Science Advances

advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/5/1/eaav6909/DC1

Supplementary Materials for

Time-optimized pulsed dynamic nuclear polarization

Kong Ooi Tan, Chen Yang, Ralph T. Weber, Guinevere Mathies, Robert G. Griffin*

*Corresponding author. Email: rgg@mit.edu

Published 18 January 2019, *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaav6909 (2019) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aav6909

This PDF file includes:

Section S1. Determining the effective field ω_{eff} with quaternions Section S2. Experimental details of NOVEL Fig. S1. Experimentally measured enhancements of the NOVEL sequence as a function of the Rabi frequency at 0.35 T.

Supplementary Materials

Section S1. Determining the effective field ω_{eff} with quaternions

We have defined that the effective field ω_{eff} as the net rotation angle β_{eff} accumulated over a period of the cycle time $\tau_{\rm m} = 2\pi/(\omega_{\rm m})$ of a pulse sequence. We can determine β_{eff} analytically using the quaternion description (35). The quaternion is comprised of 4 variables, which are the flip angle β and the three unit-axis components of the rotation S_{xx} , S_{yy} and S_{zz} . For TOP-DNP, which is a basic cyclic sequence comprised of two elements (a pulse and a delay), the effective nutation angle β_{eff} can be determined by computing

$$Q_{\rm eff} = Q_2 Q_1 \tag{1}$$

where the lower index represents the chronological order of the pulse, i.e. Q_1 represents the off-resonance pulse and Q_2 is the event when the microwave is turned off and the rotation is performed only by the offset Ω_S . The matrix representations of the respective quaternions are given as

$$Q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1} \\ B_{1} \\ C_{1} \\ D_{1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{2} & -C_{2} & B_{2} & A_{2} \\ C_{2} & D_{2} & -A_{2} & B_{2} \\ -B_{2} & A_{2} & D_{2} & C_{2} \\ -A_{2} & -B_{2} & -C_{2} & D_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

where the symbols A_i , B_i , C_i and D_i of the respective quaternion Q_i are

$$A_{i} = S_{xx}^{i} \sin\left(\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}\right), B_{i} = S_{yy}^{i} \sin\left(\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}\right), C_{i} = S_{zz}^{i} \sin\left(\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}\right), D_{i} = \cos\left(\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}\right)$$
(3)

Following that, we identify that the first rotation performed by the microwave pulse at an offset Ω_S with a pulse length of τ_p along *x*-axis in the rotating frame is given by

$$A_1 = \sin\theta \sin\left(\frac{\omega_a \tau_p}{2}\right), B_1 = 0, C_1 = \cos\theta \sin\left(\frac{\omega_a \tau_p}{2}\right), D_1 = \cos\left(\frac{\omega_a \tau_p}{2}\right)$$
(4)

where $\omega_a = \sqrt{\omega_{1S}^2 + \Omega_S^2}$ and $\theta = \tan^{-1} \omega_{1S} / \Omega_S$. While the second rotation is only performed by the offset Ω_S along the *z*-axis with a delay of *d*

$$A_2 = B_2 = 0, C_2 = \sin\left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm S}d}{2}\right), D_2 = \cos\left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm S}d}{2}\right)$$
(5)

According to the definition given in the formulation of the quaternion description, the overall net rotation β_{eff} of the TOP-DNP sequence is encoded in Q_{eff}

$$Q_{\rm eff} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{\rm xx}^{\rm eff} \sin\left(\frac{\beta_{\rm eff}}{2}\right) \\ S_{\rm yy}^{\rm eff} \sin\left(\frac{\beta_{\rm eff}}{2}\right) \\ S_{\rm zz}^{\rm eff} \sin\left(\frac{\beta_{\rm eff}}{2}\right) \\ \cos\left(\frac{\beta_{\rm eff}}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} = Q_2 Q_1 \tag{6}$$

Since we are only interested in the last matrix element, $\cos\left(\frac{\beta_{eff}}{2}\right)$, we can write down the expression directly

$$\cos\left(\frac{\beta_{\rm eff}}{2}\right) = D_1 D_2 - (A_1 A_2 + B_1 B_2 + C_1 C_2) \tag{7}$$

$$\beta_{\rm eff} = 2\cos^{-1}\left(\cos(\Omega_{\rm S}d/2)\cos(\omega_{\rm a}\tau_{\rm p}/2) - \cos\theta\sin(\Omega_{\rm S}d/2)\sin(\omega_{\rm a}\tau_{\rm p}/2)\right)$$

as given in the main article after substituting into the equation $\omega_{eff} = \beta_{eff} / \tau_m$.

Section S2. Experimental details of NOVEL

We have performed the NOVEL experiment on the same sample to compare the results with that measured with TOP-DNP. We have optimized several parameters and obtained a maximum enhancement of $\varepsilon \sim 172$, which is in good agreement with the value of ~175 reported by Can et al.(37) despite a higher concentration of radical (~ 40 mM) was used in latter experiment. Besides that, Mathies et al.(19) had also reported an enhancement of $\varepsilon \sim$ 159 on a similar setup except that the sample contains 1 M urea. Note that the value of 159 was obtained by normalizing the reported value of 380 with respect to the definition of enhancement factor ε adopted in this publication for fair comparison, i.e. normalization with respect to equilibrated DNP enhancement and fully relaxed ¹H signal, $\frac{(1-\exp(-8/26))}{(1-\exp(-8/26))} \times 380=159$. This is a fairly consistent result considering the fact that the experiments were performed by three different individuals and three different sample were

prepared.



Fig. S1. Experimentally measured enhancements of the NOVEL sequence as a function of the Rabi frequency at 0.35 T. A maximum enhancement of $\varepsilon \sim 172$ was recorded using $\omega_{1S}/2\pi = 15$ MHz, repetition time $\tau_{Rep} = 2$ ms, spin lock time of $\tau_{SL} = 2$ µs, 16384 repetitions (~ 33 s), and a flip-back pulse was applied after the spinlock to conserve magnetization (19).