Corresponding author(s): *Double-blind peer review submissions: write DBPR and your manuscript number here instead of author names.*

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Statistical parameters

When statistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section).

n/a	Confirmed	
☐	☒	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
☐	☒	An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
☐	☒	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided *Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
☒	☐	A description of all covariates tested
☒	☐	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
☐	☒	A full description of the statistics including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
☐	☒	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted *Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.*
☒	☐	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
☒	☐	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
☒	☐	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
☒	☐	Clearly defined error bars *State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI)*

Our web collection on statistics for biologists may be useful.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection	No software was used for data collection.
Data analysis	No commercial and custom code was used in this study. We only used freely available bioinformatics software our data analysis.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The genome assembly and sequence data for P. miliaceum was deposited at NCBI under BioProject number PRJNA431363. The genome assembly is also available through CoGe (Genome ID: 52484). The developmental transcriptome data was deposited at NCBI under BioProject number PRJNA431485.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/authors/policies/ReportingSummary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	For RNA-Seq experiments of 8 different tissues of broomcorn millet, we used 3 to 6 biological replicates.
Data exclusions	For genome and RNA-Seq data, we only excluded sequences that were of low quality and potential contaminants from the analysis. This is standard for these types of analyses. SNP data were also filtered according to commonly used standards. All these were described in detail in the manuscript.
Replication	We used various approaches to evaluate our genome assemblies, confirming the assemblies are of high-quality.
Randomization	This is not relevant to our study since we are reporting genome assemblies, comparative genomic analyses, and expression profiling analysis of plant species.
Blinding	Blinding was not relevant to our study since our study is on genome and transcriptome analysis of plant species.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study	
☒	☐	Unique biological materials
☒	☐	Antibodies
☒	☐	Eukaryotic cell lines
☒	☐	Palaeontology
☒	☐	Animals and other organisms
☒	☐	Human research participants

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study	
☒	☐	ChIP-seq
☒	☐	Flow cytometry
☒	☐	MRI-based neuroimaging