APPENDIX A

eTable 1. PRISMA-P checklist.1

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Location in manuscript
ADMINISTRATIV	E INFO	RMATION	
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	Title
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	Not applicable, and therefore, not stated
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	URL provided for Open Science Framework registration in abstract and in the section entitled "Protocol" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	Cover page
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	Under heading "AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS"
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	Under the section entitled "Protocol" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	Under heading "FUNDING STATEMENT"
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	Under heading "FUNDING STATEMENT"
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	None; not applicable
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	Under "INTRODUCTION"
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	Objectives provided in "INTRODUCTION"
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	PICOT provided under section "Eligibility criteria" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Information sources Search strategy	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage Present draft of search strategy to be used	Date restrictions provided under section "Eligibility criteria" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS". Information sources described under section "Information sources and search strategy" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS" Final search strategies provided in Appendix

Г	1	<u> </u>	1 =
		for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	В
Study records:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	Provided under the sub-section "Full-text screening" under the section "Source selection" and provided under the section and "Data charting", both under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	Provided under the sections "Source selection" and "Data charting" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	Provided under the sections "Data charting" and "Pilot testing" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	Provided under the section "Data charting" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	Provided under the section "Data charting" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	Not applicable; this is a scoping review. However, explanation provided under section "Risk of bias assessment or quality appraisal" under the heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized	Provided under section "Synthesis of results" under heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I², Kendall's τ)	Not applicable
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	Not applicable
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	Provided under section "Synthesis of results" under heading "METHODS AND ANALYSIS"
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta- bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	Not applicable
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	Not applicable

^{1.} Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.