

Supporting Information

ezCADD: A Rapid 2D/3D Visualization Enabled Web Modeling Environment for Democratizing Computer-Aided Drug Design

Aoxiang Tao, Yuying Huang, Yasuhiro Shinohara, Matthew L. Caylor, Srinath Pashikanti, and Dong Xu*

Department of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Kasiska Division of Health Sciences, Idaho State University, Meridian ID 83642, USA

* Correspondence: xudong@isu.edu; Tel.: +1-208-373-1832; ORCID: 0000-0001-7056-2728

ezCADD User Questionnaire for First-Year Pharmacy Students

IRB Exempt Status Approved, Study Number: IRB-FY2019-38

Cohort size: 95 Exercise and survey duration: 20 minutes

Question #1 Before starting the ezCADD exercise, please rate your PRIOR hands-on experience in molecular visualization and modeling:

- a. Zero experience
- b. A little bit experience
- c. Some experience
- d. Good experience

Question #2 How does ezCADD visualization help you understand the structures of β 2 adrenergic receptor and its drug alprenolol?

- a. Not helpful
- b. Somewhat helpful
- c. Helpful
- d. Very helpful

Question #3 How does ezCADD molecular docking help you understand the process of drug-protein target binding and recognition?

- a. Not helpful
- b. Somewhat helpful
- c. Helpful
- d. Very helpful

Question #4 Were you able to follow the instructions and complete the molecular docking experiment on your own?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Partially

37 Question #5 After completing the ezCADD exercise, please rate your hands-on experience
38 in molecular visualization and modeling:

39 a. Zero experience

40 b. A little bit experience

41 c. Some experience

42 d. Good experience

43 Question #6 Please rate your overall ezCADD user experience:

44 a. Easy and user friendly

45 b. Difficult and not user friendly

46

47

Student Survey Data

Q1 response	Count	Frequency
Zero experience	30	31.58%
A little bit experience	31	32.63%
Some experience	25	26.32%
Good experience	9	9.47%
Q2 response	Count	Frequency
Not helpful	0	0.00%
Somewhat helpful	14	14.74%
Helpful	50	52.63%
Very helpful	31	32.63%
Q3 response	Count	Frequency
Not helpful	1	1.05%
Somewhat helpful	20	21.05%
Helpful	53	55.79%
Very helpful	21	22.11%
Q4 response	Count	Frequency
Yes	80	84.21%
No	2	2.11%
Partially	13	13.68%

Q5 response	Count	Frequency
Zero experience	0	0.00%
A little bit experience	20	21.05%
Some experience	46	48.42%
Good experience	29	30.53%
Q6 response	Count	Frequency
Easy and user friendly	84	88.42%
Difficult and not user friendly	11	11.58%