natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Jeffrey D. Schall

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistical parameters

Whe text	en st , or l	atistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main Methods section).		
n/a	Cor	Confirmed		
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement		
	\boxtimes	An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly		
	\boxtimes	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.		
	\boxtimes	A description of all covariates tested		
	\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons		
		A full description of the statistics including <u>central tendency</u> (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND <u>variation</u> (e.g. standard deviation) or associated <u>estimates of uncertainty</u> (e.g. confidence intervals)		
	\boxtimes	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.		
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings		
\ge		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes		
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated		
	\boxtimes	Clearly defined error bars State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI)		

Our web collection on statistics for biologists may be useful.

Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>
Data collection
Behavioral task was presented using TEMPO Experiment Control System (Reflective Computing). Neurophysiology data was recorded by
Plexon MAP system (Plexon)
Data analysis
MATLAB versions R2016a and R2017a (MathWorks)
For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not vet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data relevant to this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/authors/policies/ReportingSummary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences

Study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	The properties of some neurons in SEF are sufficiently well understood that a power analysis can guide data sampling. The variance of neural discharge rates scale with the mean, so with alpha = 0.05 and beta = 0.2, the nature of the modulation patterns that must be measured for the various studies require from 26 (Cohen d = 0.8) to 64 neurons (Cohen d = 0.5). However, many signals in SEF are less well characterized and exhibit more diversity and complexity. While a power analysis cannot be done without knowledge of population characteristics (Dell et al. 2002), we implement less formal algorithms to specify when to terminate data collection for a particular study. Based on the experience of our laboratory, neuron sampling within a region of interest for a particular study stops when two criteria are satisfied: (1) new discoveries demonstrate reliability as assessed by statistical analysis of neural modulation in relation to particular events (alpha = 0.05, beta = 0.2, from 26 (Cohen d = 0.8) to 64 neurons (Cohen d = 0.5)) and (2) mean values of derived neural measures do not change with further sampling over 2-3 experimental sessions. The time and number of samples needed to reach this termination criterion depends on how commonly sampled are the neurons of interest. The rarest response property that we can study effectively is exhibited by ~10% of a population. Our statistically specified goal, therefore, is to obtain a meaningful sample of this 10% in more than one monkey. Typically, the maximum number of such rare neurons would require 2 monkeys. In all cases, common results must be obtained from at least 2 monkeys to justify a rigorous, reproducible publication (Roelfsema & Treue 2014).
Data exclusions	The exclusion criteria are described in the Methods section of the paper. Neurons modulated in irrelevant task intervals or not at all were not analyzed for this report. Also, for the analysis of various functional signals, trials in which two or more distinct events coincided in time were removed unless the confounding factors could be appropriately accounted for (e.g., Supplementary Dig 2).
Replication	Replications consisted of repeated measures of different cortical sites in the same animal as well as repeating the same experiment in a second animal. Repeated samples obtained from a given location resulted in indistinguishable patterns.
Randomization	The experimental task involved pseudo-randomization of task conditions to ensure appropriate performance.
Blinding	Data collection and analysis were not performed blind to the experimental conditions. Neurophysiology experiments on animal subjects do not suffer from biases that arise due to lack of such blinding.

Materials & experimental systems

Policy information about availability of materials

- n/a Involved in the study
- Unique materials
- Antibodies
- Eukaryotic cell lines
 - Research animals

Human research participants

Research animals

 \boxtimes

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Animals/animal-derived materials

Data from two macaque monkeys: one male (M. radiata 8.8 kg, ~6 years old) and one female (M. mulatta 6 kg, ~8 years old) macaque.

Method-specific reporting

n/a Involved in the study

ChIP-seq

Flow cytometry

 \boxtimes

 \times

 \ge

Graph analysis

Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis

Magnetic resonance imaging

Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design				
Design type	MRIs were acquired to aid in placement of recording chambers and verifying angle of penetrations			
Design specifications	Not applicable			
Behavioral performance measures	Not applicable			
Acquisition				
Imaging type(s)	Structural			
Field strength	_ЗТ			
Sequence & imaging parameters	3D turbo field echo anatomical sequence (TR = 8.729 ms; 130 slices, 0.70 mm thickness)			
Area of acquisition	Whole brain			
Diffusion MRI Used	Not used			
Preprocessing				
Preprocessing software	Not relevant			
Normalization	Not relevant			
Normalization template	Not relevant			
Noise and artifact removal	Not relevant			
Volume censoring	Not relevant			
Statistical modeling & inference				
Model type and settings	Not relevant			
Effect(s) tested	Not relevant			
Specify type of analysis: 🔀 Whole brain 🗌 ROI-based 🗌 Both				
Statistic type for inference (See <u>Eklund et al. 2016</u>)	Not relevant			
Correction	Not relevant			
Models & analysis				
n/a Involved in the study Involved in the study Image: State of the study				