-11}	Saxer et al. 2012	34.21
		<i>Liquid growth (BM)</i>	0.00390	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Liquid growth (ML)</i>	0.00190	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Slug distance (BM)</i>	0.00050	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Total FBs (BM)</i>	0.00020	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Total FBs (ML)</i>	0.00270	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Spores per FB (BM)</i>	0.00250	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Spores per FB (ML)</i>	0.00260	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Spore germination (BM)</i>	0.00330	Hall et al. 2013			
		<i>Competitive ability (ML)</i>	0.00150	Hall et al. 2013			
	<i>M. pusilla</i>	<i>Cell division rate</i>	0.00370	Krasovec et al. 2016	8.15×10^{-10}	Krasovec et al. 2017	21.11
	<i>O. mediterraneus</i>	<i>Cell division rate</i>	0.00370	Krasovec et al. 2016	4.92×10^{-10}	Krasovec et al. 2017	13.48
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	r	0.00055	Wloch et al. 2001	3.30×10^{-10}	Lynch et al. 2008	12.16
		w	0.00005	Zeyl & DeVisser 2001	2.90×10^{-10}	Nishant et al. 2010	
		<i>MGR</i>	0.00006	Joseph & Hall 2004	3.60×10^{-10}	Serero et al. 2014	
		<i>MGR</i>	0.00014	Hall et al. 2008	1.67×10^{-10}	Zhu et al. 2014	
		<i>SE</i>	0.00019	Hall & Joseph 2010			
		r	0.00013	Hall & Joseph 2010			
	<i>T. thermophila</i>	r	0.03300	Brito et al. 2010	7.61×10^{-12}	Long et al. 2016	103.01
		r	0.00470	Long et al. 2013			

Multicellular
Eukaryotes

<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>LRS</i>	0.05000	Schultz et al. 1999	7.10×10^{-9}	Ossowski et al. 2010	119.67
	<i>Number of fruits</i>	0.00400	Shaw et al. 2000			
	<i>Seeds/fruit</i>	0.00015	Shaw et al. 2000			
<i>C. briggsae</i>	<i>w (BM)</i>	0.03700	Baer et al. 2005	1.33×10^{-9}	Denver et al. 2012	108.38
	<i>w (BM)</i>	0.01200	Baer et al. 2005			
<i>C. elegans</i>	<i>Productivity (BM)</i>	0.00065	Keightley & Caballero 1997	1.45×10^{-9}	Denver et al. 2012	100.29
	<i>r (BM)</i>	0.00350	Keightley & Caballero 1997			
	<i>Productivity (ML)</i>	0.00350	Keightley & Caballero 1997			
	<i>Productivity (BM)</i>	0.02400	Vassilieva et al. 1999, 2000			
	<i>Productivity (ML)</i>	0.00750	Vassilieva et al. 1999, 2000			
	<i>r (BM)</i>	0.00680	Vassilieva et al. 1999, 2000			
	<i>r (ML)</i>	0.01800	Vassilieva et al. 1999, 2000			
	<i>w (BM)</i>	0.00420	Baer et al. 2005			
	<i>w (BM)</i>	0.00330	Baer et al. 2005			
	<i>Productivity (ML)</i>	0.00700	Katju et al. 2015			
	<i>Survivorship to adult (ML)</i>	0.00280	Katju et al. 2015			
	<i>Productivity (ML)*</i>	0.00580	Katju et al. 2018			
	<i>Survivorship to adult (ML)*</i>	0.00440	Katju et al. 2018			
<i>D. melanogaster</i>	<i>Viability (BM)</i>	0.35000	Mukai 1964	3.46×10^{-9}	Keightley et al. 2009	143.73
	<i>Viability (BM)</i>	0.47000	Mukai et al. 1972	5.49×10^{-9}	Schrider et al. 2013	
	<i>Viability (ML)</i>	0.01100	Mukai et al. 1972	6.03×10^{-9}	Sharp & Agrawal 2016	
	<i>Viability (BM)</i>	0.14000	Ohnishi 1977	4.90×10^{-9}	Assaf et al. 2018	
	<i>Viability (ML)</i>	0.01000	Ohnishi 1977			
	<i>Fitness (ML)</i>	0.03000	Houle et al. 1992			
	<i>w (BM)*</i>	0.00150	García-Dorado et al. 1998			
	<i>Viability (BM)</i>	0.02000	Fernández & López-Fanjul 1996			
	<i>Viability (BM)*</i>	0.02000	Fry et al. 1999			
	<i>Viability (BM)</i>	0.00310	Caballero et al. 2002			
	<i>Viability (MD)</i>	0.00500	Caballero et al. 2002			
	<i>w (BM)*</i>	0.03700	Ávila & García-Dorado 2002			
	<i>Viability (BM)*</i>	0.01200	Charlesworth et al. 2004			
	<i>Viability (BM)*</i>	0.03000	Charlesworth et al. 2004			
	<i>Viability (BM)*</i>	0.03900	Charlesworth et al. 2004			
	<i>Viability (BM)*</i>	0.34000	Gong et al. 2005			
	<i>Viability (BM)*</i>	0.10000	Gong et al. 2005			
	<i>Viability (BM)*</i>	0.40000	Gong et al. 2005			

Table S2. Spontaneous base substitution mutation rates generated via whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of mutation accumulation experiments. G is the genome size in Mb. N_e is the estimated effective population size for the species. μ_{bs} is the genome-wide, direct molecular estimate of the base substitution rate from WGS of spontaneous mutation accumulation lines and represented as base substitutions per site per generation. U is the number of mutations per genome per generation.

Group	Species	G	N_e	Reference for N_e	μ_{bs} from MA-WGS	Reference for μ_{bs}	U
Prokaryotes							
	<i>B. subtilis</i> NCIB 3610	4.21	6.30×10^7	Sung et al. 2015	3.28×10^{-10}	Sung et al. 2015	0.00138
	<i>B. cenocepacia</i>	8.01	2.47×10^8	Dillon et al. 2015	1.33×10^{-10}	Dillon et al. 2015	0.00102
	<i>D. radiodurans</i>	3.26	—	—	4.99×10^{-10}	Long et al. 2015	—
	<i>E. coli</i> K12	4.64	2.50×10^7	Charlesworth & Eyre-Walker 2006	2.20×10^{-10}	Lee et al. 2012	0.00112
					3.12×10^{-10}	Foster et al. 2015	
	<i>M. florum</i> L1	0.79	1.10×10^6	Sung et al. 2012a	9.78×10^{-9}	Sung et al. 2012a, 2015	0.00782
	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	6.26	2.00×10^7	Dettman et al. 2016	7.90×10^{-11}	Dettman et al. 2016	0.00052
	<i>S. typhimurium</i> LT2	4.86	—	—	7.00×10^{-10}	Lind & Andersson 2008	—
	<i>V. cholerae</i> 2740-80	4.09	4.78×10^8	Dillon et al. 2017	1.07×10^{-10}	Dillon et al. 2017	0.00043
	<i>V. fischeri</i> ES114	4.27	1.62×10^8	Dillon et al. 2017	2.07×10^{-10}	Dillon et al. 2017	0.00088
Unicellular Eukaryotes							
	<i>B. prasinos</i> RC1105	15.07	—	—	3.02×10^{-10}	Krasovec et al. 2017	—
	<i>C. reinhardtii</i>	120.41	3.10×10^7	Ness et al. 2015	6.76×10^{-11}	Sung et al. 2012a	0.06201
	<i>D. discoideum</i>	34.21	6.90×10^6	Flowers et al. 2010	2.90×10^{-11}	Ness et al. 2015	
	<i>M. pusilla</i> RCC299	21.11	—	—	9.63×10^{-10}	Saxer et al. 2012	0.00099
	<i>O. mediterraneus</i> RCC2590	13.48	—	—	8.15×10^{-10}	Krasovec et al. 2017	—
	<i>O. tauri</i> RCC4221	13.03	2.00×10^7	Krasovec et al. 2017	4.92×10^{-10}	Krasovec et al. 2017	—
	<i>P. tetraurelia</i>	72.09	1.24×10^8	Sung et al. 2012b	4.19×10^{-10}	Krasovec et al. 2017	0.00545
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	12.16	6.20×10^6	Zhu et al. 2014	1.94×10^{-11}	Krasovec et al. 2017	0.00140
					3.30×10^{-10}	Sung et al. 2012b	
					2.90×10^{-10}	Nishant et al. 2010	0.00349
					1.67×10^{-10}	Zhu et al. 2014	
	<i>S. pombe</i>	12.59	2.60×10^6	Farlow et al. 2015	2.13×10^{-10}	Zhu et al. 2014	0.00241
	<i>T. thermophila</i>	103.01	1.12×10^8	Long et al. 2016	1.70×10^{-10}	Farlow et al. 2015	
					7.61×10^{-12}	Behringer & Hall 2016	0.00078
Multicellular Eukaryotes							

<i>A. thaliana</i>	119.67	2.50×10^5	Ossowski et al. 2010	7.10×10^{-9}	Ossowski et al. 2010	0.84966
<i>C. briggsae</i>	108.38	2.67×10^5	Lynch et al. 2016	1.33×10^{-9}	Denver et al. 2012	0.14415
<i>C. elegans</i>	100.29	5.41×10^5	Lynch et al. 2016	2.10×10^{-9}	Denver et al. 2009	0.17801
<i>D. pulex</i>	197.21	7.82×10^5	Lynch et al. 2017	1.45×10^{-9}	Denver et al. 2012	
<i>D. melanogaster</i>	143.73	8.63×10^5	Lynch et al. 2016	3.80×10^{-9}	Keith et al. 2016	0.74940
				3.46×10^{-9}	Keightley et al. 2009	0.72152
				5.49×10^{-9}	Schrider et al. 2013	
				6.03×10^{-9}	Sharp & Agrawal 2016	
				4.90×10^{-9}	Assaf et al. 2018	
<i>M. musculus</i>	2818.97	1.77×10^5	Lynch et al. 2016	5.40×10^{-9}	Uchimura et al. 2015	15.22244
<i>P. pacificus</i>	154.96	1.75×10^6	Lynch et al. 2016	2.00×10^{-9}	Weller et al. 2014	0.30992

Literature Cited

- Assaf ZJ, Tilk S, Park J, Siegal ML, Petrov DA. 2018. Deep sequencing of natural and experimental populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* reveals biases in the spectrum of new mutations. *Genome Res.* 27:1988–2000.
- Ávila V, García-Dorado A. 2002. The effects of spontaneous mutation on competitive fitness in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Evol Biol.* 15:561–566.
- Baer CF, et al. 2005. Comparative evolutionary genetics of spontaneous mutations affecting fitness in rhabditid nematodes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 102:5785–5790.
- Behringer MG, Hall DW. 2016. Genome-wide estimates of mutation rates and spectrum in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* indicate CpG sites are highly mutagenic despite the absence of DNA methylation. *G3.* 12:149–160.
- Brito PH, Guilherme E, Soares H, Gordo I. 2010. Mutation accumulation in *Tetrahymena*. *BMC Evolutionary Biol.* 10:354.
- Caballero A, Cusi E, García C, García-Dorado A. 2002. Accumulation of deleterious mutations: additional *Drosophila melanogaster* estimates and a simulation of the effects of selection. *Evolution.* 56:1150–1159.
- Charlesworth B, Borthwick H, Bartolomé C, Pignatelli P. 2004. Estimates of the genomic mutation rate for detrimental alleles in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics.* 167: 815–826.
- Charlesworth J, Eyre-Walker A. 2006. The rate of adaptive evolution in enteric bacteria. *Mol Biol Evol.* 23:1348–1356.
- Denver DR, et al. 2009. A genome-wide view of *Caenorhabditis elegans* base-substitution

- mutation processes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 106:16310–16314.
- Denver DR, et al. 2012. Variation in base-substitution mutation in experimental and natural lineages of *Caenorhabditis* nematodes. Genome Biol Evol. 4:513–522.
- Dettman JR, Sztepanacz JL, Kassen R. 2016. The properties of spontaneous mutations in the opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. BMC Genomics. 17:27.
- Dillon MM, Cooper VS. 2016. The fitness effects of spontaneous mutations nearly unseen by selection in a bacterium with multiple chromosomes. Genetics. 204:1225–1238.
- Dillon MM, Sung W, Lynch M, Cooper VS. 2015. The rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in the GC-Rich multichromosome genome of *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. Genetics. 200:935–946.
- Dillon MM, Sung W, Sebra R, Lynch M, Cooper VS. 2017. Genome-wide biases in the rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in *Vibrio cholerae* and *Vibrio fischeri*. Mol Biol Evol. 34:93–109.
- Farlow A, et al. 2015. The spontaneous mutation rate in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Genetics. 201:737–744.
- Fernández J, López-Fanjul C. 1996. Spontaneous mutational variances and covariances for fitness-related traits in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics. 143:829–837.
- Flowers JM, et al. 2010. Variation, sex, and social cooperation: molecular population genetics of the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. PLoS Genet. 6:e1001013.
- Foster PL, Lee H, Popodi EM, Townes JP, Tang H. 2015. Determinants of spontaneous mutation in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as revealed by whole-genome sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 112:E5990–E5999.

Fry JD, Keightley PD, Heinsohn SL, Nuzhdin SV. 1999. New estimates of the rates and effects of mildly deleterious mutation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 96:574–579.

García-Dorado A, Monedero JL, López-Fanjul C. 1998. The mutation rate and the distribution of mutational effects of viability and fitness in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetica. 103:255–265.

Gong Y, Woodruff RC, Thompson JN. 2005. Deleterious genomic mutation rate for viability in *Drosophila melanogaster* using concomitant sibling controls. Biol Lett. 1: 492–495.

Hall DW, Fox S, Kuzdzal-Fick JJ, Strassmann JE, Quellar DC. 2013. The rate and effects of spontaneous mutation on fitness traits in the social amoeba, *Dictyostelium discoideum*. G3 (Bethesda). 3:1115–1127.

Hall DW, Joseph SB. 2010. A high frequency of beneficial mutations across multiple fitness components in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics. 185:1397–1409.

Houle D, Hoffmaster DK, Assimacopoulos S, Charlesworth B. 1992. The genomic mutation rate for fitness in *Drosophila*. Nature. 359:58–60.

Joseph SB, Hall DW. 2004. Spontaneous mutations in diploid *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: more beneficial than expected. Genetics. 168:1817–1825.

Joseph S, Hall DW. 2008. Spontaneous mutations in diploid *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: another thousand cell generations. Genet Res. 90:229–241.

Katju V, Packard LB, Bu L, Keightley PD, Bergthorsson U. 2015. Fitness decline in spontaneous mutation accumulation lines of *Caenorhabditis elegans* with varying effective population sizes. Evolution. 69:104–116.

Katju V, Packard LB, Keightley PD. 2018. Fitness decline under osmotic stress in

- Caenorhabditis elegans* populations subjected to spontaneous mutation accumulation at varying population sizes. *Evolution*. 72:1000–1008.
- Keightley PD, Caballero A. 1997. Genomic mutation rates for lifetime reproductive output and lifespan in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 94:3823–3827.
- Keightley PD, et al. 2009. Analysis of the genome sequences of three *Drosophila melanogaster* spontaneous mutation accumulation lines. *Genome Res*. 19:1195–1201.
- Keith N, et al. 2016. High mutational rates of large-scale duplication and deletion in *Daphnia pulex*. *Genome Res*. 26:60–69.
- Kibota TT, Lynch M. 1996. Estimate of the genomic mutation rate deleterious to overall fitness in *E. coli*. *Nature*. 381:694–696.
- Krasovec M, et al. 2016. Fitness effects of spontaneous mutations in picoeukaryotic marine green algae. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 6:2063–2071.
- Krasovec M, Eyre-Walker A, Sanchez-Ferandin S, Piganeau G. 2017. Spontaneous mutation rate in the smallest photosynthetic eukaryotes. *Mol Biol Evol*. 34:1770–1779.
- Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. 2012. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as determined by whole-genome sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 109:E2774–E2783.
- Lind PA, Andersson DI. 2008. Whole-genome mutational biases in bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 105:17878–17883.
- Long H-A, Paixao T, Azevedo RBR, Zufall RA. 2013. Accumulation of spontaneous mutations in the ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila*. *Genetics*. 195:527–540.
- Long H, et al. 2015. Background mutational features of the radiation-resistant bacterium *Deinococcus radiodurans*. *Mol Biol Evol*. 32:2383–2392.

- Long et al. 2016. Low base-substitution mutation rate in the germline genome of the ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila*. *Genome Biol Evol.* 8:3629–3639.
- Lynch M, et al. 2008. A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 105:9272–9277.
- Lynch M, et al. 2016. Genetic drift, selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. *Nat Rev Genet.* 17:704–714.
- Lynch M, et al. 2017. Population Genomics of *Daphnia pulex*. *Genetics.* 206:315–332.
- Morgan AD, Ness RW, Keightley PD, Colegrave N. 2014. Spontaneous mutation accumulation in multiple strains of the green alga, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Evolution.* 68:2589–2602.
- Mukai T. 1964. The genetic structure of natural populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. I. Spontaneous mutation rate of polygenes controlling viability. *Genetics.* 50:1–19.
- Mukai T, Chigusa SI, Mettler LE, Crow JF. 1972. Mutation rate and dominance of genes affecting viability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics.* 72:335–355.
- Ness RW, Morgan AD, VasanthaKrishnan RB, Colegrave N, Keightley PD. 2015. Extensive de novo mutation rate variation between individuals and across the genome of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Genome Res.* 25:1739–1749.
- Nishant KT, et al. 2010. The baker’s yeast diploid genome is remarkably stable in vegetative growth and meiosis *PLoS Genet.* 6:e1001109.
- Ohnishi O. 1977. Spontaneous and ethyl methanesulfonate-induced mutations controlling viability in *Drosophila melanogaster*. II. Homozygous effect of polygenic mutations. *Genetics.* 87:529–545.
- Ossowski S, et al. 2010. The rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in

- Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science 327: 92–94.
- Saxer G, et al. 2012. Whole genome sequencing of mutation accumulation lines reveals a low mutation rate in the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. PLoS One 7:e46759.
- Schrider DR, Houle D, Lynch M, Hahn MW. 2013. Rates and genomic consequences of spontaneous mutational events in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics. 194:937–954.
- Schultz ST, Lynch M, Willis JH. 1999. Spontaneous deleterious mutation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 96:11393–11398.
- Serero A, Jubin C, Loeillet S, Legoix-Né P, Nicolas AG. 2014. Mutational landscape of yeast mutator strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 111:1897–1902.
- Sharp NP, Agrawal AF. 2016. Low genetic quality alters key dimension of the mutational spectrum. PLoS Biol. 14:e1002419.
- Shaw RG, Byers DL, Darmo E. 2000. Spontaneous mutational effects on reproductive traits of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics. 155:369–378.
- Sung W, et al. 2012a. Drift-barrier hypothesis and mutation-rate evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 109:18488–18492.
- Sung W, et al. 2012b. Extraordinary genome stability in the ciliate *Paramecium tetraurelia*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 109:19339–19344.
- Sung W, et al. 2015. Asymmetric context-dependent mutation patterns revealed through mutation-accumulation experiments. Mol Biol Evol. 32:1672–1683.
- Uchimura A, et al. 2015. Germline mutation rates and the long-term phenotypic effects of mutation accumulation in wild-type laboratory mice and mutator mice. Genome Res. 25:1125–1134.
- Vassilieva LL, Hook AM, Lynch M. The fitness effects of spontaneous mutations in

Caenorhabditis elegans. Evolution. 2000 54:1234–1246.

Vassilieva LL, Lynch M. 1999. The rate of spontaneous mutation for life-history traits in

Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 151:119–129.

Weller AM, Röderlsperger C, Eberhardt G, Molnar RI, Sommer RJ. 2014. Opposing forces of A/T-biased mutations and G/C-biased gene conversions shape the genome of the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus*. Genetics 196:1145–1452.

Wloch DM, Szafraniec K, Borts R, Korona R. 2001. Direct estimate of the mutation rate and distribution of fitness effects in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics. 159:441–452.

Zeyl C, DeVisser JAGM. 2001. Estimates of the rate and distribution of fitness effects of spontaneous mutation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics. 157:53–61.

Zhu YO, Siegal ML, Hall DW, Petrov DA. 2014. Precise estimates of mutation rate and spectrum in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 111:E2310–E2318.