

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

Association between Spinal Curvatures and Injury: A Nationwide Population-based Retrospective Cohort Study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-023604
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Apr-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Kuo, Yen-Liang; Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan, Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan Chung, Chi-Hsiang; School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan Tsao, Chang-Huei; Department of Microbiology & Immunology, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan Chang, Shan-Yueh; Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri- Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan Peng, Chung-Kan; Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri- Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan Cheng, Wei-Erh; Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan, Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan, Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defen
Keywords:	Thoracic medicine < INTERNAL MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH, Spine < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

59 60

Association between Spinal Curvatures and Injury: A Nationwide Population-based Retrospective Cohort Study

Yen-Liang Kuo^{1,2,3}, Chi-Hsiang Chung^{4,5}, Tsai-Wang Huang⁶, Chang-Huei Tsao^{7,8},

Shan-Yueh Chang³, Chung-Kan Peng³, Wei-Erh Cheng^{1,2}, Wu-Chien Chien^{5,8*},

Chih-Hao Shen ^{3*}

¹ Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic

University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan

² School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan

³ Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

⁴ Taiwanese Injury Prevention and Safety Promotion Association, Taipei, Taiwan

⁵ School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

⁶ Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital,

National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

⁷ Department of Microbiology & Immunology, National Defense Medical Center,

Taipei, Taiwan

⁸ Department of Medical Research, Tri-Service General Hospital and National

Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding author:

Chih-Hao Shen;

Address: Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine,

Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, No.325, Section 2,

Cheng-Kung Road, Neihu District, Taipei, 11490, Taiwan;

Tel: 886-2- 87923311, Ext. 16883

Fax: 886-2- 66008692;

2@yahoo.com.tw E-mail: Potato652@yahoo.com.tw

Word count: 2,101

Abstract

Background and objective: Injury is an important issue in public health. Spinal curvatures are deformities characterized by abnormal curves of the spine. The prevalence of spinal curvature is not low, but its relationship with injury has not been studied. The aim of this study is to investigate whether spinal curvature increases the risk of injury.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study uses data from the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) from 2000 to 2010. Patients with spinal curvatures were selected using codes from the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). A cohort without spinal curvature was randomly frequency-matched to the spinal curvature cohort at a ratio of 2:1 according to age, sex, and index year. The risk of injury was analyzed using Cox's proportional hazards regression models adjusting for age, sex, comorbidities, urbanization level, and socioeconomic status.

Results: A total of 20,566 patients with spinal curvatures and 41,132 controls were enrolled in this study. The risk of injury was 2.209 times higher (95% CI 2.118 to 2.303) in patients with spinal curvature than in the control group. The spinal curvature cohort exhibited higher risk of developing injury compared to the control group, regardless of age, sex, comorbidities, urbanization level, and subgroup of spinal

BMJ Open

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
10
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
∠∠ วว
∠3 24
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
32
24
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
10
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
20
5/
58
59
60

curvatures. Based on the subgroup analysis, the spinal curvature cohort had higher risks of unintentional injury and injury diagnoses such as fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, and injury to nerves and spinal cord compared to the control cohort.

Conclusions: Patients with spinal curvatures have a significantly higher risk of developing injury than patients without spinal curvatures. Aggressive detection and management of spinal curvatures may be beneficial for injury prevention.

Short title: Relationship between spinal curvatures and injury

Keywords: injury, spinal curvature, kyphosis, lordosis, scoliosis, risk factor

Conflicts of interest

03/ The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions:

Yen-Liang Kuo, Chih-Hao Shen and Wu-Chien Chien led the project and interpreted the data. Chi-Hsiang Chung, Tsai-Wang Huang and Chang-Huei Tsao conducted this study and analyzed the data. Shan-Yueh Chang, Chung-Kan Peng and Wei-Erh Cheng **BMJ** Open

interpreted the data. Yen-Liang Kuo, Chih-Hao Shen and Wu-Chien Chien wrote the paper. All authors approved the final version of manuscript.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- This is the first nationwide population-based cohort study assessing the associations between spinal curvature and injury.
- Patients with spinal curvature have 2.209-fold higher risk of developing injury compared to those without spinal curvature.
- Based on the subgroup analysis, the spinal curvature cohort has higher risks of unintentional injury compared to the control cohort.
- The main strengths of this study are the large population-based dataset and the retrospective cohort design which minimize the selection bias and describe the sequential association between spinal curvature and injury.
- The main limitation of this study is the characteristic of the database. Detailed information such as severity of spinal curvature, lifestyle, behavior patterns, obesity and bone mineral density are not available for further analysis.

Ethics

Because data were collected from the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database and 1,000,000 people were randomly selected from the entire population, personal medical information about an identifiable person is not contained in this

database and Ethics Committee/Institutional Review Board approval or patient

consent was not necessary.

<text>

Introduction

Spinal curvatures are deformities characterized by abnormal curves of the spine and can be divided into lordosis, kyphosis, and scoliosis. Lordosis refers to anteroposterior angulation and inward curving of the lumbar spine. Kyphosis and scoliosis and can be graded in severity by the Cobb angle (1). Kyphosis is the anteroposterior angulation and outward curve of the thoracic spine. Generally, Cobb angle of normal thoracic spine ranges between 20 and 50 degrees in young people (2, 3). Scoliosis indicates lateral displacement or curvature of the spine. In adult, a curve in spine of 10 degrees or greater of Cobb angle is defined as scoliosis (4). Among community-dwelling individuals \geq 60 years old, the current incidence of spinal curvatures is between 20 and 40 percent (5-7). There are multiple contributing factors to spinal curvature, such as vertebral fractures with low bone density (8-10), short vertebral height as in Scheuermann disease (11), degenerative disc disease (12), postural changes, muscle weakness, and intervertebral ligament degeneration.

Injury is an important issue in public health (13), especially among the elderly (14). Injuries can be divided into several types according to various factors, such as injury diagnosis, cause of injury, or intentionality of injury according to the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) of Taiwan (15). The occurrence of injury influences the capacity for activity and can cause complications, economic burden,

BMJ Open

and even mortality (16-19). Thus, determining the modifiable risks and strategies for the prevention or management of injury deserves more clinical attention.

The potential relationship between spinal curvature and injury is not precisely known. Sinaki et al. demonstrated that thoracic hyperkyphosis in the context of reduced muscle strength plays an important role in increasing body sway, gait unsteadiness, and risk of falls in osteoporosis (20). De Groot et al. suggest that patients with flexed posture (characterized by protrusion of the head and increased thoracic kyphosis) have impaired postural control during walking and may therefore have higher risk of falling (21). However, no study has described the sequential association between curvature of the spine and injury. We conducted a nationwide population-based cohort study to investigate whether spinal curvature increases the risk of injury.

Materials and Methods

Data sources

Taiwan's NHIRD has been built since Taiwan established a universal health care system in 1995. This database includes the medical records of the Taiwanese population of around 23.81 million, and the coverage reached 99.6% in 2016. In this study, data were collected from the NHIRD, and 1,000,000 people were randomly selected from the entire population. The disease diagnosis codes in the NHIRD dossier are based on the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM).

Study design and sampled participants

This study was a retrospective cohort design. Patients were selected if they were diagnosed with spinal curvature with \geq 3 outpatient visits and spinal-curvature inpatients from January 2, 2000, to December 31, 2010, according to the following ICD-9 codes: kyphosis (ICD-9-CM 737.0, 737.1, 737.41), lordosis (ICD-9-CM 737.2, 737.42), kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis (ICD-9-CM 737.3, 737.43), and unspecified (ICD-9-CM 737.40, 737.8, 737.9) from the Longitudinal Health Insurance Database (LHID). Patients were excluded for the following reasons: 1) spinal curvature diagnosed before 2000, 2) injury before tracing, 3) age < 20 years, and 4) unknown gender. The patients were divided into four groups based on the ICD codes.

For the control cohort, we randomly selected patients without spinal curvature in this period from among insured individuals. We excluded control subjects according to the same criteria. The spinal curvature cohort and control cohort were frequency matched by gender, age, and index rate (Figure 1).

Outcome measures

All study participants were followed from the index date until the first diagnosis of

BMJ Open

injury (ICD-9-CM 800–999), withdrawal from the National Health Insurance (NHI) program, or the end of 2010. Based on the definition of injury categories from prior studies (15, 22), the causes of injury were classified as traffic injuries (ICD-9-CM E800-E849), poisoning (ICD-9-CM E850-E869), falls (ICD-9-CM E880-E888), burns and fires (ICD-9-CM E890-E899), drowning (ICD-9-CM E910), suffocation (ICD-9-CM E911-E915), crushing/cutting/piercing (ICD-9-CM E916-E920), other unintentional injuries (ICD-9-CM E870-E879, E900-E909, E951-E949). The intentionality categories of injuries include unintentional (ICD-8-CM E800-R949), intentional (ICD-9-CM E950-E979, E990-E999), and unknown.

Comorbidities

Baseline comorbidities include diabetes (ICD-9-CM 250), hypertension (ICD-9-CM 401 – 405), ischemic heart disease (ICD-9-CM codes 410–414), stroke (ICD-9-CM 430-438), chronic kidney disease (ICD-9-CM 585), liver cirrhosis (ICD-9-CM 570, 571, 572.1, 572.4, 573.1–573.3), chronic obstructive lung disease (ICD-9-CM codes 490, 491, 495, and 496), and cancer (ICD-9-CM 140-208). The population was also stratified according to the number of comorbidities (0, 1, and \geq 2).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

(SPSS) (V.21; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Chi squared and t tests were used to evaluate the distributions of categorical and continuous variables between patients with spinal curvature and the control group. The incidence rates of injury were calculated according to gender, age, number of comorbidities, urbanization level, and insurance premium. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to determine the risk of injury, which is presented as a hazard ratio (HR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). The difference in injury risk between the two groups was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method with the log-rank test. A two-tailed p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

We enrolled 20,566 patients who had spinal curvature and 41,132 subjects without spinal curvature in the control group. The majority of patients were \geq 65 years old (52.82% and 51.58% for spinal curvature and control group, respectively). Females accounted for more than half of the subjects (64.61%) in each cohort. There is a significant difference in the number of comorbidities, urbanization level, and insurance premium between the spinal curvature group and control group (Table 1). The average period of follow-up was 5.48 years for the spinal curvature cohort and 6.24 years for the control group.

C.

BMJ Open

Table 2 shows that the incidence of injury was higher in the spinal curvature cohort than that in the control cohort (10905.25 and 9059.47 incidences per 100,000 person-years, respectively). Compared to the control group, spinal curvature patients were associated with a significantly higher risk of injury (adjusted HR 2.209 (95% CI 2.118 to 2.303)). The spinal curvature cohort exhibited a higher risk of injury compared to the control group, regardless of age, sex, comorbidities, and urbanization level. The risk of injury was also significantly higher in the spinal curvature cohort than in the control cohort among patients with insurance premiums < 18,000 New Taiwan dollars (NTD) and 18,000-34,999 NTD. Table 3 shows the risks of injury stratified by subgroup of spinal curvature (kyphosis, lordosis, kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis, and unspecified type). All subgroups of spinal curvature had a significantly higher risk of injury compared to the control cohort (adjusted HR 2.777 (95% CI 2.553 to 3.021), 2.087 (95% CI 1.235 to 3.527), 2.113 (95% CI 2.021 to 2.210), 2.727 (95% CI 2.119 to 3.509), respectively).

Table 4 shows the incidence and adjusted HR of injury according to the injury diagnosis, cause of injury, and intentionality of injury at the end of the follow-up period. The spinal curvature cohort had a significantly higher risk of unintentionality of injury compared to the control cohort (adjusted HR 1.537 (95% CI 1.200 to 2.605)). The spinal curvature cohort had significantly higher risk in injury diagnoses of

BMJ Open

fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, injury to nerve and spinal cord, and other injury compared to the control cohort (adjusted HR 1.502 (95% CI 1.398 to 1.614), 1.732 (95% CI 1.172 to 2.558), 1.597 (95% CI 1.310 to 1.939), 1.414 (95% CI 1.131 to 1.761), 4.949 (95% CI 1.093 to 30.895), 2.428 (95% CI 1.310 to 4.500), and 1.387 (95% CI 1.284 to 1.499), respectively). They also had significantly higher risk of traffic injuries, falls, suffocation, crushing/cutting/piercing, and other injuries compared to the control cohort (adjusted HR 1.379 (95% CI 1.191 to 1.597), 1.552 (95% CI 1.429 to 1.686), 6.442 (95% CI 2.335 to 17.776), 2.595 (95% CI 1.056 to 3.409), and 1.612 (95% CI 1.443 to 1.800), respectively). Significantly higher risk of unitentional injury was also observed in the spinal curvature cohort (1.537 (95% CI 1.200 to 2.605)).

We used Kaplan-Meier survival analysis to assess the cumulative incidence. There were significant differences in the cumulative incidence of injury among the patients with and without spinal curvature from the 1st to the 11th follow-up year (log-rank test; p<0.001) (Figure 2).

Discussion

This is the first nationwide population-based cohort study to investigate the associations between spinal curvature and injury. We found that patients with spinal

BMJ Open

curvature had 2.209-fold higher risk of developing injury compared to those without spinal curvature. Although the spinal curvature and non- spinal curvature cohorts had different prevalence of comorbidities, urbanization level, and insurance premium on the index day, spinal curvature remained an independent risk factor for injury in the adjusted Cox regression analysis. As shown in Table 2, patients in the spinal curvature cohort had a higher incidence of injury than patients in the control cohort in most of the subgroup analyses of sex, age, comorbidity, urbanization level and socioeconomic status. This observation strengthens the finding that spinal curvature independently increases the risk of injury.

For subgroup analysis of injury events, we found that patients with spinal curvature had higher risk of unintentional injury and injury diagnoses such as fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, and injury to nerves and spinal cord. The severity of spinal curvature is defined by the measurement of the Cobb angle of curvature (1). Chest wall compliance decreases in severe cases, which leads to difficulty in breathing, increased risk of respiratory muscle fatigue (23, 24), increased dead space fraction, alveolar hypoventilation with hypercapnia (25), hypoxemia (26), ventilation-perfusion mismatch, apneic events with nocturnal hypoventilation and arterial oxygen desaturation (23, 27), and exercise limitations (28, 29). Previous studies also suggest that spinal curvature is associated with reduced

muscle strength, increased body sway, and gait unsteadiness (20, 30-32). The increased risk of unintentional injury in our study may be explained by these systemic manifestations of spinal curvature.

We found that patients with spinal curvature had higher risks of various injury causes, such as traffic injuries, falls, suffocation, crushing/cutting/piercing, and other unintentional injuries. Among these causes, risk of suffocation (adjusted HR 6.442) was much higher than that of other injuries. Although respiratory muscle fatigue (15, 16) and lung function impairment were found in patients with spinal curvature (17), there are insufficient studies explaining the mechanism between spinal curvature and suffocation. Further studies for analyzing this correlation are warranted.

After stratifying patients with injury by age, we found that the adjusted HR of subjects aged 20 to 40 years and 41 to 64 years were much higher than in those aged 65 years and above (adjusted HR, 6.665, 6.154, and 1.666, respectively). This contrasts with the concept that injury risk is higher among the elderly, especially for fracture due to car crashes (33). It is possible that daily activity among the younger population with spinal curvature is not limited, and the risk of injury is increased due to balance disorder and impaired postural control (34).

The treatment of spinal curvature includes supportive care, bracing, pulmonary rehabilitation, noninvasive ventilation, and surgery (35-39). Treatment may also

BMJ Open

improve pulmonary function, exercise capacity, and arterial blood gas, as well as eliminate obstructive apnea (40). Since spinal curvature was associated with higher risk of injury, providing assistive devices or protective gear to patients with spinal curvature may decrease the incidence of injury and may be practical for public health. Future studies focusing on the association between early detection, adequate treatment of spinal curvature, and the prevention of injury are warranted.

This study has some limitations. Firstly, the severity of spinal curvatures, the subjective symptoms and physical examinations were not recorded, which might confound the incidence rate of spinal curvatures. Secondly, there is no information on patient characteristics from the NHIRD. The data lacked information about smoking, dietary habits, alcoholism, substance use, obesity and bone mineral density, which might influence the time and incidence rate of injury occurrence. Thirdly, the severity of injuries that would impact the patient's daily life was not evaluated. Fourthly, other bias might remain in this retrospective cohort study, despite the meticulous adjustment of the model for potential confounders.

In conclusion, our study suggests that patient with spinal curvature exhibit higher risk of developing injury than patients without spinal curvature, especially the risks of unintentional injury and injury diagnoses such as fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, and injury to nerves and spinal cord. Aggressive detection and management of patients with spinal curvature may be

beneficial for injury prevention from a public health perspective.

Reference

1. JR C. Outline for the study of scoliosis. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Instr Course Lect. 1948;5:15.

2. Fon GT, Pitt MJ, Thies AC, Jr. Thoracic kyphosis: range in normal subjects. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1980;134(5):979-83.

3. Boseker EH, Moe JH, Winter RB, Koop SE. Determination of "normal" thoracic kyphosis: a roentgenographic study of 121 "normal" children. J Pediatr Orthop. 2000;20(6):796-8.

4. Kane WJ. Scoliosis prevalence: a call for a statement of terms. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1977(126):43-6.

5. Kado DM, Huang MH, Karlamangla AS, Barrett-Connor E, Greendale GA. Hyperkyphotic posture predicts mortality in older community-dwelling men and women: a prospective study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52(10):1662-7.

6. Ryan SD, Fried LP. The impact of kyphosis on daily functioning. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1997;45(12):1479-86.

7. Takahashi T, Ishida K, Hirose D, Nagano Y, Okumiya K, Nishinaga M, et al. Trunk deformity is associated with a reduction in outdoor activities of daily living and life satisfaction in community-dwelling older people. Osteoporos Int. 2005;16(3):273-9.

8. Ensrud KE, Black DM, Harris F, Ettinger B, Cummings SR. Correlates of kyphosis in older women. The Fracture Intervention Trial Research Group. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1997;45(6):682-7.

9. Kado DM, Huang MH, Karlamangla AS, Cawthon P, Katzman W, Hillier TA, et al. Factors associated with kyphosis progression in older women: 15 years' experience in the study of osteoporotic fractures. J Bone Miner Res. 2013;28(1):179-87.

10. Pavlovic A, Nichols DL, Sanborn CF, Dimarco NM. Relationship of thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis to bone mineral density in women. Osteoporos Int. 2013;24(8):2269-73.

11. Axenovich TI, Zaidman AM, Zorkoltseva IV, Kalashnikova EV, Borodin PM. Segregation analysis of Scheuermann disease in ninety families from Siberia. Am J Med Genet. 2001;100(4):275-9.

BMJ Open

1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, 0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
11	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
21 20	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
26	
30	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
⊿२	
C+- ۸ ۸	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
52	
20	
59	
60	

12. Schneider DL, von Muhlen D, Barrett-Connor E, Sartoris DJ. Kyphosis does not equal vertebral fractures: the Rancho Bernardo study. J Rheumatol. 2004;31(4):747-52.

13. Haagsma JA, Graetz N, Bolliger I, Naghavi M, Higashi H, Mullany EC, et al. The global burden of injury: incidence, mortality, disability-adjusted life years and time trends from the Global Burden of Disease study 2013. Injury prevention : journal of the International Society for Child and Adolescent Injury Prevention. 2016;22(1):3-18.

14. Yeo YY, Lee SK, Lim CY, Quek LS, Ooi SB. A review of elderly injuries seen in a Singapore emergency department. Singapore Med J. 2009;50(3):278-83.

15. Chien WC, Chung CH, Lai CH, Chou CH. A retrospective population-based study of injury types among elderly in Taiwan. International journal of injury control and safety promotion. 2014;21(1):3-8.

16. National estimates of the ten leading causes of nonfatal injuries, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC. 2004;<u>www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars.html</u> (Accessed on May 24, 2010).

17. Mackenzie EJ, Rivara FP, Jurkovich GJ, Nathens AB, Frey KP, Egleston BL, et al. The National Study on Costs and Outcomes of Trauma. J Trauma. 2007;63(6 Suppl):S54-67; discussion S81-6.

18. Global burden of disease. World Health Organization. 2010; <u>www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/en/</u> (Accessed on May 01, 2010).

19. Global Status on Road Safety. World Health Organization.
2015;<u>http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/2015/</u>
(Accessed on April 04, 2016).

20. Sinaki M, Brey RH, Hughes CA, Larson DR, Kaufman KR. Balance disorder and increased risk of falls in osteoporosis and kyphosis: significance of kyphotic posture and muscle strength. Osteoporos Int. 2005;16(8):1004-10.

21. de Groot MH, van der Jagt-Willems HC, van Campen JP, Lems WF, Beijnen JH, Lamoth CJ. A flexed posture in elderly patients is associated with impairments in postural control during walking. Gait Posture. 2014;39(2):767-72.

22. Lin CL, Chung CH, Tsai YH, Chien WC. Association between sleep disorders and injury: a nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study. Injury prevention : journal of the International Society for Child and Adolescent Injury Prevention. 2016;22(5):342-6.

23. Jones RS, Kennedy JD, Hasham F, Owen R, Taylor JF. Mechanical inefficiency of the thoracic cage in scoliosis. Thorax. 1981;36(6):456-61.

24. Di Bari M, Chiarlone M, Matteuzzi D, Zacchei S, Pozzi C, Bellia V, et al. Thoracic kyphosis and ventilatory dysfunction in unselected older persons: an

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

51 52

53

54 55

56 57

58 59

60

epidemiological study in Dicomano, Italy. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52(6):909-15. 25. Bergofsky EH, Turino GM, Fishman AP. Cardiorespiratory failure in kyphoscoliosis. Medicine (Baltimore). 1959;38:263-317. 26. Naeye RL. Kyphoscoliosis and cor pulmonale; a study of the pulmonary vascular bed. Am J Pathol. 1961;38:561-73. 27. Shannon DC, Riseborough EJ, Kazemi H. Ventilation perfusion relationships following correction of kyphoscoliosis. JAMA. 1971;217(5):579-84. 28. Shneerson JM. The cardiorespiratory response to exercise in thoracic scoliosis. Thorax. 1978;33(4):457-63. 29. Kesten S, Garfinkel SK, Wright T, Rebuck AS. Impaired exercise capacity in adults with moderate scoliosis. Chest. 1991;99(3):663-6. 30. Lynn SG, Sinaki M, Westerlind KC. Balance characteristics of persons with osteoporosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1997;78(3):273-7. 31. de Groot MH, van der Jagt-Willems HC, van Campen JP, Lems WF, Lamoth CJ. Testing postural control among various osteoporotic patient groups: a literature review. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2012;12(4):573-85. 32. Katzman WB, Vittinghoff E, Kado DM, Lane NE, Ensrud KE, Shipp K. Thoracic kyphosis and rate of incident vertebral fractures: the Fracture Intervention Trial. Osteoporos Int. 2016;27(3):899-903. 33. Brorsson B. Age and injury severity. Scand J Soc Med. 1989;17(4):287-90. 34. Gauchard GC, Lascombes P, Kuhnast M, Perrin PP. Influence of different types of progressive idiopathic scoliosis on static and dynamic postural control. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2001;26(9):1052-8. 35. Skaggs DL, Bassett GS. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: an update. Am Fam Physician. 1996;53(7):2327-35. 36. Weinstein SL, Dolan LA, Wright JG, Dobbs MB. Effects of bracing in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(16):1512-21. 37. Negrini S, Minozzi S, Bettany-Saltikov J, Chockalingam N, Grivas TB, Kotwicki T, et al. Braces for idiopathic scoliosis in adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015(6):CD006850. 38. Fuschillo S, De Felice A, Martucci M, Gaudiosi C, Pisano V, Vitale D, et al. Pulmonary rehabilitation improves exercise capacity in subjects with kyphoscoliosis and severe respiratory impairment. Respir Care. 2015;60(1):96-101. 39. Obayashi H, Urabe Y, Yamanaka Y, Okuma R. Effects of respiratory-muscle exercise on spinal curvature. J Sport Rehabil. 2012;21(1):63-8. 40. Menon B, Aggarwal B. Influence of spinal deformity on pulmonary function, arterial blood gas values, and exercise capacity in thoracic kyphoscoliosis. Neurosciences (Riyadh). 2007;12(4):293-8. 19

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for cumulative risk of injury

Table 1. Demographic data for patients with and without spinal curvature

O,	Cu	Curvature of the spine			
	wit	th	with	out	
Variables	n	%	n	%	
Total	20,566	33.33	41,132	66.67	
Gender	0				0.999
Male	7,279	35.39	14,558	35.39	
Female	13,287	64.61	26,574	64.61	
Age group (years)			0		0.999
20-40	3,107	15.11	6,529	15.87	
41-64	6,597	32.08	13,386	32.54	
≥ 65	10,862	52.82	21,217	51.58	
Number of comorbidities					< 0.001
0	12,469	60.63	19,513	47.44	
1	6,074	29.53	12,021	29.23	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

≥ 2	2,023	9.84	9,598	23.33	
Urbanization level					< 0.001
1 (The highest)	8,147	39.61	14,344	34.87	
2	7,807	37.96	17,780	43.23	
3	2,021	9.83	2,946	7.16	
4 (The lowest)	2,591	12.60	6,062	14.74	
Insurance premium (NT\$)					< 0.001
<18,000	20,193	98.19	40,541	98.56	
18,000-34,999	324	1.58	482	1.17	
≧35,000	49	0.24	109	0.27	

	With	curvature of	the spine	Witho	ut curvature o	f the spine	Ratio	Adjusted HR†(95%CI)
			IR‡ (per			IR‡ (per		
Variables	Event	PYs	10 ⁵ PYs)	Event	PYs	10 ⁵ PYs)		
Total	3,617	33,167.52	10,905.25	6,254	69,032.77	9,059.47	1.204	2.209 (2.118-2.303) *
Gender		6	I	1		L		
Male	1,308	12,003.99	10,896.38	2,288	24,100.91	9,493.42	1.148	2.098 (1.958-2.249) *
Female	2,309	21,163.52	10,910.28	3,966	44,931.86	8,826.70	1.236	2.279 (2.162-2.402) *
Age group (years)		<u> </u>	(<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
20-40	289	2,945.11	9,812.88	177	6,082.11	2,910.17	3.372	6.665 (5.512-8.060) *
41-64	885	7,561.59	11,703.89	603	8,360.88	7,212.16	1.623	6.154 (5.527-6.852) *
≧65	2,443	22,660.82	10,780.72	5,474	54,589.78	10,027.52	1.075	1.666 (1.587-1.749) *
Number of comorbidities		<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>			L
0	2,008	14,400.78	13,943.69	2,653	22,738.69	11,667.34	1.195	2.424 (2.284-2.572) *
1	1,142	11,337.55	10,072.72	2,077	21,977.53	9,450.56	1.066	2.203 (2.047-2.371) *
≧2	467	7,429.19	6,286.02	1,524	24,316.54	6,267.34	1.003	1.674 (1.507-1.860) *
Urbanization level		1	1	<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1 (The highest)	1.012	0.700.00						

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2	1,522	14,516.01	10,484.97	2,813	31,263.20	8,997.80	1.165	2.145 (2.012-2.285) *
3	325	2,422.89	13,413.73	463	4,925.73	9,399.62	1.427	2.766 (2.390-3.202) *
4 (The lowest)	758	6,437.64	11,774.50	1,219	12,040.22	10,124.40	1.163	2.131 (1.942-2.339) *
Insurance premium (NT\$)								
<18,000	3,545	32,458.79	10,921.54	6,161	67,962.43	9,065.30	1.205	2.210 (2.118-2.305) *
18,000-34,999	68	643.84	10,561.63	88	965.58	9,113.69	1.159	2.297 (1.651-3.194) *
≥35,000	4	64.89	6,164.28	5	104.76	4,772.81	1.292	1.868 (0.430-8.119) **

*p<0.001; **p=0.405

†Adjusted HR: multivariable analysis including gender, age, number of comorbidities, urbanization level, and insurance premium.

‡Indicates incidence rate per 100,000 person-years.

IR, incidence rate; PYs = Person-years; Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval

1 2	
3 4 5	
6 7	
8 9 10	
11 12	
13 14	
15 16 17	
18 19	
20 21 22	
23 24	
25 26 27	
28 29	
30 31 32	
33 34	
35 36 27	
37 38 39	
40 41	
42 43 44	
45 46	
47 48 49	
50 51	
52 53	
54 55 56	
57 58	
59 60	

-		
Curvature of the spine	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR † (95%CI)
Kyphosis		
With	1.579	2.777 (2.553 - 3.021) *
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Lordosis		
With	1.033	2.087 (1.235 - 3.527) **
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis	0	
With	1.146	2.113(2.201 - 2.210) *
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Unspecified		0
With	1.388	2.727(2.119 - 3.509) *
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)

Table 3. Risk of injury stratified by subgroup of spinal curvature

ICD-9-CM code: kyphosis (ICD-9-CM 737.0, 737.1, 737.41), lordosis (ICD-9-CM

737.2, 737.42), kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis (ICD-9-CM 737.3, 737.43), and

unspecified (ICD-9-CM 737.40, 737.8, 737.9)

ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical

Modification

*p< 0.001

**p=0.006

[†]Adjusted HR: multivariable analysis including gender, age, comorbidities,

urbanization level, and insurance premium.

Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval

BMJ Open

intentionality of i	njury in the end of follo	ow-up
	Crude HR (95%CI)	Adjusted HR † (95%CI)
Injury diagnosis		
Fracture	1.193	1.502 (1.398-1.614) *
Dislocation	1.859	1.732 (1.172-2.558) *
Sprains and strains	1.510	1.341 (0.927-1.942)
Intracranial/internal injury	0.835	0.996 (0.808-1.501)
Open wound	1.244	1.597 (1.310-1.939) *
Injury to blood vessels	0.297	0.287 (0.007-11.122)
Superficial injury/contusion	1.321	1.414 (1.131-1.761) *
Crushing	4.460	4.949 (1.093-30.895) *
Foreign body entering through		1
orifice	1.469	1.921 (0.970-3.803)
Burn	2.018	1.453 (0.968-2.180)
Injury to nerves and spinal cord	2.379	2.428 (1.310-4.500) *
Poisoning	0.999	0.983 (0.809-1.602)
Others injury	1.270	1.387 (1.284-1.499) *

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Cause of injury		
Traffic injuries	1.370	1.379 (1.191-1.597) *
Poisoning	1.150	1.423 (0.906-2.234)
Falls	1.258	1.552 (1.429-1.686) *
Burns and fires	2.498	1.567 (0.977-2.567)
Drowning	N/A	N/A
Suffocation	1.972	6.442 (2.335-17.776) *
Crushing/cutting/piercing	1.788	2.595 (1.056-3.409) *
Other injuries	1.401	1.612 (1.443-1.800) *
Intentionality of injury	0	
Unitentional	1.335	1.537 (1.200-2.605) *
Intentional	2.330	2.218 (0.994-3.424)
Unknown	1.095	1.076 (0.419-1.830)

*p<0.05

Some patients did not provide information about cause and intentionality of injury

†Adjusted HR: multivariable analysis including gender, age, comorbidities,

urbanization level, and insurance premium.

Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval

N/A: non-applicable due to only one drowning event in spine curvature patients and

1 2 3 no drov 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	wing event in the control group.
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	28
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

5,349 individuals

41,132 individuals

6,254 individuals

with injury



60

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml





Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier for cumulative risk of injury among aged 20 over stratified by curvature of spine with log-rank test

190x274mm (284 x 284 DPI)

STROBE Statement—	-Checklist of i	tems that should b	e included in reports	of <i>cohort studies</i>
-------------------	-----------------	--------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment.
~ • • • • • • •		exposure, follow-up, and data collection
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of
I		participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and
		unexposed
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is
		more than one group
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,
		completing follow-up, and analysed
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
		information on exposures and potential confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and
		their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were
		adjusted for and why they were included
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a
		meaningful time period

For peer review only - http://bmjopen!bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

1 2	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses
3	Discussion		
4	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
6	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or
7			imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
8	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
9 10			multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
11	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
12	Other information		
13	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based
15 16 17 18 19		~0	
20 21 22 23			
24 25			
26 27			
28			
29			
30 31			
32			
33			
34 35			
36			
37			
38			
40			
41			
42			
43 44			
45			
46			
4/ 48			
49			
50			
51			
52 53			
54			
55			
56 57			
58			
59			2
60	F	or peer reviev	v only - http://bmjopen f. bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

×

Association between Spinal Curvature Disorders and Injury: A Nationwide Population-based Retrospective Cohort Study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-023604.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Jul-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Kuo, Yen-Liang; Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan, Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan; School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan Chung, Chi-Hsiang; School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan Tsao, Chang-Huei; Department of Microbiology & Immunology, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan Chang, Shan-Yueh; Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National Defense Medical Center Tri- Service General Hospital, Naipei, Taiwan Cheng, Wei-Erh; Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, College of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan Shen, Chih-Hao; Division of Pulmo
Primary Subject	Public health
2	
----	--
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	

59

3	Heading:	
5	Secondary Subject Heading:	Health policy, Surgery, Epidemiology
6 7		PUBLIC HEALTH, Spine < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, Bone
8 9 10	Keywords:	disorders < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY, Scoliosis < ORTHOPAEDIC & TRAUMA SURGERY
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		Manuscripte
16		Manuscripts
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
20 57		
57 58		
59		

Association between Spinal Curvature Disorders and Injury: A Nationwide Population-based Retrospective Cohort Study

Yen-Liang Kuo^{1,2,3}, Chi-Hsiang Chung^{4,5}, Tsai-Wang Huang⁶, Chang-Huei Tsao^{7,8},

Shan-Yueh Chang³, Chung-Kan Peng³, Wei-Erh Cheng^{1,2}, Wu-Chien Chien^{5,8*},

Chih-Hao Shen ^{3*}

¹ Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan

² School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan

³ Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Department of Internal Medicine, National

Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

⁴ Taiwanese Injury Prevention and Safety Promotion Association, Taipei, Taiwan

⁵ School of Public Health, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

⁶ Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital,

National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

⁷ Department of Microbiology & Immunology, National Defense Medical Center,

Taipei, Taiwan

⁸ Department of Medical Research, Tri-Service General Hospital and National

1	
2	
3	Defense Medical Center Trinei Triner
4	Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan
5	
6	*These authors contributed equally to this work
/	1 5
9	
10	
10	
12	Corresponding author
13	Corresponding author.
14	Chih-Hao Shen:
15	
16	Address: Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care. Department of Internal Medicine.
17	
18	Tri-Service General Hospital National Defense Medical Center No 325 Section 2
19	In Service General Hospital, Paulonal Derense medical Center, 10.525, Section 2,
20	Cheng-Kung Road Neibu District Tainei 11490 Taiwan
21	Cheng-Kung Koud, Wenna Disartet, Taiper, 11490, Taiwan,
22	Tel: 886-2- 87923311 Ext 16883
23	101. 000-2- 07925511, Ext. 10005
24	Fax: 886-2- 66008692
25	T dx. 880-2- 00008092,
20	E mail: Potato652@vahoo.com tw
28	E-mail. Folatoo52@yanoo.com.tw
29	
30	
31	Word county 2 412
32	word count: 2,412
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57	-

Abstract

Objectives: Injury is an important issue in public health. Spinal curvature disorders are deformities characterized by excessive curves of the spine. The prevalence of spinal curvature disorders is not low, but its relationship with injury has not been studied. The aim of this study is to investigate whether spinal curvature disorders increases the risk of injury.

Design: Population-based retrospective cohort study.

Setting: Using data from the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) from 2000 to 2010.

Participants and exposure: Patients with spinal curvature disorders were selected using codes from the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). A cohort without spinal curvature was randomly frequency-matched to the spinal curvature disorders cohort at a ratio of 2:1 according to age, sex, and index year.

Primary outcome measures: The risk of injury was analyzed using Cox's proportional hazards regression models adjusting for age, sex, comorbidities, urbanization level, and socioeconomic status.

Results: A total of 20,566 patients with spinal curvature disorders and 41,132 controls were enrolled in this study. The risk of injury was 2.209 times higher (95%)

BMJ Open

CI 2.118 to 2.303) in patients with spinal curvature disorders than in the control group. The spinal curvature disorders cohort exhibited higher risk of developing injury compared to the control group, regardless of age, sex, comorbidities, urbanization level, and subgroup of spinal curvature disorders. Based on the subgroup analysis, the spinal curvature disorders cohort had higher risks of unintentional injury and injury diagnoses such as fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, and injury to nerves and spinal cord compared to the control cohort. **Conclusions:** Patients with spinal curvature disorders have a significantly higher risk of developing injury than patients without spinal curvature disorders. Aggressive detection and management of spinal curvature disorders may be beneficial for injury prevention.

Short title: Relationship between spinal curvature disorders and injury

Keywords: injury, spinal curvature disorders, spinal curvature, kyphosis, lordosis, scoliosis, risk factor

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions:

Yen-Liang Kuo, Chih-Hao Shen and Wu-Chien Chien led the project and interpreted the data. Chi-Hsiang Chung, Tsai-Wang Huang and Chang-Huei Tsao conducted this study and analyzed the data. Shan-Yueh Chang, Chung-Kan Peng and Wei-Erh Cheng interpreted the data. Yen-Liang Kuo, Chih-Hao Shen and Wu-Chien Chien wrote the paper. All authors approved the final version of manuscript.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

- This is the first nationwide population-based cohort study to assess the associations between spinal curvature and injury.
- The main strengths of this study are the large population-based dataset and the retrospective cohort design, which minimize selection bias.
- This study cohort is large enough to examine each risks of injury among subgroups.
- The limitation of this study is the lack of information on the severity of spinal curvature disorders, detailed patient characteristics, and injury severity.

Ethics

Because data were collected from the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database and 1,000,000 people were randomly selected from the entire population, personal medical information about an identifiable person is not contained in this

BMJ Open

database and patient consent was not necessary. This study was approved after a complete ethical review by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of National Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital (approval number: TSGHIRB No.

1-105-05-142).

Funding statement

There is no funding for this submission.

Data sharing statement No additional data available.

Introduction

The spine has a gentle curve when viewed from the side and a straight appearance when viewed from the back. This structure absorbs the stress from body movement and gravity. Kyphosis is a convex curvature of the spine that creates a hunchback appearance. Lordosis refers to the inward concave curving of the cervical and lumbar regions of the spine¹². When disorders of the spine occur, the natural curvatures of the spine are misaligned or exaggerated in certain areas.

Possible spinal curvature disorders include scoliosis, hyperkyphosis, and hyperlordosis, which can be graded in severity by the Cobb angle ³. Scoliosis indicates a lateral displacement or curvature of the spine ⁴, which is defined by a curve in the spine with a Cobb angle of 10 degrees or greater in adults ⁵. Hyperkyphosis and hyperlordosis are commonly referred to as kyphosis and lordosis by the medical community. The evaluation of these conditions is challenging due to the lack of standardized diagnostic criteria. Generally, the Cobb angle of a normal thoracic spine ranges between 20 and 50 degrees in young people ¹⁶.

Among community-dwelling individuals ≥ 60 years old, the current incidence of hyperkyphosis is between 20 and 40 percent ⁷⁻⁹. There are multiple contributing factors to spinal curvature disorders, such as vertebral fractures with low bone density ¹⁰⁻¹², short vertebral height as in Scheuermann disease ¹³, degenerative disc disease ¹⁴,

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

postural changes¹⁵⁻¹⁸, muscle weakness^{15 19-23}, intervertebral ligament degeneration²⁴, and systemic physical activity practice²⁵⁻²⁸.

Injury is an important issue in public health ²⁹, especially among the elderly ³⁰. Injuries can be divided into several types according to various factors, such as injury diagnosis, cause of injury, or intentionality of injury according to the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) of Taiwan ³¹. The occurrence of injury influences the capacity for activity and can cause complications, economic burden, and even mortality ³²⁻³⁵. Thus, determining the modifiable risks and strategies for the prevention or management of injury deserves more clinical attention.

The potential relationship between spinal curvature disorders and injury is not precisely known. Sinaki et al. demonstrated that thoracic hyperkyphosis in the context of reduced muscle strength plays an important role in increasing body sway, gait unsteadiness, and risk of falls in osteoporosis ²³. De Groot et al. suggest that patients with flexed posture (characterized by protrusion of the head and increased thoracic kyphosis) have impaired postural control during walking and may therefore have higher risk of falling ³⁶. However, no study has described the sequential association between spine curvature disorders and injury. We conducted a nationwide population-based cohort study to investigate whether spinal curvature disorders increases the risk of injury.

Materials and Methods

Data sources

Taiwan's NHIRD has been built since Taiwan established a universal health care system in 1995. This database includes the medical records of the Taiwanese population of around 23.81 million, and the coverage reached 99.6% in 2016. In this study, data were collected from the NHIRD, and 1,000,000 people were randomly and anonymously selected from the entire population. The disease diagnosis codes in the NHIRD dossier are based on the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM)³⁷. This study was approved after a complete ethical review by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of National Defense Medical Center Tri-Service General Hospital (approval number: TSGHIRB No. 1-105-05-142) and the informed consent was not necessary.

Study design and sampled participants

This study was a retrospective cohort design. Patients were selected if they were diagnosed with spinal curvature disorders with \geq 3 outpatient visits and spinal curvature disorders inpatients from January 2, 2000, to December 31, 2010, according to the following ICD-9 codes: kyphosis (ICD-9-CM 737.0, 737.1, 737.41), lordosis (ICD-9-CM 737.2, 737.42), kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis (ICD-9-CM 737.3, 737.43),

BMJ Open

and unspecified (ICD-9-CM 737.40, 737.8, 737.9) from the Longitudinal Health Insurance Database (LHID). Patients were excluded for the following reasons: 1) spinal curvature disorders diagnosed before 2000, 2) injury before tracing, 3) age < 20 years, and 4) unknown gender. The patients were divided into four groups based on the ICD codes.

For the control cohort, we randomly selected patients without spinal curvature disorders in this period from among insured individuals. We excluded control subjects according to the same criteria. The spinal curvature disorders cohort and control cohort were frequency matched by gender, age, and index rate (Figure 1).

Patient and public involvement

This retrospective cohort study used Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database with anonymized identifications. Therefore, patients and public were not involved.

Outcome measures

All study participants were followed from the index date until the first diagnosis of injury (ICD-9-CM 800–999), withdrawal from the National Health Insurance (NHI) program, or the end of 2010. Based on the definition of injury categories from prior studies ^{31 38}, the causes of injury were classified as traffic injuries (ICD-9-CM E800-E849), poisoning (ICD-9-CM E850-E869), falls (ICD-9-CM E880-E888),

burns and fires (ICD-9-CM E890-E899), drowning (ICD-9-CM E910), suffocation (ICD-9-CM E911-E915), crushing/cutting/piercing (ICD-9-CM E916-E920), other unintentional injuries (ICD-9-CM E870-E879, E900-E909, E951-E949). The intentionality categories of injuries include unintentional (ICD-8-CM E800-R949), intentional (ICD-9-CM E950-E979, E990-E999), and unknown.

Comorbidities

Baseline comorbidities include diabetes (ICD-9-CM 250), hypertension (ICD-9-CM 401 – 405), ischemic heart disease (ICD-9-CM codes 410–414), stroke (ICD-9-CM 430-438), chronic kidney disease (ICD-9-CM 585), liver cirrhosis (ICD-9-CM 570, 571, 572.1, 572.4, 573.1–573.3), chronic obstructive lung disease (ICD-9-CM codes 490, 491, 495, and 496), and cancer (ICD-9-CM 140-208). The population was also stratified according to the number of comorbidities (0, 1, and \geq 2).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (V.21; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Chi squared and t tests were used to evaluate the distributions of categorical and continuous variables between patients with spinal curvature disorders and the control group. The incidence rates of injury were calculated according to gender, age, number of comorbidities, urbanization level,

BMJ Open

and insurance premium. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to determine the risk of injury, which is presented as a hazard ratio (HR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). The difference in injury risk between the two groups was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method with the log-rank test. A two-tailed p value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

We enrolled 20,566 patients who had spinal curvature disorders and 41,132 subjects without spinal curvature disorders in the control group. The majority of patients were \geq 65 years old (52.82% and 51.58% for spinal curvature disorders and control group, respectively). Females accounted for more than half of the subjects (64.61%) in each cohort. There is a significant difference in the number of comorbidities, urbanization level, and insurance premium between the spinal curvature group and control group (Table 1). The average period of follow-up was 5.48 years for the spinal curvature disorders cohort and 6.24 years for the control group.

Table 2 shows that the incidence of injury was higher in the spinal curvature disorders cohort than that in the control cohort (10905.25 and 9059.47 incidences per 100,000 person-years, respectively). Compared to the control group, spinal curvature

disorders patients were associated with a significantly higher risk of injury (adjusted HR 2.209 (95% CI 2.118 to 2.303)). The spinal curvature disorders cohort exhibited a higher risk of injury compared to the control group, regardless of age, sex, comorbidities, and urbanization level. The risk of injury was also significantly higher in the spinal curvature disorders cohort than in the control cohort among patients with insurance premiums < 18,000 New Taiwan dollars (NTD) and 18,000-34,999 NTD. Table 3 shows the risks of injury stratified by subgroup of spinal curvature disorders (kyphosis, lordosis, kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis, and unspecified type). All subgroups of spinal curvature disorders had a significantly higher risk of injury compared to the control cohort (adjusted HR 2.777 (95% CI 2.553 to 3.021), 2.087 (95% CI 1.235 to 3.527), 2.113 (95% CI 2.021 to 2.210), 2.727 (95% CI 2.119 to 3.509), respectively).

Table 4 shows the incidence and adjusted HR of injury according to the injury diagnosis, cause of injury, and intentionality of injury at the end of the follow-up period. The spinal curvature disorders cohort had a significantly higher risk of unintentionality of injury compared to the control cohort (adjusted HR 1.537 (95% CI 1.200 to 2.605)). The spinal curvature disorders cohort had significantly higher risk in injury diagnoses of fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, injury to nerve and spinal cord, and other injury compared to the control

BMJ Open

cohort (adjusted HR 1.502 (95% CI 1.398 to 1.614), 1.732 (95% CI 1.172 to 2.558), 1.597 (95% CI 1.310 to 1.939), 1.414 (95% CI 1.131 to 1.761), 4.949 (95% CI 1.093 to 30.895), 2.428 (95% CI 1.310 to 4.500), and 1.387 (95% CI 1.284 to 1.499), respectively). They also had significantly higher risk of traffic injuries, falls, suffocation, crushing/cutting/piercing, and other injuries compared to the control cohort (adjusted HR 1.379 (95% CI 1.191 to 1.597), 1.552 (95% CI 1.429 to 1.686), 6.442 (95% CI 2.335 to 17.776), 2.595 (95% CI 1.056 to 3.409), and 1.612 (95% CI 1.443 to 1.800), respectively). Significantly higher risk of unintentional injury was also observed in the spinal curvature disorders cohort (1.537 (95% CI 1.200 to 2.605)).

We used Kaplan-Meier survival analysis to assess the cumulative incidence. The injury rates of spinal curvature disorders subjects (17.59 %, in 20,566) and non-spinal curvature disorders control (15.20%, in 41,132), and in two-tailed test, while setting the significance as p < 0.05, the estimated statistical power for this study is 0.999. There were significant differences in the cumulative incidence of injury among the patients with and without spinal curvature disorders from the 1st to the 11th follow-up year (log-rank test; p < 0.001) (Figure 2).

Discussion

BMJ Open

This is the first nationwide population-based cohort study to investigate the associations between spinal curvature disorders and injury. We found that patients with spinal curvature disorders had 2.209-times higher risk of developing injury compared to those without spinal curvature disorders. Although the spinal curvature disorders and non-spinal curvature disorders cohorts had different prevalence of comorbidities, urbanization level, and insurance premium on the index day, spinal curvature disorders remained an independent risk factor for injury in the adjusted Cox regression analysis. As shown in Table 2, patients in the spinal curvature disorders cohort had a higher incidence of injury than patients in the control cohort in most of the subgroup analyses of sex, age, comorbidity, urbanization level and socioeconomic status. This observation strengthens the finding that spinal curvature disorders independently increases the risk of injury.

For subgroup analysis of injury events, we found that patients with spinal curvature disorders had higher risk of unintentional injury and injury diagnoses such as fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, and injury to nerves and spinal cord. The severity of spinal curvature disorders is defined by the measurement of the Cobb angle of curvature ³. Chest wall compliance decreases in severe cases, which leads to difficulty in breathing, increased risk of respiratory muscle fatigue ^{39 40}, increased dead space fraction, alveolar hypoventilation with

BMJ Open

hypercapnia ⁴¹, hypoxemia ⁴², ventilation-perfusion mismatch, apneic events with nocturnal hypoventilation and arterial oxygen desaturation ^{39 43}, and exercise limitations ^{44 45}. Previous studies also suggest that spinal curvature disorders is associated with reduced muscle strength, increased body sway, and gait unsteadiness ^{23 46-48}. The increased risk of unintentional injury in our study may be explained by these systemic manifestations of spinal curvature disorders.

We found that patients with spinal curvature disorders had higher risks of various injury causes, such as traffic injuries, falls, suffocation, crushing/cutting/piercing, and other unintentional injuries. Among these causes, risk of suffocation (adjusted HR 6.442) was much higher than that of other injuries. Although respiratory muscle fatigue¹⁵ ¹⁶ and lung function impairment were found in patients with spinal curvature¹⁷, there are insufficient studies explaining the mechanism between spinal curvature disorders and suffocation. Further studies for analyzing this correlation are warranted.

After stratifying patients with injury by age, we found that the adjusted HR of subjects aged 20 to 40 years and 41 to 64 years were much higher than in those aged 65 years and above (adjusted HR: 6.665, 6.154, and 1.666, respectively). This contrasts with the concept that risk of injury is higher among the elderly, especially for fracture due to car crashes ⁴⁹. The actual mechanisms remain unknown for this

BMJ Open

phenomenon. We found that older patients with spinal curvature disorders had more comorbidities than younger patients with these disorders (Table 2). Previous studies showed that age and chronic illness are associated with disability in daily living activities ^{50 51}. Therefore, older patients with spinal curvature disorders may present less activity. On the other hand, previous studies also demonstrated that impaired balance control ⁵² and changes in the capacity of maintaining position ⁵³ can be found in young scoliosis patients. It is possible that daily activities may be less limited in younger populations with spinal curvature disorders, which increases the risk of injury. Future studies on this correlation are warranted.

The treatment of spinal curvature disorders includes supportive care, bracing, pulmonary rehabilitation, noninvasive ventilation, and surgery ⁵⁴⁻⁵⁸. Treatment may also improve pulmonary function, exercise capacity, and arterial blood gas, as well as eliminate obstructive apnea ⁵⁹. We found spinal curvature disorders were associated with higher risk of injury. However, whether providing assistive devices or protective gear to patients with spinal curvature disorders decreases the incidence of injury remains uncertain. Future studies focusing on the association between early detection, adequate treatment of spinal curvature disorders, and the prevention of injury are warranted.

This study has some limitations. Firstly, the severity of spinal curvature

BMJ Open

disorders, the subjective symptoms and physical examinations were not recorded which might confound the incidence rate of spinal curvature disorders. Secondly, there is no information on patient characteristics from the NHIRD. The data lacked information about smoking, dietary habits, alcoholism, substance use, obesity and bone mineral density, which might influence the time and incidence rate of injury occurrence. Thirdly, the severity of injuries that would impact the patient's daily life was not evaluated. Fourthly, other bias might remain in this retrospective cohort study, despite the meticulous adjustment of the model for potential confounders.

In conclusion, our study suggests that patient with spinal curvature disorders exhibit higher risk of developing injury than patients without spinal curvature disorders, especially the risks of unintentional injury and injury diagnoses such as fracture, dislocation, open wound, superficial injury/contusion, crushing, and injury to nerves and spinal cord. Aggressive detection and management of patients with spinal curvature disorders may be beneficial for injury prevention from a public health perspective.

Reference

- 1. Fon GT, Pitt MJ, Thies AC, Jr. Thoracic kyphosis: range in normal subjects. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1980;134(5):979-83. doi: 10.2214/ajr.134.5.979
- 2. Voutsinas SA, MacEwen GD. Sagittal profiles of the spine. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1986(210):235-42.

3. J. C. Outline for the study of scoliosis. *Amer Acad Orthop Surg Instructional Course Lectures* 1948;5:261–75.

- 4. Vasiliadis ES, Grivas TB, Kaspiris A. Historical overview of spinal deformities in ancient Greece. *Scoliosis* 2009;4:6. doi: 10.1186/1748-7161-4-6
- 5. Kane WJ. Scoliosis prevalence: a call for a statement of terms. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1977(126):43-6.
- Boseker EH, Moe JH, Winter RB, et al. Determination of "normal" thoracic kyphosis: a roentgenographic study of 121 "normal" children. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2000;20(6):796-8.
- Kado DM, Huang MH, Karlamangla AS, et al. Hyperkyphotic posture predicts mortality in older community-dwelling men and women: a prospective study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004;52(10):1662-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52458.x
- 8. Ryan SD, Fried LP. The impact of kyphosis on daily functioning. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1997;45(12):1479-86.
- Takahashi T, Ishida K, Hirose D, et al. Trunk deformity is associated with a reduction in outdoor activities of daily living and life satisfaction in community-dwelling older people. Osteoporos Int 2005;16(3):273-9. doi: 10.1007/s00198-004-1669-3
- Ensrud KE, Black DM, Harris F, et al. Correlates of kyphosis in older women. The Fracture Intervention Trial Research Group. J Am Geriatr Soc 1997;45(6):682-7.
- Kado DM, Huang MH, Karlamangla AS, et al. Factors associated with kyphosis progression in older women: 15 years' experience in the study of osteoporotic fractures. J Bone Miner Res 2013;28(1):179-87. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.1728
- Pavlovic A, Nichols DL, Sanborn CF, et al. Relationship of thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis to bone mineral density in women. *Osteoporos Int* 2013;24(8):2269-73. doi: 10.1007/s00198-013-2296-7
- Axenovich TI, Zaidman AM, Zorkoltseva IV, et al. Segregation analysis of Scheuermann disease in ninety families from Siberia. Am J Med Genet 2001;100(4):275-9.
- Schneider DL, von Muhlen D, Barrett-Connor E, et al. Kyphosis does not equal vertebral fractures: the Rancho Bernardo study. J Rheumatol 2004;31(4):747-52.
- 15. Kado DM, Prenovost K, Crandall C. Narrative review: hyperkyphosis in older persons. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147(5):330-8.
- 16. Boyle JJ, Milne N, Singer KP. Influence of age on cervicothoracic spinal curvature: an ex vivo radiographic survey. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2002;17(5):361-7.
- 17. Vialle R, Levassor N, Rillardon L, et al. Radiographic analysis of the sagittal

BMJ Open

2	
3	alignment and balance of the spine in asymptomatic subjects. J Bone Joint
4	Sura Am 2005:87(2):260-7 doi: 10 2106/IBIS D 02043
5	
6	18. Hinman MR. Comparison of thoracic kyphosis and postural stiffness in younger
7	and older women. <i>Spine J</i> 2004;4(4):413-7. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2004.01.002
8	19 Mika A. Unnithan VB. Mika P. Differences in thoracic kynhosis and in back muscle
9	
10	strength in women with bone loss due to osteoporosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976)
11	2005;30(2):241-6.
12	20 Brocklehurst IC Robertson D. James-Groom P. Skeletal deformities in the elderly
14	
15	and their effect on postural sway. J Am Geriatr Soc 1982;30(8):534-8.
16	21. Chow RK, Harrison JE. Relationship of kyphosis to physical fitness and bone mass
17	on nost-menonausal women Am I Phys Med 1987:66(5):219-27
18	
19	22. Sinaki M, Itoi E, Rogers JW, et al. Correlation of back extensor strength with
20	thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis in estrogen-deficient women. Am J
21	Phys Med Rehabil 1996:75(5):370-4
22	
23	23. Sinaki M, Brey RH, Hughes CA, et al. Balance disorder and increased risk of falls in
24	osteoporosis and kyphosis: significance of kyphotic posture and muscle
25	strength. Osteoporos Int 2005:16(8):1004-10. doi:
26	
27	10.100//\$00198-004-1791-2
20	24. Birnbaum K, Siebert CH, Hinkelmann J, et al. Correction of kyphotic deformity
30	before and after transection of the anterior longitudinal ligamenta cadaver
31	study Arch Orthon Trauma Sura 2001.121(2):142.7
32	study. Arch Orthop Hauma Surg 2001,121(5):142-7.
33	25. Esparza FV-C, Raquel & Alacid, Fernando & Martínez-Ruiz, E & López-Miñarro,
34	Pedro. Sagittal spinal curvatures in maximal trunk flexion of young female
35	dancers British journal of sports medicine 2011/18:595 doi:
36	
37	10.1136/bjsports-2014-093494.95.
38	26. López-Miñarro PM, José & Alacid, Fernando & Manuel, Isorna &
39	Vaguero-Cristóbal Baguel Sagittal spinal curvatures and pelvic inclination in
40	vaquelo-criscosal, naquel. Sagittal spinal culvatures and pervici neination in
41	kayakers. Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y
42	del Deporte 2014;14.:633-50
45	27 Vaquero-Cristóbal RE Francisco & Gómez-Durán R & Martínez-Ruiz F & Muvor
45	
46	Jose & Alacid, Fernando & Lopez-Minarro, Pedro. Thoracic and lumbar
47	morphology in standing, sitting and maximal trunk flexion with extended
48	knees in dancers, Archivos de Medicing del Denorte 2015:32:87-93
49	
50	28. Lopez-Minarro PA, Muyor JM. Comparison of sagittal spinal curvatures and pelvic
51	tilt in highly trained athletes from different sport disciplines. Kinesiology
52	2017;49(1):109-16. doi: 10.26582/k.49.1.2
53	20 Haagema IA Graatz N Bolliger I at al. The glabal burden of iniumy incidence
54	29. maagsina JA, Graelz IV, Boiliger I, et al. The global burden of injury: incidence,
55 56	mortality, disability-adjusted life years and time trends from the Global
50 57	
57	20
59	

Burden of Disease study 2013. *Injury prevention : journal of the International Society for Child and Adolescent Injury Prevention* 2016;22(1):3-18. doi: 10.1136/injuryprev-2015-041616

- 30. Yeo YY, Lee SK, Lim CY, et al. A review of elderly injuries seen in a Singapore emergency department. *Singapore Med J* 2009;50(3):278-83.
- 31. Chien WC, Chung CH, Lai CH, et al. A retrospective population-based study of injury types among elderly in Taiwan. *International journal of injury control* and safety promotion 2014;21(1):3-8. doi: 10.1080/17457300.2012.717084 [published Online First: 2012/08/29]
- National estimates of the ten leading causes of nonfatal injuries, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC 2004;<u>www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars.html</u> (Accessed on May 24, 2010).
- Mackenzie EJ, Rivara FP, Jurkovich GJ, et al. The National Study on Costs and Outcomes of Trauma. J Trauma 2007;63(6 Suppl):S54-67; discussion S81-6. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e31815acb09
- Global burden of disease. World Health Organization 2010; www.who.int/healthinfo/global burden disease/en/ (Accessed on May 01, 2010).
- Global Status on Road Safety. World Health Organization 2015;<u>http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/20</u> <u>15/</u> (Accessed on April 04, 2016).
- 36. de Groot MH, van der Jagt-Willems HC, van Campen JP, et al. A flexed posture in elderly patients is associated with impairments in postural control during walking. *Gait Posture* 2014;39(2):767-72. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2013.10.015
- 37. Organization GWH. World Health Organization, Manual of the International Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, Ninth Revision. 1977
- 38. Lin CL, Chung CH, Tsai YH, et al. Association between sleep disorders and injury: a nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study. *Injury prevention : journal of the International Society for Child and Adolescent Injury Prevention* 2016;22(5):342-6. doi: 10.1136/injuryprev-2015-041844 [published Online First: 2016/02/20]
- 39. Jones RS, Kennedy JD, Hasham F, et al. Mechanical inefficiency of the thoracic cage in scoliosis. *Thorax* 1981;36(6):456-61.
- Di Bari M, Chiarlone M, Matteuzzi D, et al. Thoracic kyphosis and ventilatory dysfunction in unselected older persons: an epidemiological study in Dicomano, Italy. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004;52(6):909-15. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52257.x
- 41. Bergofsky EH, Turino GM, Fishman AP. Cardiorespiratory failure in kyphoscoliosis.

BMJ Open

1	
2	
3	Medicine (Baltimore) 1959;38:263-317.
4 5	42. Naeye RL. Kyphoscoliosis and cor pulmonale; a study of the pulmonary vascular
6	bed. Am J Pathol 1961;38:561-73.
7	A2 Shannon DC Pischorough El Kazomi H Ventilation perfusion relationships
8	45. Shahilon DC, Risebolough LJ, Razenii H. Venthation perfusion relationships
9	following correction of kyphoscoliosis. <i>JAMA</i> 1971;217(5):579-84.
10	44. Shneerson JM. The cardiorespiratory response to exercise in thoracic scoliosis.
11	Thorax $1978 \cdot 33(4) \cdot 457 - 63$
12	AE Kester C. Carfield CK. Mising T. et al. Immeired exercise correction in adults with
13	45. Kesten S, Garfinkel SK, Wright I, et al. Impaired exercise capacity in adults with
14	moderate scoliosis. Chest 1991;99(3):663-6.
16	46. Lynn SG, Sinaki M, Westerlind KC. Balance characteristics of persons with
17	osteonorosis Arch Dhys Med Pehahil 1997:78(2):272-7
18	
19	47. de Groot MH, van der Jagt-Willems HC, van Campen JP, et al. lesting postural
20	control among various osteoporotic patient groups: a literature review.
21	Geriatr Gerontol Int 2012;12(4):573-85. doi:
22	10 1111/i 1447 0504 2012 00856 v
23	10.1111/J.1447-0554.2012.00850.X
24	48. Katzman WB, Vittinghoff E, Kado DM, et al. Thoracic kyphosis and rate of incident
25	vertebral fractures: the Fracture Intervention Trial. Osteoporos Int
27	2016:27(3):899-903. doi: 10.1007/s00198-015-3478-2
28	40 Promon P. Age and inium sourcetter Sound / Sou Med 1080:17(4):287.00
29	49. Brorsson B. Age and injury sevency. Scand J Soc Med 1989;17(4):287-90.
30	50. Wu SC, Leu SY, Li CY. Incidence of and predictors for chronic disability in activities
31	of daily living among older people in Taiwan. J Am Geriatr Soc
32	1999.47(9):1082-6
33 34	E1 Covingly KE Dalmar DM. Fortingly DH, at all loss of independence in activities of
35	51. Covinsky KE, Paimer Rivi, Fortinsky KH, et al. Loss of independence in activities of
36	daily living in older adults hospitalized with medical illnesses: increased
37	vulnerability with age. J Am Geriatr Soc 2003;51(4):451-8.
38	52. Gauchard GC. Lascombes P. Kuhnast M. et al. Influence of different types of
39	nunguagius idianathis scaliasis an statia and dunamis negtural control. Caina
40	progressive idiopathic scollosis on static and dynamic postural control. spine
41	(Phila Pa 1976) 2001;26(9):1052-8.
42	53. Silferi V, Rougier P, Labelle H, et al. [Postural control in idiopathic scoliosis:
44	comparison between healthy and scoliptic subjects] Rev Chir Orthon
45	Pengratrice Appar Mat 2004/00/2):215 25
46	Reputatrice Appar Mot 2004;90(3).215-25.
47	54. Skaggs DL, Bassett GS. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: an update. Am Fam
48	Physician 1996;53(7):2327-35.
49	55. Weinstein SL. Dolan LA. Wright IG. et al. Effects of bracing in adolescents with
50	idiorathia application NL Frail L Mad 2012;200(10):1512.21 dai:
57	Idiopathic scollosis. N Engl J Med 2013;369(16):1512-21. doi:
53	10.1056/NEJMoa1307337
54	56. Negrini S, Minozzi S, Bettany-Saltikov J, et al. Braces for idiopathic scoliosis in
55	adolescents Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015(6) CD006850 doi:
56	
57	22
58	
59	For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/quidelines.yhtml
00	

10.1002/14651858.CD006850.pub3

- 57. Fuschillo S, De Felice A, Martucci M, et al. Pulmonary rehabilitation improves exercise capacity in subjects with kyphoscoliosis and severe respiratory impairment. *Respir Care* 2015;60(1):96-101. doi: 10.4187/respcare.03095
- 58. Obayashi H, Urabe Y, Yamanaka Y, et al. Effects of respiratory-muscle exercise on spinal curvature. *J Sport Rehabil* 2012;21(1):63-8.
- 59. Menon B, Aggarwal B. Influence of spinal deformity on pulmonary function, arterial blood gas values, and exercise capacity in thoracic kyphoscoliosis. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* 2007;12(4):293-8.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The flowchart of study sample selection from Taiwan National Health

Insurance Research Database

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for cumulative risk of injury among aged 20 over

stratified by spinal curvature disorders with log-rank test

Table 1. Demographic data for patients with and without spinal curvature

disorders

	Spina	p value			
	with		without		
Variables	n	%	n	%	
Total	20,566	33.33	41,132	66.67	
Gender					0.999

Male	7,279	35.39	14,558	35.39			
Female	13,287	64.61	26,574	64.61			
Age group (years)					0.999		
20-40	3,107	15.11	6,529	15.87			
41-64	6,597	32.08	13,386	32.54			
≧65	10,862	52.82	21,217	51.58			
Number of comorbidities							
0	12,469	60.63	19,513	47.44			
1	6,074	29.53	12,021	29.23			
≥ 2	2,023	9.84	9,598	23.33			
Urbanization level							
1 (The highest)	8,147	39.61	14,344	34.87			
2	7,807	37.96	17,780	43.23			
3	2,021	9.83	2,946	7.16			
4 (The lowest)	2,591	12.60	6,062	14.74			
Insurance premium (NT\$)					< 0.001		
<18,000	20,193	98.19	40,541	98.56			
18,000-34,999	324	1.58	482	1.17			
≧35,000	49	0.24	109	0.27			
	24						

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
27
20
29
50 21
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
<u>4</u> 7
יד ⊿Ω
40 10
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

Table 2. Risk of injury stratified by variables

	With s	With spinal curvature disorders			spinal curvatu	ire disorders	Ratio	Adjusted HR†(95%CI)
			IR‡ (per			IR‡ (per		
Variables	Event	PYs	10 ⁵ PYs)	Event	PYs	10 ⁵ PYs)		
Total	3,617	33,167.52	10,905.25	6,254	69,032.77	9,059.47	1.204	2.209 (2.118-2.303) *
Gender	Gender							
Male	1,308	12,003.99	10,896.38	2,288	24,100.91	9,493.42	1.148	2.098 (1.958-2.249) *
Female	2,309	21,163.52	10,910.28	3,966	44,931.86	8,826.70	1.236	2.279 (2.162-2.402) *
Age group (years)								

BMJ Open

20-40	289	2,945.11	9,812.88	177	6,082.11	2,910.17	3.372	6.665 (5.512-8.060) *
41-64	885	7,561.59	11,703.89	603	8,360.88	7,212.16	1.623	6.154 (5.527-6.852) *
≥65	2,443	22,660.82	10,780.72	5,474	54,589.78	10,027.52	1.075	1.666 (1.587-1.749) *
Number of comorbidities								
0	2,008	14,400.78	13,943.69	2,653	22,738.69	11,667.34	1.195	2.424 (2.284-2.572) *
1	1,142	11,337.55	10,072.72	2,077	21,977.53	9,450.56	1.066	2.203 (2.047-2.371) *
≥2	467	7,429.19	6,286.02	1,524	24,316.54	6,267.34	1.003	1.674 (1.507-1.860) *
Urbanization level	Urbanization level							
1 (The highest)	1,012	9,790.98	10,336.04	1,759	20,803.62	8,455.26	1.222	2.225 (2.056-2.409) *
2	1,522	14,516.01	10,484.97	2,813	31,263.20	8,997.80	1.165	2.145 (2.012-2.285) *
3	325	2,422.89	13,413.73	463	4,925.73	9,399.62	1.427	2.766 (2.390-3.202) *
4 (The lowest)	758	6,437.64	11,774.50	1,219	12,040.22	10,124.40	1.163	2.131 (1.942-2.339) *
Insurance premium (NT\$)						0		
<18,000	3,545	32,458.79	10,921.54	6,161	67,962.43	9,065.30	1.205	2.210 (2.118-2.305) *
18,000-34,999	68	643.84	10,561.63	88	965.58	9,113.69	1.159	2.297 (1.651-3.194) *
≥35,000	4	64.89	6,164.28	5	104.76	4,772.81	1.292	1.868 (0.430-8.119) **

*p<0.001; **p=0.405

†Adjusted HR: multivariable analysis including gender, age, number of comorbidities, urbanization level, and insurance premium.

‡Indicates incidence rate per 100,000 person-years.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

IR, incidence rate; PYs = Person-years; Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval

BMJ Open

 Table 3. Risk of injury stratified by subgroup of spinal curvature disorders

Spinal curvature disorders	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR † (95%CI)
Kyphosis		0
With	1.579	2.777 (2.553 - 3.021) *
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Lordosis		
With	1.033	2.087 (1.235 - 3.527) **
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis		

With	1.146	2.113(2.201 - 2.210) *	
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)	
Unspecified			
With	1.388	2.727(2.119 - 3.509) *	
Without	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)	

ICD-9-CM code: kyphosis (ICD-9-CM 737.0, 737.1, 737.41), lordosis (ICD-9-CM

737.2, 737.42), kyphoscoliosis and scoliosis (ICD-9-CM 737.3, 737.43), and

unspecified (ICD-9-CM 737.40, 737.8, 737.9)

ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical

CL.CZ

Modification

*p< 0.001

**p=0.006

[†]Adjusted HR: multivariable analysis including gender, age, comorbidities,

urbanization level, and insurance premium.

Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval

1	
2	
3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
27	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
15	
40 47	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
22	
56	
57	
58	
59	

	Crude HR (95%CI)	Adjusted HR † (95%CI)
Injury diagnosis	•	1
Fracture	1.193	1.502 (1.398-1.614) *
Dislocation	1.859	1.732 (1.172-2.558) *
Sprains and strains	1.510	1.341 (0.927-1.942)
Intracranial/internal injury	0.835	0.996 (0.808-1.501)
Open wound	1.244	1.597 (1.310-1.939) *

intentionality of injury in the end of follow-up

BMJ Open

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
י∡ 20	
22	
∠⊃ 24	
24	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

60

Injury to blood vessels	0.297	0.287 (0.007-11.122)
Superficial injury/contusion	1.321	1.414 (1.131-1.761) *
Crushing	4.460	4.949 (1.093-30.895) *
Foreign body entering through		
orifice	1.469	1.921 (0.970-3.803)
Burn	2.018	1.453 (0.968-2.180)
Injury to nerves and spinal cord	2.379	2.428 (1.310-4.500) *
Poisoning	0.999	0.983 (0.809-1.602)
Others injury	1.270	1.387 (1.284-1.499) *
Cause of injury	0	
Traffic injuries	1.370	1.379 (1.191-1.597) *
Poisoning	1.150	1.423 (0.906-2.234)
Falls	1.258	1.552 (1.429-1.686) *
Burns and fires	2.498	1.567 (0.977-2.567)
Drowning	N/A	N/A
Suffocation	1.972	6.442 (2.335-17.776) *
Crushing/cutting/piercing	1.788	2.595 (1.056-3.409) *
Other injuries	1.401	1.612 (1.443-1.800) *
Intentionality of injury		
	30	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Unitentional	1.335	1.537 (1.200-2.605) *
Intentional	2.330	2.218 (0.994-3.424)
Unknown	1.095	1.076 (0.419-1.830)

*p<0.05

Some patients did not provide information about cause and intentionality of injury

[†]Adjusted HR: multivariable analysis including gender, age, comorbidities,

urbanization level, and insurance premium.

Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval

N/A: non-applicable due to only one drowning event in spine curvature disorders

patients and no drowning event in the control group.







Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for cumulative risk of injury among aged 20 over stratified by spinal curvature disorders with log-rank test

90x90mm (300 x 300 DPI)

... [1]

... [2]

[... [3]

... [4]

... [5]

[... [6]

... [7]

[... [8]

... [9]

[... [10]]

[... [11]]

[... [12]] ... [13]

... [14]

[... [15]]

[... [16]] [... [17]]

[... [18]]

[... [19]]

[... [20]

[... [21]]

[... [22]]

[... [23]]

[... [24]]

[... [25]]

[... [26]]

[... [27]]

... [28]

[... [29]]

[... [30]]

[... [31]]

[... [32]]

[... [33]]

[... [34]]

[... [35]]

[... [36]]

[... [37]]

[... [38]]

[... [39]]

[... [40]]

[... [41]]

[... [42]]

[... [43]]

... [44]

[... [45]]

[... [46]]

[... [47]]

... [48]

... [49]

... [50]

... [51]

	Item		- // /	
	No	Recommendation	11	Form
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract		Form
		P.1 Title and P.3 Abstract	111	Forn
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done		Form
		and what was found P.3-P.4 Abstract		Forn
Introduction			111	Form
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported		Forn
		<u>P7</u> /		Form
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses P.8		Forn
Methods			11 11	Form
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper P 9		For
Setting	5	Describe the setting locations and relevant dates including periods of recruitment	11	For
Setting	U	exposure follow-up and data collection P 10		For
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and methods of selection of	11 11	For
	Ŭ	participants. Describe methods of follow-up P.9 and P.10.		For
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and	11 11	For
		unexposed P.9 and P.10		Form
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders and effect		Form
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable P.10 and P.11.		Form
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of		Form
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is	"	Form
		more than one group P.9 and P.10		Form
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias P.17 and P.18		Form
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at P.9.	11	Form
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,	113	Form
		describe which groupings were chosen and why N/A	1 1	Form
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	119	Form
		<u>P.11</u>	11	Form
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions <u>P.11</u>	$\mu^{(i)}$	Forn
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed <u>N/A</u>	1	Form
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <u>N/A</u>		Forn
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses <u>N/A</u>		Forn
Results			$\langle - \rangle$	Form
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially		Forn
· · r · · ·	-	eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study.		Form
		completing follow-up, and analysed <u>P.9 and P.10</u>	1	Form
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage P.9 and P.10		Form
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram <u>P.9 and P.10 and Figure 1.</u>		Forn
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and		Forn
-		information on exposures and potential confounders <u>P.11 and P.12 and Table 1</u> .		Form
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest		Forn
		<u>N/A</u>		Forn
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) P.12		Forn
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time P.12-P.14	1	Forn
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and		Form
		their precision (eg. 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were		Forn

17 18 19 20 21 22	 (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized <u>P.12</u> (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period <u>N/A</u> Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses <u>P.12 to P.14</u> Summarise key results with reference to study objectives <u>P.14</u> Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17 and</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u> 	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
17 18 19 20 21 22	 (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period N/A Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses P.12 to P.14 Summarise key results with reference to study objectives P.14 Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17</u> and <u>P.18</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u>. 	Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
17 18 19 20 21 22	meaningful time period <u>N/A</u> Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses <u>P.12 to P.14</u> Summarise key results with reference to study objectives <u>P.14</u> Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17 and</u> <u>P.18</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u>	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
17 18 19 20 21 22	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses P.12 to P.14 Summarise key results with reference to study objectives P.14 Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias P.17 and P.18 Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence P.17 and P.18 Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results P. 17	Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
18 19 20 21 22	sensitivity analyses <u>P.12 to P.14</u> Summarise key results with reference to study objectives <u>P.14</u> Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17 and</u> <u>P.18</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P.17</u>	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
18 19 20 21 22	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives <u>P.14</u> Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17</u> and <u>P.18</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u> .	Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
18 19 20 21 22	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives <u>P.14</u> Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17</u> and <u>P.18</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u>	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
19 20 21 22	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17 and</u> <u>P.18</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u>	Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
20 21 22	imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias <u>P.17 and</u> <u>P.18</u> Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u>	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
20 21 22	P_18 Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence P_17 and P_18 Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results P_17 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
20 21 22	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u>	Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
21	multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence <u>P.17 and P.18</u> Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u> Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	Formatted: Font color: Red Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
21	P.17 and P.18 Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results P. 17 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
21 22	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results <u>P. 17</u> Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	
22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	Formatted: Font color: Red
22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if	Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Taiwa
	the source of funding and the fole of the funders for the present study and, if	Formathed, Fact science Ded
	applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based <u>N/A P.6</u>	Formatted: Font color: Red
1		
----------	-------------------------	
2	7/14/2018 2:51:00 PM	
2		
ר ⊿		
5	6/20/2018 10:51:00 AM	
7		
/ Q		
0		
9	7/14/2018 2:51:00 PM	
10		
11		
12	6/20/2018 10-E1-00 AM	
14	0/20/2010 10:31:00 AM	
14		
15		
16	7/14/2018 2:52:00 PM	
17	, <u> </u>	
18		
19		
20	6/20/2018 10:51:00 AM	
21	0, 20, 2010 10/01/00 AM	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
20 27		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
30		
70 29		
40 11		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		

_1	6/20/2018 10:54:00 AM
2	
3	
4	7/14/2018 2:52:00 PM
5	
6	
2	6/20/2018 10·55·00 AM
9	0, 20, 2010 10,00100 API
10	
11	
12	7/14/2018 2:52:00 PM
13	
14	
15	6/20/2018 10:55:00 AM
10	
18	
19	7/14/2018 2:52:00 PM
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
54 35	
35	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 ⊿5	
45 46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55 56	
57	
58	
59	
60	For

Page	30	of	30
raye	22	UI.	22

Page 39 01 39		
	6/20/2018 10:57:00 AM	
1		
2		
3	7/14/2018 2:52:00 PM	

BMJ Open

-	
4	
5	
6	6/20/2010 10-50-00 111
7	6/20/2018 10:58:00 AM
8	
9	
10	6/20/2018 10:58:00 AM
11	
12	
13	
14	7/14/2018 2:52:00 PM
15	
10	
10	6/20/2018 10:59:00 AM
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31 22	
32 33	
33	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47 78	
49	
50	